Selective Granulocyte and Monocyte Apheresis as a Non-Pharmacological Option for Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease
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Summary
Ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease are the two most prevalent inflammatory bowel diseases. In both cases, the medically refractory and steroid-dependent type presents a therapeutic challenge. To help resolve this problem, a mainly Japanese team developed a new therapeutic option. There are two systems, both of which are able to selectively remove the main mediators of the disease, namely the activated pro-inflammatory cytokine-producing granulocytes and monocytes/macrophages, from the patient’s blood circulation (GMA = granuloocyte monocyte apheresis). One of the two systems is the Adacolumn\textsuperscript{b} (Immunoresearch Laboratories, Takasaki, Japan) consisting of the ADA-monitor and a single-use column, which contains approximately 35,000 cellulose acetate beads. The exact mode of action is not yet sufficiently understood, but however, a modulation of the immune system takes place. As a result, less pro-inflammatory cytokines are released. Furthermore, the production of anti-inflammatory interleukin-1 receptor antagonist is increased, and the apoptosis of granulocytes boosted. The decreased LECAM-1-expression on leukocytes impedes the leukotaxis to the inflamed tissue, and CD10-negative immature granulocytes appear in the peripheral blood. Another effect to be mentioned is the removal of the peripheral dendritic cells and the leachate of regulatory T cells (T-regs). The second system is the Cellsorba\textsuperscript{b} FX Filter (Asahi Medical, Tokyo, Japan). The range of efficiency, the indication, and the procedure are very similar to the Adacolumn. Solely the additional removal of lymphocytes can possibly limit the implementation since lymphopenia can increase the risk of autoimmune disease. Both systems provide a low-risk therapy with few adverse reactions. ASFA recommendations for GMA in inflammatory bowel disease are 2B due to the fact that not enough randomized double-blind studies are available to proof the efficacy of this treatment.
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Zusammenfassung
Introduction

To understand a disease as an imbalance of inner harmony is as old as medicine itself. Already medicine men and healers of the Neolithic tried to separate (apherein = to separate, Greek) ‘the good’ from ‘the bad’ [1, 2].

Blood letting (phlebotomy) was the therapeutic option centuries ago to remove the cause of disease. Today, this rather crude technique is replaced by the apheresis technique. Nowadays the removal of pathogens or disease-modulating agents (i.e. activated leukocytes) is done by either a complete depletion like plasma exchange therapy (TPE) or by the selective removal of immunoglobulins (immunoadsorption) or target cells (selective cell apheresis, cytopheresis) [1]. Selective leukocyte apheresis was developed for the selective removal of activated leukocytes, predominately granulocytes and monocytes or macrophages from the patients’ circulation (granulocyte monocyte apheresis = GMA). Especially in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), namely ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn’s disease (CD), the severity of the disease and mucosal damage correlates with the excess of mucosal granulocyte infiltration [3–5]. Activated granulocytes are the main source of pro-inflammatory cytokines enhancing and perpetuating inflammation and increasing shelf life of the leukocytes itself which induces a circulus vitiosus [6–9]. In the end of the 1980s, lympho-plasma apheresis was an established method in the treatment of IBD. It was replaced by the selective removal of T lymphocytes. The mode of action was unclear but this treatment promised to be a therapeutic option for patients with UC and CD [10]. In both entities patients require long-time drug treatment and are exposed to potentially severe side effects due to the therapy with steroids or monoclonal antibodies [11–13]. Additionally, steroid refractoriness or steroid dependence as well as the threat of surgery led to the investigation of further non-pharmacological treatment options which selectively remove leukocytes – the main source of inducing and maintaining intestinal inflammation [14, 15]. Thus, two types of leukocyte removal systems for GMA were developed: the adsorptive carrier-based Adacolumn® system (Immunoresearch Laboratories, Takasaki, Japan) and a removal filter column, the Cellsorba® FX (Asahi Medical, Tokyo, Japan). In this paper we focused mainly on Adacolumn treatment due to the fact that the Adacolumn treatment is more frequent in European countries than the Cellsorba therapy and both have exactly the same domain. Both systems have been compared in a prospective clinical trial that demonstrated equivalent response in patients with moderate-to-severe active UC [16] (ASFA guidelines).

