Seasonal Variation in the Gonadotropin-Releasing Hormone Response to Kisspeptin in Sheep: Possible Kisspeptin Regulation of the Kisspeptin Receptor
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Abstract
Kisspeptin signaling in the hypothalamus appears critical for the onset of puberty and driving the reproductive axis. In sheep, reproduction is seasonal, being activated by short days and inhibited by long days. During the non-breeding (anestrus) season, gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) and gonadotropin secretion is reduced, as is the expression of Kiss1 mRNA in the brain. Conversely, the luteinizing hormone response to kisspeptin during this time is greater. To determine whether the GnRH response to kisspeptin is increased during anestrus, we utilized hypophysial portal blood sampling. In anestrus ewes, the GnRH and LH responses to kisspeptin were greater compared to the breeding season (luteal phase). To ascertain whether this difference reflects a change in Kiss1r, we measured its expression on GnRH neurons using in situ hybridization. The level of Kiss1r was greater during the non-breeding season compared to the breeding season. To further examine the mechanism underlying this change in Kiss1r, we examined Kiss1r/GnRH expression in ovariectomized ewes (controlling for sex steroids) during the breeding and non-breeding seasons, and also ovariectomized non-breeding season ewes with or without estradiol replacement. In both experiments, Kiss1r expression on GnRH neurons was unchanged. Finally, we examined the effect of kisspeptin treatment on Kiss1r. Kiss1r expression on GnRH neurons was reduced by kisspeptin infusion. These studies indicate the kisspeptin response is indeed greater during the non-breeding season and this may be due in part to increased Kiss1r expression on GnRH neurons. We also show that kisspeptin may regulate the expression of its own receptor.
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Introduction
The fundamental link between the environment and reproduction is well established, causing species to reproduce during the most optimal period of the year. Changes in photoperiod provide a representation of circannual time and this change in day length is perceived by the pineal gland and translated into the physiological signal of melatonin secretion. Reproduction, on the other hand, is driven by the gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) neurons in the brain, which stimulate the pituitary gonadotropes to synthesize and secrete pulses of luteinizing hormone (LH) and produce follicle-stimulating hor-
mone. In sheep, reproductive activity is seasonal, with the onset of estrous cycling activated during the transition to short-day photoperiod and inhibited by increasing day length [1]. Although the exact mechanisms underlying this seasonal reproduction are yet to be fully understood, it is clear the melatonin ‘rhythm’ must be detected in the brain by neurons, which then control reproductive function. Kisspeptin neurons in the hypothalamus are prime candidates.

Kisspeptins are the products of the Kiss1 gene and are recognized, with their G-protein-coupled receptor GPR54 (Kiss1r) [2–4], as critical elements for gonadotropin secretion and normal reproductive function [5, 6]. Kisspeptins are robust stimulators of LH in a number of mammalian species [7–11], whether administered peripherally or centrally. Importantly, the stimulatory effect of kisspeptin on gonadotropin secretion appears to be dependent on GnRH secretion, although this has only been monitored indirectly [8, 10, 12]. During the ovine non-breeding (anestrous) period, expression of Kiss1 mRNA in the arcuate nucleus (ARC) of the hypothalamus is reduced [13], as is the connectivity of kisspeptin neurons to GnRH neurons [14]. Kisspeptin treatment during the anestrous period causes ovulation in ewes [15], strongly suggesting that kisspeptin is a key factor in the neuroendocrine control of the seasonal onset of estrous cycling and the annual reproductive cycle in sheep.

Our previous data suggest the LH response to kisspeptin is greater during the anestrous period compared to the breeding season [16]. Whether this reflects a change in the GnRH sensitivity to kisspeptin is unknown. In humans and sheep, the LH response to kisspeptin also increases immediately preceding the LH surge [16, 17]. Our recent data suggest that the increase in kisspeptin/LH response in the late follicular phase of the estrous cycle is not due to an increase in kisspeptin stimulation of GnRH secretion and may be driven by increased sensitivity for GnRH stimulation of LH release from the pituitary [16, 18]. In order to categorically state whether the GnRH secretary response to exogenously administered kisspeptin increases during the anestrous period, we determined if the GnRH response to kisspeptin changes with reproductive state in the ewe in vivo. Using hypophysial portal sampling, we measured GnRH secretion in response to kisspeptin administration to ovary-intact ewes during the anestrous period and during the luteal phase of the breeding season estrous cycle. The secretion of GnRH in response to kisspeptin was increased, so we subsequently determined whether this was due to altered expression of Kiss1r on GnRH neurons.

