Differential Regulation by Toll-Like Receptor Agonists Reveals That *MCPIP1* Is the Potent Regulator of Innate Immunity in Bacterial and Viral Infections

E. Blazusiak^a D. Florczyk^a J. Jura^b J. Potempa^{a,c} J. Koziel^a

Departments of ^aMicrobiology and ^bGeneral Biochemistry, Faculty of Biochemistry, Biophysics and Biotechnology, Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland; ^cCenter for Oral Health and Systemic Disease, University of Louisville School of Dentistry, Louisville, Ky., USA

Key Words

MCPIP1 · Toll-like receptors · Innate immunity · Infection

Abstract

Toll-like receptors (TLRs) are key molecules in innate immunity that recognize a variety of pathogen-associated molecular patterns. Activation of TLRs by their agonists initiates several signaling cascades, which eventually result in the expression of immune modifiers. Despite the fact that *MCPIP1* is reported as an important immune regulator involved in macrophage activation, modulation of its expression by all known TLR agonists has never been documented. In this study, we present for the first time that in human monocyte-derived macrophages all TLR agonists, except CpG, markedly induced the expression of *MCPIP1*. The level of the induced transcript, as well as the protein and time of their appearance varied depending on the agonist. Furthermore, we confirmed the strong and differential upregulation of *MCPIP1* during bacteria, virus and fungus infection. *MCPIP1* belongs to a group of early-response genes; however, in the present study, we show for the first time the sustained high level of *MCPIP1* expression during long-term *Staphylococcus aureus* infection. Taken together, our results implicate *MCPIP1* as a potent regulator of innate immunity, which can be strongly engaged in the pathogenesis of acute and chronic infective diseases.

Copyright © 2012 S. Karger AG, Basel

Introduction

Toll-like receptors (TLRs) are a group of pattern recognition receptors that play an essential role in host defense against a wide range of pathogenic microorganisms [1]. TLRs are thought to function by detecting the presence of distinct components unique to microorganisms and subsequently activate different gene programs, which promote various innate and adaptive immune responses. Thus far, ten TLRs have been identified in humans; however, their distribution is varied. TLRs are members of the interleukin (IL)-1R superfamily and share a similar signaling cascade. The cascade involves activation of the adapter molecule MyD88, which forms an initial signaling complex with IRAK4 and TRAF6. Formation of this complex results in activation of a signaling cascade that leads to activation of the MAP kinases, such as ERK, JNK and p38, as well as transcription factors such as nuclear factor (NF)- κ B and activator protein 1. Despite shared signaling pathways, engagement of different TLRs elicits a spectrum of immune responses because TLRs differ in their cellular localization and ligand specificity, as well as in the rate, intensity and/or efficiency of activation of target genes, some of which involve as yet unidentified mechanisms. Therefore, the investigation of TLR-inducible genes is important for clarifying the control mechanisms of innate immune reactions.

KARGER

Fax +41 61 306 12 34
E-Mail karger@karger.ch
www.karger.com

© 2012 S. Karger AG, Basel
1662–811X/13/0051–0015\$38.00/0

Accessible online at:
www.karger.com/jin

Dr. Joanna Koziel
Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Biochemistry, Biophysics and Biotechnology,
Jagiellonian University, Ul. Gronostajowa 7
PL–30387 Kraków (Poland)
Tel. +48 12 664 6377, E-Mail joanna.koziel@uj.edu.pl

The *MCPIP1* gene (also called *ZC3H12A*), originally described as a gene activated by monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP-1), belongs to a group known as CCCH zinc finger genes that encompasses 55 members described in humans [2]. *MCPIP1* contains a putative nuclear localization signal sequence, a single zinc finger domain with three cysteines, and one histidine for RNA binding; therefore, this protein was described originally as a transcription factor that induces expression of apoptotic gene families [2]. Further studies showed, that *MCPIP1* has a PiT N terminus domain with RNase properties and regulates the stability not only of its own transcript but also of IL-6, IL-1 and IL-12p40 mRNA [3, 4]. Furthermore, recent data revealed that *MCPIP1* can act as a deubiquitinase for tumor necrosis factor receptor (TNFR)-associated factors, thus negatively regulating JNK- and NF- κ B-dependent signaling [5–7]. The newest data identify *MCPIP1* as a broad suppressor of miRNA activity and biogenesis, which counteracts Dicer, a central ribonuclease in the miRNA processing system [8]. Such features predispose *MCPIP1* to play an important role in both physiological and pathological processes related to inflammation. *MCPIP1* gene transcription is rapidly and potently induced upon stimulation with proinflammatory molecules such as TNF- α , MCP-1, IL-1 β and lipopolysaccharide (LPS) [2, 9]. This process is regulated via NF- κ B and MAP kinase pathways [5, 7, 10]. Commitment of such crucial components of the inflammatory cell-signaling network suggests, that *MCPIP1* can be upregulated by different ligand-receptor pairs, including TLRs. However, this remains to be fully elucidated, since *MCPIP1* itself is a factor which critically regulates inflammation [3].

