Intracystic Papillary Carcinoma of the Breast in Males. In Search of the Optimal Treatment for this Rare Disease

Michael Stamatakos*a  Charikleia Stefanaki*a  Theodora Stasinou*a  Evaggelia Papantonia*a  Ioannis Alexiou*b  Konstantinos Kontzoglou*b

*a4th Department of Surgery, 
b2nd Department of Propaedeutic Surgery, Medical School, University of Athens, Laiko General Hospital, Athens, Greece

Keywords
Breast cancer · Man · IPC · DCIS · Papilloma

Summary
Intracystic papillary carcinoma (IPC) of the breast in men is an extremely infrequent disease, and it appears to have a good prognosis. Because of this, histological findings are of great importance in the decision-making process regarding treatment. Clinical examination, radiological and histological assessments are required for early detection. Adequate surgical excision with negative margins is mandatory. However, the role of sentinel node biopsy has not been evaluated in male IPC. It appears that sentinel node biopsy may be an excellent alternative to radical axillary dissection in patients with IPC and associated ductal carcinoma in situ or invasive carcinoma. Nevertheless, due to the rarity of IPC and its confusing histopathological classification and staging, there are still no clear guidelines as far as IPC treatment is concerned.

Introduction
Male breast cancer is a rare tumor entity due to the hypoplastic state of the male mammary gland. It accounts for less than 1% of all breast cancers [1, 2]. Intracystic papillary carcinomas (IPCs) are therefore extremely uncommon, and only limited data are available. According to a variety of reports, it appears that a relationship may exist between elevated serum prolactin levels and breast cancer [3, 4]. According to Okada et al. [5], there is a close relationship between bilateral male breast cancer and hyperprolactinemia. With regard to the aforementioned, Zumoff [6] argues that prolactin might protect against breast cancer when levels are low, rather than a prolactin excess increasing the risk of breast cancer. There have been many studies concerning the role of prolactin in male breast cancer, which have produced conflicting results [5, 7].

Papilloma appears to be encapsulated within the duct from which it arises. Apparently, a cyst containing a blood clot and an intracystic neoplasm (benign or carcinoma) should be included in the differential diagnosis [8]. IPCs are a small subgroup of breast carcinoma. In the case of local appearance
and lack of invasiveness, breast cancers with papillary proliferation arising within or on the wall of a large cyst [9, 10] are generally characterized by a favorable prognosis [11, 12]. As mentioned previously, breast IPCs in males are rare and carry a good prognosis. In women, IPCs account for 0.5–2% of all breast cancers [11]. A higher risk ratio in men (5–7.5%) has been suggested by some authors [13, 14]. Moreover, IPCs seem to present more often in men with gynecomastia [15]. ‘Confusion’ is a common term associated with papillary breast lesions in the literature. The use of the traditional term ‘intracystic papillary carcinoma’ generally refers to a localized lesion in situ within a dilated duct, due to a cyst. Unfortunately, since the presence of a marked stromal response surrounding these lesions is quite common, the distinction between in situ and invasive papillary carcinoma is very difficult. Therefore, 3 IPC subgroups have been introduced which seem to be correlated with prognosis: IPC pure, IPC plus ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS), and IPC with invasion (table 1).

According to the aforementioned, the term ‘papillary DCIS’ suggests a more diffuse process involving multiple ducts compared to a localized lesion [16]. Hill et al. [17], using myoepithelial cell (MEC) staining, suggested a spectrum of progression types from in situ disease to invasive disease, showing that although a lesion might appear as a DCIS on histology, it has the potential to cause distant metastases. Distinguishing a papilloma from a papillary carcinoma through the existence or non-existence of the MEC layer is of utmost importance. Calponin, smooth-muscle myosin heavy chain (SMM-HC) cytoplasmic stains, and p63 nuclear stains can identify the lack of an intact basal MEC layer. This fundamental method has a relatively high sensitivity and denotes the invasiveness of the tumor cells in malignant papillary breast lesions [18]. Furthermore, recent studies have shown that in contrast to papillary DCIS, IPC does not appear to have a MEC layer surrounding the tumor nodules. This observation has led to the conclusion that in some cases, IPC may not actually be an in situ carcinoma but an encapsulated nodule of low-grade invasiveness or part of the spectrum of intermediate progression, between DCIS and invasive disease. Some pathologists now prefer the term ‘encapsulated papillary carcinoma’ to the more traditional ‘intracystic papillary carcinoma’ [19]. Data also suggests that loss of heterozygosity (LOH) on chromosome 16q is a useful marker for IPC, unlike intraductal papilloma which does not exhibit such characteristics. The use of polymerase chain reaction indicates in a more definite way the potential of malignancy in intracystic papillary lesions, as 16q LOH depicts malignancy [20].

