The Use of Bone Age in Clinical Practice – Part 2
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Abstract
If height-limiting treatment is being considered for a child with tall stature, skeletal maturity is invaluable in the selection of appropriate patients for treatment, determining appropriate age of treatment commencement, monitoring progress of treatment, and determining the expected treatment effect on adult height. In precocious puberty, bone maturation can be usefully assessed at initial diagnosis and start of treatment and at regular intervals thereafter during treatment monitoring. Together with height, bone maturation is an essential parameter for long-term treatment monitoring in congenital adrenal hyperplasia. Bone age (BA) determination in children with skeletal dysplasia is only feasible in a few disorders and estimations should be treated with caution. Radiographs of the left hand and wrist are, however, essential in the diagnosis of many skeletal disorders. Bone mineralization and measures of bone lengths, width, thickness and cortical thickness should always be evaluated in relation to a child’s height and BA, especially around puberty. The use of skeletal maturity, assessed on a radiograph alone to estimate chronological age for immigration authorities or criminal courts is not recommended.

Introduction

Part 1 of this review dealt with the following themes: the negligible radiation dose involved in the hand-wrist radiograph required to assess bone maturation [also called skeletal maturity, or bone age (BA)], the various methods of assessment currently employed in clinical routine, and the use of such assessment in children with short stature of various etiologies. Part 2 deals with the use of bone maturation films in children with tall stature, precocious puberty (PP), congenital adrenal hyperplasia (CAH), skeletal dysplasia, and unknown chronological age, and with the possibility of using BA to evaluate bone mineral density and a possible secular trend.
Tall Stature

In some countries, children with extreme tall stature have the (controversially discussed) option of treatment with sex steroids to accelerate epiphyseal fusion or of growth plate drilling to limit adult height. Bone maturation estimates as a means to assess residual growth potential is invaluable in the clinical and research situation regarding tall stature, for:

(1) selection of appropriate patients for treatment,
(2) determining appropriate time for treatment commencement,
(3) monitoring progress of treatment, and
(4) determination of treatment effect on adult height.

The relationship between bone maturation and height must be considered: tall children will be expected to have slightly advanced BA, in accordance with their ‘advance-ment’ in height.

In a comparison of adult height prediction methods used on children with tall stature, Joss et al. [1] concluded that there is no best or most accurate method for predicting adult height in tall children: both the Tanner-Whitehouse mark II and the Bayley-Pinneau method tend to overestimate adult height at various BAs, especially the Bayley-Pinneau method up to a bone maturation of 12 ‘years’ in boys and 14 ‘years’ in girls. Binder et al. [2] found an overprediction of the Bayley-Pinneau method of only 0.14 cm (±3.10) in girls and of 1.86 cm (±4.37) in boys.

Early high-dose treatment with estrogen (for girls) or testosterone (for boys) was found to reduce adult height by between 2 and 10 cm in the mostly small follow-up studies between the 1950s and the 1990s, which were mainly based on bone maturation-derived height predictions.

In a large retrospective Dutch study of girls who all had a predicted adult height above 181 cm, the total mean (SEM) reduction of adult height was 5.9 (0.2) 5.3 (0.1), and 4.4 (0.2) cm when treated with 0.5, 0.25, and 0.1 mg ethinyl estradiol per day, respectively. Group 1 (n = 263) was treated for 2.02 (0.03) years, group 2 (n = 178) for 1.85 (0.04) years, and group 3 (n = 98) for 1.63 (0.05) years. When expressed in relation to the treatment period, the reduction of adult height was 3.0 (0.1), 3.1 (0.1), and 2.9 (0.2) cm/year of treatment, respectively. All the girls were treated with ethinyl estradiol as a daily single dose, while progestogen was given daily the first 10 days of every month. Hence 0.1 mg ethinyl estradiol daily for about 20 months was sufficient to reduce adult height. Here the recommendation was made to start treatment at a bone maturation of about 12 ‘years’ [3] – although with very tall girls one should begin earlier to achieve a worthwhile effect.

In an Australian study, adult height, compared to Bayley-Pinneau predictions derived from the Greulich-Pyle Atlas, was underestimated by a mean of 1.86 (±0.18) cm in the untreated group while the treated group ended up 2.13 (±0.2) cm below their pretreatment prediction. Multivariate regression showed that pretreatment skeletal maturity strongly predicted outcome. To remove bias in the height prediction model, a matched-pair analysis based on adult height prediction allowed 108 treated and untreated pairs to be matched. A treatment effect of 2.4 cm (95% CI –3.2 to 1.8) reduction in adult height was determined, and pretreatment BA again strongly predicted outcome, with a 6-cm reduction if treatment in girls commences at BA 10, and a 1-cm reduction for each later BA ‘year’ of commencement [4].

