Aortic Function: From the Research Laboratory to the Clinic
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Abstract
For many years, much of the pioneering research on aortic function was carried out by a small group of investigators frequently working away from the clinical environment in the research laboratory. The evaluation of aortic function using aortic pulse wave velocity, aortic distensibility, or other practical indices had yet to reach clinical threshold. It was necessary for the clinicians to take over and to apply these indices to the clinic. In this Odyssey, the work by the basic scientist was important to define the fundamental mechanisms of aortic function; however, it was the vision of the clinical investigator who recognized the importance of aortic function and introduced it into clinical practice. In the near future, the clinical investigator will introduce aortic function in daily clinical practice as the measurement of left ventricular function is used today. A close collaboration between the clinical and the basic investigator will be necessary in order to define the molecular mechanisms related to aortic wall synthesis and degradation of collagen and elastin. Application of these findings by the clinical investigator may help to delay or prevent aortic dysfunction related to aging or other conditions and diseases.
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Introduction

Aorta is derived from the Greek word \textit{aorter}, which refers to an umbilical or belt used to hang up the aor (\textit{aor}), the word Homer used for the sword. Aristotle gave to the great vessel the name \textit{aorte}, literally something that hangs and carries \cite{1, 2}. Most likely the original thought was that the purpose of the aorta was to hang the heart. For centuries afterwards, it was believed that the aorta provided a conduit function without other major physiologic properties. It is well appreciated today, however, that the aorta does not only serve as a conduit, but also plays important roles in modulating left-ventricular (LV) performance, myocardial perfusion, central hemodynamics, and arterial function throughout the entire cardiovascular system. All these functions of the aorta influence the circulation in a global fashion \cite{3–9}.
Basic Research on Aortic Function

Basic Concepts of Aortic Function

For a spring that obeys Hooke's law, the deformation up to a certain point varies in proportion to the applied force: the greater the force the greater the distortion. The same principles that apply to other elastic material apply to the aortic wall, which is elastic as well [6, 7]. Thus, the stress-strain relationship has been used to estimate the elastic properties of the aorta in tissues obtained from the aortic wall during surgery or autopsy, or from experimental animal models [10, 11].

The changes in aortic volume in relationship to the changes in aortic pressure in the entire aorta have been demonstrated originally in aortas obtained from autopsies in various age groups (fig. 1) [12]. All branches of the aorta were ligated and incremental volumes of liquid were injected into this closed system; the internal (aortic) pressure was measured after each increment of volume injected. The relationship between aortic pressure and aortic volume is linear in the younger age group, and this is seen over a wide pressure range; however, this slope decreases at the upper and the lower ends of the aortic pressure. At any given point, the change in the aortic pressure over the aortic volume represents aortic compliance. The relationship between the aortic pressure and aortic volume changes with age; as age increases, the same aortic pressure produces less changes in the aortic volume. Aortic pressure-aortic diameter loops have been recorded in experimental animals and more recently in humans (fig. 2) [6, 13]. Although this technique can be easily applied to humans it is not used routinely in clinical practice because it is invasive.

Factors Determining Aortic Function

The function of the aorta is largely related to the structure of its wall. The normal mammalian aortic wall contains smooth muscle cells, collagen, and elastin. Smooth muscle cell proteins account for approximately 20% of the dry weight of the media, while collagen and elastin together account for about 60%. There is more elastin than...
collagen in the thoracic aorta and more collagen than elastin in the abdominal aorta. The total amount of elastin plus collagen is approximately the same in all parts of the aorta, and it is constant for adult mammalian aortas [6, 11, 14].

There is a rich network of innervation and vasa vasorum in the aortic wall of the thoracic aorta, which plays an important role in aortic function. Any change in the structure of the aortic wall may result in a change in the aortic function. Changes in vasa vasorum flow, which is responsible for the perfusion of the outer wall of the thoracic aorta, smooth muscle function, and/or neurohormonal activation, may also affect aortic function [10, 15, 16].

The pathologic basis for abnormal aortic function has been defined in certain disease states and certain conditions, such as aging, arterial hypertension, atherosclerosis, and heritable connective tissue disorders, for example [17–23].

**Evaluation of the Aortic Function**

The determination of the elastic properties of the aorta is based on the changes in the aortic size (volume/diameter) in relation to the changes in the aortic pressure. Further, it is known that the velocity of a material in a tube is related to the elastic properties of the wall of the tube, the diameter of the tube, and the specific gravity of the material, as has been defined by the Moens-Kortweg equation:

\[
\text{Velocity} = \frac{E h}{2 p r},
\]

where \(E\) = elastic modules; \(h\) = wall thickness; \(p\) = density, and \(r\) = radius.

