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Summary
Background: Breast cancer is the most common cancer diagnosed during pregnancy. Case Report: We report on a case of a 26-year-old woman who was diagnosed with right-sided breast cancer in her 15th week of gestation. We discussed possible treatment scenarios and the patient opted for neoadjuvant therapy with taxanes and anthracyclines during pregnancy, followed by delivery and then followed by surgery, antibody therapy, and radiotherapy. The patient received neoadjuvant chemotherapy with paclitaxel 80 mg/m² weekly for 12 cycles, followed by 4 cycles of epirubicin and cyclophosphamide (90/600 mg/m²) every 3 weeks. Complete clinical response was seen after preoperative chemotherapy. After delivery of a healthy child at 40 weeks of gestation, she received breast-conserving surgery and axillary dissection. Anti-HER2 antibody treatment with trastuzumab was started concomitantly with adjuvant radiotherapy. Endocrine treatment with a gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) analog and tamoxifen for 5 years was planned to be started after radiotherapy. Conclusion: Treatment of breast cancer during pregnancy requires an interdisciplinary approach and careful consideration of the patient’s stage of disease, the gestational age, and the preferences of the patient and her family.
Introduction

Pregnancy-associated breast cancer (PABC) is a rare disease with an incidence of 1:3,000, generally defined as cancer that occurs during pregnancy or within 1 year after delivery. The pathological features of breast cancer diagnosed during pregnancy have been analyzed in numerous studies. Because of the retrospective nature of such studies and the paucity of case-control studies, it is impossible to directly compare the biological properties of breast cancer in pregnant and non-pregnant patients of similar age [1].

Treatment options are limited when the disease is diagnosed during pregnancy. Breast surgery can be safely performed during all trimesters of pregnancy, with minimal risk to the developing fetus. It may be chosen to wait until the 12th week of gestation has been completed, because of a higher risk of spontaneous abortion [2]. During surgery, monitoring of the fetus should take place depending on gestational age. Sentinel lymph node biopsy has not been systematically evaluated in PABC patients. The dose of radiation to the fetus through the use of technetium has been estimated to be low, and some reports have shown that pregnant patients could be offered sentinel lymph node biopsy after counseling with regard to the amounts of radiation involved, calculated to reach a maximum of 4.3 mGy. Isosulfan blue dye mapping is not recommended in pregnant patients because of possible side effects, i.e. anaphylaxis [3].

The indications for adjuvant chemotherapy in pregnant patients are identical to those in non-pregnant patients. However, adjuvant hormonal therapy, monoclonal antibody treatment (trastuzumab) and radiation therapy cannot be given during pregnancy because of possible complications, fetal toxicities and late sequelae [2].

Placental transfer of trastuzumab has been observed in animal studies. Several case reports have described reversible oligo- or anhydramnions as a result of exposure to trastuzumab during pregnancy [3]. Neonatal defects from tamoxifen have been described in the genital tract in female mice. Although tamoxifen has safely been given in patients with metastatic breast cancer without damage to the child, there are other reports of birth defects such as Goldenhar syndrome and ambiguous genitalia in children born to women exposed to tamoxifen [4]. Radiation exposure in the 1st trimester of gestation has been associated with an increased risk of mental retardation and fetal malformation. Radiotherapy is usually planned after chemotherapy and surgery have been completed. Therefore the initiation of hormonal and radiation therapy can be postponed without compromising efficacy.

Preoperative neoadjuvant chemotherapy may be indicated for the therapy of locally advanced breast cancer according to recent consensus guidelines [2, 3, 5].

The optimal timing of chemotherapy is crucial. While it is obviously preferable to postpone chemotherapy until after delivery, this is not always possible. Locally advanced breast cancer needs to be treated in a preoperative concept. In addition, for patients whose tumors do not express estrogen and/or progesterone receptors, a late start of chemotherapy, i.e. later than 3 weeks after surgery, may worsen the prognosis as compared to an early start of chemotherapy [6].

The efficacy of very late adjuvant chemotherapies, e.g. starting later than 8 weeks after surgery, is unknown. Thus, chemotherapy may need to be started during pregnancy. Chemotherapy is contraindicated in the 1st trimester of pregnancy when the fetus undergoes organogenesis and is vulnerable to the teratogenic effects of chemotherapeutic substances [7]. If chemotherapy cannot be postponed to the 2nd trimester of pregnancy, abortion might be an option. Abortion by itself has never been proven to have a beneficial therapeutic effect in breast cancer [8]. In the 2nd and 3rd trimesters, chemotherapy is relatively safe as illustrated by the most recent series of patients reported by investigators from the M.D. Anderson Cancer Center and from the Royal Marsden Hospital [9, 10].

Antiemetics such as short-term glucocorticosteroids and most serotonin receptor III antagonists are considered safe in pregnancy. Ondansetron has the longest safety record, and no increased frequency of adverse effects has been reported in connection with treating pregnant patients [4].

