X-Ray Microtomography Study to Validate the Efficacies of Caries Removal in Primary Molars by Hand Excavation and Chemo-Mechanical Technique
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Abstract

Background/Aims: Mechanical removal of carious dentine based on perceived hardness is subjective and tends to be excessively destructive; chemo-mechanical techniques have been proposed as being more objective and conservative. The aims of the present study are to use X-ray microtomography (XMT/micro-CT) to determine the three-dimensional mineral concentration distribution in sound, carious and excavated dentine using hand excavation (HE) and a chemo-mechanical, Carisolv (CS), removal technique for primary molars, and to compare the volume of sound dentine removed in order to validate the efficacies of these two techniques. Methods: Twenty-one primary molars with open carious cavities were hemisected. The carious tissue in one half was then removed by HE and the other by CS. XMT scans were taken before and after caries removal. After alignment, subtracted XMT images from the two scans revealing the tissues removed were generated, from which mineral distributions were determined, and volumes of sound dentine removed by each technique were calculated. Results: It was found that the sound dentine removed by HE and CS techniques accounted for 4.0 and 2.1% of total tissues removed, respectively. The mean cut-off linear attenuation coefficients at 40 keV to which HE and CS excavated to were 1.27 and 1.09 cm⁻¹, respectively. The corresponding Knoop hardness number for the cut-off for CS was 25 kg·mm⁻². Conclusion: It is concluded that using XMT, CS is validated to be more conservative than HE and preserves a layer of partially demineralised dentine with a mineral concentration >0.97 g·cm⁻³.

Conventional teaching in caries management is largely based on G.V. Black’s principles; one of which is ‘extension for prevention’, i.e. removing all trace of diseased tissues so that restoration can be placed on sound enamel and dentine to avoid secondary caries formation. This concept has been challenged in recent years and is now considered to be too invasive, compromising the pulp, and too destructive to the structural integrity of the tooth [Burke, 2003; Kidd, 2005]. However, the ideal extent of the ‘diseased’ tissue to be removed is still unclear.

Clinically, Black’s idea of sound dentine is based on tactile hardness. When Fusayama et al. [1966] found that...
caries dentine can be divided into ‘infected’ and ‘affected’ types, the main goal became to locate the boundary between these two types so that only the infected dentine is removed, leaving the affected dentine intact to be repaired by remineralisation. Since tactile hardness is operator dependent, thus subjective, chemical indicators have been used to differentiate the layers. These indicators include dyes which stain bacteria [Lula et al., 2009], and chemical gels that soften dentine containing denatured collagen for easy removal with a blunt instrument, a chemo-mechanical technique (e.g. Carisolv, CS) [Rafique et al., 2003; Clementino-Luedemann et al., 2006]. Air-abrasion, sono-abrasion, and polymer burs have also been suggested as techniques that would limit removal of excessive dentine [Banerjee et al., 2000; Boston, 2003].

Previous laboratory investigations on correlating carious indicators to mechanical properties of the dentine, or bacterial infiltration within the tubules, are usually confined to 2 dimensions (2D) [Banerjee et al., 2000; Angker et al., 2004; Fluckiger et al., 2005; Celiberti et al., 2006]. Furthermore, since most of the investigative techniques are destructive, it is difficult, if not impossible, to analyse the mineral distribution of the removed dentine, especially its spatial relationship in 3 dimensions (3D). In recent years, X-ray microtomography (XMT or micro-CT), is becoming a popular technique in dental research because of its non-destructive nature and ability to determine mineral concentration at micron level [Hahn et al., 2004; Clementino-Luedemann et al., 2006]. Willmott et al. [2007], using this technique, found that tissue removed by a steel bur in a rotary handpiece could contain up to 44% of sound dentine. This present study aimed to use this novel XMT technique to validate and compare, in 3D, the efficacies of two caries removal techniques, namely conventional hand excavation (HE) and the chemo-mechanical CS technique. Also, from the mineral distribution of the removed dentine, the level of dentine mineral concentration to which each technique excavated would be determined.