Materials and Methods

Animals and Peptides

Corriedale ewes of similar age (5–6 years) and weight were maintained at the Monash University Sheep Facility (Werribee, Vic., Australia) under natural conditions of ambient photoperiod and environmental temperature. The experiments were carried out according to the National Health and Medical Research Council/Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation/Australian Animal Commission Code of Practice for the Care and Use of Animals for Experimental Purposes and were approved by the Monash University, School of Biomedical Sciences Animal Ethics Committee. Kisspeptin peptide YYWNSF-GLRY-NH2 corresponding to the murine C terminal Kiss1 decapetide (110–110)-NH2 was obtained from Phoenix Pharmaceuticals Ltd. (Belmont, Calif., USA). This sequence is identical to the C-terminal region of ovine kisspeptin (GenBank accession No. DQ059506).

Experimental Design

Experiment 1: LH and GnRH Responses to Kisspeptin in Anestrous and Luteal Phase Ewes. Ewes were prepared for hypophysial portal and jugular vein sampling as previously described [19] during the anestrous season and the breeding season. For the latter, estrous cycles were synchronized by an intramuscular injection of an intramuscular injection of the synthetic luteolysin, cloprostenol (Estrumate, 125 μg; Pitman-Moore, Sydney, N.S.W., Australia) as previously described [16] and were treated during the luteal phase. Blood samples (5 ml) were collected every 10 min for 4 h. After 2 h, kisspeptin (50 μg, diluted in 4 ml physiological saline), or vehicle (n = 5–6 per group), was administered via the jugular cannula. The dose was based on our previous data [15, 16, 18]. Portal blood samples were collected into tubes containing 100 μl of 5 mM bacitracin (Sigma, St. Louis, Mo., USA) and held on ice with corresponding jugular samples; additional samples of jugular blood were collected into bacitracin and were used as peripheral controls for the GnRH assay. Plasma was harvested within 10 min of collection and stored at −20 °C for radioimmunoassay. At the completion of portal sampling, sheep were euthanized and ovaries inspected to confirm reproductive phase (the presence of corpora lutea in luteal phase animals).

Experiment 2: Kiss1r mRNA Expression on GnRH Neurons in Anestrous and Luteal Phase Ewes. Ewes were euthanized by an intravenous overdose of sodium pentobarbital (Lethabarb; Vitarb, Peakhurst, N.S.W., Australia) in either the anestrous season or the luteal phase of the estrous cycle (n = 4–5 per group). Heads were perfused and the hypothalamus dissected as previously described [20]. Coronal sections (40 μm) were cut on a cryostat and placed into cryoprotectant (30% ethylene glycol, 20% glycerol in sodium phosphate buffer containing 2% paraformaldehyde) and stored at −20 °C until used for in situ hybridization.

Experiment 3: Kiss1r mRNA Expression on GnRH Neurons in Ovariectomized Ewes during the Non-Breeding and Breeding Season. Because Kiss1r expression on GnRH neurons was elevated during the non-breeding season, we sought to isolate the likely stimulus for this change. Ovariectomized (OVX) ewes (n = 4 per group) were euthanized during the non-breeding and breeding season and brains prepared for in situ hybridization as above.

Experiment 4: Kiss1r mRNA Expression on GnRH Neurons in Non-Breeding Season OVX Ewes with and without Estradiol Treatment. To further investigate the probable stimulus for the change
in Kiss1r expression on GnRH neurons, we hypothesized that a change in estradiol concentration may stimulate the reduction in Kiss1r expression during the breeding season. OVX ewes (n = 4–5 per group) were euthanized during the non-breeding season after 2 weeks of estradiol replacement (OVX+E, 3 cm subcutaneous siltastic estradiol capsule as previously described [13]) or vehicle control (empty capsule). Brains were prepared for in situ hybridization as above.

