Infectious Risks and Optimal Strength of Maintenance Immunosuppressants in Rituximab-Treated Kidney Transplantation

Chung Hee Baek\textsuperscript{a}  Won Seok Yang\textsuperscript{a}  Kyung Sun Park\textsuperscript{a}  Duck Jong Han\textsuperscript{b}  Jae Berm Park\textsuperscript{b}  Su-Kil Park\textsuperscript{a}
\textsuperscript{a}Division of Nephrology, Department of Internal Medicine, and \textsuperscript{b}Department of Surgery, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

Key Words
Kidney transplantation  ·  Mycophenolate mofetil  ·  Rituximab

Abstract

Background: Rituximab, an anti-CD20 antibody, effectively depletes B lymphocytes. It is not clear whether the use of conventional doses of mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), methylprednisolone and tacrolimus as maintenance immunosuppression in rituximab-treated kidney transplantation is associated with increased risk. Methods: We retrospectively evaluated 67 patients who underwent HLA-sensitized or ABO-incompatible living donor kidney transplantation after one dose of rituximab (200 or 500 mg) (group 1). Eighty-seven kidney transplant recipients who did not require rituximab served as a control (group 2). Results: Cytomegalovirus infection (16.4 vs. 5.7%, \(p = 0.031\)) and pneumonia (9.0 vs. 1.1%, \(p = 0.043\)) occurred more often in group 1, and 2 patients of group 1 died of infection. The doses of methylprednisolone and tacrolimus levels of the two groups were not different. MMF dose was reduced when serious infection occurred. The doses of MMF (in grams/day) at the following times postoperatively were lower in group 1 than in group 2: 1 month: 1.26 $\pm$ 0.42 vs. 1.40 $\pm$ 0.39, \(p = 0.033\); 3 months: 1.14 $\pm$ 0.51 vs. 1.36 $\pm$ 0.39, \(p = 0.011\); 6 months: 1.07 $\pm$ 0.50 vs. 1.30 $\pm$ 0.42, \(p = 0.012\); 1 year: 0.88 $\pm$ 0.52 vs. 1.19 $\pm$ 0.44, \(p = 0.009\); 2 years: 0.69 $\pm$ 0.55 vs. 1.25 $\pm$ 0.49, \(p = 0.059\), but the reduction of MMF doses did not increase the incidence of acute rejection in group 1 (4.5% in group 1 vs. 9.2% in group 2, \(p = 0.351\)). If patients who died with functioning graft were excluded, graft survival was 98.5% in group 1 and 100% in group 2. Conclusions: Serious infectious complications were increased in rituximab-treated kidney transplant recipients and it might be adequate to reduce the MMF dose from the early postoperative period.

Su-Kil Park, MD
Division of Nephrology, Department of Internal Medicine
Asan Medical Center
388-1 Pungnap-2 dong, Songpa-gu, Seoul 138-736 (Republic of Korea)
Tel. +82 2 2010 3263, E-Mail skpark@amc.seoul.kr

Copyright © 2012 S. Karger AG, Basel

This is an Open Access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 License (www.karger.com/OA-license), applicable to the online version of the article only. Distribution for non-commercial purposes only.
Introduction

ABO-incompatible kidney transplantation (ABO-IKT) was started to increase the donor pool. In the 1980s, Alexandre et al. [1] performed ABO-IKT using plasmapheresis for removal of isohemagglutinins prior to transplantation, and hyperacute rejection was prevented. Since 1989, more than 1,000 ABO-IKT have been performed in Japan [2]. In the 2000s, rituximab, a chimeric monoclonal antibody against the protein CD20 which is primarily found on the surface of B lymphocytes, was introduced for the management of ABO-IKT [3, 4]. This protocol has become standard in multiple centers after Tydén et al. [5] first introduced antigen-specific immunoabsorption combined with anti-CD20 antibody and Sonnenday et al. [6] reported successful ABO-IKT using plasmapheresis, cytomegalovirus (CMV) hyperimmune globulin, and anti-CD20 antibody without splenectomy. Thereafter, the number of ABO-IKT with rituximab expanded, and long-term outcomes have been reported to be good [7, 8]. Transplantations in patients with HLA sensitization have been performed relatively recently. In a recent report of 211 HLA-sensitized living donor kidney transplantations (KT), transplantation after desensitization provided a significant survival benefit compared with waiting for a compatible organ [9].

Potential complications from infection after splenectomy could be reduced if this is replaced by rituximab in ABO-IKT. However, infection is still a major cause of morbidity and mortality of renal transplant recipients. In a study of 1,218 renal transplant recipients, infection (29%) was the second cause of death just after cardiovascular diseases (38%) in these renal transplant recipients [10]. Recently, efforts to decrease the infectious complications by reducing immunosuppressant are ongoing [11–14]. However, it is not known whether standard doses of maintenance immunosuppressants are adequate in these patients who were preconditioned with rituximab.

