Adenomyoma of the Ileum Leading to Intussusception
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Abstract
Adenomyoma of the ileum is a rare condition. A 68-year-old Japanese man presented with nausea and distension of the abdomen. Enhanced computed tomography of his abdomen revealed wall thickening in the ileum and dilation of the proximal small intestine. Open laparotomy was performed to find the cause of the patient’s small bowel obstruction, and a tumor was found in the ileum, which had resulted in intussusception. The tumor and 20 cm of the adjacent ileum were resected. The resected specimen displayed a macroscopic appearance suggestive of a submucosal tumor. Histopathological evaluation showed duct cell proliferation and bundles of smooth muscle cells from the mucosa to the serosa, leading to a diagnosis of adenomyoma. Immunohistochemical examination found that cytokeratin 7 and carbohydrate antigen 19-9 were expressed in the duct epithelia. We report a rare case of ileal adenomyoma leading to intussusception in an adult and present the immunohistochemical evaluation of the adenomyoma.

Introduction
Adenomyoma of the gastrointestinal tract is a rare benign tumor-like lesion which usually occurs in the pylorus of the stomach or duodenum. Its occurrence in the small intestine distal to the duodenum is very rare. Histologically, adenomyoma is characterized by glandular structures lined by a cuboidal to tall columnar epithelium surrounded by bundles of smooth muscle cells. Although this lesion originates from abnormal embryonic buds, which can differentiate into pancreatic or duodenal tissue, its pathogenesis has not been fully elucidated. It is generally considered to represent either myoepithelial hamartoma or a form of heterotopic pancreatic tissue [1].
Adenomyoma of the ileum causes intussusceptions in children more often than in adults. Generally, intussusceptions are uncommon in adults and account for 0.003–0.02% of all hospital admissions. Adult intussusceptions represent 5% of all intussusception cases and are rarely caused by idiopathic lesions [2, 3]. Due to the high incidence of malignancy, surgical intervention is required for adult intussusceptions, although barium hydrostatic reduction is performed in children. However, the extent of bowel resection required and whether the intussuscepted bowel should be reduced are disputed. Thus, the optimal treatment strategy for adult intussusceptions remains controversial [4].

Herein, we report an adult case of adenomyoma of the ileum leading to intussusceptions as a rare condition. We also performed a review of the English language literature to find cases of adenomyoma of the ileum, and we discuss our findings regarding the diagnosis, optimal treatment, and clinical features of the disease. Moreover, the pathogenesis of adenomyoma of the ileum has not been fully elucidated. Therefore, an immunohistochemical investigation of the pathogenesis of ileal adenomyoma is presented.

Case Report

A 68-year-old Japanese man with a past medical history of impaired glucose regulation and developmental canal spinal stenosis presented with nausea and distension of the stomach, which had lasted for 1 day. He had experienced a few episodes of vomiting and had not undergone laparotomy.

The patient’s physical status on admission was as follows: body temperature 36.7°C, blood pressure 122/66 mm Hg, and pulse rate 75 beats/min. Physical examination indicated distension of the abdomen without tenderness, rebound tenderness, or muscular rigidity. His laboratory data on admission were as follows: white blood cell count 7,000/μl, red blood cell count 532 × 10⁴/μl, hemoglobin 16.1 g/dl, platelets 22.3 × 10⁴/μl, lactate dehydrogenase 279 IU/l, blood urea nitrogen 39 mg/dl, creatine 0.90 mg/dl, C-reactive protein 1.64 mg/dl, carcinoembryonic antigen 1.7 ng/ml, and carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9) 6.6 U/ml. Contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) (fig. 1) showed wall thickening and a tumor in the lumen of the small intestine. Moreover, a small amount of ascites and dilation of the proximal ileum were revealed.