Experiment 5: Kiss1r mRNA Expression on GnRH Neurons in Non-Breeding Season OVX Ewes Treated with Kisspeptin.

The ovine non-breeding season is characterized by a reduction in Kiss1 mRNA and protein expression in the ARC of the hypothalamus [13, 14]. We hypothesized that this change may facilitate the change in Kiss1r expression because kisspeptin is known to desensitize Kiss1r [15, 21, 22]. OVX ewes (n = 4 per group) were prepared for lateral ventricle infusions and kisspeptin treatment during the non-breeding season as described previously [23]. The ewes received infusions of either kisspeptin (5 µg/h) or artificial cerebrospinal fluid (infusion rate 100 µl/h) for 20 h. Blood samples were collected every 30 min for 1 h before and after the infusion to monitor the LH response to kisspeptin (data reported previously [23]). After the infusion period, animals were euthanized and brains perfused and sectioned as above for analysis by in situ hybridization.

Digoxigenin-Labeled GnRH and Radio-Labeled Kiss1r cRNA Riboprobes

The cDNA template for a GnRH riboprobe was generated by PCR with primers containing promoters for T7 RNA polymerase in the antisense direction as previously described [20]. The Gnrh1-specific template spanned bases 18–169 of the ovine partial cDNA sequence (GenBank accession No. U02517). A digoxigenin (DIG)-labeled antisense GnRH riboprobe was transcribed with a MEGAScript T7 transcription kit (Ambion, Austin, Tex., USA) and DIG labeling mix (Roche, Indianapolis, Ind., USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

The cDNA template for a Kiss1r riboprobe was generated by PCR as above [20]. The Kiss1r-specific riboprobe spanned bases 635–714 of the ovine cDNA sequence (GenBank accession No. EU272411). The antisense ovine Kiss1r riboprobe was transcribed from cDNA template with T7 polymerase (Promega, Madison, Wisc., USA) and [35S]-uridine 5-triphosphate (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) using a standard transcription protocol.

Double-Label in situ Hybridization

Three sections through the preoptic area (POA) were chosen from each ewe for analysis Kiss1r labeling of GnRH cells. Slides were processed and double-label in situ hybridization performed as previously described [20]. Sections were hybridized with both a 35S-labeled Kiss1r probe (5 × 10^6 cpm/ml) and DIG-labeled GnRH probe (1:400) at 54°C overnight. GnRH-mRNA-containing cells were visualized with bright-field microscopy and Kiss1r mRNA was assessed under dark-field illumination. Grain-counting software (ImagePro plus; Media Cybernetics) was used to count the silver grains (Kiss1r mRNA) over each GnRH cell. Signal to background ratios were calculated and cells were considered double labeled with a ratio of 3 or greater. For each ewe, the percentage of GnRH cells with Kiss1r mRNA was averaged for each animal and group means (± SEM) were calculated.

Hormone Radioimmunoassay

Plasma LH concentrations were measured in duplicate, using the method of Lee et al. [24]. Assay sensitivity was 0.2 ng/ml and the intra-assay coefficient of variation (CV) was less than 10% over the range of 0.6–11.3 ng/ml. The inter-assay CV was 9%. GnRH was measured by the method of Jonas et al. [25]. Portal plasma (1 ml) was extracted with acidified methanol, evaporated dry, and then reconstituted in 1 ml assay buffer (recovery of GnRH was determined using the addition of cold hormone to plasma). All samples were assayed in duplicate. Assay sensitivity was 0.4 pg/ml and the intra-assay CV was less than 10% between 1.6 and 71.2 pg/tube. The inter-assay CV was 4%.

Data Analysis

In experiment 1, area under the curve (AUC) for LH was determined using Sigma Plot 9.0 and was derived from baseline-corrected response curves for 2 h post-treatment (LH) or 1 h post-treatment (GnRH) as previously described [16, 18]. All grouped data are presented as the mean ± SEM. LH/GnRH kisspeptin response curves were assessed by two-way repeated measures ANOVA. Kisspeptin response AUC was assessed by two-way ANOVA. Kiss1r mRNA data was assessed by one-way ANOVA using Tukey’s multiple comparison post-hoc test. Differences were considered significant when p < 0.05.