In a retrospective study from Tübingen comprising a daily oral dose of 7.5 mg conjugated estrogens (plus 5 mg dydrogesterone for 10 days a month), the mean corrected effect of height-reducing therapy in girls was 3.6 cm (range: 11.9 to –3.3 cm) compared with untreated girls. Treatment was well tolerated [2]. In boys, using 500 mg testosterone enanthate intramuscularly every 2 weeks [2], the mean corrected effect of height-reducing therapy was 4.4 cm (range: 14.2 to –5.2 cm). Therapy was significantly more effective when started at an earlier chronological age and BA, with only 1 cm benefit when starting at a BA of 13.5 in girls and 14.5 in boys – in line with Drop and colleagues [5] who actually found a negative effect over predicted adult height in boys who began treatment with a BA of more than 14 ‘years’. There is as yet no consensus as to when treatment should be stopped: while Brämswig et al. [6] advocated and still practice [J. Brämswig, pers. commun.] a treatment duration of 6 months only, others have recommended not to discontinue therapy before complete closure of the epiphyses of the hand has occurred to avoid considerable posttreatment growth [5], and still others base stopping treatment on height velocity only. Recently, reports from Australia [7] and the Netherlands [8] indicated that estrogen treatment in adolescence may be associated with an increased likelihood of fertility problems, which further discourages the use of estrogens for this indication. In men, androgen treatment of tall stature does not seem to affect fatherhood or semen quality but serum testosterone levels are reduced [9].

In conclusion, the need for growth attenuation treatment of adolescents with tall stature is limited and long-term adverse effects have been reported so that treatment is not recommended in most cases. However, pediatric endocrinologists are regularly faced with patients...
wanting a reduction of adult height and may still consider this treatment or alternative treatments such as surgical epiphysiodesis in extreme cases. A BA assessment is of invaluable help in assisting the decision-making process.

**Pubertal Disorders**

The fundamental role of sex steroids in bone maturation is obvious from the marked delay in skeletal maturity of children with delayed puberty and its advancement in children with PP. The effect of sex steroids over-rides all other effects, so that bone maturation loses its relationship to a child’s calendar age during adolescence and correlates instead with the pubertal stages. Thus, the morphologic difference between a female BA of 12 and 13 ‘years’ is far greater than it is between a BA of 6 and 7 ‘years’. Since estrogen is the agent involved in maturation and closure of the epiphyseal plate in both genders [10], aromatase inhibitors can be used to slow bone maturation. This has been shown to be of value in GnRH-independent forms of PP such as testotoxicosis (familial male aromatase inhibitors can be used to slow bone maturation. This has been shown to be of value in GnRH-independent forms of PP such as testotoxicosis (familial male limited PP) [11] or Peutz-Jeghers syndrome [12]. Randomized placebo-controlled studies using aromatase inhibitors in boys with pubertal delay [13], idiopathic short stature [14, 15] or growth hormone deficiency [16] have also shown an increase in predicted adult height, but its use in this and all other indications in pediatrics is off label and considered experimental. Further studies are needed to establish whether the adult height attained by some children with short stature can be improved by aromatase inhibitors and what role BA assessment can play in treatment decisions and monitoring in this context [17–20].

**Precocious Puberty**

PP is most commonly defined as start of puberty before the age of 9 years in boys and 8 years in girls. It is usually subcategorized into central (gonadotropin-dependent) and peripheral (gonadotropin-independent, pseudo-) PP. While the early onset of breast development in girls and genital development in boys is the essential criterion of PP, there are several other clinical features, such as increased growth velocity, increased skeletal maturation, and uterine growth. The diagnosis of PP and its subcategories is based on physical examination, analysis of growth, assessment of bone maturation, ultrasound examination of the uterus and gonads, and hormone tests.

Since in PP the premature exposure to sex hormones accelerates bone maturation, a skeletal maturity assessment is part of the initial workup of every child with early appearance of pubertal signs. The definition of rapidly progressing PP encompasses rapid bone maturation (>2 SD for age), while in pubertal variants (precocious adrenarche, or premature thelarche) it is usually only 1–2 SD above chronological age [21]. Yet, when central PP is diagnosed early, there may be only a slight advance.

