Challenge of Isolated Sputum Cells Supports in vivo Origin of Intolerance Reaction to Aspirin/Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs in Asthma

Ai Higashi\textsuperscript{a, d}, Maria Kumlin\textsuperscript{a, c, d}, Noritaka Higashi\textsuperscript{a, d}, Kameran Daham\textsuperscript{b, d}, Flora Gaber\textsuperscript{a, d}, Agneta Lindeberg\textsuperscript{b, d}, Anna James\textsuperscript{a, b, d}, Maria Skedinger\textsuperscript{b, d}, Ingrid Delin\textsuperscript{a, d}, Pär Gyllfors\textsuperscript{b, d}, Sven-Erik Dahlén\textsuperscript{a, d}, Barbro Dahlén\textsuperscript{b, d}

\textsuperscript{a}Unit of Experimental Asthma and Allergy Research, The National Institute of Environmental Medicine, \textsuperscript{b}Division of Respiratory Medicine and Allergy, Department of Medicine at Karolinska University Hospital Huddinge, \textsuperscript{c}Sophiahemmet University College, \textsuperscript{d}Centre for Allergy Research, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

Key Words

Ex vivo stimulation • Sputum cells • Cysteinyl leukotriene • Lysine-aspirin • Aspirin-intolerant asthma

Abstract

Background: There is no in vitro test to diagnose aspirin-intolerant asthma (AIA). The aim of this study was to test if challenge with aspirin of sputum cells from subjects with AIA triggers the release of cysteinyl leukotrienes (CysLTs), known to be mediators of bronchoconstriction in AIA. Methods: Sputum induction was performed at baseline and at another visit 2 h after a lysine-aspirin bronchoprovocation in 10 subjects with AIA and 9 subjects with aspirin-tolerant asthma (ATA). The isolated sputum cells were incubated for ex vivo challenge. Results: Release of CysLTs by sputum cells from patients with AIA was not induced by lysine-aspirin ex vivo, neither when cells were collected at baseline nor in sputum cells recovered after lysine-aspirin-induced bronchoconstriction, whereas release of CysLTs from sputum cells was triggered by an ionophore on both occasions. However, the CysLT levels elicited by the ionophore were higher in the AIA group both at baseline (AIA vs. ATA: 3.3 vs. 1.6 ng/million cells; \( p < 0.05 \)) and after the lysine-aspirin bronchoprovocation (3.9 vs. 1.7 ng/million cells; \( p < 0.05 \)). This difference in the amount of CysLTs released between the groups appeared to be related to the number of eosinophils. Conclusions: Intolerance to aspirin could not be triggered in sputum cells isolated from subjects with AIA. Together with the previous inability to demonstrate intolerance to non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in isolated blood cells, these results support the requirement of tissue-resident cells in the adverse reaction. However, ex vivo stimulation of sputum cells may be developed into a new test of capacity for LT release in inflammatory cells recovered from airways.
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Introduction

The clinical syndrome of aspirin-intolerant asthma (AIA) is characterized by the 'aspirin triad', i.e. aspirin intolerance, bronchial asthma and chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis [1, 2]. All non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) that non-selectively inhibit cy-
clooxygenase (COX)-1 induce bronchoconstriction in patients with AIA [2], whereas COX-2-specific inhibitors (coxibs) are tolerated in the vast majority of cases [3–6]. The most accepted explanation for this rapidly occurring adverse reaction to NSAIDs is that inhibition of biosynthesis of prostaglandin (PG) E₂ somehow triggers the intolerance reaction [1, 2].

There is evidence that cysteinyl leukotrienes (CysLTs) play a key role in AIA. Thus, urinary leukotriene (LT) E₄ concentrations are significantly elevated at baseline in AIA patients and increase further after aspirin challenge [7–12]. There are also higher baseline levels of CysLTs in saliva, ex vivo stimulated blood and induced sputum samples collected from AIA patients as compared to patients with aspirin-tolerant asthma (ATA) [13]. In further support of the pivotal role of CysLTs in the pathophysiology of AIA, anti-LT drugs substantially block aspirin-induced bronchoconstriction [14, 15] and have beneficial therapeutic effects on the clinical symptoms of asthma in patients with AIA [16, 17].