Materials and Methods

Specimen Preparation

Twenty-one extracted human primary molars with open cavities were obtained from patients with consent for this experiment (Research Ethics Committee Ref. No.: 06/Q0605/82). These teeth were stored in 70% ethanol. Each tooth was bisected longitudinally through the centre of an open cavity using a circular rotating saw (Microslice 2, Malvern, UK). The two halves were then reassembled together (with a ~2-mm gap) and mounted securely in a specially designed XMT stage. An aluminium wire (1 mm in diameter) was mounted along the gap for internal calibration. In order to prevent shrinkage through desiccation, drops of deionised water were placed on the sample which was wrapped tightly in cling film. The whole reassembled tooth was scanned (SCAN1) using a high definition XMT (MuCat) scanner at 30 μm resolution, using a laboratory X-ray source (160 kV max, 5 μm Ultrafocus, X-Tek, UK) with a tungsten target. This scanner had a time delay integration (TDI) configuration to avoid ring artefacts and to allow wide field imaging. Beam hardening effects were minimised by using a 1.2-mm Al and 50-μm Cu filter to reduce the X-ray spectral spread and by linearizing the projection data according to a 5th-order polynomial curve derived from attenuation measurements of an aluminium step wedge. The linearized projection data approximated to that which would be obtained with a 40 keV monochromatic source [Davis and Elliott, 2003]. A typical scan time for a tooth was approximately 6.5 h for 651 projections.

Caries Removal and XMT Scan

After SCAN1, the tooth specimen was taken to a clinician (F.S.L.W.), a consultant in paediatric dentistry with over 30 years of clinical experience, for caries removal in a clinical operatory environment. Each half of the tooth was randomly assigned by the flip of a coin to have caries removed by one of the following techniques: (1) HE – The clinician was instructed to excavate all the dentinal caries using a new spoon excavator (Excavator No.127/8 Part No. 9790923); DE Healthcare Products, Gillingham, Kent, UK) following conventional method of judgement, i.e. until hard dentine was reached and further excavation did not remove any noticeable amount of dentine. (2) CS – The clinician was instructed to use the Carisolv (MediTeam/OraSolv, Sweden) system and follow the manufacturer’s instruction to remove dentine caries. This procedure involved mixing the two components of the CS gel each time, applying the fresh mixture onto the lesion for 1 min and using the manufacturer’s special hand instruments to ‘scrape’ away the softened tissue. The lesion was then washed and the procedure repeated until no noticeable amount of dentine could be removed.

The whole specimen then had a post-operative XMT scan (SCAN2) using the same parameters as SCAN1.

Internal Calibration

In order to correct for small spectrum shift between scans, the mean linear attenuation coefficient (LAC) of the Al wire that was scanned beside the specimen was measured. The LACs of the whole image were then standardised using the ratio of the published LAC for Al at 40 keV, which is 1.53 cm⁻¹ [Hubbell and Seltzer, 1995], to the measured value.

Visualisation and Analysis

A number of in-house software programs and commercial packages including AMIRA 5.3.3 (Template Graphics Software Inc., USA), IDL 6.3 (ITT, USA) were used to first reconstruct the XMT data into a 3D format and subsequently analyse the volume image in order to calculate the amount of tissue removed by each method. An ‘alignment and subtraction’ software routine was used to align the images of the same specimen between the scans in order to produce a subtracted image from which the mineral
distribution of the removed tissue was determined. From this distribution, the volume proportion of removed sound dentine to the total removed tissue was calculated. In order to visualise and measure the dentine mineral level to which each technique excavated to, 2D analysis was carried on a sagittal plane slice of the XMT image, close to the cut surface.

Results

3D Analysis

Typical LAC histograms of a half tooth that had caries removed by HE (HEI) are shown in figure 1. The LAC ranges for sound enamel and dentine were 2.3–2.8 and 1.4–1.7 cm⁻¹, respectively. Dentine that had a LAC below 1.4 cm⁻¹ could be regarded as carious dentine. HEI and HEIr are histograms from SCAN1, before caries removal, and from SCAN2, after caries removal, respectively. A third histogram was generated by subtracting the histogram of HEIr from HEI to show the distribution of LACs of removed tissue (HEId). The main features shown in HEI and HEIr are the high enamel and dentine peaks, with a much lower and spread out caries peak in HEI. In HEId, it could be observed that not only carious dentine was removed, but also a noticeable amount of sound dentine.

Although the above simple histogram subtraction method gives a good overview, small specimen movement between the scans might cause a shift in the superimposition, and consequently, a spatial shift in the subtraction. Furthermore, it was discovered that the two hemisections could move independently to each other. In order to overcome this misalignment error, the tooth sample data was split into two separate datasets, each consisting of one hemisected tooth only. The in-house ‘alignment and subtraction’ program was used to generate a data set (SCANd) by subtracting the data set of SCAN2 from SCAN1 after correcting for the spatial shift. From this dataset, subtracted XMT images (fig. 2) and corrected subtraction volume histograms of tissue removed were generated (fig. 3). It can be observed from both figures that HE removed tissues with higher LACs than CS, and that for CS, no tissues having LACs higher than 1.1 cm⁻¹ were removed, whereas for HE, tissues with LACs up to 1.5 cm⁻¹ were excavated. In order to further adjust for residual misalignment artefacts, the cut-off point where no more tissue was removed was set when the number of voxels in the histogram fell below 100 for all the 21 pairs of specimens. It was found that the mean cut-off LACs for HE and CS were 1.27 ± 0.14 and 1.09 ± 0.17 cm⁻¹, respectively.