The cornerstone of the treatment of pure IPC is local wide excision preferably without axillary dissection, given the absence of axillary involvement and the low recurrence rate after local excision [21, 22].

### IPCs and Hormone Receptors

Male breast cancers have a high rate of hormone receptor expression. Approximately 90% of male breast cancers express the estrogen receptor, and 81% express the progesterone receptor [23]. Cancers of the male breast are significantly more likely than cancers of the female breast to express hormone receptors, even after adjustment for tumor stage, grade, and patient age [24]. The Her2/neu protooncogene is less likely to be overexpressed in cancers of the male breast. One series of 75 patients found that only 5% of male breast cancers overexpressed Her2/neu [25]. The role of the androgen receptor in male breast cancer is unclear. The reported rates of androgen receptor expression range from 34 to 95%, but this receptor has not been associated with breast cancer prognosis [26, 27].

### Clinical Presentation

Most patients present with a palpable breast lump. In addition to the clinical abnormalities felt on palpation, mild pain, bloody nipple discharge, and pruritus may also be present. The typical clinical presentation is an apparently benign and due to its underlying cystic nature well-localized lump. The literature suggests that the mean age of occurrence of IPC in males is 68.2 years [28].

### Diagnosis

#### Ultrasound

Triple assessment is vital, and the goal is to achieve a preoperative diagnosis. Even if the radiological diagnosis of IPC is relatively difficult, radiology has been helpful in collecting data on imaging characteristics of these lesions. A hypoechoic area (representing the cyst) with soft tissue echoes projecting from the wall of the cyst (intracystic tumor) is the typical presentation of these lesions in the ultrasound examination [28]. It is of note that IPCs are highly vascularized tumors, demonstrating a characteristic blood flow pattern on color-flow studies, such as distinct vascular pedicles within the central core of the mass, enabling the identification of even very small IPCs.

#### Mammography

It is characterized by low specificity. Small IPCs, often mammographically absent, may escape the diagnosis. On the other hand, larger lesions may appear similar to any other focal, well-circumscribed, dense mass on mammography [29].

### Table 1. Classification of intracystic papillary carcinoma (IPC)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Papillary carcinoma</th>
<th>IPC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>diffuse type</td>
<td>pure IPC (IPC alone)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>localized type (IPC; solitary grossly evident tumor in a cystic and dilated duct)</td>
<td>IPC with ductal carcinoma in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPC</td>
<td>IPC with invasive carcinoma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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A minimal to moderate duct dilatation in a tapering band-like density pattern from the nipple towards the parenchyma can occur in either case. An irregular margin on mammography is highly suggestive of the presence of invasion, since IPC usually appears well-defined on mammography [30].

**Magnetic Resonance Imaging**

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is increasingly used nowadays. MRI is more sensitive than computed tomography in detecting DCIS [31]. In younger patients, however, high background signals sometimes make it difficult to demonstrate attenuation related to DCIS because of the higher contrast of MRI [32, 33]. MRI usually reveals 3 variable patterns of intraductal papilloma: i) Papillomas may be small, smooth, enhancing masses at the posterior end of an enlarged duct, corresponding to the ‘small lumenal mass’ appearance of papilloma known from galactography. These ‘small lumenal masses’ are usually present in patients with abnormal nipple discharge. ii) Irregular enhancing masses are detected in patients without nipple discharge. Rapid enhancement and spiculation are usually demonstrated. These ‘tumor-like’ papillomas may mimic invasive breast cancer on MRI. iii) Papillomas might also appear occult on MRI, or be absent in either contrast-enhanced MRI or fat-suppressed T2-weighted MRI [34]. Contrast-enhanced MRI may show marked enhancement of cyst walls, septations, and mural nodules [35].

**Fine Needle Aspiration**

Fine needle aspiration (FNA) is an indispensable technique with high sensitivity and specificity and good cytohistological accordance in lesions of the male mammary gland [36, 37]. When ultrasound reveals cystic masses with mural nodules, and aspiration fluid is bloody, biopsy should be performed. It seems that there is no significant difference between the cytologic features of papillary lesions of the male breast and those of the female breast. However, when no cytologic feature can be used to distinguish benign from malignant papillary lesions, a constellation of features is proposed as highly indicative of malignancy. Hypercellularity, a unique population of neoplastic cells with moderate nuclear atypia, 3D papillae with and without fibrovascular cores, single intact columnar cells, and dissociated atypical single cells are the most important. In routine practice, however, FNA being often inaccurate is rarely diagnostic and an excisional biopsy is usually required to establish the diagnosis [38]. Some studies [1] demonstrated core biopsy to be effective in distinguishing papillary neoplasms from other diseases and in differentiating benign papillomas from papillary carcinoma.