In this study, we report the first comparative analysis of the differential effects of TLR agonists on *MCPIP1* mRNA and protein expression in human primary macrophages, which are reported to express all TLRs [11]. We used either natural or synthetic TLR agonists and also examined the role of viable pathogens (*Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Candida albicans*, adenovirus type 1) on short- and long-term *MCPIP1* regulation in infected macrophages.

Materials and Methods

Reagents

Gentamycin, SYBR Green JumpStart Taq Ready Mix, tryptic soy agar, tryptic soy broth, endotoxin (LPS), lipoteichoic acid (LTA) and poly(I:C) were from Sigma. Fetal calf serum, RPMI-1640, calcium- and magnesium-free PBS (without Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺) and lymphocyte separation medium were obtained from PAA.

Flagellin, R848 and Pam3CSK4 were purchased from Enzo Life Science. CpG was from Hycult Biotechnology. Macrophage-activating lipopeptide (MALP-2) was obtained from Imgenex. The purity of TLR agonists was estimated for CpG 85% (HPLC), flagellin 95% (SDS-PAGE), LPS 80%, MALP-2 95% (HPLC), poly(I:C) 99% (thin layer chromatography) and LTA 97% according to the manufacturer's statement. All agonists, except LPS, were endotoxin free.

Cell Culture

Human monocyte-derived macrophages (hMDMs) were differentiated from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs), as described previously [12]. Blood was obtained from the Red Cross, Krakow, Poland. The Red Cross de-identified blood materials as appropriate for human subjects confidentiality assurance. Thus, the current manuscript adheres to appropriate exclusions from human subjects approval. Briefly, PBMCs were isolated from human blood using a lymphocyte separation medium density gradient yielding the fraction highly enriched in monocytes (90% CD14 positive), as described previously [12]. Cells were plated at 3×10^6 /well in 24-well plates (Sarstedt) in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine, 50 μ g/ml gentamycin and 10% autologous human serum. After 24 h, non-adherent PBMCs were removed by washing with complete medium, and adherent cells were cultured in this medium for 7 days with fresh medium changed every 2 days.

Incubation of hMDMs with TLR Agonists

hMDMs were incubated for various lengths of time in 0.5% human serum RPMI with PBS or the following TLR agonists: LPS (100 ng/ml), LTA (10 μ g/ml), R848 (10 μ M), CpG-DNA (12 μ M), Pam3CSK4 (1 μ g/ml), MALP-2 (10 ng/ml), poly(I:C) (10 μ g/ml) and flagellin (100 ng/ml).

Bacterial, Fungus and Virus Preparation

S. aureus strains ATCC 29213 and Newman (kindly provided by Dr. T. Foster, Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland) and *E. coli* strain (ATCC 25922) were inoculated from stocks into 10 ml tryptic soy broth media and grown to the stationary growth phase at 37°C overnight under constant rotation (180 rpm). *C. albicans* (ATCC 10231) were cultured in pepton-yeast extract medium with 4% of glucose at 25°C overnight under constant rotation (180 rpm). Prior to each inoculation, the bacterial and fungus cells were collected by centrifugation (5,000 g, 5 min), washed with PBS and resuspended in PBS to the desired OD_{600 nm}. For some experiments, numbers of vital staphylococci in samples were verified by plating dilutions on agar plates and counting colonies to determine colony-forming units (CFU)/ml according to a previously published protocol [13]. Adenovirus type 1 stock (kindly provided by Dr. K. Pyrc, Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland) was generated in A549 cells. Cells were lysed at 3 days after infection by three freeze-thaw cycles. A control A549 cell lysate from mock-infected cells was prepared in the same manner as the virus stocks.

Short-Term Infection of Macrophages

Macrophage infection was performed using *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 (multiplicity of infection, MOI 1:5), *E. coli* (MOI 1:5), adenovirus 1 (2,000 TCID₅₀) and *C. albicans* (MOI 1:20). Phagocytosis assays were carried out for 2 h at 37°C at an indicated MOI.

After that cells were rinsed 4 times with ice-cold PBS and infected cells were cultured in medium containing gentamycin (50 µg/ml) for an additional 2 h.