Device and Methods

Both systems described in the following are self-contained devices. A combination with the active column (filter) and a conventional cell separator is currently not possible.

Adacolumn

The ADA system (A = adsorption, D = distribution and A = apheresis), consisting of the ADA-monitor, a tubing set and a column (fig. 1), has been developed for selective adsorption of granulocytes and monocytes/macrophages (GMA). It is approved by the Japanese Ministry of Health and Welfare and is CE marked in Japan and Europe by the TUV since 1999 [17, 18]. Adacolumn is a whole-blood type cytopheresis system. The adsorption mechanism is based on a column, filled with about 35,000 cellulose acetate beads (G-1 beads) (fig. 1). Heparin-anticoagulated whole blood passes the column where the adsorption of activated leukocytes (granulocytes and monocytes/macrophages) proceeds. Leukocyte-depleted...
Inflamed tissues. Down-regulation of L-selectin combined with up-regulation of Mac-1 led to marked decrease in the adhesive capacity of leukocytes to the inflamed tissues [18, 23]. The role of L-selectin in inflammation is well known and a target for anti-inflammatory therapy [25].

Waitz et al. [26] identified a significantly lower number of dendritic cells after the Adacolumn treatment, which may also contribute to the efficacy of this therapy. Further, the Adacolumn system is known to induce an immunomodulation due to adsorption of cytokine-producing monocytes, mainly the pro-inflammatory CD14+ CD16+ DR++ subset. This monocyte subpopulation can promote and perpetuate inflammatory conditions by producing large amounts of pro-inflammatory cytokines including TNF-α and IL-1β [20, 23, 24]. The adsorption of this elevated pro-inflammatory phenotype was found to result in a dramatic decrease of TNF-α and IL-1β levels [18–20, 23, 24] which also leads to apoptosis of activated leukocytes. As mentioned above, activated leukocytes are known to have an increased life span and therefore an inflammation-prolonging effect [6]. Not only the decrease of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-1ra, IL-6, IL-8) but also the increase of anti-inflammatory cytokines was shown to contribute to the therapeutic effect of Adacolumn treatment [6, 18, 20, 23, 24]. As already reported, the anti-inflammatory cytokine release into the patients’ circulation is caused by leukocyte adhesion to the G-1 beads [18, 20, 21, 23, 24].

An effect which is generally observed in the extracorporeal treatment setting is the rise in CD4+ CD25+ regulatory T cells [27, 28]. This phenomenon is also seen in patients undergoing extracorporeal photopheresis treatment (ECP) [29, 30]. The naturally occurring T cells are known to play an important role in controlling the immune function in the digestive tract as well [28]. They have a great potential in the prevention of excessive immune reactions. The efficacy of Adacolumn treatment in AIDS is inter alia ascribed to this phenomenon (rise of CD4+ T cells) [24, 31].

**Side Effects**

The Adacolumn treatment has an excellent safety profile. Reported side effects were mild, and until now no procedure had to be discontinued due to adverse events. Typical adverse reactions were dizziness, nausea, headache, flushing, and fever [32].

**Anticoagulation**

According to the manufacturer’s recommendations, the system requires unfractionated heparins (UFH) or nafamostate mesilate (a synthetic serine protease inhibitor, which is commonly used in Japan) as anticoagulation [33]. UFH bears the risk to induce heparin-induced thrombocytopenia type II (HIT II); thus the peripheral platelet counts has to be monitored carefully [34] during the treatment. In case of developing HIT II the treatment has to be stopped or switched to the CellSORBA system which allows ACD-A as anticoagulation medium.
Clinical Effectiveness