However, it is less clear which cellular reactions explain the increased biosynthesis of CysLTs in AIA. Studies of different inflammatory cells in the blood have so far failed to produce consistent support for specific NSAID-induced ex vivo activation of cells from subjects with AIA [18, 19]. Isolated cells from sputum are currently the source of cells that can most easily be recovered from the lower airways of patients with asthma, and they have previously been used to study lymphocyte activation and cytokine release ex vivo [20, 21]. Since AIA patients react with bronchoconstriction in response to lysine-aspirin bronchoprovocation, our hypothesis was that the aspirin/NSAID intolerance demonstrated in vivo might be maintained if sputum cells were challenged by lysine-aspirin ex vivo. Moreover, to the best of our knowledge this is the first time sputum cells from subjects with asthma have been used to investigate the release of CysLTs ex vivo.

The study design included a bronchoprovocation-verified diagnosis of AIA at the time of the study, ex vivo challenge of isolated sputum cells and comparison with the responses in a matched group of subjects with ATA. The effects of lysine-aspirin were compared with challenge with calcium ionophore A23187, which is a standard means to trigger LT biosynthesis.

In addition to the triggering of intolerance reactions by NSAIDs in subjects with AIA, another peculiarity of the syndrome is that there is a refractory period for up to a week after positive reactions to aspirin [22]. This desensitisation phenomenon is sometimes used as treatment [2]. The present study therefore included collection of sputum cells both at baseline and 2 h after a positive reaction to inhaled lysine-aspirin had been triggered. This design was selected to test the hypothesis that the airway cells recovered in sputum after the in vivo challenge would be refractory to aspirin-induced ex vivo release of CysLTs, thereby reflecting the in vivo desensitisation.

The broader context of the study was to explore new methods for the diagnosis of aspirin/NSAID intolerance. Diagnosis based on medical history alone is unreliable [1, 2, 23], and neither is there a validated and predictive in vitro test for aspirin hypersensitivity. Recent guidelines recommend that the diagnosis of AIA should be confirmed with an aspirin bronchoprovocation test [23]. However, appropriate provocation tests are time-consuming and can only be performed in a limited number of experienced clinical centres. The overall aim of the study was therefore to explore the potential of using sputum cells for development of a new diagnostic in vitro test.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

The study hypotheses were tested in the present exploratory sub-study of a trial for which other end points have been published elsewhere [13]. The sputum cells were collected from 19 non-smoking subjects with chronic asthma, recurrent rhinosinusitis and/or nasal polyps and a suspicion of intolerance to NSAID (table 1). All subjects had a forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁) ≥ 70% of predicted and had stable asthma. Subjects who had had an asthma exacerbation or lower respiratory tract infection within the previous 6 weeks were excluded. The study was approved by the local ethics committee at the Karolinska Institutet (Dnr 518/03), and the subjects gave written informed consent. Before each visit, subjects were instructed to refrain from taking inhaled short-acting β₂-agonists for 6 h, inhaled ipratropium for 12 h, theophylline for 24 h, inhaled long-acting β₂-agonists, combination treatments, cromoglycate, montelukast or short-acting antihistamine drugs for 48 h and long-acting antihistamines for 5 days.

**Study Design**

After an initial screening visit including physical examination and spirometry, eligible patients were enrolled to a baseline visit (visit 1), followed by a lysine-aspirin provocation visit (visit 2) 3–10 days later. At the second visit, subjects underwent lysine-aspirin bronchoprovocation according to the European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology Organisation/Global Allergy and Asthma European Network guidelines [23] as previously described [13]. On the basis of the lysine-aspirin bronchoprovocation test results, subjects demonstrating a provocative dose causing a 20% decrease in FEV₁ for lysine-aspirin were classified as AIA, whereas non-responders to the highest cumulative dose of lysine-aspirin were classified as ATA (table 1).
**Sputum Induction and Processing**

Sputum was collected at the baseline visit (visit 1) and 2 h after the end of lysine-aspirin bronchoprovocation at visit 2.

