Usefulness of Magnifying Narrow-Band Imaging Endoscopy for the Diagnosis of Gastric and Colorectal Lesions
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Abstract
A series of studies about the potential usefulness of magnifying endoscopy with narrow-band imaging (NBI) for the diagnosis of gastric and colonic lesion is reviewed. Concerning the magnifying NBI appearances of gastric lesions, a light blue crest is a highly accurate sign of the presence of histological intestinal metaplasia. Also, the degree of irregularity of the mucosal and vascular pattern is correlated with the histological severity of Helicobacter pylori-associated chronic gastritis. According to the ‘VS classification’, an irregular microvascular pattern and/or an irregular microsurface pattern together with a clear demarcation line are characteristic for early gastric carcinoma, and a multicenter prospective randomized controlled trial demonstrated that magnifying endoscopy with NBI is superior to ordinary white light endoscopy for making a differential diagnosis of a small depressed lesion between carcinoma and non-carcinoma. Concerning the magnifying NBI appearances of colonic tumor, the vague or invisible microvascular pattern is mostly observed in hyperplastic polyp. The regular meshed microvascular pattern is mostly observed in adenoma. The irregular meshed microvascular pattern is mostly observed in intramucosal or shallow submucosal-invasive carcinoma. The decreased or loose microvascular pattern is mostly observed in deep submucosal-invasive carcinoma. Thus, magnifying NBI endoscopy is useful for the differentiation of colorectal non-adenomatous lesions from adenoma, the differentiation of adenoma from carcinoma, and the assessment of invasion depth of early colorectal carcinoma. At present, several magnifying NBI classifications for the diagnosis of early colorectal neoplasm have been proposed in Japan. Recently, the NICE classification based on NBI findings with/without magnification for colorectal tumor was established by an international group.

Introduction
The narrow-band imaging (NBI) system is an endoscopic imaging technique for the enhanced visualization of microvascular architecture and microsurface structure.
of the superficial part of the mucosa. Images are obtained by using narrower bands of blue and green filters, which are different from conventional red-green-blue filters [1]. Combining the NBI system and magnifying endoscopy brings a simple and clear visualization of microsurface structures and microvascular patterns of the superficial mucosa, which may be useful for precise endoscopic diagnosis, being closer to the histopathological diagnosis.

We have reviewed a series of studies investigating the potential usefulness of magnifying NBI endoscopy for the diagnosis of gastric and colonic lesion, including the contents which were discussed at the core symposia entitled 'Progression of Endoscopic Diagnosis and Treatment' of the 6th and 7th Annual Meeting of the Japan Gastroenterological Association.

**Stomach – Non-Neoplastic Lesions**

Although several previous studies have reported a correlation between endoscopic fine mucosal pattern seen in the gastric mucosa with magnifying endoscopy and histopathology [2–6], reports investigating a correlation between magnifying NBI appearances and pathology in non-neoplastic gastric mucosa have been relatively rare.

Uedo et al. [7] reported that observation of a light blue crest, defined as a fine, blue-white line on the crests of the epithelial surface/gyri, by using the NBI with magnifying endoscopy, is a highly accurate sign of the presence of histological intestinal metaplasia. They showed that the sensitivity and specificity of light blue crest for predicting intestinal metaplasia were 89 and 93%. Bansal et al. [8] examined the feasibility of mucosal and vascular patterns seen by magnifying NBI endoscopy for predicting pathology in non-neoplastic gastric mucosa. They showed that the sensitivity and specificity of an irregular mucosal pattern with a decreased density of vessels for the diagnosis of *Helicobacter pylori* infection were 75 and 88%, respectively, and those of the ridge/villous pattern for the diagnosis of intestinal metaplasia were 80 and 100%, respectively. Tahara et al. [9] have classified the magnifying NBI appearances of non-neoplastic gastric corpus mucosa into four categories: normal and abnormal (types 1–3), according to the degree of irregularity of pits and microvessels (fig. 1). This classification clearly reflected the histological severity of *H. pylori*-associated chronic gastritis. Sensitivity and specificity of these abnormal types for detection of *H. pylori* positivity were 95.2 and 82.2%, respectively, and those of type 3 for detection of intestinal metaplasia were 73.3 and 95.6%, respectively.