Results

LH and GnRH Responses to Kisspeptin in Anestrous and Luteal Phase Ewes

In all animals, kisspeptin treatment increased the concentration of GnRH (in portal plasma) and LH (in jugular venous plasma) compared to vehicle treatment (fig. 1). The LH response curve to kisspeptin treatment was greater during the anestrous period compared to the luteal phase (p < 0.05). AUC analysis showed the LH response to kisspeptin was 5.8-fold greater in anestrous ewes than luteal phase ewes (p < 0.01; fig. 1e). The GnRH response to kisspeptin was also greater during the anestrous period compared to the luteal phase (p < 0.05). AUC data showed the response to kisspeptin treatment was 2.2-fold greater in anestrous ewes compared to luteal phase ewes (p < 0.05; fig. 1f).

Kiss1r Expression on GnRH Neurons in Anestrous and Luteal Phase Ewes

We hypothesized that the change in GnRH/LH response to kisspeptin reflects a change in Kiss1r mRNA expression on GnRH neurons. GnRH-positive cell bodies were located in their typical distribution within the forebrain-POA. GnRH neurons showed clusters of silver grains reflecting Kiss1r mRNA (fig. 2a–d). Quantitative analysis showed 90 ± 4% of GnRH mRNA-expressing neurons counted also expressed Kiss1r mRNA in anes-
trous ewes (fig. 2e). The percentage of GnRH neurons expressing Kiss1r was significantly (p < 0.05) lower in luteal phase ewes (78 ± 2%). Similarly, the relative expression of Kiss1r mRNA (number of silver grains) on GnRH neurons was 37% lower in luteal phase ewes compared to anestrous ewes (p < 0.05; fig. 2f). The number of GnRH mRNA-expressing neurons was similar between groups (anestrous 51 ± 14 cells, luteal 30 ± 5 cells).

Kiss1r Expression on GnRH Neurons in OVX Ewes during the Non-Breeding and Breeding Season

To further examine the mechanism underlying the change in Kiss1r on GnRH neurons between seasons, we quantified Kiss1r/GnRH expression in OVX ewes (to eliminate ovarian steroids) during the breeding and non-breeding seasons. The percentage of GnRH neurons expressing Kiss1r and the relative expression of Kiss1r mRNA (silver grains per cell) on GnRH neurons was similar in these two groups (fig. 3a, b), as were the numbers of GnRH mRNA-expressing neurons (non-breeding season 64 ± 20 cells, breeding season 50 ± 9 cells).

Kiss1r Expression on GnRH Neurons in OVX Ewes Treated with Estradiol in the Non-Breeding Season

Because we found similar levels of Kiss1r gene expression in OVX ewes, we ascertained whether the seasonal change in responsiveness is due to differing levels of sex steroids. The percentage of GnRH neurons expressing Kiss1r and the relative expression of Kiss1r mRNA (number of silver grains) on GnRH neurons was similar in OVX ewes with and without estradiol treatment during the non-breeding season (fig. 3c–d). The number of GnRH mRNA-expressing neurons was also similar in the two groups (OVX 16 ± 4 cells, OVX+E 24 ± 10 cells).
Kiss1r mRNA Expression on GnRH Neurons in OVX Ewes Treated with Kisspeptin during the Non-Breeding Season

We hypothesized that the seasonal change in Kiss1r mRNA expression on GnRH neurons is related to the lower level of Kiss1 mRNA expression and kisspeptin production in the non-breeding season [13]. Quantitative analysis showed the percentage of GnRH neurons expressing Kiss1r was significantly (p < 0.05) lower in kisspeptin-treated ewes (36 ± 8%) compared to vehicle-treated controls (64 ± 8%); fig. 4a–e). Similarly, the relative expression of Kiss1r mRNA (silver grains per cell) on GnRH neurons was 32% lower in kisspeptin-treated ewes compared to control ewes (p < 0.05; fig. 4f). The number of GnRH mRNA-expressing neurons was similar between groups (kisspeptin treated 40 ± 14 cells, control 23 ± 6 cells).