Long-Term Infection of Macrophages

Two models of long-term infection of macrophages were performed. In the first model, we used *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 or *E. coli* at the low MOI 1:5, and the level of *MCPIP1* mRNA was qualitatively determined in infected cells. In the second model, hMDMs were infected with the Newman strain at the high MOI of 1:50, and we analyzed the *MCPIP1* expression until *S. aureus* escaped from macrophages several days after infection. In both models, 2 h after phagocytosis, cells were rinsed 4 times with ice-cold PBS. Any remaining non-phagocytized bacteria were killed by culturing in medium containing gentamycin (50 µg/ml) for 24 h. The medium was then replaced with fresh media without antibiotics, and cultures were maintained for the desired time.

Quantitative Reverse Transcription PCR

Total cellular RNA was extracted from cultured hMDMs using an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen), according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA samples were DNase treated and cDNA was prepared by reverse transcription (RT) using the RevertAid™ First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Fermentas). Five hundred nanograms of RNA from each sample was used for cDNA synthesis reaction with oligo(dT) primers, according to the manufacturer's instructions. Quantitative PCR (qPCR) reaction was performed with a SYBR Green method in a reaction volume of 20 µl, containing 1 µl of cDNA sample, 0.5 µM of each primer and 1 × SYBR Green JumpStart Taq Ready Mix. qRT-PCR forward and reverse primers for *MCPIP1* (ZC3H12A) and for housekeeping *EF2* gene (used for normalization) were used previously [4]. After 5 min of initial denaturation at 95°C, reactions were carried out for 40 cycles at the given conditions: denaturation, 95°C, 30 s; annealing, 62°C, 30 s; extension, 72°C, 30 s, followed by a final elongation step at 72°C for 10 min. All the reactions were performed in duplicates. Means for threshold cycle (Ct) values were calculated and analyzed using the 'delta-delta Ct' quantification method [14]. Routinely, for the evaluation of quality of qRT-PCR reactions, samples were resolved on non-denaturing 1.5% agarose gels and visualized by staining with ethidium bromide.

Cytokine Assay

Five hundred microliters of cell culture supernatants were collected and stored at -80°C until analysis. The level of IL-6 and/or interferon (IFN)-γ was determined by using commercially available ELISA kits, according to the manufacturer's instructions (BD Bioscience).

Protein Isolation and Immunoblotting

Whole cellular extracts from control and stimulated cells were prepared using 100 µl of RIPA-lysis buffer (0.25% Na-deoxycholate, 0.5% Nonidet P-40, 0.05% SDS, protease inhibitor cocktail, 2.5 mM EDTA in PBS) and stored at -20°C. Equal amounts of protein (40 µg/well) were separated using SDS-PAGE 10% gels and electrotransferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (nitrocellulose BioRad) in buffer composed of 25 mM Tris, 0.2 M glycine, 20% methanol (30 V, overnight). Non-specific binding sites were blocked with 3% BSA in TTBS buffer (20 mM Tris,

0.5 M NaCl, pH 7.5 with 0.05% Tween-20) for 1 h, followed by 1–2 h incubation with the relevant primary antibody: 1,000-fold diluted rabbit anti-MCPIP1 (Genetex) or 10,000-fold diluted mouse anti-β-actin (BD Bioscience). Membranes were washed extensively in TTBS buffer and incubated with secondary horse-radish peroxidase-conjugated antibodies, 10,000-fold diluted donkey anti-rabbit IgG or 20,000-fold diluted sheep anti-mouse IgG, for 1 h in TTBS buffer containing 1% BSA. Membranes were washed (4 × 15 min) in TTBS buffer and blots were developed using ECL detection (Western blotting detection reagents; Amersham Biosciences).

Densitometric Analyses

Densitometric analyses of Western blots were performed using Kodak Digital Software. Results are presented as increases over levels in non-stimulated cells.

Statistics

All experiments were performed at least in triplicate, and results are expressed as means ± SD. Results were analyzed for statistical significance using the non-parametric Student t test. Differences were considered significant when $p < 0.05$.