Sputum induction and processing was performed essentially according to the European Respiratory Society guidelines [24]. The weight of all sputum samples was more than 1 g, and 4 volumes of 0.1% dithiothreitol (DTT) were added together with 4 volumes of PBS, making a final DTT concentration of 0.05%. After centrifugation of the sputum samples, the supernatants were stored at –80 °C until being assayed for CysLTs. Isolated sputum cells obtained from the cell pellet after the centrifugation were further processed for ex vivo stimulations as described in the next section. Cytospins were also prepared from the cell pellet and stained with May-Grünwald Giemsa (Sigma-Aldrich Co.). Cell viability was estimated by the trypan blue dye exclusion method [24]. The sputum eosinophil counts are expressed as a percentage of the total number of non-squamous cells.

**Ex vivo Incubation of Isolated Sputum Cells**

Incubations were performed with 2 million non-squamous sputum cells resuspended in 1 ml of PBS, pH 7.4, pre-warmed for 2 min and subsequently incubated with lysine-aspirin (100 μM) or calcium ionophore A23187 (2.5 μM). Incubations with 0.9% saline or ethanol were used as solvent controls for lysine-aspirin and ionophore A23187, respectively. After 15 min, the incubations were put on ice for 15 min followed by centrifugation at 400 g for 10 min. The resulting cell-free medium was stored at –80°C until being assayed for CysLTs.

**Measurement of CysLTs in Incubations of Isolated Sputum Cells ex vivo**

The cell-free medium supernatants from ex vivo stimulated sputum cells were subjected to solid-phase extraction on 100-mg Isolute® C18 silicic acid columns (International Sorbent Technology Ltd., Ystrad Mynach, UK). The columns were eluted with methanol, and levels of CysLTs were analysed in serially diluted aliquots of the respective samples by enzyme immunoassay (EIA; Cayman Chemical Co., Ann Arbor, Mich., USA) as previously described [13]. Briefly, each data point is derived from means of replicate analysis of samples, using only data obtained within the linear portion of the displacement curve. Results are expressed as percentage recovery of samples spiked with radiolabelled LTE4. The assay detection limit was 7.8 pg/ml, with intra-assay and inter-assay variations being less than 10 and 12%, respectively. The amounts of CysLTs released from ex vivo stimulated sputum cells are expressed as nanograms per million cells. There were no significant differences in the levels of CysLTs in incubations with saline as compared to ethanol (not shown). Control data in figure 1 represent saline incubations.

**Measurements of CysLTs in Sputum Supernatants and Urine**

Measurements were performed by EIA using the same method as for sputum cells [13]. Final concentrations are presented as picograms per millilitre and nanograms per millimole of creatinine for sputum supernatants and urine, respectively. For measurements in sputum supernatants, 0.05% DTT was added to the EIA buffer also for the standard curve in order to standardise for possible effects of DTT on the measurements.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using Sigma Stat 3.01 (SPPS Inc., Chicago, Ill., USA), with results presented as the median and interquartile range or mean ± SD, as appropriate. All results of sputum ex vivo experiments were analysed using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney rank-sum test. Post hoc analysis Dunnett's test was applied to all significant (p < 0.05) variables derived from the Friedman repeated-measure analysis of variance on rank test. A p value of <0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

**Baseline Characteristics of Subjects**

The subjects with AIA and ATA, as defined by the result of the lysine-aspirin provocation test at visit 2, had very similar baseline characteristics, although the AIA
The AIA group had higher baseline values of urinary LTE₄ as compared to the ATA group (table 1), confirming the characteristic phenotype of AIA [2, 7–12].

Consistent with data from our previous study [13], the CysLT levels in the supernatants of the induced sputum samples from the AIA group were higher than those from the ATA group at baseline (visit 1) as well as after lysine-aspirin provocation (visit 2; p < 0.05; table 2). However, CysLT levels in sputum supernatants were not increased in either group in samples collected 2 h after the in vivo lysine-aspirin provocation at visit 2 when compared with baseline values at visit 1 (table 2).

**CysLT Release from ex vivo Stimulated Sputum Cells Isolated at Baseline**

There were detectable levels of CysLTs in the medium of all ex vivo incubations of isolated sputum cells. However, there were no significant changes, as compared to saline controls, in CysLT levels after ex vivo incubation in the presence of lysine-aspirin in either group (fig. 1). Moreover, the levels of CysLTs after exposure to lysine-aspirin were not significantly different between the two groups (median values for AIA vs. ATA: 0.43 vs. 0.23 ng/million cells, p > 0.05; fig. 1).