He was diagnosed with small bowel obstruction or intussusception of the ileum due to a tumor and immediately admitted to our hospital. Open laparotomy was performed and normograde intussusception was observed in the ileum and reduced by hand. In addition, a tumor was found in the small intestinal lumen 80 cm proximal to the ileocecal valve. It had acted as a lead point and hence caused the intussusception. Meckel’s diverticulum was not detected, and the tumor and 20 cm of the adjacent ileum were resected. The patient’s postoperative course was uneventful.

The macroscopic findings of the lesion were consistent with a submucosal tumor, i.e., the mass was soft and solid and measured 15 × 15 × 15 mm. Microscopic observation using hematoxylin-eosin staining found that the tumor extended from the mucosa to the serosa and identified proliferating ducts without atypia and hypertrophic smooth muscle cell bundles (fig. 2). The ducts were lined by a tall columnar epithelium and surrounded by smooth muscle cell bundles. No ectopic pancreatic acini or islet cells were detected. Adenomyoma of the ileum was diagnosed histopathologically. Immunohistochemical examination was also performed. In the duct epithelial cells, cytokeratin 7 (CK 7) (fig. 3) and CA19-9 were strongly expressed, while CK 20 and mucin antigen 2 (MUC 2) were not.
Discussion

Generally, adult intussusceptions represent 5% of all intussusception cases and account for only 1–5% of obstructions in adults [3]. Almost 90% of adult intussusceptions are secondary to a pathological condition that serves as a lead point such as carcinoma, polyps, Meckel’s diverticulum, colonic diverticulum, strictures, or benign neoplasm [5]. Malignancy is present in approximately 13–47% of enteric intussusceptions and in 33–62.5% of colonic intussusceptions [6–8]. Surgical resection without radiological decompression is performed in most adult cases [9]. Adult intussusception requires surgical intervention because of structural anomalies and the high incidence of malignancy. However, the extent of bowel resection required and whether the intussuscpted bowel should be reduced are disputed. Intraluminal seeding and venous embolization of malignant cells during intraoperative manipulation are employed for the primary reduction of intussusceptions in adults, which might permit a more limited resection [7].

Clinically, our case presented with intussusceptions caused by adenomyoma of the ileum. Below, we summarize the characteristics of the 18 previous cases of adenomyoma of the ileum reported in the English language literature ([table 1] [3, 10–24]). While 12 cases (63%) involved patients that were less than 18 years old (children), 7 cases (37%) involved patients who were 18 years old or older (adults). 11 cases (58%) presented with symptoms of intussusception, and 9 of these cases involved children. It is known that adenomyoma of the ileum often causes intussusception; however, the intussusception was often asymptomatic in the adult cases, and hence was found incidentally in 4 of 7 incidental cases (57%), as shown in [table 1]. It was concluded that ileal adenomyoma often causes intussusception, especially in children, and a tendency towards the incidental discovery of intussusception was noted in adults.

As shown in [table 1], an accurate preoperative diagnosis was only obtained in 6 cases (50%). The preoperative diagnosis of intussusceptions is difficult, and the frequency of a correct preoperative diagnosis ranged from 40.7 to 50% in previous studies [4]. Abdominal CT is currently considered to be the most sensitive radiological method for diagnosing intussusception. The characteristic CT features of intussusceptions include a homogenous target or a sausage-shaped soft tissue mass with layering. The diagnostic accuracy of CT ranged from 58 to 100% in recent reports [2, 4].

As mentioned above, the necessity of intraoperative reduction of intussusceptions in adults is disputed. However, especially for enteric intussusception, recent reports have recommended initial reduction of the externally viable bowel prior to resection [8, 9]. The incidence of malignancy is lower in enteric than in colonic intussusceptions, and metastatic tumors were found in the majority of cases [2, 6–8]. Thus, reduction of the intussusception followed by resection is considered to be a prudent approach in order to achieve optimal preservation.