**Stomach – Neoplastic Lesions**

Yao et al. [10, 11] reported that magnifying endoscopic findings, i.e. the disappearance of a regular subepithelial capillary network pattern, presence of a demarcation line and irregular microvascular pattern, were characteristic signs for early gastric carcinoma with the histology of differentiated type (intestinal type), and the reduced microvascular pattern was for carcinoma with the histology of undifferentiated type (diffuse type). They showed that the differences in microvascular architecture, observed by magnifying endoscopy, could be useful findings for differentiating between focally reddened mucosa with gastritis and reddened flat gastric carcinoma [12, 13]. In particular, the irregular microvascular pattern was the most useful for the differential diagnosis of gastritis and carcinoma. Moreover, they showed that the
The presence of a demarcation line was useful for determining the margin of the gastric carcinoma before endoscopic submucosal dissection [14, 15]. Combining the NBI system and magnifying endoscopy makes clear visualization of the microanatomies which is useful for the diagnosis of early gastric carcinoma. Yao et al. [16] proposed the ‘VS classification’ based on a microvascular pattern and microsurface pattern, and indicated that an irregular microvascular pattern and/or an irregular microsurface pattern together with a clear demarcation line are characteristic for early gastric cancer. Recently, a multicenter prospective randomized controlled trial has been completed [17]. Also, it was demonstrated that magnifying endoscopy with NBI is superior to ordinary white light endoscopy for making a differential diagnosis of a small depressed lesion between a carcinoma and non-carcinoma (fig. 2a, b). Briefly, the sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of magnifying endoscopy with NBI versus ordinary white light endoscopy were 60.0 versus 40.0% (p = 0.34), 90.4 versus 64.8% (p < 0.001), and 90.4 versus 64.8% (p < 0.001), respectively. This study therefore suggested that magnifying endoscopy is highly specific for making a diagnosis of carcinoma and that it could contribute to reducing the number of biopsies which are taken from benign lesions.

Nonaka et al. [18] classified 93 lesions, mostly flat elevated lesions, into five types (types I–V) based on the mucosal microstructure and microvessels, namely type I: clear mucosal microstructure and unclear microvessel image; type II: clear mucosal microstructure and clear microvessel image; type III: clear mucosal microstructure and abnormal microvessel image; type IV: slightly obscured mucosal microstructure and abnormal microvessel image, and type V: markedly obscured mucosal microstructure and abnormal microvessel image. They also mentioned the feasibility of endoscopic differentiation of gastric adenoma from well-differentiated adenocarcinoma according to these types.

Colon – Neoplastic Lesions

Magnified colonoscopy and the development of pit pattern diagnosis are useful for the diagnosis of colorectal polypoid lesions and the assessment of invasion depth of early colorectal carcinoma. Recently, the NBI system has been developed besides these diagnostics. NBI is useful for the differentiation of colorectal non-adenomatous lesions from adenoma, and for the differentiation of adenoma from carcinoma (fig. 3a, b). Moreover, it is helpful for the assessment of invasion depth of early colorectal carcinoma without dye spraying [19–21]. In addition, it is used for cancer surveillance in inflammatory bowel disease [22] or hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer in which the proportion of flat adenomas detected was sig-
significantly higher using NBI endoscopy than using conventional white-light endoscopy [23].

At present, several magnifying NBI classifications for the diagnosis of colorectal tumor have been proposed in Japan. We introduced and explained the leading magnifying NBI classifications in Japan as well as the NBI International Colorectal Endoscopic (NICE) classification.

**Sano Classification** [24–26]

The Sano classification is based on the evaluation of the microvascular architecture on the surface of lesions. The microvascular architecture (capillary pattern) was classified into I, II, IIIA, or IIIB. The capillary pattern considered the arrangement of the meshed capillary surrounding the mucosal glands. Type I is characterized by meshed capillary vessels being visually unidentifiable. Type I is mostly observed in hyperplastic polyps. Type II which is mostly observed in adenoma is characterized by meshed capillary vessels which are clearly visualized and surround mucosal glands. Type III mostly observed in carcinomas is characterized by meshed capillary vessels showing a blind ending, branching and being irregularly curtailed. Type III is divided into two subtypes: type IIIA characterized by high microvessel density with lack of uniformity, and type IIIB characterized by the presence of the area showing nearly avascular or loose microvascular. Type IIIB is observed in deep submucosal (SM)-invasive carcinomas.