In 2009, our center adopted a desensitization protocol for ABO-IKT or HLA-sensitized KT, based on plasmapheresis, rituximab and standard immunosuppressive therapy. In this study, we retrospectively evaluated the risk of infectious complications in the incompatible KT preconditioned with rituximab, and assessed whether the standard maintenance immunosuppression could be reduced without increasing the risk of rejection.

Patients and Methods

Patients

Between January 2009 and May 2011, 80 patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) underwent ABO-incompatible or HLA-sensitized living donor KT after preconditioning with rituximab, but without splenectomy, at Asan Medical Center in Seoul, Korea. The patients received tacrolimus, mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), and corticosteroids as maintenance immunosuppressants. Of the 80 patients, 13 patients used other immunosuppressants because of side effects or pregnancy. These patients were excluded and the remaining 67 patients were included in the analysis (group 1). As a control group, 87 living donor kidney transplant recipients who underwent compatible KT during the same period in our institution and received tacrolimus, MMF, and corticosteroids as maintenance immunosuppressants, but not rituximab (group 2) were compared with group 1. This study was approved by our local institutional review board (2011-0426).

Immunosuppression Protocol

The immunosuppression protocol of rituximab-treated renal transplantation is summarized in figure 1. Immunosuppressants consisting of tacrolimus, MMF, and methylprednis-
olone (mPD) were started 7–10 days before the operation. 1–6 sessions of plasmapheresis were performed prior to the operation, and basiliximab (anti-CD25 monoclonal antibody) was administered on the day of operation and postoperatively on day 4.

Fig. 1. Immunosuppressive protocol. Rituximab was administered and immunosuppressants (tacrolimus, MMF and mPD) were started 7–10 days before the operation. 1–6 sessions of plasmapheresis were performed prior to the operation, and basiliximab (anti-CD25 monoclonal antibody) was administered on the day of operation and postoperatively on day 4.

Fig. 2. Mortality. Three patients (4.5%) died in group 1 whereas no mortality case was present in group 2 (p = 0.053).

Detection of Anti-A/B Antibody Titers

Evaluation of ABO isoagglutinin titers using tube test was applied to measure anti-A/B antibody titers. Anti-A/B isoagglutinin titers were determined by incubating 0.1 ml of type A and B RBC suspensions in 0.1 ml of saline containing 2-fold serial dilutions of patient’s serum at room temperature. The agglutinating activity of IgM isoagglutinin was confirmed
by treating the patient’s serum with 0.01 mmol/l dithiothreitol, which abolished its agglutinating property.

**HLA Typing and Crossmatch**

HLA was typed with absolute HLA PCR/SSP kit (BioSewoom Inc., Korea) using sequence-specific primer. To exclude false negatives, control primer was used as positive control of PCR. HLA crossmatch was performed with T flow cytometry. Mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) ≥2.0 was read as positive.

**Diagnosis of Rejection**

Surveillance biopsies were not performed routinely. When clinically indicated by rising serum creatinine or decreasing urine output, renal biopsies were performed to confirm rejection. C4d staining was performed with standard immunofluorescence techniques in all specimens. Acute cellular rejection and acute antibody-mediated rejection were diagnosed on the basis of the Banff criteria.

**Definition and Prophylaxis of Infection**

CMV infection was defined as positivity for CMV antigenemia assay ≥50 cells/200,000 WBC and treated with ganciclovir. CMV antigenemia assay was performed with Light Diagnostics CMV pp65 Antigenemia kit (Chemicon International, Temecula, Calif., USA). BK virus infection was diagnosed when plasma DNA load was greater than 10,000 copies/ml, regardless of nephropathy. BK virus PCR was performed with Real-Q BKV quantitation kit (Biosewoom, Seoul, Korea). For PCP prophylaxis, oral trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (80/400 mg) was administered daily for 6 months postoperatively. CMV prophylaxis was not given routinely. We checked CMV antigenemia assay periodically, and treated CMV infection when it occurred.

**Statistical Analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 18. Data are expressed as means and standard deviation. Categorical variables were compared using the $\chi^2$ test or Fisher’s exact test, and continuous variables were compared using the t test (or Mann-Whitney U test) and ANOVA (or Kruskal-Wallis test). Mortality rates were estimated by the Kaplan-Meier method, tested by log-rank test. A two-tailed p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Clinical Characteristics of the Patients**

The patients’ baseline characteristics are shown in table 1. There were more men than women in both groups. Patients’ mean ages in group 1 and group 2 were 44.88 ± 11.65 and 42.72 ± 10.39 years, respectively (p = 0.228). Etiologies of ESRD and past medical history in the two groups were not significantly different.