Thus, the aortic pulse wave velocity (PWV) can be used to estimate the elastic properties of the aorta.

Changes in the aortic pressure in relation to changes in the aortic diameter at any level of the aorta can be used to estimate regional aortic function. Several indices have been used for this purpose, but in our opinion aortic distensibility is more practical and can be calculated using the following formula [13, 14, 24]:

\[
\text{Aortic distensibility} = 2 \times \frac{\text{systolic aortic diameter} - \text{diastolic aortic diameter}}{\text{(diastolic aortic diameter} \times \text{pulse pressure})} \text{cm}^2\cdot\text{dyn}^{-1}\cdot\text{10}^{-8}.
\]

Methods most commonly used for the measurements of aortic diameter in systole and diastole are echocardiography (transthoracic/transesophageal), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and contrast aortography. Although there is a difference between the brachial and aortic pressure, a very good correlation was found in estimating aortic distensibility when using aortic pressure and brachial artery pressure measurements [25]; however, because the difference between brachial artery and central aortic pressure may be substantial in certain cases, the gold standard for aortic distensibility measurements should be aortic pressure.

The function of the aorta may vary from region to region because there are regional differences in the structure of the aortic wall [11]. As a general rule, the aorta becomes stiffer as it continues from the aortic root to its bifurcation distally, thus the thoracic aorta is more elastic than the abdominal aorta.

As mentioned above, aortic PWV can be used to estimate aortic function. In contrast to indices based on pressure-diameter changes that only measured regional function of the aorta, the aortic PWV reflects the function of the entire aorta. Hemodynamic consequences of aortic function and dysfunction are directly related to PWV and reflected waves. For this reason, the methods for measuring PWV and reflected waves, and their physiologic significance are presented in more detail [5, 14].

**PWV-Reflected Waves**

**Methods of Measurement**

The time from the beginning of the QRS to the upstroke of the left carotid arterial pulse, and the time from the beginning of the QRS to the beginning of the left femoral arterial pulse are measured (fig. 3) [14, 18]. An alternative method involves the use of Doppler flow velocities of the carotid and femoral arteries in which the beginning of the respective flow velocities are measured [6, 7]. PWV is calculated as the ratio of the measured distance from the carotid to the femoral artery and to the time required for the Doppler flow signal to travel from the carotid to the femoral artery. There are several commercially available equipments that can be used to measure PWV (Complior, ArCorMedical, Artech Medical, and Sphygmocor). In the future, when aortic function will be used in everyday clinical practice, more equipment will be introduced [14].

When the pulse wave reaches the periphery it returns to the ascending aorta. Normally, the reflecting waves reach the ascending aorta early in diastole, which results in the formation of the diastolic wave. When the elastic...
properties of the aorta are diminished and the PWV is increased, the reflecting waves from the periphery return earlier to the ascending aorta, fuse with the systolic part of the LV resulting in a late systolic peak in the aortic pressure and the disappearance of the diastolic wave [14, 26, 27].

Analysis of the pulse waveform in the central aorta or in the common carotid artery may provide information related to the reflected waves. The aortic wave form can be estimated non-invasively with applanation tonometry of the radial or the carotid artery. Estimation of the central aortic waveform through tonometry of the radial artery is a widely used technique in clinical practice today. The point where the incident wave merges with the reflected wave, the reflection point is recognized in the central waveform, and the augmentation pressure, which represents the pressure added to the incident wave by the returning the reflected wave, can be calculated. The augmentation index is a index often used for the estimation of the reflected wave function, and it is calculated as AP divided by the central pulse pressure (fig. 4). Thus, the augmentation index is a composite measure of the magnitude and timing of wave reflections.

The central aortic pulse waveform, however, is influenced by other factors in addition to the stiffness of the aorta, such as the height of the person (length of the aorta), heart rate, and ventricular contractility, just to mention a few [5, 14].