**Hiroshima Classification** [19, 21]

The Hiroshima classification is based on the evaluation of both microvascular architecture and ‘pit-like pattern’ on the surface of the lesions. The ‘pit-like pattern’ identified the white part on the surface, which is similar to the pit structure. This classification consists of five types: type A, B, C1, C2, and C3. Type A observed mostly in hyperplastic polyp is characterized by vague or invisible microvessel intensity. Type B is characterized by a clear and regular pit-like pattern (surface pattern) with the increased microvessel intensity around the pits or regular meshed microvessel network pattern. Type B is mostly observed in adenoma or cancer in adenoma. Type C1 is characterized by irregular surface pattern with the increased microvessel intensity around the pits and homogeneous vascular thickness and distribution. Type C1 is observed in intramucosal carcinoma and shallow SM-invasive carcinoma. Type C2 is characterized by more irregularity of the surface pattern and more increased microvessel intensity than type C1 shows, and heterogeneous thickness and distribution of vessels. Type C2 is observed in both intramucosal carcinoma and SM-invasive carcinoma. Approximately 60% of type C2 tumors are deep SM-invasive carcinomas. Type C3 is characterized by an unclear surface pattern with an avascular area or scattered microvessel fragments area. Type C3 is observed in deep SM-invasive carcinomas.

**Showa Classification** [27]

The Showa classification is based on the evaluation of the microvascular architecture. This classification does not use the symbol such as type I or type A for categorization. The evaluated data are categorized according to the findings of vessel changes and classified into six categories: normal, faint, network, dense, irregular, and sparse pattern. The faint pattern is characterized by which microvessel surrounding the gland is difficult to be visually identified. The faint pattern is mostly observed in hyperplastic polyps. The network pattern is characterized by regular meshed microvessel surrounding the gland and is observed in tubular adenoma and intramucosal carcinoma, mostly in tubular adenoma. The dense pattern is characterized by thick and dense microvessel surrounding the gland and is observed in villous/tubulovillous adenoma and intramucosal carcinoma, mostly in villous/
tubulovillous adenoma. The irregular pattern is characterized by microvessel with irregular large caliber, high tortuosity, and interruption of microvessel network. The sparse pattern is characterized by scattered microvessel fragments avascular due to high deterioration of microvessels. The irregular and sparse pattern is observed in deep SM-invasive carcinomas.

**Jikei Classification** [28]

The Jikei classification is based on the evaluation of the degree of the microvessel caliber dilatation and partial evaluation of ‘pit-like pattern’. This classification consists of five types: 1, 2, 3V, 3I, and 4. Type 1 is characterized by no recognition of the microvessel pattern. Type 2 is characterized by the slightly increased vascular diameter. Type 3 is characterized by the remarkably increased vascular diameter. Type 3 is subclassified into 3V showing the regular microvessel pattern with a villous pit-like pattern and 3I showing an irregular microvessel pattern without a pit-like pattern. Type 4 is characterized by the sparse distribution of microvessels.

**NICE Classification** [19, 21]

The NICE classification was established by an international cooperative group (Colon Tumor NBI Interest Group – CTNIG) including Japanese, USA, French and UK endoscopists [19]. The NICE classification is based on the evaluation of the following three NBI characteristics in colorectal tumor: color, vessels, and surface pattern, both with or without using a magnifying endoscope. It consists of three types: types 1–3. Type 1 is characterized by the color being the same or lighter than the background, no or isolated lacy vessels and the surface pattern is dark or white spots of uniform size, or homogeneous absence of pattern. Type 1 is considered an index for hyperplastic lesions. Type 2 is characterized by the color being browner relative to the background, thick brown vessels surrounding white structures and the surface pattern being oval, tubular or branched white structures surrounded by brown vessels. Type 2 is considered an index for adenoma or mucosal/scanty SM-invasive carcinoma. Type 3 is characterized by the color being brown to dark brown relative to the background; sometimes a patchy whiter area, markedly distorted or missing vessels, and areas showing distortion or absence of surface pattern. Type 3 is considered an index for deep SM-invasive carcinoma.

Currently, there are no comparative data among all of these classifications concerning the diagnostic accuracy for the malignancy of colorectal tumor and invasion depth of early colorectal carcinoma. It is therefore difficult to objectively comment on the advantage of each of these classifications. Although it was a retrospective study, the paper reported that magnifying NBI endoscopy is comparable to magnifying chemoendoscopy on evaluating invasion depth of early colorectal carcinoma, but there was greater interobserver variability in the diagnosis using NBI [29].

Unification of terminology and classification of NBI endoscopy for colorectal tumor need to be established and a prospective randomized controlled trial needs to be performed using such a classification in the near future.

To summarize, magnifying NBI endoscopy is expected to become an excellent diagnostic method for gastric and colorectal lesions by clearly demonstrating the mucosal surface structure and microvascular pattern. However, more evidence is needed in order to determine whether magnifying NBI endoscopy is comparable or superior to magnifying chemoendoscopy on making a diagnosis for gastrointestinal lesions, especially for colonic lesions.
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