The severity of the disease is classified for UC by a Clinical Activity Index (CAI), Rachmilewitz index, or Mayo score including stool frequency, rectal bleeding, the endoscopic activity of the colon, and a physician rating of disease activity. Each of these items is given a number from 0 to 3, with 3 being the highest rating for disease activity. Additionally laboratory findings (e.g. peripheral hemoglobin values, leukocyte counts, sedimentation rate, and CRP values) are recorded [45]. A drop to less than 2 points is defined as a clinically relevant remission. The Crohn’s Disease Activity Index (CDAI) is a research tool used to quantify the symptoms of patients with CD. CDAI is used in order to define response or remission of disease. The CDAI was developed by Best and colleagues [46] from the Midwest Regional Health Center in Illinois in 1976. Further, in steroid-dependent or steroid-refractory patients the tapering or discontinuation of steroids is assessed as a clinical response [19, 47].

Most clinical trials are conducted using the Adacolumn system in both UC and CD whereby UC is the leading entity. The best responders seem to be steroid-naïve patients followed by steroid-dependent patients or steroid-refractory patients with a short history of disease [19, 32]. In 2005 a double-blind, sham-controlled trial was initiated to prove the efficacy of GMA in UC in Europe (Adacolumn® Trial 512-04-205). This trial failed to demonstrate the efficacy for induction of clinical remission in patients with moderate-to-severe UC but showed an endoscopic improvement in patients with a Riley score 7 (histologically active inflammation) [17]. Yamamoto et al. [48] also showed that GMA is more effective in patients...
with active UC. Clinical remission was observed in 56 of 124 of included patients (45%). In 32 of the responding patients additional mucosal healing was achieved, resulting in maintaining clinical remission at a significantly higher rate than in patients without mucosal healing.

In 2011, Zhu et al. [44] confirmed in a meta-analysis the excellent tolerability of GMA and postulated that GMA may be superior in inducing remission and tapering steroid dosage to conventional pharmacotherapy in patients with UC. The same conclusion was drawn in a review from Habermalz and Sauerland [49]. More patients responded to GMA than to an increase in steroid dosage.

The number and frequency of treatments is a recurring issue in this setting. Conventionally 5 sessions (1/week) are performed in the European countries as standard [50]. But also 10 or more treatments are performed in patients with severe UC [47, 50, 51], in the ‘Sandtrial’ 10 sessions within 8 weeks were scheduled [17]. Dignass et al. [50] were able to demonstrate that 5 treatments are not inferior to 10 treatments in terms of clinical remission and tapering of steroid dosage. The shorter treatment regimen is more convenient for patients and staff and less cost-expensive.

Almost all studies are able to show a satisfying short-term outcome accompanied by negligible side effects of GMA but fail to address the long-term outcome.

Other Indications

The therapeutic value of GMA in autoimmune diseases which are associated with elevated and activated granulocytes, circulating immune complexes, and high levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines are well known [19, 20, 43]. Thus a beneficial effect of GMA was also observed in rheumatoid arthritis [52–54]. The field of application has widened to virus-induced immune alterations. AIDS pathogenesis results from immunological response to HIV [31]. GMA was shown to be effective in changing the immunological situation to normal by increasing CD4 helper T cells and reducing virus load [31]. The same effect (decreasing virus load) was observed in patients with chronic hepatitis C [55, 56].

Diseases which may benefit from GMA and modes of action are listed in table 1.

### Table 1. Diseases and responsible mode of actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Mode of action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UC</td>
<td>depletion of activated leukocytes, Immunomodulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>depletion of activated leukocytes, Immunomodulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA</td>
<td>depletion of neutrophils, IgG and complement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyoderma, psoriasis</td>
<td>downregulation of chemokine receptor CXC3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>reduction of virus load and increase of CD4+ T cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV</td>
<td>reduction of virus load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behcet’s disease</td>
<td>depletion of neutrophils</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RA = rheumatoid arthritis.