Case Report

Our patient, a 26-year-old Caucasian, gravida 1, para 0, was diagnosed June 2009 with invasive ductal cancer of the right breast in the 15th week of gestation. The patient wished explicitly to continue the pregnancy and also to have optimal therapy against her breast cancer. The family history itself has never been proven to have a beneficial therapeutic effect in breast cancer [8]. She declined a chest X-ray with abdominal shielding and a screening noncontrast magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the thoracic and lumbar spine.

We evaluated all staging (abdominal ultrasound) and histopathological results in our interdisciplinary tumor board and recommended, in the 15th week of gestation, neoadjuvant chemotherapy with paclitaxel weekly for 12 cycles, followed by 4 applications of epirubicin and cyclophosphamide every 3 weeks (table 1). In addition, we discussed with the patient the potential efficacy and safety of a sentinel lymph node biopsy before chemotherapy, given that the sensitivity of sentinel lymph node mapping is reduced when only 1 modality is used (contraindication for isosulfan blue dye mapping because of anaphylaxis). She finally decided against sentinel node biopsy. After delivery, we recommended surgery (breast-conserving approach depending on tumor response after neoadjuvant chemotherapy and primary axillary lymph node dissection), and after paupereium (approx. 2 weeks after delivery), adjuvant radiotherapy, anti-HER2 therapy with trastuzumab and anti-angiogenic therapy.

We discussed intensively with the patient and her family the therapeutic options and outcome of pregnancy during this treatment. The patient was under continuous additional care of our breast nurse and psychosocial oncologist. The departments of hematooncology, obstetrics, embryology, neonatology, toxicology, and radiology were involved.

Neoadjuvant chemotherapy was initiated in July 2009 (19th gestational week) after subcutaneous implantation of a port system. The patient received paclitaxel weekly 80 mg/m² given for 12 weeks, followed by 4 cycles of epirubicin 90 mg/m² and cyclophosphamide 600 mg/m², every 3 weeks. The tumor was marked with a titanium clip after 9 cycles
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Table 1. Tumor characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary tumor</th>
<th>right breast: cT2 (2 × 2.7 cm sonographically) cN0 G3 core biopsy: invasive ductal carcinoma ER 0%, PR 5%, HER2-neu 3+ clip implantation in the breast after 9 cycles of paclitaxel weekly (sonographically 7 × 8 mm at 11:00, upper quadrant)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imaging</td>
<td>cM0 status: abdominal ultrasound: no signs of liver metastasis negative chest X-ray after birth negative bone scintigraphy after birth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathohistology after neoadjuvant chemotherapy and after operation</td>
<td>ypTis pN0(0/19) cMo L0 V0 Ro final histological report: regressive ductal carcinoma in situ only (2.1 mm), fibrosis max. 34 mm (former tumor area before neoadjuvant chemotherapy), no residual invasive cancer cells tumor regression according to Sinn’s criteria grade 3; clip located in the former tumor area tumor markers: Ca 15-3 22.1 kU/l, bone AP 18 U/l</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ER = Estrogen receptor, PR = progesterone receptor, Ca = cancer antigen, AP = alkaline phosphatase.

Conclusions

This is one of the first reports on an inverse sequence of paclitaxel followed by anthracycline during pregnancy. This has been chosen based on the data by Earl et al. [11], which demonstrated a significant increase in pathological complete response (pCR) by giving neoadjuvant sequential paclitaxel...
with or without gemcitabine, followed by epirubicin and cyclophosphamide. Data on taxanes during pregnancy are limited; however, a recent overview by Mir et al. [12] demonstrated no detrimental effects on the infant. In the treatment of the pregnant breast cancer patient, the evidence upon which we base our decisions has been largely limited to case reports, case-control studies, and retrospective cohorts. There is no ‘hard’ indication to terminate the pregnancy since the maternal prognosis will not be influenced. Due to physiologic pregnancy-related changes in the breast, the interpretation of clinical findings, breast ultrasound and mammography is challenging. There is often a diagnostic delay in detecting PABC. In the 3rd trimester, breast-conserving surgery and radiotherapy after delivery represent an option. Chemotherapy can be administered relatively safe in the 2nd and 3rd trimester. Radiotherapy, hormonal therapy and trastuzumab are contraindicated during pregnancy. Patients with PABC should be seen and treated in an interdisciplinary setting, preferably in a specialized center [13].

It is important to emphasize the role of cancer registries in the improvement of care for PABC patients. In April 2003, the German Breast Group launched a prospective and retrospective registry for women with breast cancer during pregnancy. Until March 2011, 400 patients with the diagnosis of breast cancer during pregnancy were prospectively and retrospectively collected [14]. All women with a diagnosis of breast cancer during pregnancy can be registered independently of the applied therapy. The primary end point of the registry is the outcome of the baby 4 weeks after delivery. Secondary end points are the outcome of the mother, complications during pregnancy, the outcome of the child after 5 years, the biological and histological properties of the cancer, tumor stage at the time of diagnosis, the therapies used, and the methods used during pregnancy to diagnose cancer. Randomized controlled studies are unlikely to succeed because of the rare incidence of PABC. Nevertheless, it is crucial to enable the collection of prospective or retrospective data such as those collected in the database of the German Breast Group, for the improvement of interdisciplinary management of PABC.
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