In some children, the progression of central PP may not be sustained or very slow, with bone growth and maturation rates close to normal and with the preservation of predicted adult height. Hence, repeated bone maturation monitoring instead of immediate initiation of treatment is occasionally required and in some cases will serve to reassure the physician that treatment is not necessary. In girls older than 6 years of age at diagnosis of central PP, posttreatment acceleration of BA and early epiphyseal fusion compromise adult height potential [22]. Appropriate timing for discontinuation of GnRHa treatment of central PP is also related to bone maturation. It has been demonstrated that residual growth capacity in treated children with central PP is optimal if GnRHa treatment is stopped at a BA of 12–12.5 ‘years’ [23–25]. However, bone maturation needs to be taken in context because a BA of approximately 12 ‘years’ can be observed at different chronological ages and because the height gain after treatment is dependent on the age at which treatment was started: only girls who are diagnosed with central PP and treated before the chronological age of 6 years and stopped treatment at a BA of 12 ‘years’ reached the height predicted at the cessation of treatment and achieved target height [22]. In practice, GnRH agonist treatment is often discontinued individually in concordance with the pubertal development of the patient’s peers and the family history – with a little delay if one is trying to achieve maximum adult height.

Sex steroids affect the short bones much more than the long bones or carpal bones [26]. Thus, Vejvoda and Grant [27] found that the short bones advanced faster than the carpal bones in 9 patients with PP. More recent results using the same Tübingen cohort as in Martin et al. [28] support their statement and additionally show that carpals hardly respond to GnRH agonist treatment and thus catch up with the short bones (which respond to treatment by showing no or very little maturation) during treatment. This implies that the carpals are less useful than the short bones for diagnosis or monitoring the
treatment of puberty disorders. Estrogen appears to have a stronger and faster influence on growth plates than on the appositional growth of the carpal bones [28].

Slowing of bone maturation contributes to improving adult height in central PP. Since the outer signs of puberty, such as breast development or enlarged testes and penis, do not completely regress, bone maturation is an important monitoring instrument. Rapid bone maturation during treatment requires prompt reassessment of compliance [29].

The most widely used methods of adult height prediction are those of Bayley-Pinneau and Tanner-Whitehouse, which have yet to be validated in children with PP. A systematic overestimation of adult height between 4 and 13 cm was recorded in both untreated and treated girls [30, 31]. In treated girls, the predictions of increased height given throughout the treatment period due to the deceleration of bone maturation proved to have been overly optimistic [22]. BA is thus a useful diagnostic and monitoring tool for children with PP, provided that its limitations in clinical practice are recognized.

**Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia**

CAH is an autosomal recessive metabolic disease. In most forms, excess formation of androgens leads to virilization of varying degree and (pseudo) PP. In other, rare, forms of CAH, formation of sex steroids is reduced or not possible; in these entities, bone maturation is typically delayed [32]. The role of adrenal androgens – via local aromatization into estrogens – is well established for its rapid maturational impact on bone development in children with CAH. The effect is greatest on the short bones (fingers and metacarpals), intermediate on the cuboid bones (carpals) and minimal on the long bones (radius and ulna) of the hand [26].

If left untreated, the overproduction of androgens in virilizing CAH usually leads to accelerated growth and bone maturation. Typically, the pattern of skeletal maturation shows relative advancement of phalanges over carpals [26, 33]. In untreated CAH, the growth pattern in early and mid childhood reveals tall stature but – due to premature closure of epiphyses – later results in short adult stature in most patients [34–38]. However, it is interesting to note that bone maturation in untreated virilizing CAH does not occur during the first 2 years of life [26, 39, 40].

Attainment of normal adult stature is one of the primary goals in the treatment of CAH. This primarily consists of providing the patient with enough glucocorticoids and, if necessary, mineralocorticoids to suppress ACTH-mediated excess androgen production – monitored by regular measurement of diagnostically important key hormones or metabolites, height, weight and bone maturation. Finding the correct dosage poses a special challenge because even slight overdoses of glucocorticoids will curb growth and bone maturation [36, 38, 41–43]. A meta-analysis of 18 studies carried out from 1977 to 1997 found a mean adult height following glucocorticoid treatment of −1.37 SDS below the respective population mean [41].

Thus, assessing bone maturation is useful both in the diagnostic evaluation of suspected CAH as well as in the monitoring of treatment of children with CAH [44]. For optimal control, height and weight should be measured every 3 months and bone maturation at least yearly.