Results

Effects of TLR Agonists on MCPIP1 Expression in Macrophages

A strong increase in *MCPIP1* expression in macrophage cell lines (Raw 264.7 and THP-1) in response to treatment with LPS was recently described [6]. To establish an equivalent and relevant human primary cell model for the evaluation of *MCPIP1* expression upon activation of other TLRs, hMDMs were stimulated with 100 ng/ml LPS. qRT-PCR analysis (fig. 1a) revealed increased *MCPIP1* expression after 1 h of LPS treatment, which reached its maximum at 4 h (6.09 ± 0.84 fold above the control level). Since each TLR recognizes a distinct pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP), primary macrophages were challenged with: LTA from *S. aureus*, synthetic lipoprotein (palmitoylated N-acyl-S-diacylglycerylcysteine, Pam3CSK4), MALP-2, dsRNA [poly(I:C)], bacterial flagellin, imidazoquinoline pharmaceutical compound (R848), unmethylated CpG-DNA, which are TLR2, TLR1/2 heterodimer, TLR2/6 heterodimer, TLR3, TLR5, TLR7/8 heterodimer and TLR9-specific stimuli, respectively. The analysis performed by RT-PCR revealed differential upregulation of *MCPIP1* at the level of transcription (fig. 1b–h). Although initial gene induction was observed 1 h after treatment, with the majority of TLR ligands, the timing of maximal *MCPIP1* expression level varied from agonist to agonist. A similar pattern was observed for Pam3CSK4, LTA and

Fig. 1. Time-dependent modulation of *MCP1* expression by TLR agonists. hMDMs were incubated with PBS or TLR ligands: LPS, 100 ng/ml (**a**); Pam3CSK4, 1 μ g/ml (**b**); LTA, 10 μ g/ml (**c**); MALP-2, 10 ng/ml (**d**); poly(I:C), 10 μ g/ml (**e**); flagellin, 100 ng/ml (**f**); R848, 10 μ M (**g**), and CpG-DNA, 12 μ M (**h**). After the indicated time, to-

tal RNA was isolated and RT-PCR was performed to estimate the level of the *MCP1* transcript. Data represent the mean values calculated from the results of 3–5 independent experiments using hMDMs derived from different donors. Rel. = Relative; C = control. Bars represent the mean relative expression $\pm$ SD. * $p < 0.05$.

Fig. 2. The changes in MCP1 protein levels upon hMDMs treatment with TLR agonists. After the stimulation with TLR agonists, cells were cultured for 4 and 16 h, and then protein fractions were prepared as described in Materials and Methods. A representative immunoblot out of 3 separate experiments performed on hMDMs derived from different donors is shown. MCP1 was visualized by immunoblot using anti-MCP1-specific antibodies. C = Control; p(I:C) = poly(I:C); Pam = Pam3CSK4; Flag = flagellin.

flagellin (fig. 1b, c, f), where maximal *MCPIP1* expression peaked at 17.23 ± 7.4 , 10.89 ± 4.7 and 39.10 ± 14.1 (mean value $\pm$ SD), respectively, after 2 h. In the case of stimulation with LTA, the maximal expression was sustained up to 4 h. Conversely, the level of *MCPIP1* mRNA decreased 4 h after stimulation with Pam3CSK4 and flagellin. The second profile with the highest induction of the *MCPIP1* gene after 4 h was observed when cells were treated with MALP-2, poly(I:C) and R848. These TLR2/6-, TLR3- and TLR7/8-specific agonists caused a fold increase in *MCPIP1* transcript of 22.57 ± 8.4 (MALP-2), 38.5 ± 23.9 [poly(I:C)] and 31.2 ± 14.2 (R848), respectively, above the basic mRNA level in unstimulated cells (fig. 1d, e, g). Interestingly, *MCPIP1* expression was not changed upon CpG stimulation (fig. 1h). Furthermore, the MCP1 protein level determined by specific antibody 4 and 16 h after agonist stimulation resembled the mRNA expression profile (fig. 2). The strongest increase was observed 4 h after cells were treated with R848 and flagellin (5.33 ± 3.1 and 5.98 ± 3.2 fold increase above the control level, respectively). However, the profile of the MCP1 protein level varied 16 h after cell stimulation with agonists. At that time, the most potent MCP1 inducers were LPS, poly(I:C), Pam3CSK4 and MALP-2. Interestingly, CpG increased MCP1 slightly (1.69 ± 0.51 fold) but only 4 h after macrophage stimulation. Collectively, these results clearly show that all TLR agonists, except CpG, induce strong MCP1 expression in human macrophages.

To validate the macrophage activation by the tested TLR agonists, the level of secreted inflammatory mediators was assessed. IL-6 and/or IFN- γ were measured in cell culture medium after stimulation with TLR ligands. Results presented in figure 3 clearly indicate macrophage activation with all ligands tested.