**Physiologic Significance of PWV and Reflected Waves**

The ejection of blood from the left ventricle during systole generates a pressure wave that is perceived in the periphery as the arterial pulse [4–7, 14]. The aortic PWV, defined as the speed with which the pulse wave travels in the aorta, is directly related to the elastic properties of the aortic wall. A decrease in the elasticity of the aortic wall causes an increase in PWV, while an increase in aortic wall elasticity causes a decrease in PWV.
Aortic Function

Effects of Aortic Function on the Cardiovascular System

In a stiff aorta, storage capacity decreases significantly. An increase in PWV will result in an increase in the pulsatile stress in the arterioles and vascular damage, especially in the kidneys and the brain (fig. 6) [6, 29, 30].

An increase in PWV and reflected wave velocity will result in an increase in systolic aortic pressure, LV work, and LV mass. In the majority of elderly patients, systolic hypertension is related to a stiff aorta. In addition, LV relaxation will be impaired resulting in diastolic LV dysfunction and diastolic heart failure. Thus, a stiff aorta is a major factor contributing to pathophysiologic mechanisms related to heart failure in patients with preserved LV ejection fraction. In addition, patients with a stiff aorta will have reduced coronary flow due to a decrease in the diastolic aortic pressure, and a decrease or disappearance of the diastolic wave [6, 14]. Further, a stiff aorta may produce chest pain, the mechanisms of which are discussed in the next section.
Increased aortic stiffness may result in chest pain that may be produced by several mechanisms [1]. A sudden rise in aortic systolic pressure during exercise or any other stress in a less expandable aorta may produce an increased stretch of the aortic wall. This stretch stimulates aortic pain fibers and produces chest pain (fig. 7). Clinical and experimental observations suggest that forced stretch of the aortic wall may produce chest pain. Balloon inflation during angioplasty for aortic coarctation causes pain that disappears immediately after balloon deflation. The aortic adventitia contains pain fibers that are in close relation to those of the heart. Acute stretching of the aortic wall or coating the aorta with irritants stimulates pain fibers and produces pain [1, 6]. Further, an increase in aortic stiffness is associated with systolic hypertension resulting in an increase in myocardial oxygen consumption. A sudden increase in systolic pressure may result in a decrease in the vasa vasorum flow, which perfuses the aortic wall, resulting in chest pain of aortic origin [6, 7]. In addition, systolic hypertension is associated with a decrease in diastolic aortic pressure, which may decrease coronary flow. Due to the diminished or disappearance of the diastolic wave in the central aortic pressure, coronary blood flow is further compromised. All these factors may lead to subendocardial ischemia, especially when LV hypertrophy is present. In addition, a stiff aorta is associated with impaired myocardial microcirculatory function and decreased coronary flow reserve. Thus, chest pain of aortic origin may be a common phenomenon. Chest pain of aortic origin may exist even in patients with coronary artery disease since those patients also have a stiff aorta. In other diseases or conditions often associated with a stiff aorta, such as chronic renal disease, older age, and diabetes mellitus, chest pain of aortic origin may also exist [6].

**Definition of Aortopathy, Prognostic Significance of Aortic Dysfunction, and Interventions That May Alter Aortic Function**

A man is as old as his arteries

*Thomas Sydenham*  
(1624–1689)

Aortopathy unites the Greek word *aorte*, the great artery, with the word pathy (termination) derived from pathos which denotes a morbid condition or disease [1, 2]. The resulting descriptive term refers to any disease of the aorta.

Traditionally, the diagnosis of aortic wall disorders has been dependent upon the recognition of the complications of the aortopathic process such as aortic dilatation, dissection, thrombosis, or rupture, and highly dependent on the technology of the era for confirmation of the complication. Until recently, there have been no clinically useful diagnostic procedures for the detection of diseases of the aortic wall prior to the development of these gross morphologic changes [1, 6]. For this reason, the detection of functional disorders of the aorta has been introduced. Today, it is well known that an anatomically ‘normal’ aorta as defined by imaging techniques (echocardiography, computed tomography, or MRI) functionally may be grossly abnormal [6, 7, 14]. It should be emphasized that aortic function has to be determined to define an abnormal aorta. Abnormal aortic function is present in many conditions and diseases, and it is associated with a higher incidence of cardiovascular events compared to a normally functioning aorta (table 1). In addition, heritable connective tissue disorders, multifactorial diseases (e.g. arterial hypertension or coronary artery disease), and environmental factors may alter aortic function acutely (i.e. cold or stress) or on a chronic basis.

The elastic properties of the aorta diminish with age in apparently ‘healthy’ individuals and furthermore in conditions/diseases associated with aortic dysfunction [27, 31–70].

