Mild to Moderate Intellectual Disability and Significant Speech and Language Deficits in Patients with \textit{FOXP1} Deletions and Mutations
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Abstract
Very recently, \textit{FOXP1} deficiency was shown to result in a phenotype of intellectual disability with significant speech and language impairment. Behavioral abnormalities should be considered as part of the clinical spectrum. Mild craniofacial abnormalities found in half of the described patients expand the clinical spectrum associated with \textit{FOXP1} mutations.

Clinical Features

Intellectual Disability
Detailed clinical investigation of these patients revealed that they present mild to moderate ID in combination with a general developmental delay (table 1). In 5 patients, the non-verbal IQ score was assessed as about 50 (3 SD below the mean) [Hamdan et al., 2010; Horn et al., 2010]. Almost all showed retarded gross-motor development with unsupported walking between 18 and 36
months [Carr et al., 2010; Hamdan et al., 2010; Horn et al., 2010]. Patients started to speak at ages of 3–6 years and used combinations of 2 words at ages between 4 and 7 years. Expressive language was more affected than receptive abilities. Expressive vocabulary was limited to relatively simple, frequent words or 2–3 word sentences in a 15-year-old and 9-year-old patient, respectively. In terms of expressive communication these 2 patients were scored at an age equivalent of 1 year 11 months at the chronological age of 9 years and about 4.5 years at the chronological age of 15 years, respectively [Hamdan et al., 2010].

Two of them showed oromotor problems including difficulties with lip protrusion. In infancy, some patients had a tendency to keep their mouth open [Horn et al., 2010].

Facial Gestalt
Mild craniofacial anomalies documented in 3 of 6 patients included broad and prominent forehead, a short nose and a frontal hair upsweep in 2 patients of this cohort (fig. 1) [Carr et al., 2010; Horn et al., 2010]. The remaining patients are not reported to show a distinctive facial phenotype [Hamdan et al., 2010]. Altogether, the facial features alone do not seem to be specific enough to establish the diagnosis.

Growth Parameters
Patients were born after uneventful pregnancies and deliveries. Growth parameters at birth were normal in all. Also later in childhood, normal growth parameters regarding height and occipitofrontal head circumference were documented in 4 reported cases (table 1) but presence of obesity was striking in 2 patients. Regarding available data, patients show a tendency to head circumferences above mean (+0.7 to +1.3 SD) [Carr et al., 2010; Horn et al., 2010].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>age of last assessment</td>
<td>41 months</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>5.5 years</td>
<td>6 years</td>
<td>15 years</td>
<td>9 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sex</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>occipitofrontal head circumference (SD)</td>
<td>+0.7</td>
<td>+1.2</td>
<td>+0.8</td>
<td>+1.3</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weight (SD)</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>+0.5</td>
<td>+2.7</td>
<td>+2.5</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prominent forehead, ptosis</td>
<td>no dysmorphism</td>
<td>prominent forehead, frontal hair upsweep</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-verbal performance IQ</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gross motor delay</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age at walking</td>
<td>16 months</td>
<td>24 months</td>
<td>36 months</td>
<td>24 months</td>
<td>18 months</td>
<td>20 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>first words at age of combined words at age of</td>
<td>3.5 years</td>
<td>3.5 years</td>
<td>3.5 years</td>
<td>3.5 years</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>6 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech and language development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral anomalies</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>5.5 years</td>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-verbal performance IQ</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Behavioral Abnormalities

Four of 6 patients showed particular behavioral problems [Hamdan et al., 2010; own unpublished results]. The abnormal behaviors were characterized by both internalizing (e.g., anxiety) and externalizing (e.g., mood lability, temper tantrums, impulsivity, physical aggression) behavioral problems. Irritability, stereotypy, hyperactivity and autistic features were rated as the most significant problems on the aberrant behavior checklist [Hamdan et al., 2010]. A number of specific obsessions and compulsions as well as ritualistic behavior (e.g., rigid routines, strong attachment to specific objects) were described by the parents. Self-injurious behavior was also reported for 1 patient [Hamdan et al., 2010]. Common behavioral characteristics in 4 of 6 patients were mood lability, autistic features, and hyperactivity.