Induction of MCP1 during Short-Term Macrophage Infection

We next investigated whether *MCPIP1* induction in hMDMs occurs during infection with live carriers of PAMPs. Therefore, macrophages were infected with Gram-positive (*S. aureus*) and Gram-negative (*E. coli*) bacteria, DNA virus (adenovirus type 1) and fungus (*C. albicans*). The results shown in figure 4 confirmed the observation with pure PAMPs, since in comparison to non-infected cells, each pathogen potentially induced *MCPIP1* expression within 4 h after infection. The strongest effect was observed during *S. aureus* and *E. coli* infection (14.28 ± 3.9 and 26.4 ± 4.8 fold stimulation, respectively). The bacterial intracellular load in infected hMDMs was estimated for *S. aureus* and *E. coli* at $192,000 \pm 3,421$ and 451 ± 89 CFU per 300,000 macrophages, respectively. *C. albicans* and adenovirus type 1 induced *MCPIP1* at a significantly lower level (4.8 ± 2.29 and 4.36 ± 2.08 fold, respectively).

MCPIP1 Regulation during Long-Term Bacterial Infection

A lot of attention has been focused on the role of *MCPIP1* in the immediate cellular response to proinflammatory stimulation, but little is known about its functioning in long-term responses induced by pathogens. We previously described that phagocytosis of *S. aureus* by hMDMs led to prolonged, silent intracellular survival (up to 7 days) of this pathogen in phagocytes without affecting the viability of host cells [13]. In addition, global analysis of the changes in gene expression in *S. aureus*-infected hMDMs revealed a significant increase in the expression of the *MCPIP1* gene in comparison to 18S rRNA after 8 h (3.0 fold), 24 h (3.4 fold) and 48 h after phagocytosis (2.4 fold) [12]. Therefore, the hMDMs infection model was ap-

Fig. 3. Activation of macrophages by TLR agonists determined by the level of proinflammatory cytokine secretion. hMDMs were untreated or exposed to TLR agonists. At 4 (a) or 24 h (b) after ligand distribution, culture supernatants were harvested and as-

ayed for the presence of IL-6 (a) or IFN-γ (b), respectively, by ELISA, as described in Materials and Methods. Bars represent the mean concentration of secreted cytokines $\pm$ SD. * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$.

Fig. 4. The expression of *MCPIP1* in hMDMs infected with different pathogens. *MCPIP1* expression in macrophages non-infected and infected with *S. aureus* (ATCC 29213), *E. coli*, *C. albicans* or adenovirus type 1 for 4 h was monitored by qRT-PCR. Data represent the mean values calculated from the results of 3 independent experiments using hMDMs derived from different donors. Rel. = Relative; NS = not significant. Bars represent the mean relative expression $\pm$ SD. * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$.

plied to explore *MCPIP1* expression in response to intracellular persistence of the pathogen established by infection of hMDMs with a low dose of *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 (MOI 1:5). For comparison, *E. coli* (ATCC 25922)-infected hMDMs (MOI 1:5) were used, since this strain is efficiently killed inside phagolysosomes shortly after phagocytosis

(fig. 5a). *MCPIP1* expression was determined by quantitative real-time PCR at different time points after infection (fig. 5b). After rapid and strong upregulation of *MCPIP1* upon infection with both pathogens, a significant decrease in expression was observed only in *E. coli* infected macrophages (fig. 5b). In contrast, the elevated expression of *MCPIP1* was sustained or only slightly decreased during the course of infection, yet at 72 h, it was still 10-fold higher than in mock-infected control cells (fig. 5b). Since we have shown that intracellular survival of *S. aureus* stimulated a sustained high level of the *MCPIP1* mRNA in the infected cells, it was tempting to determine whether *S. aureus* escaping from macrophages may also modulate *MCPIP1* expression. Thus, the second model of long-term staphylococci infection was used. This model allows the observation of pathogen escape from the host cell within several days after infection. Macrophages were infected with a high dose (MOI 1:50) of *S. aureus* Newman strain. At consecutive days after infection, extracellular bacteria (CFU) were enumerated by plating the medium and counting the CFU, and the *MCPIP1* transcript level was determined. Interestingly, a dramatic spike in the level of the *MCPIP1* transcript was observed coincident with *S. aureus* escape from macrophages and massive amplification of bacteria in the growth media (table 1). Taken together, these results clearly show that high expression of *MCPIP1* is sustained during long-term bacterial infection and implies that *MCPIP1* can serve as a highly sensitive marker of intracellular bacterial infection by *S. aureus*.