In a prospective trial, 12 patients (2 females, 10 males; median age 49 (range 24–66) years) diagnosed with UC were treated with GMA at our institution. All of them received mesalazine (5-aminosalicylic acid, 5-ASA) and steroids; 3 patients were also given immunosuppressive drugs. The history of disease ranged between 3 and 12 years. The median CAI was 8.5 (range 4–14) points. All patients were treated with Adacolumn in weekly intervals for 5 sessions (patients with CAI < 8, n = 7) or for 10 sessions (patients with CAI ≥ 8, n = 5). In each session 1,800 ml whole blood was processed. Side effects were mild and included cephalgia, dizziness, fatigue, and fever at night. No treatment had to be discontinued, and no intervention due to side effects was necessary. The steroid demand, CAI, CRP, and peripheral blood counts were monitored preapheresis, 2 weeks post apheresis and then monthly during a period of 12 months (fig. 4, table 2). Our aim was to induce clinical remission and tapering of steroids.

Three male patients were excluded from further evaluation. One of them underwent hemicolectomy immediately after the completion of Adacolumn treatment. The second patient was set on CsA 2 weeks after Adacolumn discontinuation, and the 3rd one developed rectum carcinoma 9 months after GMA and was excluded at this time point. A mean drop of 2 CAI points was observed 2 weeks after treatment in the patients.
evaluate patients (10/12). After 12 months, 4 patients were evaluable for monitoring. We recorded a mean CAI of 4 (range 0–8) points in these patients. None of them was on steroids at this time (fig. 4). Data of 5 patients are pending. In summary, our observations complied with the observations described in the literature. In patients who responded to GMA, a slight decrease of disease activity and a statistically significant reduction in steroid demand was recorded (p = 0.001). For statistical analysis a post hoc variance analysis for multiple testing was applied.

Conclusion

The short-term efficacy of GMA in IBD, predominantly in UC, is proven. The treatment appears to be well tolerated, induces short-time remission, and has a drug-sparing effect. Additionally, it can be applied in diseases of other entities which induce similar immunological conditions.

A major drawback of most studies is the short observation period of several weeks to a maximum of 12 months. In 2007 Ljung et al. [57] reported a surveillance of 17 months.

Thus, more randomized, sham-controlled, double-blind trials with a long-term surveillance to evaluate the long-term outcome, treatment schedules, and cost-effectiveness (equipment, pharmacological therapy, avoidance of surgical intervention) are required. Based on the fact that IBD are chronic, relapsing diseases where spontaneous remission rates of up to 40% per year are not unusual, the implementation of GMA as a routine treatment option in IBD might be reflected thoroughly in view of the missing long-term outcome data.

Guidelines

American Society for Apheresis (ASFA)

According to current data, the ASFA assigned GMA in IBD to category II with a recommendation level of grade 2B (weak recommendation, moderate quality evidence) [16].

Rationale for Therapeutic Apheresis [16]

Because of evidence suggesting that granulocytes and monocytes (GM) are pathogenic and the degree of GM infiltration correlates with severity of disease, therapies targeting GM and accompanying inflammatory mediators have been studied. Randomized controlled clinical trials of selective apheresis have demonstrated clinical improvement in IBD patients, including those who are steroid-resistant.

North East Treatment Advisory Group (NETAG)

In 2011, the NETAG published a guidance for leukopheresis with Adacolumn in IBD including treatment modalities, outcome, safety, and costs [58].
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Table 2. Single center experience – course of peripheral blood counts (leukocytes and hemoglobin values)\(^{a}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wk 0 (n = 12)</th>
<th>Wk +2 (n = 10)</th>
<th>Month 4 (n = 7)</th>
<th>Month 6 (n = 4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WBC 10(^9)l</td>
<td>7 (5.6–14.6)</td>
<td>7.15 (4.8–15.2)</td>
<td>6.8 (5.7–12.6)</td>
<td>6.1 (6.1–11.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb g/dl(^b)</td>
<td>12.3 (7.4–15.3)</td>
<td>12.5 (10.7–15.4)</td>
<td>12.3 (11.2–15.1)</td>
<td>13.2 (10.2–14.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)All values are given in median and (range).
\(^{b}\)Intravenous iron substitution in 2 patients during treatment.