Discussion

Kisspeptin signaling is fundamental to reproductive function [5, 6] and the stimulatory effect of kisspeptin on GnRH/gonadotropin secretion is unequivocal. We show herein that the LH response to kisspeptin is greater during the non-breeding season in the ewe and that this change reflects an increase in kisspeptin stimulation of
Similarly, the relative expression of Kiss1r mRNA in GnRH neurons during the breeding season appears to downregulate the expression of estradiol alone. Interestingly, prolonged kisspeptin stimulation of kisspeptin neurons to estradiol.

Another explanation is simpler. There is reduced GnRH/LH pulse frequency in the anestrous season and this may allow a buildup of the releasable pool of GnRH, between pulses, in the anestrous season. Certainly a reduction in GnRH/LH pulse frequency leads to an increase in LH pulse amplitude at the level of the pituitary gonadotrope [27], and the releasable pool of LH is directly related to the amplitude of LH pulses affected by a GnRH challenge. A similar mechanism may pertain in GnRH cells. Our previous data show no difference in the size of the GnRH and LH response to repeated administration of kisspeptin in ewes during the non-breeding season [15]. Thus, it appears the anestrous kisspeptin response was not diminished with a possible reduction in the releasable pool of GnRH brought about from multiple stimulations. Nevertheless, there is no difference in the amount of GnRH in the hypothalamus or median eminence in either the breeding or the non-breeding seasons [28], but a measure of how much peptide is in the readily releasable pool of GnRH in the releasable pool has never been quantified. Perhaps this is a logical explanation for the present results.

Our data are similar to those in the Siberian hamster (also a seasonal breeder) where the LH response to kisspeptin appears greater in females held at short-day (non-breeding season) photoperiod [29]. On the other hand, conflicting data show no effect of repeated kisspeptin ad-

Fig. 4. Expression of Kiss1r mRNA in GnRH neurons after kisspeptin treatment during the non-breeding (anestrous) season. a–c Representative bright-field photomicrographs show GnRH mRNA-expressing cells. d–f Corresponding dark-field photomicrographs show co-expression of Kiss1r mRNA (clusters of silver grains) in d and e, no co-expression is seen in f. Scale bar: 50 μm. g, h Quantitative analysis of Kiss1r mRNA in GnRH neurons showed the percentage of double-labeled cells (g) was reduced with kisspeptin treatment compared to controls (vehicle-treated). Similarly, the relative expression of Kiss1r mRNA in GnRH neurons (h), reflected by the number of silver grains per GnRH neuron, was reduced after kisspeptin treatment (n = 4 per group). Data are the mean ± SEM, * p < 0.05.

GnRH secretion into the hypophysial portal system. This change in the response to kisspeptin can be explained, at least in part, by an increase in Kiss1r expression by GnRH neurons during the non-breeding season. The cause for this change in receptor expression does not appear to be the direct result of changes in seasonal photoperiod independent of circulating levels of estradiol or the direct effect of estradiol alone. Interestingly, prolonged kisspeptin treatment appeared to downregulate the expression of Kiss1r, which may explain, in part, a decline in Kiss1r expression on GnRH neurons during the breeding season when Kiss1 mRNA expression is high.

This is the first report in any species of a direct change in the secretion of GnRH in response to kisspeptin. In particular, using a seasonal model, we show that the response is increased in the anestrous state. The functional significance of this increase in sensitivity is not immediately clear. One possibility is that increased Kiss1r and sensitivity of GnRH cells to kisspeptin during the non-breeding season allows for a greater ‘sense’ for the increasing levels of Kiss1 expression in the ARC of the hypothalamus, which occur at the onset of the breeding season [13, 14], enabling the renaissance of pulsatile GnRH secretion. Kiss1 mRNA and expression in the ARC is elevated at the onset of the ovine breeding season [26], even in the absence of gonadal sex steroid feedback [13], indicating that kisspeptin is fundamental to the seasonal change in reproductive function. The number of kisspeptin-immunoreactive cells is greater in the ARC, and the number of kisspeptin terminal appositions onto GnRH neurons is greater during the breeding season [14]. Importantly, the inhibitory feedback effects of estrogen on kisspeptin expression in the ARC are greater during the non-breeding season [14]. Thus, the seasonal change in sensitivity to estrogen-negative feedback, which is a major mechanism for seasonal breeding, is most likely to be effected, at least in part, by changing responsiveness of kisspeptin neurons to estradiol.
administration in this species held at short-day photoperiod [30]. Changes in the responsiveness of LH to kisspeptin have also been reported in a number of other experimental models. Acute inflammation reduces LH responsiveness to kisspeptin in rats [31], while short-term fasting appears to augment the LH response to kisspeptin [32]. Conversely, short-term fasting in the non-human primate attenuates the response of the HPG axis to kisspeptin [33]. It is thought that the seasonal shift from the anestrous state to the active state is similar to that pertaining to the onset of puberty [34]. Thus, it is interesting to note the response to high doses of kisspeptin is greater in pre-pubertal than post-pubertal mice [35], although these pre-pubertal animals appeared unresponsive to lower doses of kisspeptin. Whether these above changes are specific to the effect of kisspeptin on GnRH (as we have shown) or just a change in the sensitivity of LH to GnRH is not known.