Fig. 5. Comparison of the effect of long-term infection of macrophages with *S. aureus* and *E. coli* on *MCPIP1* expression. **a** At consecutive days after infection, cell lysates were collected and plated onto tryptic soy agar for enumeration of intracellular bacteria (CFU). **b** *MCPIP1* expression in infected hMDMs was monitored by qRT-PCR. Rel. = Relative; NS = not significant. Data represent mean values $\pm$ SD calculated from the results of a representative experiment. * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$.

Table 1. Correlation between *MCPIP1* expression and *S. aureus* escape from macrophages

Time after infection h	Donor 1		Donor 2		Donor 3	
	extracellular bacteria, CFU/ml	<i>MCPIP1/EF2</i> relative expression	extracellular bacteria, CFU/ml	<i>MCPIP1/EF2</i> relative expression	extracellular bacteria, CFU/ml	<i>MCPIP1/EF2</i> relative expression
24	0	7.71	0	5.41	0	4.45
48	0	2.59	7.4×10^4	22.94	0	4.71
72	0.64×10^6	32.64	ND	ND	0	0.64
96	ND	ND	ND	ND	0	0.97
120	ND	ND	ND	ND	9.9×10^5	344.4

Macrophages were allowed to engulf *S. aureus* (Newman strain) at an MOI of 50 for 2 h, were washed, and then extracellular bacteria were killed by gentamycin. Macrophages were cultured in media without antibiotics. At consecutive days after infection, media were aspirated and plated onto TSA for enumeration of extracellular bacteria (CFU). Simultaneously, total RNA

was isolated and qRT-PCR reaction was performed to estimate the level of the *MCPIP1* transcript. The data illustrate results obtained in 3 representative experiments in which intracellular *S. aureus* escaped from macrophages at different time points after infection. ND = Not defined.

Discussion

MCPIP1 is regulated by a broad range of proinflammatory cytokines (TNF- α , IL-1 β , MCP-1) and also by bacterial antigen LPS [2, 4, 7]. Despite the fact that *MCPIP1* is described as a TLR-inducible gene [3], the complex modulation of its expression on the mRNA and protein levels upon stimulation with known TLR agonists has never been documented. Here, we described for the first time the broad analysis of *MCPIP1* regulation by

different TLR ligands. Our results clearly show that with the exception of CpG, all TLR agonists can strongly potentiate *MCPIP1* expression at the level of mRNA and produce protein in human macrophages. To minimize the autocrine and paracrine stimulations by secreted cytokines that may contribute to *MCPIP1* expression, the duration of the experiments was limited to 4 h. Within 2 h after stimulation, the level of secreted cytokines was negligible; therefore, we suggest that the observed *MCPIP1* induction is the direct consequence of TLR activation.

The initial induction occurred within an hour of treatment with agonists, but further time-dependent response varied for different pattern recognition receptor agonists. For Pam3CSK4 or flagellin after rapid *MCPIP1* induction, the mRNA levels declined in a time-dependent manner, whereas for the rest of the tested ligands, including LPS, the level of the *MCPIP1* transcript peaked at 4 h after stimulation. A similar effect was noticed previously in human and mouse macrophages stimulated with LPS (100 ng/ml) [6]. The effect of the sustained high-level *MCPIP1* transcript may be a consequence of mRNA stabilization, which has already been documented for some other cytokine gene transcripts after LPS stimulation. Furthermore, the high level of the *MCPIP1* protein detected 16 h after stimulation with several TLR agonists may not only confirm the above notion but it also strongly suggests protection of the *MCPIP1* protein from intracellular degradation. Taking into account the response to other TLR ligands, it must be underlined that the maximal *MCPIP1* expression induced by endotoxin during the time course of experiments was significantly lower (6.09 ± 0.85) compared to the effect induced by other TLR agonists (26.77 ± 5.6). Interestingly, these findings do not correlate with much stronger induction of *MCPIP1* by *E. coli* infections comparing to other pathogens (*S. aureus*, *C. albicans* and adenovirus 1). This discrepancy is probably due to the fact that many signaling pathways in addition to TLRs are involved in *MCPIP1* induction during infection with live bacteria. Secondly, the difference in induction of *MCPIP1* by LPS and other agonists may result from a relatively low dose of endotoxin used in our study. In the case of CpG, which among all tested agonists exerts only slight induction of *MCPIP1* at the protein level, such observation can be explained by insufficient expression and, in consequence, by activation of the TLR9 receptor on hMDMs.