To determine the lower threshold of LAC for sound dentine, the method by Willmott et al. [2007] was adopt-
ed in which a histogram of sound dentine from a XMT scan of a caries-free primary molar was obtained. However, instead of using a fixed LAC value at the lower flexion point of the histogram for the lowest threshold for sound dentine, a ratio was calculated by dividing the LAC value at the sound dentine peak by that at the lower flexion end of the histogram. This ratio was then applied to the LAC value at the sound dentine peak for each hemisection to give a corresponding lowest LAC threshold for sound dentine. In the subtraction histogram from SCANd, the volume of removed sound dentine was calculated by summing all the voxels from this threshold LAC to LAC of 2.0 cm\(^{-1}\) (to exclude any enamel removed during excavation). This volume was then expressed as a percentage of the total volume of all the tissues removed excluding the contribution of water which had a LAC lower than 0.25 cm\(^{-1}\). The results are summarised in figure 4 showing that the CS method removed less sound dentine than HE (mean ± SD % of sound dentine: 2.1 ± 1.76 and 4.0 ± 3.14, respectively). A paired student t test comparing both techniques showed that the difference of sound dentine removed was significant at \(p = 0.011\).

In order to investigate whether the amount of sound dentine removed was dependent on the volume of the carious lesion, a scatter plot diagram was drawn (fig. 5). It was found that for the HE technique, there was a positive relationship between the amount of sound dentine removed and the total volume of the tissue removed. However, this trend was not obvious for the CS technique.

### 2D Analysis

In order to investigate the boundary region between carious and sound dentine, line profiles, measuring LAC along them, were drawn through the centre of the carious lesion, normal to the tangent at the lowest part of the bowl shaped lesion (fig. 6). Typical features observed were: (1) There was a gentle decline of LACs from sound dentine towards the enamel-dentine junction; (2) after caries removal (HE1\(_{dc}\)r and CS1\(_{dc}\)r), the decline had an abrupt drop indicating the region to which tissue was removed; (3) the LAC of the tissue to which the HE technique removed (HE1\(_{dc}\)r) was in general in the sound dentine region and was higher than that of the CS technique (CS1\(_{dc}\)r).

From this 2D analysis, the mean (± SD) LACs of the tissues to which HE and CS excavated to were 1.42 ± 0.14 and 1.15 ± 0.26 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively.

### Mineral Concentration

To convert LAC values to mineral concentration, the following equation [Willmott et al., 2007] was used, assuming the mineral phase of dentine to be pure hydroxyapatite, \(\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_{6}(\text{OH})_2\), with a density of 3.15 g·cm\(^{-3}\), and the organic phase to be collagen with mass fractions of 53, 7, 1, 17 and 22% for carbon, hydrogen, sulphur, oxygen and nitrogen, respectively:

\[
C_D = \frac{\mu_D - (\mu_{\text{mol}}C_{\text{mol}})}{\mu_{\text{mol}}}
\]

where: \(C_D\) = the mineral concentration of dentine or caries; \(\mu_D\) = the LAC of the dentine or caries (cm\(^{-1}\)).
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\[ \mu_{\text{coll}} = \text{the mass attenuation coefficient of collagen (0.24 cm}^2\text{g}^{-1} \text{at 40 keV); } C_{\text{coll}} = \text{the concentration of collagen in dentine (0.54 g cm}^{-3}\text{); } \mu_{\text{hapat}} = \text{the mass attenuation coefficient of HAP (0.99 cm}^2\text{g}^{-1} \text{at 40 keV).} \]

As seen in the histograms in the 3D analysis, the distribution is not Gaussian for each type of tissue. Hence the modal value, instead of the mean, was used to be the representative value for the tissue. For the pool samples, the mean modal mineral concentration for sound dentine was determined to be 1.44 ± 0.09 g cm\(^{-3}\). However, due to proteolysis in caries progression, the \( C_{\text{coll}} \) could be zero in the caries region [Willmott et al., 2007]. Hence, the mean modal mineral concentration for the main body of the lesion was determined to be 0.30 ± 0.01 g cm\(^{-3}\) when all the collagen was assumed to be present; and 0.43 ± 0.12 g cm\(^{-3}\) when all collagen was assumed to be proteolysed, and the collagen concentration was zero.