The expression of Kiss1r on GnRH neurons correlated with the higher response of GnRH cells to kisspeptin during the non-breeding season. This may be the primary basis for the change in GnRH response to kisspeptin. To further elucidate the mechanism underlying the change in Kiss1r, we examined the effects of breeding season on Kiss1r expression on GnRH neurons in OVX ewes (to control for levels of sex steroids). We found no difference in the expression of Kiss1r, indicating that photoperiod is not the sole regulator of the receptors expression on GnRH neurons. We further looked at the effect of estradiol on Kiss1r expression on GnRH neurons during the non-breeding season, because sex steroid concentrations increase during the breeding season and estrogen signaling appears to have a role in Kiss1r regulation [36] and kisspeptin sensitivity [37], with divergent roles for estrogen receptor-α and -β in modulating this response. Again we saw no difference in Kiss1r expression on GnRH neurons between OVX and OVX+E animals. Thus, we conclude that the decline in Kiss1r expression on GnRH neurons during the breeding season is not due solely to an increase in estradiol at this time. Alternatively, there may be a seasonal change in the Kiss1r response to estradiol, such that basal levels of estradiol only inhibit Kiss1r during the breeding season and not the non-breeding season. Such an effect would be intriguing and opposite to the seasonal shift in estradiol-negative feedback sensitivity (greater during the non-breeding season). Whether changes in other sex steroids such as progesterone, which inhibits Kiss1 expression [13], or combinations of sex steroids regulate Kiss1r expression on GnRH neurons is yet to be determined.

We hypothesized that the rise in Kiss1 mRNA and kisspeptin expression in the ovine hypothalamus during the breeding season [13, 26] is the stimulus for the decline in Kiss1r expression seen at this time. Kisspeptin desensitization of Kiss1r signaling has been demonstrated in the non-human primate [21] and the rat [22] and we have provided data from sheep that is consistent with this phenomenon [15]. Our data show a significant decrease in Kiss1r expression on GnRH neurons following continuous (20 h) central treatment of kisspeptin. It is likely this infusion of kisspeptin resulted in Kiss1r desensitization because the LH concentration was not significantly different before and after the infusion period [23]. Thus, it is possible the increase in Kiss1 expression and kisspeptin protein output observed during the breeding season cause a decline in Kiss1r expression on GnRH neurons. The molecular mechanisms underlying Kiss1r desensitization are yet to be fully explored, but may include G-protein-coupled receptor serine/threonine kinase activity [38]. Our data suggest kisspeptin may regulate the transcription of its receptor, decreasing the generation of new receptors.

If kisspeptin neuropeptide output was a stimulus for the change in Kiss1r expression on GnRH neurons, the question arises why no change was seen in OVX animals, when Kiss1 expression is upregulated in the ARC, compared to OVX+E animals [13, 14]. We feel this may relate to the relative expression of both major Kiss1 neuronal populations. In OVX animals, Kiss1 expression increases in the ARC, but decreases in the POA, compared to OVX+E [14]. We predict in ovary-intact ewes, Kiss1 expression in both the ARC and POA would increase with the transition to breeding season and such a change may mediate the decline in Kiss1r.