---

**Fig. 7.** Possible mechanisms of chest pain in patients with a stiff aorta (see text for details). MV O₂ = Myocardial oxygen consumption; V-V = vasa vasorum.
Determination of the elastic properties of the aorta may help to monitor the natural history of a disease process. Experimental studies have shown that histologic changes in the arterial wall during the development and regression of atherosclerosis, and during the development and treatment of arterial hypertension were parallel to the changes in indices reflecting aortic function [6].

Different therapeutic interventions, including pharmacologic agents, may alter the elastic properties of the aorta (table 2) [71–86].

Several long-term studies have shown that aortic stiffness may independently predict cardiovascular morbidity and mortality (table 3) [27, 64]. PWV, a simple index related to the function of the entire aorta, can stratify patients in different risk groups for future cardiovascular events; this was initially shown in high-risk patients with end-stage renal disease, but several other studies have shown that an increase in PWV may predict adverse outcomes in patients with risk factors such as arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and even apparently 'healthy' individuals [27, 64]. For these reasons, the guidelines of the 2007 European Society of Hypertension/European Society of Cardiology for the management of arterial hypertension concluded that determination of PWV may be used for better patient stratification [87, 88].

The Role of MRI in the Evaluation of the Aorta

MRI affords not only precise delineation of the aortic anatomy but is also uniquely suited to interrogate aortic function. Its advantages over other modalities to assess the dynamic aorta include (1) sufficient spatial and temporal resolution to distinguish small and rapid changes in the aorta; (2) ability to localize physiologic measures to individual segments along the entire aorta, and (3) lack of ionizing radiation. Disadvantages compared to other modalities include intolerability for a small percentage of individuals with recalcitrant claustrophobia, and relatively limited availability of technology and expertise. In appropriate centers and suitable patients, MRI provides unparalleled functional assessment of the aorta.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Conditions and diseases associated with abnormal aortic function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heritable connective tissue disorders/congenital heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marfan syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smooth muscle α-actin mutation (ACTA2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ehlers-Danlos syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annuloaortic ectasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult polycystic kidney disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicuspid aortic valve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarctation of the aorta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetralogy of Fallot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heritable metabolic disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalassemia major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifactorial diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atherosclerosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic renal disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collagen diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortitis, infective/inflammatory disease (Takayasu, syphilis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet, obesity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of exercises</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs-toxins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical factors (cold)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aging</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Factors which may alter aortic function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacologic agents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiotensin receptor blockers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium channel blockers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Adrenergic receptor blockers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-diabetic drugs (glipizide, metformin, troglitazone)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasympathetic denervation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Determination of the elastic properties of the aorta may help to monitor the natural history of a disease process. Experimental studies have shown that histologic changes in the arterial wall during the development and regression of atherosclerosis, and during the development and treatment of arterial hypertension were parallel to the changes in indices reflecting aortic function [6].

Different therapeutic interventions, including pharmacologic agents, may alter the elastic properties of the aorta (table 2) [71–86]. Several long-term studies have shown that aortic stiffness may independently predict cardiovascular morbidity and mortality (table 3) [27, 64]. PWV, a simple index related to the function of the entire aorta, can stratify patients in different risk groups for future cardiovascular events; this was initially shown in high-risk patients with end-stage renal disease, but several other studies have shown that an increase in PWV may predict adverse outcomes in patients with risk factors such as arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and even apparently ‘healthy’ individuals [27, 64]. For these reasons, the guidelines of the 2007 European Society of Hypertension/European Society of Cardiology for the management of arterial hypertension concluded that determination of PWV may be used for better patient stratification [87, 88].

The Role of MRI in the Evaluation of the Aorta

MRI affords not only precise delineation of the aortic anatomy but is also uniquely suited to interrogate aortic function. Its advantages over other modalities to assess the dynamic aorta include (1) sufficient spatial and temporal resolution to distinguish small and rapid changes in the aorta; (2) ability to localize physiologic measures to individual segments along the entire aorta, and (3) lack of ionizing radiation. Disadvantages compared to other modalities include intolerability for a small percentage of individuals with recalcitrant claustrophobia, and relatively limited availability of technology and expertise. In appropriate centers and suitable patients, MRI provides unparalleled functional assessment of the aorta.
Comparison of an aortic cross-section between end-systole and end-diastole was one of the earliest MRI techniques used to evaluate aortic function [89]. This technique remains in use today, albeit with more rapid and higher resolution (fig. 8), as a straightforward method to measure aortic distensibility. Underscoring the connection between aortic compliance and afterload faced by the left ventricle, reduced aortic distensibility occurs in older healthy compared to younger healthy individuals, and is further reduced in patients with diastolic heart failure [90, 91]. Aortic distensibility has also been used to identify early changes in the aorta in patients with heritable aortopathies such as the Marfan syndrome, providing additional predictive value beyond baseline aortic diameter for aortic dilatation [92]. Dynamic MRI has been used to demonstrate measurable abnormalities in systolic distension and diastolic recoil of the ascending aorta in conditions such as bicuspid aortic valve [93].