The observed difference in *MCPIP1* mRNA induction by TLR agonists does not correlate with cellular localization of their receptors [15]. TLRs can be classified into two groups based upon cellular location. TLRs 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6 are found on the cell membrane and can be activated by extracellular PAMPs. By contrast, the presence of TLRs 3, 7, 8 and 9 in membranes of intracellular compartments, such as endosomes and lysosomes, allows detection of nucleic acids (i.e. DNA or RNA) released from viruses or bacteria that are degraded within endosomes and lysosomes inside the cell [15]. *MCPIP1* possesses RNase activity that regulates its own transcript [3, 4]. Thus, one may suspect that the decline in *MCPIP1* mRNA 4 h after Pam3CSK4 or flagellin stimulation is a consequence of

enhanced self-degradation. However, such contention is contradicted by sustained increase in *MCPIP1* transcript in the case of hMDM stimulation with R848 and LTA. Therefore, the mechanism of differential activation of *MCPIP1* expression needs further examination.

The tissue distribution of *MCPIP1* transcript revealed the highest expression of the *MCPIP1* gene in leukocytes, suggesting an important role for *MCPIP1* in the functioning of the immunological system [4]. In this study, we show that hMDMs present the perfect model to study *MCPIP1* regulation upon stimulation of different TLRs, as well as during macrophage interactions with different pathogens. In keeping with the latter suggestion, we showed that *MCPIP1* is induced in hMDMs upon the interaction with different live pathogens and that this most likely mimics the situation during the infection process in vivo. Much attention is paid to rapid, short-term up-regulation of *MCPIP1* [3], whereas little is known about long-term effects. Macrophages play a vital role in host defense against invading pathogens; however, they can provide a safe niche for silent intracellular survival of bacteria as illustrated by *S. aureus* [13]. Using hMDMs from selected donors, we documented sustained *MCPIP1* expression during prolonged *S. aureus* persistence in macrophages over the course of several days. Since *MCPIP1* negatively controls oxidative killing mechanisms and directs macrophages towards the anti-inflammatory phenotype, one may suspect that sustained *MCPIP1* expression during infection would support prolonged intracellular survival of *S. aureus* in cells with compromised antibacterial activity. In contrast to this Gram-positive pathogen, the infection with *E. coli* leads to stronger upregulation of *MCPIP1* shortly after pathogen engulfment, but the level of *MCPIP1* decreases parallel to pathogen eradication. The initial strong induction of *MCPIP1* upon infection with *E. coli* may represent a defense strategy against invading pathogens, since *MCPIP1* stimulates expression of a variety of pro-apoptotic genes. It should be underlined that at equivalent MOI, *E. coli* is more potent in inducing cell death than *S. aureus* (online suppl. fig. 1, see www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000339826). The decline in *MCPIP1* mRNA level in *E. coli* infected macrophages within days after infection may suggest the silencing of inflammatory reaction in response to bacterial eradication. Therefore, we propose that depending on the pathogen, the precise regulation and the level of *MCPIP1* during infection can be beneficial for either the host or an invader.

The regulation of macrophage activation in response to LPS is suggested as the main *MCPIP1* function. How-

ever, new data suggest that this protein can play a role in protection against overstimulation of the innate immune response by other TLR agonists. Matsushita et al. [3] demonstrated, in macrophages from *Zc3h12a*(-/-) [*MCPIP*(-/-)] mice, a highly increased production of IL-6 and IL-12p40 in response to poly(I:C), LPS, R848 and CpG. However, this study did not distinguish whether the anti-inflammatory effect of *MCPIP* was the consequence of a constitutively high endogenous level of *MCPIP* in leukocytes [4], or whether the observed effect was the result of the *MCPIP* expression induced by TLR signaling. In this context, our data complement this significant observation by showing that instant, strong upregulation of *MCPIP* mRNA expression via signaling through TLRs is essential for the control of macrophage activation.

The majority of the data showing *MCPIP* regulation and function have been obtained using cell lines or murine models. By contrast, all experiments presented here were carried out on human primary macrophages. The study revealed that the level of induction of *MCPIP* by TLR agonists appeared to be donor dependent. Nevertheless, despite the variable degrees of *MCPIP* expression in hMDMs from different donors, the general time-dependent profile of *MCPIP* induction by each agonist was preserved. Significantly, the overall activation of macro-

phages derived from different donors, monitored by the general profile of cytokine secretion, was at a comparable level. This indicates that the level of *MCPIP* expression in different individuals can vary in a broad range. One might propose that *MCPIP* is a highly sensitive sensor of TLR-signaling agonists, which may differently curb the immune response in different individuals. Therefore, future studies exploring this difference in the context of individual susceptibility to pathogen infection are of great interest.