We recently showed the LH response to kisspeptin was greater during the late follicular phase of the ovine estrous cycle [16, 18]. Similarly, in humans and rats, the LH response to kisspeptin treatment appears to be highest during the preovulatory phase of the menstrual/estrus cycle [17, 39]. However, the GnRH secretory response (measured directly in portal blood) to kisspeptin was similar in the luteal and follicular phases of the estrous cycle of the ewe [18]. Consistent with this, Kiss1r mRNA expression on GnRH neurons was similar in luteal and late follicular phase animals [18]. It is not surprising then that GnRH has its greatest effect (stimulating LH secretion) during the late preovulatory phase of cycle in humans [40] and sheep [41] and this is substantially due to increased pituitary sensitivity to GnRH just prior to the onset of the LH surge. Thus, just prior to ovulation the
increased LH response to kisspeptin is mediated via the pituitary gonadotrope response to GnRH and not via a direct effect on GnRH secretion, as is seen during the non-breeding season.

It remains possible that the increase in LH release during the non-breeding season may be attributable to additional output directly from the pituitary gland. We feel this is unlikely because the stimulatory effect of kisspeptin on gonadotropin secretion appears to be dependent on GnRH. Evidence for this includes: (1) the stimulatory effect of kisspeptin is blocked in the presence of GnRH antagonists [8, 9, 11, 42] or GnRH antiserum [43]; (2) discrete intranuclear injection of kisspeptin into the rat POA, where most GnRH neurons reside, stimulates LH secretion [44]; (3) kisspeptin induces Fos (the immediate early gene product) in GnRH neurons [9, 42]; (4) kisspeptin directly increases GnRH neuron excitability [35, 45]; (5) kisspeptin-immunoreactive fibers make close appositions to GnRH neurons [14, 46, 47], which express Kiss1r [20, 35, 42], and (5) in rat hypothalamic explants, kisspeptin stimulates GnRH release [48] and also does so in vivo in sheep [10, 18]. Despite this, in vitro evidence suggests kisspeptin may play a role in gonadotropin secretion from the pituitary [49]; however, kisspeptin has no direct effect on pituitary LH release in vivo in an ovine hypothalamo-pituitary disconnection model [12].

In our experiments detailing Kiss1r co-expression on GnRH neurons, we focused on the GnRH neurons within the POA. This POA population represents the majority of GnRH neurons within the ovine brain and project to the median eminence [50, 51] where they are very likely to be stimulated by kisspeptin neurons to mediate the pulsatile secretion of GnRH [18, 48]. Alternatively, it is suggested that GnRH neurons located in the mediobasal hypothalamus are also the conduit for pulsatile GnRH/LH secretion. This evidence comes from studies where increased LH pulsatile secretion, induced by opioid antagonist treatment, induced fos expression in mediobasal hypothalamic GnRH neurons but not GnRH neurons in the POA [52]. Thus, it is likely (but not yet determined) the small population of GnRH neurons within the mediobasal hypothalamus co-express Kiss1r and breeding season status may also regulate its expression. In our studies we observed a trend for decreased GnRH/Kiss1r co-expression in non-breeding season animals in experiments 4 and 5. We have no definitive explanation for this, although animals in experiment 5 were examined during the early non-breeding season (August), which may indicate a shifting away from the breeding season state. Importantly, these data were obtained from separate in situ hybridizations (and developed separately) and hence valid analysis between experiments cannot be undertaken.

In conclusion, our data show the kisspeptin GnRH/LH response is greater during the non-breeding season, which may be due, in part, to increased Kiss1r expression by GnRH neurons. This increase in Kiss1r does not appear to be the result of independent effects of seasonal status or estradiol levels, although the combination of both and/or progesterone regulation cannot be ruled out. Finally, we show Kiss1r expression on GnRH neurons is reduced with prolonged kisspeptin treatment. We conclude that, during the non-breeding season, GnRH neurons are primed to receive and respond to kisspeptin for the re-initiation of the breeding season. Alternatively, the relationship may simply relate to the inverse relationship between GnRH pulse frequency and amplitude. One stimulus for the increase in sensitivity may be the decline in kisspeptin expression during the non-breeding season.
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