**Doses of Maintenance Immunosuppressants**

Drug levels and doses of the immunosuppressants are shown in table 2. The drug levels of tacrolimus and the doses of mPD after 6 months, 1 and 2 years after transplantation showed no difference between the two groups. MMF dose was reduced when CMV, BK virus infection and severe infection such as sepsis occurred. This decision was made by clinician’s judgment. The doses of MMF (in grams/day) at 1 month, 3 months, 6 months, 1 year and 2 years postoperatively were significantly lower in group 1 than in group 2.
Incidence of Infection

Infections occurred in 52.2% (35 patients) of group 1 and 40.2% (35 patients) of group 2 (p = 0.138). However, CMV infection (16.4% in group 1 and 5.7% in group 2, p = 0.031) and pneumonia (9.0% in group 1 and 1.1% in group 2, p = 0.043) occurred more often in group 1. The incidences of BK virus infection, urinary tract infection, sepsis and other infections including candida, herpes simplex virus and intra-abominal infection showed no significant difference between the two groups (table 3).

Graft Rejection

There was no hyperacute rejection or antibody-mediated rejection in either group 1 or group 2. Acute cellular rejection occurred in 3 patients (4.5%) in group 1 and 8 patients (9.2%) in group 2 (p = 0.351). Chronic rejection occurred only in 1 patient of group 2. There was no
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 Significant difference in the incidence of acute cellular rejection and chronic rejection between the two groups (Table 4).

Serum Creatinine Level

After renal transplantation, creatinine levels were not significantly different in the two groups. Preoperative levels of creatinine (in μmol/l) in group 1 and group 2 were 752.28 ± 257.24 and 770.85 ± 316.47, respectively (p = 0.692). Postoperative values of creatinine were 86.63 ± 18.56 vs. 97.24 ± 27.4 at 2 years (p = 0.614) (Table 5).

Incidence of Malignancy

Malignancy occurred in two patients of group 1 and none in group 2 (Table 6). One patient developed skin squamous cell carcinoma on the anterior chest wall 8 months after renal
transplantation. This was removed by excisional biopsy. The other patient was diagnosed with parathyroid cancer at 5 months after transplantation. In this patient, calcium level increased gradually after renal transplantation. After ultrasound and parathyroid scan, parathyroid adenoma was suspected. However, parathyroid carcinoma was diagnosed after parathyroidectomy.

### Mortality

Three patients (4.5%) died in group 1, whereas there were no deaths in group 2 (table 7). One patient died from septic shock associated with urinary sepsis. Fungal infective endocarditis (Aspergillus) was uncontrollable in another patient in spite of mitral valve replacement operation. The third patient was improving from pneumonia, but died from metabolic acidosis of unknown origin. Therefore, 2 of 3 deaths were due to infection. Graft survival was 98.5% in group 1 and 100% in group 2 (p = 0.435). One patient experienced graft failure. However, unexpected vessel kinking after operation was the cause of graft failure. Therefore, no graft failure occurred by graft rejection.

### Table 4. Graft rejection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1 (n = 67)</th>
<th>Group 2 (n = 87)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hyperacute rejection</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute cellular rejection</td>
<td>3 (4.5%)</td>
<td>8 (9.2%)</td>
<td>0.351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody-mediated rejection</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic rejection</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1 (1.1%)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5. Serum creatinine levels (μmol/l)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1 (n = 67)</th>
<th>Group 2 (n = 87)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-transplantation (67/87)</td>
<td>752.28 ± 257.24</td>
<td>770.85 ± 316.47</td>
<td>0.692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 1 month (66/87)</td>
<td>106.96 ± 118.46</td>
<td>91.05 ± 29.17</td>
<td>0.223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 3 months (55/71)</td>
<td>103.43 ± 25.64</td>
<td>99.01 ± 26.52</td>
<td>0.326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 6 months (48/56)</td>
<td>106.96 ± 27.4</td>
<td>99.01 ± 28.29</td>
<td>0.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 1 year (39/36)</td>
<td>102.54 ± 28.29</td>
<td>93.7 ± 26.52</td>
<td>0.152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 2 years (4/9)</td>
<td>86.63 ± 18.56</td>
<td>97.24 ± 27.4</td>
<td>0.614</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6. Incidence of malignancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1 (n = 67)</th>
<th>Group 2 (n = 87)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malignancy</td>
<td>2 (3.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0.188</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 7. Mortality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1 (n = 67)</th>
<th>Group 2 (n = 87)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>3 (4.5%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graft failure</td>
<td>1 (1.5%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0.435</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