**Discussion**

New scientific developments in cariology, dental materials and diagnostic systems have shifted dentistry’s approach towards minimal intervention [Burke, 2003]. One golden aim for clinicians is to remove the infected layer of dentine, leaving the affected layer to preserve the integrity of the tooth. However, even with high-resolution light microscopy, the boundary between these two layers is not well defined. Banerjee et al. [2002] showed that bac-
terial infiltration could be detected even in the tubules of sound dentine. Therefore, it may not be possible to locate the bacteria-free demineralised dentine region according to the original definition of affected dentine. Nevertheless, there is a consensus that the soft superficial carious layer should be removed, leaving a harder, partially demineralised ‘recalcifiable’ layer behind [Ogawa et al., 1983; Kidd, 2004]. As this tactile determination is subjective, a chemo-mechanical system has been developed to aid clinicians preserving this recalcifiable layer [Banerjee et al., 2000; Celiberti et al., 2006]. However, even with the chemical aid, variability would still exist among operators. Hence, a single operator was used in the present study to avoid the inter-operator difference, assuming that this operator, who is an experienced clinician, was consistent in his approach to caries removal of all the lesions.

Instead of using conventional microscopic techniques to investigate the effectiveness of caries removal technique in 2D, the present study used a novel XMT scanner to compare the efficacies of two techniques in 3D. The main advantages of this scanner over commercially available laboratory scanners are its higher signal to noise ratio and lack of ring artefacts [Davis and Elliott, 2003] so that quantitative measurements can be made and subtle changes in mineral concentrations can be detected. These subtle changes cannot be quantified or easily detected by the conventional ‘gold’ standard of optical light microscopy which depends on light transmission through thin sections. Even with polarising light microscopy, the measurements are only semi-quantitative because of its dependence on pore sizes and imbibing media. Quantitative backscattered electron microscopy, using aromatic dimethacrylate as calibrating standard, can quantify mineral concentration of calcified tissues in high resolution [Boyd et al., 1995]. However, like other microscopic techniques, it is 2D and destructive; therefore, changes resulting from experimental challenge in the same tissue cannot be followed through. Hence, the ideal 3D, non-destructive and quantitative technique in measuring small mineral changes is probably XMT using a synchrotron source because of its ability to produce monochromatic radiation [Kinney et al., 1994, 1995] which avoids polychromatic artefacts, thus accurate LACs can be obtained. Since synchrotron beam time is not readily available, an XMT system using a laboratory source with polychromatic correction was used in this study to validate the efficacies of the two caries removal techniques.

The results showed that the volume of sound dentine removed by CS technique was half of that by HE, and was much less dependent, if at all, on the volume of total caries lesion than HE. Hence, the present study confirms that CS is the more conservative technique in preserving sound tissue, as found in previous studies [Banerjee et al., 2000; Morrow et al., 2000; Lozano-Chourio et al., 2006]. However, concern has been raised with regard to the residual layer of partially demineralised dentine, also shown by Hahn et al. [2004]. This layer has been regarded by some authors to be caries active because it has a pH <5 [Spleith et al., 2001]. Nevertheless, this layer could be regarded as dentine corresponding to the translucent zone [Arnold et al., 2003], with unaffected collagen, since CS only removes denatured collagen [Hannig, 1999]. Hence, this layer should be preserved as it may have the potential to remineralise. From the 3D analysis, this residual layer had a mean LAC >1.09 cm⁻¹, corresponding to a mineral concentration of 0.97 g·cm⁻³ and a mineral volume % of 30.8. This value is similar to that found by Clementino-Luedemann et al. [2006] in their micro-CT study. Using the exponential relationship between mineral volume % (vm) and hardness (H) where \( H = 0.005e^{0.126vm} \) [Angker et al., 2004], a Knoop hardness number value of 24.7 kg·mm⁻² was obtained. From the 2D analysis, the corresponding threshold hardness was 31.6 kg·mm⁻². These values are between the hardness values for carious and sound dentine [Paolinelis et al., 2006], therefore, 25 kg·mm⁻² could be used as the threshold hardness above which dentine should be preserved. This threshold may not mark the exact boundary between infected and affected dentines because XMT measures mineral concentration rather than bacteria infiltration. However, as bacteria can be detected in sound dentine [Banerjee et al., 2002], it may not be possible to locate bacteria-free demineralised dentine according to the strict definition of affected dentine.

In conclusion, XMT is shown to be a valuable tool in measuring subtle changes in mineral concentration. Using this technique, the CS chemo-mechanical technique was validated to be more conservative than HE, preserving dentine with a mineral concentration >0.97 g·cm⁻³ (67% of that for sound dentine), with an equivalent Knoop hardness number of 25 kg·mm⁻². Further studies are required involving more operators to confirm this as the ideal threshold and to ascertain to what extent this excavation limit is determined by the softening effect of the CS solution or by the self-limiting action of the associated hand instrument.
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