In contrast, challenge with ionophore A23187 caused a marked increase in CysLT release in sputum cells from AIA subjects (p < 0.05) as compared to stimulation with lysine-aspirin, and a strong tendency to an increase was documented in the ATA group (p = 0.093; fig. 1). However, the ionophore-elicited levels of CysLTs were significantly higher in the AIA group (median values for AIA vs. ATA: 3.3 vs. 1.6 ng/million cells, p < 0.05; fig. 1).

**CysLT Release from ex vivo Stimulated Sputum Cells Isolated after the Lysine-Aspirin Bronchoprovocation**

Sputum cells isolated from induced sputum 2 h after lysine-aspirin bronchoprovocation at visit 2 also responded with increased CysLT release to challenge with the ionophore A23187, whereas the levels after ex vivo incubation with lysine-aspirin were the same as in the presence of saline or ethanol (fig. 1).

Again, ionophore A23187 caused significantly greater CysLT release from ex vivo stimulated sputum cells from the AIA group than from the ATA group (median values for AIA vs. ATA: 3.9 vs. 1.7 ng/million cells, p < 0.01; fig. 1). However, there was no significant difference between the responses to A23187 on the two occasions within either group (fig. 1).

**CysLT Release from ex vivo Sputum Cells Related to Sputum Eosinophil Counts**

Sputum total cell counts were similar in the two groups, but there was a tendency towards a higher percentage of sputum eosinophils in the AIA group as compared with the ATA group, which reached statistical significance after lysine-aspirin provocation (table 3). However, there was no increase in eosinophil percentage after lysine-aspirin provocation within the groups when compared with baseline values (table 3).

### Table 2. CysLTs in sputum supernatants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline CysLTs pg/ml</th>
<th>Post-provocation CysLTs pg/ml</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIA (n = 10)</td>
<td>55.2 (17.2–128)</td>
<td>61.5 (30.8–93.2)</td>
<td>0.791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATA (n = 9)</td>
<td>14.4 (8.25–23.8)</td>
<td>14.4 (10.6–21.9)</td>
<td>0.965</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values represent medians and interquartile ranges. p values were calculated using the Mann-Whitney rank-sum test.
Moreover, when the amounts of CysLTs released from ionophore A23187-stimulated sputum cells were adjusted for eosinophil counts, the values for CysLTs in nanograms per million eosinophils were not different between the two groups (ATA and AIA) or the two visits (baseline and after provocation; table 3).

**Discussion**

We hypothesized that aspirin/NSAID intolerance might be maintained in sputum cells recovered from the lower airways of patients with AIA and challenged with aspirin ex vivo. However, lysine-aspirin challenge could not induce CysLT production above control in the sputum cells isolated from the AIA patients. The same AIA subjects had lysine-aspirin-induced bronchoconstriction at the time of the study with increased excretion of urinary LTE₄ when challenged in vivo [13], providing unequivocal confirmation that they had the correct phenotype at the time of the study.

The study therefore represents yet another negative attempt to obtain an in vitro test for AIA. Previous data with several other inflammatory cells from subjects with AIA have failed to show specific activation ex vivo by exposure to NSAIDs [18, 19, 25, 26]. Suggestions of aspirin-induced activation of platelets from patients with AIA [27] have not been replicated [18]. Moreover, despite in vivo evidence that mast cell activation occurs during aspirin-induced bronchoconstriction [9–11, 28–32], Wang et al. [33] could not trigger activation of blood-derived mast cells from subjects with AIA by ex vivo exposure to aspirin. The present study therefore gives support to the concept that aspirin/NSAID intolerance is a unique and not yet completely understood in vivo reaction in which presumably many cells interact in a complex fashion that, however, requires contact with the target tissue in the airways. Perhaps the intolerance reaction includes collaboration between resident and circulating cells.

