Objectives: In acquired hemophilia (AH), autoantibodies (inhibitors) impede blood coagulation factors leading to severe bleedings. Cornerstones of a successful treatment are the control of bleeding and an eradication of autoantibodies. The present study is an update of our previous documentation of the treatment of high-titer AH patients with severe life-threatening bleedings undergoing the modified Bonn-Malmö-Protokoll (MBMP). Methods: 64 AH patients were treated by a standard combination protocol (MBMP) consisting of antibody depletion through immunoadsorption, i.v. immunoglobulin, immunosuppression, and high-dose FVIII substitution. They underwent a long-term follow-up. Results: Primary study endpoints loss of detection of the activity of the inhibitor and FVIII recovery ≥ 5% were reached in a median time of 3 days (95% CI: 2.6–3.4 days), the median time of FVIII substitution was 13 days (95% CI 10.6–15.3 days), and the median time of immunoadsorption was 16 days (95% CI 13–18.9 days). In 5 patients the AH occurred as paraneoplastic syndrome, and partial remission was achieved. Relapses without bleeding event occurred only in second-line MBMP. Those responded excellently to short time treatment. Overall patients remained in remission over a median follow-up time of 8 years. Conclusion: Except for paraneoplastic AH, MBMP-treated patients have a remarkable prognosis which is confirmed by long-term follow-up with a complete response rate of 93% (53/57) in the first year post MBMP and 100% during long-term follow-up. These outcome in life-threatening AH is unique and until now not achievable via other treatment schedules. In life-threatening bleedings physicians should take into account MBMP as a first line treatment.
Introduction

Severe soft tissue and muscles hematomas are, in contrast to hemorrhath in congenital hemophilia, the hallmark of acquired hemophilia (AH). An autoantibody (inhibitor) directed against a coagulation factor inhibits either the activity or increases the clearance rate. Commonly inhibitors are directed against clotting factor FVIII and rather seldom against FIX [1]. Recently Lacroix-Desmazes et al. [2] detected the presence of an IgG inhibitor with proteolytic activity in vivo and in vitro. The prolongation of the activated partial thromboplastin time (aPPT) is the most important diagnostic criterion to suspect an AH. Diagnosis must be confirmed by a clotting factor analysis, followed by the verification of the presence of the inhibitor via the Bethesda assay [3].

With an overall incidence of 1–4 per million/year AH belongs to the group of rare disorders [4], but as mentioned by several authors, it might be underdiagnosed due to its scarcity [4–6]. In up to 18% of patients with AH other autoimmune disorders are detected [7], but also the postpartum period and chirurgical interventions are critical for inhibitor induction [8]. Solid organ tumors and hematological malignancies, especially in elderly patients, are also regarded as causes of AH [9]. Aouba et al. [10] divided therefore AH in an ‘idiopathic form’ and an ‘acquired hemophilia with specific associated conditions’ (AH/SAC). The former group is reported to have a 2.5-fold higher mortality rate. Several studies and a meta-analysis had shown that patient’s prognosis mainly depends on the immediate bleeding control and fast inhibitor eradication [10].

Bypassing factors like activated prothrombin complex concentrate (aPCC) (FEIBA®, Baxter, Deerfield, IL, USA) and recombinant FVIIa (rFVIIa) (Novoseven®, Novo Nordisk, Princeton, NJ, USA) were shown to control bleedings equally sufficient with response rates of 80–91% [4]. In severe bleedings a median of 10 infusions achieve a hemostatic control in up to 76% [4], with a median time to respond of 5 days (range 2–10 days), as shown by the EACH2 data [7]. The data of the EACH2 Registry recently published by Baudo et al. [11] have shown that bleeding control was significantly better with bypassing factors versus FVIII/DDAVP (93.3 vs. 68.3%). Bleeding control was similar when comparing rFVIIa and aPCC (93%; p = 1). Limitations of this coagulation treatment might be their dose-dependent risk for thromboembolism and cardiovascular events especially in elderly patient collectives [12]. Recently published data of Ingerslev et al. [13] and Katri et al. [14] had shown that bypassing factors, alone or in combination, have an excellent efficacy to control bleedings, but in 5 of 9 AH patients thrombotic complications occurred.