**Differential Diagnosis**

Differentiation from gynecomastia and fibroadenoma is mandatory in the differential diagnosis of IPC. Smears of gynecomastia may be hypercellular, with crowded but flattened epithelium and stromal fragments. With regards to fibroadenomas, although moderate to severe nuclear atypia may be present, atypical single epithelial cells and 3D groups are scarce, making the distinction from a papillary lesion possible. Fibroadenomas are uncommon in males, and typical FNA findings include hypercellularity and flat branching sheets of benign ductal cells, stromal fragments and bare nuclei. Single columnar cells and true fibrovascular cores are not detected [39].

**Therapy**

No definite guidelines have evolved regarding the management of IPC, due to various factors. The scarcity of IPC and the incomplete histological classification and detection of invasiveness in IPC are 2 of the main reasons of the lack of direction in how to treat this unusual disease. Grabowski et al. [40] have confirmed that surgery is the cornerstone of treatment, which can be either conservative or a mastectomy. Since the prognosis of IPC is excellent with low locoregional and distant recurrence rates, mastectomy is usually not necessary unless technically unavoidable. As far as axillary node metastasis is concerned, it is present in up to 14% of the cases [40], and therefore most authors recommend an axillary staging procedure. However, some authors propose that IPC should be generally treated as an in situ disease and consequently axillary surgery is not recommendable [41]. Given the overall good prognosis and the fact that no adjuvant treatment has been shown to increase cancer-free survival, there is concern regarding potential overtreatment. However, it should be mentioned that approximately half of all IPC cases are associated with DCIS or invasive carcinoma (multiform or not) which are undetectable with modern radiologic tests. The role of sentinel node biopsy has not yet been assessed in this disease, but data suggests that sentinel node biopsy may be an excellent alternative to radical axillary dissection in patients with IPC and associated invasive carcinoma. Lumpectomy is an option for pure IPC. However, the role of radiotherapy in these patients remains undefined. As far as the use of adjuvant endocrine therapy is concerned, no clear indication exists, even among patients with estrogen receptor-positive tumors. In studies concerning female patients, it has been difficult to identify a group that did not benefit from some form of adjuvant therapy. However, the current evidence on treatment of male breast cancer shows that the addition of hormonal treatment does not appear to have an impact on treatment outcome [19]. Alternatively, Fayanju et al. [42] recently reviewed the adjuvant treatment usually applied in IPC and found that patients with DCIS or microinvasive disease in association with IPC were more likely to receive radiotherapy and tamoxifen. A new proposed algorithm for the treatment of papillomas is shown in table 2 [43].
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Table 2. Algorithm for the treatment of papillomas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evidence of malignancy</th>
<th>MD-guided BCS, mastectomy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No evidence of malignancy</td>
<td>Symptomatic: MD-guided microdochectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not symptomatic: reassurance, conservative treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Significant abnormality</th>
<th>Imaging-guided core biopsy/mammotome-MRI</th>
<th>MD and ductal lavage biopsy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microcalcifications/indeterminate mass/malignant cytology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MD = Mammary ductoscopy; BCS = breast-conserving surgery; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging.

Prognosis

IPC typically occurs in older men and has an excellent prognosis. The reported 10-year survival rate for IPC is 100%, the recurrence-free survival rate is 96 and 77% at 2 and 10 years, respectively [44]. Overall survival rates for men with breast carcinoma, stratified by stage of disease, are lower than those of women with breast carcinoma. However, assumptions should not be made based on these results, since the differences are most likely to be due to the higher age distribution in male patients and the lower life expectancy in men. Because of the favorable prognosis of this tumor, histological findings are definitive for the decision making regarding the surgical procedure [19].

Conclusion

IPC in the male mammary gland is a very uncommon disease with only a few case presentations having been published in the world literature. In the case of high clinical suspicion, triple assessment (i.e. clinical examination, radiological and histological assessment) is invaluable for establishing the diagnosis, given that IPCs are extremely rare. To date, no clear and definitive guidelines regarding optimal IPC treatment exist. The achievement of adequate surgical margins in conservative surgical treatment is paramount. It is well documented that the low frequency of axillary node metastases in cases of pure IPC does not justify axillary lymph node dissection. Although the role of sentinel node biopsy has not been evaluated in this disease, it appears that sentinel node biopsy may be an excellent alternative to radical axillary dissection in patients with IPC and associated DCIS or invasive carcinoma. In cases of nipple discharge as an early sign or a strong family history of male breast cancer, genetic testing and risk-reducing mastectomy should also be considered.
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