Support of the tissue factor in the intolerance reaction is provided by challenge studies in which selective administration of aspirin to nasal or bronchial airways results in local but not systemic reactions [34]. Likewise, intravenous injection of lysine-aspirin in subjects with AIA produces bronchoconstriction and release of CysLTs but not systemic anaphylactoid reactions [11]. Further indications of a local tissue defect are provided by observations that fibroblasts and nasal epithelial cells from subjects with AIA produce less PGE₂ than the same cells from subjects with ATA [35, 36]. It is thus currently believed that the intolerance reaction in AIA involves removal of a protective effect of PGE₂ on the inflammatory cells that produce the mediators of bronchoconstriction [2]. Lower levels of local biosynthesis of PGE₂ in AIA might therefore explain increased sensitivity to the inhibitory effects of NSAIDs. There are indications that the biosynthesis of PGE₂ in airway epithelium is catalysed by COX-1 [37], in line with the apparent tolerance to COX-2 inhibition in patients with AIA.

Another feature of AIA is the specific desensitisation to repeated challenge that occurs following a positive reaction [2, 22] and includes diminished LT biosynthesis [38]. However, in the present study, when the sputum cells were challenged with ionophore 2 h after the aspirin-induced bronchoconstriction, the CysLT release was not diminished compared with the effect of the same challenge at the baseline visit when the subjects had not been exposed to aspirin. Taken together, the failure of sputum...
cells from patients with AIA to be activated by aspirin and the absence of a desensitisation phenomenon suggests that cells present in sputum do not cause the intolerance reaction, at least not alone. Our findings would seem to remove lymphocytes, macrophages, neutrophils and eosinophils from the list of cells suspected to be responsible effectors in the adverse reaction. This does not preclude their participation in long-term events that control the propensity to have an adverse reaction. For example, there are observations suggesting that desensitisation to aspirin may cause chronic changes in the IL-4 pathway [39, 40] that may regulate responsiveness to NSAIDs.

There is undoubtedly compelling evidence that mast cells are activated during the intolerance reaction. Thus, the aspirin/NSAID-induced asthmatic reaction is associated with the release of histamine [28–30], tryptase [9, 29, 30, 39], PGD₂ [9–12, 30] and CysLTs [7–13]. There are also histological findings [32] supporting the participation of mast cells in the intolerance reaction. As the number of mast cells in sputum is very low, this may have contributed to the difficulties we experienced in this study to elicit the intolerance reaction ex vivo in isolated sputum cells.

On the other hand, the present study provides further evidence that the basal overproduction of CysLTs that is characteristic of AIA may well be due to the increase in eosinophils that is also typical of the syndrome [1, 2, 41, 42]. We found that there was a higher eosinophil percentage in sputum samples from subjects with AIA as compared to those with ATA (table 3). On the basis of immunohistochemical data, Cowburn et al. [43] suggested that basal overproduction of CysLTs in AIA is due to increased expression in eosinophils of LTC₄ synthase, the enzyme [44] that initiates formation of CysLTs from LTA₄. However, when we calculated the released amounts of CysLTs from ex vivo ionophore-challenged isolated sputum cells in relation to the number of eosinophils, there was no difference between the AIA and ATA groups. This observation agrees with our previous report in isolated blood cells and un-stimulated sputum samples [13] and would argue that the increased baseline formation of CysLTs in AIA is due to increased numbers of eosinophils rather than increased formation in each eosinophil. It may be that the understanding of mechanisms in the AIA syndrome requires a distinction between the baseline condition and the intolerance reaction upon exposure to NSAIDs; that is, the different components of the aspirin triad may not be explained by one and the same mechanism. Taken together, the present and previous studies suggest that the solution to the aspirin/NSAID intolerance mystery lies within the affected target tissues, which in fact may also clarify the clinically established fact that reactions in skin and airways differ in several respects [23]. The study results lend indirect support for the view that derangements in mast cell control are a central component of the adverse reaction.

In summary, the aspirin/NSAID intolerance demonstrated in vivo was not replicated when isolated sputum cells from the same subjects were challenged ex vivo. However, sputum cells from AIA patients released greater amounts of CysLTs in response to ionophore challenge, and sputum cell supernatants had higher concentrations of CysLTs, lending further support to the notion that subjects with AIA have a global overproduction of CysLTs. The data support the interpretation that the higher levels of CysLTs in AIA compared with ATA are due to higher eosinophil counts. We conclude that at present there is no simple in vitro test to diagnose AIA but that ex vivo stimulation of sputum cells nevertheless may become a new method to assess the capacity for CysLT production in the airways of subjects with asthma and other diseases. Future studies will be needed to compare the value of this approach with direct measurements in sputum supernatants.
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