**Skeletal Dysplasia**

Skeletal dysplasias are a heterogeneous group of disorders mainly affecting the skeleton; the estimated overall incidence is 1 case in 4,000–5,000 births. Almost all lead to moderate to severe short stature, usually disproportionate. Achondroplasia is one of the more frequent disorders (1 in 25,000 births). The mean adult height with this disorder is around 131 cm for men and 124 cm for women. BA determination in children with skeletal dysplasia is only possible in a few disorders and estimations should be treated with caution. Radiographs of the left hand are, on the other hand, part of the skeletal survey and essential in the diagnosis of many skeletal disorders. It is of importance to note that the diagnosis of a skeletal dysplasia can be very difficult – and in many cases as yet impossible – and usually requires close collaboration between the pediatrician, clinical geneticist and pediatric radiologist. A full radiologic skeletal survey is indicated in all patients with disproportionate short stature, as recently reviewed [45].

**BA and the Evaluation of Bone Mineral Mass and Density**

It is now well known in bone densitometry that Z scores instead of T scores need to be used in children [46, 47]. The problem of the Z score lies in the fact that it compares the patient with a group of children with the same chronological age which does not necessarily reflect the height or maturity of the individual. Though reference values for
bone mineral density against bone maturation are not yet available, in bone densitometry the use of bone matura-
tion makes sense [48]. To avoid errors when interpreting
the bone mineral mass or density, muscle mass or force
[49], as well as height and BA need to be taken into con-
sideration.

Estimating Chronological Age when the Date of
Birth Is Unknown

Pediatricians are sometimes put in the uncomfortable
position of being asked to estimate the chronological age
of a child for immigration authorities or the criminal
courts. Automated BA reading may contribute to the
standardization of BA estimation but will not solve the
problem that precisely those children for which such tests
are needed have a very mixed (and often disadvantaged)
socioeconomic, ethnic, genetic, and nutritional back-
ground – all factors which may have an impact on BA
[50]. There are as yet no models developed on the basis of
these parameters in the relevant populations. Given the
lack of evidence and the socioeconomic impact of this
(nonmedical) evaluation, providing an age estimate to
immigration authorities or the criminal courts has no
scientific foundation and should be avoided [51–53].

BA and Secular Trends in Growth and Pubertal
Development

Evidence for a secular trend in the reduction of the age
at which various milestones of puberty are reached is very
variable from region to region. The age at menarche had
consistently fallen over the 20th century, but there is a
suggestion of a slowdown in the age decline in more
northern Europeans, hence by inference that a minimum
age has been reached [54]. More detailed records of puber-
tal staging shows much variation. The US-wide observa-
tions from national studies and individual records by lo-
cal pediatricians note a fall in the age of onset of puberty,
but not such similar reductions in ages at pubertal com-
pletion, implying that the pubertal process is becoming
prolonged in the current generation [55]. This finding has
also been observed in a historic and a contemporary Dan-
ish cohort of children followed longitudinally [56, 57]. An
increased size of the current generation was noted but the
gain in weight was not sufficient to fully explain the trend.
These findings are not evident in less socially advantaged
populations such as in Russian Chapaevsk boys [58].

What effect therefore is seen on the rate of BA matura-
tion? Urban Chinese children from Beijing are showing
earlier skeletal maturation with a significantly earlier
peak in BA acceleration than the TW3 standards and, in
comparison with rural Chinese, adult status is achieved
2 years sooner [59]. The pattern of maturation in the Be-
ings children matches that of those from the Japanese
capital Tokyo. A similar trend towards earlier maturity
was seen in the Madeira growth study [60]. The matura-
tion of the radius, ulna and short bones proceeded earlier
in boys, but both sexes demonstrated a 2-year advance of
the age of peak skeletal maturity velocity. Interestingly,
this trend was only slightly apparent for the carpal bones.
Part of the variations in the extent of the advance in skel-
etal maturity status may be attributable to the method of
BA estimation. In a comparison of two systems, the TW3
method resulted in a more advanced BA estimation on
the same film compared with the Fels method [61], while
the older TW2 system may significantly underestimate
skeletal maturity [62].

There is thus mixed evidence of a secular trend in
pubertal development together with an alteration in the
onset and also in the tempo of puberty. This secular
trend also appears to be present in skeletal maturation.
Increased size of contemporary children is only one asso-
ciated parameter; it is unclear whether there is a direct
effect of obesity per se on the advance of puberty and
skeletal maturity in the affluent part of the world. Impli-
cations of this trend and the readjustment of observed
population changes within pathological conditions need
further study.

Conclusion

In part 2 of this review on the use of bone maturation
in clinical practice, we have examined the role of BA as-
sessment in modern pediatric management of tall stature,
PP and CAH, and discussed secular trend. While manu-
al bone maturation rating is the main tool used in these
conditions, more recent automated techniques may pro-
vide advances in this field and facilitate international
studies and standardization.
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