More sophisticated measures of aortic function include PWV (fig. 9), thought to represent a relatively load-independent parameter compared to aortic distensibility that requires pulse pressure for calculation. Several MRI techniques have been developed to compute aortic PWV; the simplest use cross-sectional velocity encoding or phase-contrast acquisitions at a proximal and distal segment, and PWV is computed as the distance between segments divided by the time delay for appearance of the foot of the aortic pulse wave between the more distal versus the more proximal segment. More sophisticated approaches that directly encode PWV have recently been used to confirm age-related changes in aortic stiffness [94]. While hand-held applanation tonometry also yields PWV measurements and affords significant advantages over MRI in terms of cost and deployment across various clinical and investigative settings, simultaneous and direct interrogation of multiple regions of the aorta with MRI-based PWV may be beneficial for some applications.

Four-dimensional flow visualization (three anatomic dimensions plus time) is now feasible over the entire aorta with suitable post-processing and rendering software. One quantitative measure that results from such acquisi-
tions is aortic wall shear stress, with regional variations in healthy individuals suggesting why certain sites may be more prone to develop atherosclerosis [95]. By coupling aortic and cardiac flow measurements with such techniques [96], there is much to be learned about the interplay between aortic function and cardiovascular disease.

**Aortic Function: Concluding Remarks**

The history of aortic function begins with the determination of arterial pulse, which clinicians have used from antiquity. Measurement of the arterial pressure by sphygmomanometry introduced at the beginning of the last century by Nikolai Korotkoff provided another indirect index of aortic function [2, 6]. Bramwell and Hill [97, 98] described an increase in arterial PWV in 1922. Ascending aortic impedance (directly related to aortic function) was introduced by McDonald [99, 100] in the middle of the last century to describe the hydraulic load presented to the intermittently pumping LV to the systemic circulation. Aortic impedance determination, however, requires relatively speaking complex calculations using mathematical formulas that are not practical for everyday clinical use [101].

Aortic Function – Lost in Translation: For many years, much of the pioneering research on aortic function was carried out by a small group of investigators frequently working away from the clinical environment and in the research laboratories; the evaluation of aortic function using aortic PWV, aortic distensibility, or other practical indices had yet to reach a clinical threshold [99, 100, 102, 103]. It was necessary for the clinicians to take over and to apply these indices to the clinic. In our opinion, the First International Conference on Functional Abnormalities of the Aorta, which was organized by the First Department of Cardiology, University of Athens, Greece, and the Division of Cardiology, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA, on October 25th–26th, 1993, in Athens [P. Toutouzas, C. Stefanadis, C.F. Wooley, and H. Boudoulas], had contributed significantly to the translation of aortic function from the bench to the clinic. At this point, the pioneering work by Michael O’Rourke and Michel Safar should be acknowledged.

In this Odyssey, the work by basic scientists was important to define the fundamental mechanisms of aortic function; however, it was the vision of the clinical investigator who recognized the importance of aortic function and introduced it into clinical practice. It was the clinical investigator who defined that aortic function is not only important for LV performance, but also for the function of the entire cardiovascular system [6, 7, 104, 105]. It was the clinical investigator who defined that abnormal elastic properties of the aorta may precede the clinical manifestations of a disease and that changes in the elastic properties of the aorta may be parallel to the progression or regression of a disease process. It was the clinical investigator who also defined the effect of pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic interventions on aortic function [5–7]. In the near future, the clinical investigator will introduce aortic function in daily clinical practice and it will be used as the measurement of LV function is used today. The clinical investigator will define the effect of intra-aortic devices, especially in young patients, on PWV [106].

A close collaboration between the clinical and the basic investigator will be necessary in order to define the molecular mechanism(s) related to aortic wall synthesis, and degradation of collagen and elastin. Applications of these findings by the clinical investigator in the clinic will result in a delay or even prevention of aortic dysfunction related to aging or other conditions and diseases.
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