In summary, our results clearly indicate a role for *MCPIP* as a potent sensor for TLR-dependent activation of the immune system. Future studies will help to elucidate the importance and mechanisms of *MCPIP* involvement in the pathophysiology of human infectious diseases, especially those caused by chronic, persistent infections.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants from the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education N N301 050439 (to J.K.) and 776/N-COST/2010/0 (to J.J.). The Faculty of Biochemistry, Biophysics and Biotechnology of the Jagiellonian University is a beneficiary of structural funds from the European Union (POIG.02.01.00-12-064/08).

References

- Medzhitov R, Janeway C Jr: Innate immunity. *N Engl J Med* 2000;343:338–344.
- Zhou L, Azfer A, Niu J, Graham S, Choudhury M, Adamski FM, Younce C, Binkley PF, Kolattukudy PE: Monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 induces a novel transcription factor that causes cardiac myocyte apoptosis and ventricular dysfunction. *Circ Res* 2006; 98:1177–1185.
- Matsushita K, Takeuchi O, Standley DM, Kumagai Y, Kawagoe T, Miyake T, Satoh T, Kato H, Tsujimura T, Nakamura H, Akira S: *Zc3h12a* is an RNase essential for controlling immune responses by regulating mRNA decay. *Nature* 2009;458:1185–1190.
- Mizgalska D, Wegrzyn P, Murzyn K, Kasza A, Koj A, Jura J, Jarzab B, Jura J: Interleukin-1-inducible *MCPIP* protein has structural and functional properties of RNase and participates in degradation of IL-1 β mRNA. *FEBS J* 2009;276:7386–7399.
- Skalniak L, Mizgalska D, Zarebski A, Wyrzykowska P, Koj A, Jura J: Regulatory feedback loop between NF- κ B and MCP-1-induced protein 1 RNase. *FEBS J* 2009;276:5892–5905.
- Liang J, Wang J, Azfer A, Song W, Tromp G, Kolattukudy PE, Fu M: A novel CCCH-zinc finger protein family regulates proinflammatory activation of macrophages. *J Biol Chem* 2008;283:6337–6346.
- Liang J, Saad Y, Lei T, Wang J, Qi D, Yang Q, Kolattukudy PE, Fu M: MCP-induced protein 1 deubiquitinates TRAF proteins and negatively regulates JNK and NF- κ B signaling. *J Exp Med* 2010;207:2959–2973.
- Suzuki HI, Arase M, Matsuyama H, Choi YL, Ueno T, Mano H, Sugimoto K, Miyazono K: *MCPIP* ribonuclease antagonizes dicer and terminates microRNA biogenesis through precursor microRNA degradation. *Mol Cell* 2011;44:424–436.
- Jura J, Wegrzyn P, Korostyński M, Guzik K, Oczko-Wojciechowska M, Jarzab M, Kowalska M, Piechota M, Przewłocki R, Koj A: Identification of interleukin-1 and interleukin-6-responsive genes in human monocyte-derived macrophages using microarrays. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2008;1779:383–389.
- Kasza A, Wyrzykowska P, Horwacik I, Tymoszuć P, Mizgalska D, Palmer K, Rokita H, Sharrocks AD, Jura J: Transcription factors Elk-1 and SRF are engaged in IL1-dependent regulation of *ZC3H12A* expression. *BMC Mol Biol* 2010;11:14.
- Zarembek KA, Godowski PJ: Tissue expression of human Toll-like receptors and differential regulation of Toll-like receptor mRNAs in leukocytes in response to microbes, their products, and cytokines. *J Immunol* 2002;168:554–561 (erratum published in *J Immunol* 2002;169:1136).
- Koziel J, Maciag-Gudowska A, Mikołajczyk T, Bzowska M, Sturdevant DE, Whitney AR, Shaw LN, DeLeo FR, Potempa J: Phagocytosis of *Staphylococcus aureus* by macrophages exerts cytoprotective effects manifested by the upregulation of antiapoptotic factors. *PLoS One* 2009;4:e5210.
- Kubica M, Guzik K, Koziel J, Zarebski M, Richter W, Gajkowska B, Golda A, Maciag-Gudowska A, Brix K, Shaw L, Foster T, Potempa J: A potential new pathway for *Staphylococcus aureus* dissemination: the silent survival of *S. aureus* phagocytosed by human monocyte-derived macrophages. *PLoS One* 2008;3:e1409.
- Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD: Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(- $\Delta\Delta C_T$) method. *Methods* 2001;25:402–408.
- Akira S, Uematsu S, Takeuchi O: Pathogen recognition and innate immunity. *Cell* 2006; 124:783–801.