Other Findings

Brain magnetic resonance imaging and electroencephalography did not reveal any abnormalities in 3 patients but in 1 further patient a dysmorphic corpus callosum, mild hypoplasia of the cerebellar vermis, and a Chiari I malformation were reported which required suboccipital decompression of this malformation at an age of 30 months [Carr et al., 2010]. An electroencephalogram in this patient disclosed epileptiform discharges in different brain regions [Carr et al., 2010]. Another patient with a FOXPI mutation was operated on for atresia of the midjejunum and midileum gut during the neonatal period [Hamdan et al., 2010].

Natural History

In infancy, gross-motor development is delayed in most cases [Carr et al., 2010; Hamdan et al., 2010; Horn et al., 2010]. Speech and language development is significantly retarded with first words spoken after 3 years of age. Expressive vocabulary remains limited to simple and single words. ID is of mild to moderate degree. Behavioral problems, including mood lability and hyperactivity, tend to be frequent in childhood.

Recommendations for Management

Not much information about treatment is available. In the reported patient with epileptiform discharges, oxcarbazepine therapy was initiated [Carr et al., 2010].

Genetics

Information about the FOXPI Gene, the Corresponding Protein, and Relationship to FOXP2

FOXPI consists of 16 coding exons spanning about 600 kb on chromosome 3p14.1. De novo deletions identified by copy number analysis included all coding exons of FOXPI in 3 patients and are intragenic deletions in 2 reported patients [Carr et al., 2010; Hamdan et al., 2010; Horn et al., 2010]. By sequencing of the longest FOXPI isoform, a de novo nonsense mutation was found in a further patient [Hamdan et al., 2010]. This mutation was predicted to abolish the last 152 residues of the protein, including part of the forkhead domain. Expression studies showed that this mutant impaired the transcriptional repression ability of FOXPI, consistent with a loss of function [Hamdan et al., 2010]. FOXPI belongs to a functionally diverse family of forkhead box (FOX) transcription factors that are all characterized by a highly conserved FOX domain. FOX genes have been shown to play important roles in diverse cellular functions including metabolic and developmental processes [Carlsson and Mahlapuu, 2002]. While the function of FOXPI during blood cell, lung and heart formation has already been addressed [Shu et al., 2001; Shi et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2004; Hu et al., 2006], the role of FOXPI in neuronal processes, in particular brain development, is still unclear. In a mouse mutant model, Foxp1 has recently been shown to be an important accessory factor in Hox transcriptional output, thus regulating motor neuron diversification and connectivity to target muscles [Dasen et al., 2008; Roussos et al., 2008]. These findings are of particular interest with regard to the gross-motor deficits seen in patients with FOXPI deletions and mutations.

With regard to structure and expression profiles, the most interesting gene related to FOXPI is FOXP2 (MIM 605317). Rare mutations of FOXP2 have been described in individuals having expressive and receptive language and speech deficits generally described as developmental verbal dyspraxia [Hurst et al., 1990; Lai et al., 2001; MacDermot et al., 2005; Feuk et al., 2006]. A functional relationship between Foxp1 and Foxp2 has been previously demonstrated in mouse, as they form homo- and heterodimers necessary for efficient DNA binding [Li et al., 2004]. FOXP1 and FOXP2 also show overlapping expression patterns within brains of zebra finches and fetal human brains, particularly in subcortical regions that play important roles in sensorimotor integration and coordinated movements important for vocalization and speech, respectively [Teramitsu et al., 2004].
Non-verbal intellectual impairment could not be considered characteristic of the phenotype associated with \textit{FOXP2} mutations [Lai et al., 2001]. This is in contrast to the clinical findings in patients with \textit{FOXP1} mutations who, in addition to their speech and language disabilities, exhibit significantly reduced non-verbal IQ scores. This may indicate that additional distinct functions of \textit{FOXP1} exist, presumably acting on a more global level in neuronal development compared to \textit{FOXP2}. Thus, haploinsufficiency of \textit{FOXP1} leads to abnormal development of neural structures that coordinate general cognitive and psychomotor as well as verbal abilities.

\textbf{Mode of Inheritance}

Up to now, all reported patients are sporadic cases, resulting from a de novo heterozygous deletion or mutation of \textit{FOXP1}. Therefore, the \textit{FOXP1} related phenotype is inherited in an autosomal dominant manner.
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