Adenomyoma of the gastrointestinal tract is rare, and its pathogenesis has not been fully elucidated. The histopathological findings of adenomyoma are characterized by glandular structures lined by a cuboidal to tall columnar epithelium surrounded by bundles of smooth muscle cells; however, the histogenesis of the condition is disputed. The most widely accepted hypothesis is that these lesions represent a form of myoepithelial hamartoma or a type III pancreatic heterotopia [1, 13, 25, 26]. In this study,
immunohistochemical examination was performed in an attempt to increase our understanding of the pathogenesis of adenomyoma. As a result, we detected the expression of CK 7 and CA19-9 and the absence of CK 20 expression, which are similar to the characteristics of the pancreatic duct epithelium. Judging from these results and the absence of MUC 2 expression, it is suggested that the glandular component of our case was not composed of intestinal epithelial cells, but rather of pancreatic duct epithelial cells. Our case was diagnosed as adenomyoma of the ileum, therefore in our opinion the pathogenesis of this lesion supports the heterotopic pancreas theory.

In summary, clinically, ileal adenomyoma should be considered as a cause of intussusception in adults. It is also suggested that adenomyoma is a form of heterotopic pancreatic tissue.
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Table 1. Cases of ileal adenomyoma reported in the English language literature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Preoperative diagnosis</th>
<th>Diagnostic examination</th>
<th>Intraoperative reduction</th>
<th>Intraoperative conditions</th>
<th>Histopathology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Schwartz [10]</td>
<td>1958</td>
<td>8 months</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>intussusception</td>
<td>myoepithelial hamartoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Rosenmann [12]</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>rupture of the intestine</td>
<td>X ray</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>intestinal atresia</td>
<td>leiomyomatous hamartosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Gal [3]</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td>82 years</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>small bowel obstruction</td>
<td>X ray</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>intussusception</td>
<td>adenomyomatous hamartoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Kim [13]</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>7 years</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>small bowel obstruction</td>
<td>abdominal CT, ultrasound</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>intussusception</td>
<td>adenomyoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Lamki [15]</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>79 years</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>colon carcinoma</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>incidental findings</td>
<td>adenomyoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Gal [14]</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>intussusception</td>
<td>barium enema</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>intussusception</td>
<td>adenomyomatous hamartoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Serour [16]</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>foreign body post</td>
<td>X ray</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>intestinal intramural mass</td>
<td>adenomyoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Chan [17]</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>5 months</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>intussusception</td>
<td>adenomyoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Chan [17]</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>incidental findings</td>
<td>adenomyoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Gonzalez [18]</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>intussusception</td>
<td>pneumoenema</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>intussusception</td>
<td>myoepithelial hamartoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Tanaka [19]</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>24 years</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>submucosal ileal tumor</td>
<td>small bowel barium study</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>melena</td>
<td>myoepithelial hamartoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Yamagami [20]</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>4 months</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>intussusception</td>
<td>barium enema</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>intussusception</td>
<td>myoepithelial hamartoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Ueyama [21]</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>52 years</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>peritonitis caused by perforated appendicitis</td>
<td>abdominal CT</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>peritonitis</td>
<td>adenomyoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Park [22]</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>7 months</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>intussusception</td>
<td>abdominal ultrasound</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>intussusception</td>
<td>adenomyoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Mouravas [23]</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>18 months</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>intussusception</td>
<td>abdominal ultrasound</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>intussusception</td>
<td>adenomyoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Takahashi [24]</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>75 years</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>autopsy findings</td>
<td>adenomyoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>present case</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>68 years</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>small bowel obstruction</td>
<td>abdominal CT</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>intussusception</td>
<td>adenomyoma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Not described; ○ = reduction was performed; x = reduction was not performed.
Fig. 1. Enhanced CT of the abdomen and pelvis showed a thickened wall and a tumor-like lesion in the ileum (arrowhead) as well as dilation of the proximal small intestine.

Fig. 2. Loupe image showing proliferating duct cells surrounded by bundles of smooth muscle cells from the submucosa to the serosa (hematoxylin-eosin stain, ×4).
Immunohistochemical examination revealed strong expression of CK 7 in the proliferating epithelial duct cells (CK 7 stain, ×40).
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