In the incompatible KT, hyperacute rejection occurs due to preformed ABO antibody or HLA antibody. Plasmapheresis removes the preformed antibody. Rituximab (an anti-CD20 antibody), which effectively depletes B lymphocytes, has a role in preventing new antibody formation until accommodation occurs. Thus, the present immunosuppressive protocol in incompatible KT consists of (1) pre-transplant desensitization with plasmapheresis, rituximab and anti-CD25 monoclonal antibody, and (2) the standard maintenance immunosuppression of MMF, tacrolimus and steroid at similar doses used in ABO-compatible KT. Because the additional use of rituximab may result in more immunosuppression, the initial standard doses of maintenance immunosuppressants could result in over-immunosuppression. However, the optimal doses of maintenance immunosuppressants in these patients are not known. The occurrence of serious infections is one of the important problems associated with over-immunosuppression. There have been several studies that evaluated the association of rituximab use with infectious complications. In a study of highly sensitized renal transplant recipients [15], 38 patients were treated with rituximab and 26 patients received comparable treatment but without rituximab. Severe infectious episodes were not statistically different between groups and it was concluded that rituximab therapy was not associated with an increased risk of severe infection. In contrast, several other studies suggested the increased risk of infectious complications. In a study of 77 kidney transplant patients who received rituximab therapy for various reasons and 902 control patients who received no rituximab [16], the incidence of infection was 45.45 and 53.9%, respectively, and showed no difference. However, the mortality related to an infectious disease was significantly higher in the rituximab group (9.09 vs. 1.55%). Recently, an increased risk of infectious complications was also reported in ABO-IKT [17]. In this study, 21 consecutive recipients who underwent ABO-IKT were compared with ABO-compatible KT recipients. During the follow-up for 15.7 ± 8.3 months, the rate of viral infections including CMV, herpes simplex virus, varicella zoster virus and polyoma virus was significantly increased in ABO-IKT (50 vs. 21%, p = 0.038) despite comparable tacrolimus trough levels and MMF and steroid doses. In the present study, the overall incidence of infection in the rituximab-treated group was not different from the control group. However, CMV infection and pneumonia occurred more frequently in the rituximab-treated group. In addition, infection was the cause of death in 2 patients of total 3 deaths in the rituximab-treated group. These findings suggest the need to reduce maintenance immunosuppression in rituximab-treated KT.

In our study, we performed plasmapheresis for desensitization and did not give the patients IVIG. Most studies of ABO-IKT gave the patients IVIG. There are a few studies in which administration of IVIG was not performed in all patients [18, 19]. In these studies, no serious infection occurred. Although plasmapheresis does not seem to be associated with serious infections, the possible role in the increased risk of infection cannot be excluded.

The main concern associated with reduction in immunosuppression is the occurrence of rejection. There have been trials to reduce the rituximab dose from 500 to 200 mg/body to prevent major infectious complications [13, 20]. Shirakawa et al. [13] compared the results of a low dose of rituximab (200 mg/body) to a usual dose of rituximab (500 mg/body). The group treated with the low dose of rituximab showed excellent comparable results. So far, however, there has been no data regarding the reduction of maintenance immunosuppressants in rituximab-treated KT. In this study, we reduced MMF when infection occurred. The doses of MMF (in g/day) until 2 years postoperatively were lower in group 1 than in group 2, while kidney function was not different in the two groups. In addition, the incidence of acute cellular rejection and chronic rejection was not higher in the rituximab-treated group (group 1) who were maintained on lower doses of MMF. One graft was lost in the rituximab-
treated group, but anatomical vessel kinking was the cause of graft failure. Though the optimum level of dose reduction was not determined in this study, the findings suggest that the dose of MMF could be reduced without increasing the risk of rejection in these patients.

Our study has several limitations. This study was a retrospective cohort study. Thus, MMF dose was reduced in incompatible KT not from the early postoperative period, but only after the occurrence of serious infection. Second, the follow-up duration was short because our institute started ABO-IKT in 2009. Third, we did not analyze the data at each dose of rituximab because of the small sample size. Lastly, the possible role of plasmapheresis in the increased risk of infection cannot be excluded.

In conclusion, serious infectious complication was increased in rituximab-treated KT and our study suggests the plausibility of reducing maintenance immunosuppressants in these patients. It might be adequate to reduce MMF dose from the early postoperative period. Prospective randomized controlled studies are warranted to determine the optimal dose of maintenance immunosuppression in these patients.
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