In the Düsseldorf Interim analysis, one death of a patient from acute myocardial infarction during the first 30 days of treatment was reported while being on antihemorrhagic treatment with high-dose rFVIIa [6], a second patient died of acute sepsis during the immunosuppressive treatment also during the first 30 days [6]. The EACH2 Registry had shown thrombotic events in 3.6% of treated patients with a similar incidence in rFVIIa (2.9%) and aPCC (4.8%) [11].

Thus besides control the bleeding, a successful inhibitor elimination strategy should be initiated as soon as the diagnosis is confirmed. The most established immunosuppressive treatment consists of steroid alone or in combination with cyclophosphamide. The reported complete remission rates of 50–76% [4] for this choice of treatment can, however, be questioned, since high relapse rates of about 20% are reported already after a median of 7.5 months of follow-up (1 week to 14 months). Finally, no data about the long-term outcome and prognosis of ‘relapsing AH’ after failing to respond to a conventional treatment are available, a fact that should be considered when interpreting the data and outcomes in these treatment schedules.

Despite of these intensive treatment regimens, the estimated overall lethality still ranges in different publications from 9 to 76% [4, 12, 15]. Giraud et al. [16] and Lambotte et al. [17] described higher rates of mortality in series of older patients: 31% (18/58) and 76% (17/22), respectively. These higher rates mainly depend on patient’s risk factors like inhibitor titer, severity of bleeding, and comorbidities. A recently published ‘multiple cause analysis for best care strategies analyzed deaths in AH from 2000–2009’ [10] and noted that hemorrhagic shock was the most frequent direct cause of death (52.9%) followed by infections (26.4%) due to long-term immunosuppressive treatment.

Therefore an optimal treatment strategy in AH should include, in addition to the immediate control of bleedings, the fast re-induction of immune tolerance versus FVIII.

Immunoadsorption is one cornerstone of an intensive short-time treatment schedule performed at our center. Its combination with an immunosuppressive treatment results in the inhibition of the inhibitor de novo synthesis. Further, the FVIII substitution allows a successful and fast re-establishment of a stable hemostasis.

The present study is an update of our previous documentations of the treatment of high-titer AH patients with severe life-threatening bleeding undergoing the modified Bonn-Malmö-Protocol (MBMP) with an emphasis on the role of immunoadsorption for the outcome of the treatment [18–20].

Patients and Methods

Patients

Between 1993 and 2012, a series of 64 patients, suffering from life-threatening AH were treated with the MBMP. All patients had high inhibitor titer levels to FVIII (=5 BU) [3] and the incidence of at least one acute bleeding episode (drop of hemoglobin to <8.0 mg/dl). The Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty at the University of Bonn approved the treatment protocol. All patients or their responsible relatives gave their informed consent in writing.

The inhibitor analysis was performed with the Bethesda assay modified by Nijmegen [3]. Differential diagnosis with respect to the lupus ery-
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Fig. 1. The MBMP treatment cycle.

A total of 64 patients (26 male, 38 female) of AH with high-titer inhibitor levels (>5 BU) were diagnosed in our hospital. All patients exhibited life-threatening bleeding (maximum hemoglobin on admission 8.0 g/dl) requiring blood transfusions, factor concentrate substitution, and intensive care monitoring. The mean hemoglobin concentration on admission in our hospital was 6.9 g/dl (range 3.1–8.2 g/dl). All patients suffered from severe multifocal bleedings. The types of bleeding observed included muscle bleeding events (n = 65) associated with compartment syndrome (n = 8), gastrointestinal bleeding (n = 3), retroperitoneal bleeding (n = 18), and hepatorenal bleeding, which required artificial respiration (n = 5), and hematuria (n = 4).

The mean age of the patients was 65.61 years (range 28–89 years). Excluding patients developing the inhibitor post partum, the mean age was 69.01 years (range 49–89 years).

The mean FVIII level at initial diagnosis and at the beginning of the MBMP was <1% (normal 70–140%). The mean inhibitor titer was 238 BU/ml (range 8–3,600 BU/ml). The mean aPTT on admission was 58.27 ± 22.86 s.

Underlying diseases were detected in 12 patients. In 6 women, the inhibitor was diagnosed peripartially (i.e. within 3 months of childbirth). Eight patients suffered from other autoimmune diseases (mixed connective tissue disease n = 6, psoriasis n = 4, polymyalgia rheumatica n = 1, Sjögren syndrome n = 1), and in 5 patients the inhibitor occurred as paraneoplastic syndrome (lung cancer n = 1, plasmoxytoma n = 2, lymphoma n = 1, breast cancer n = 1).

In 5 patients the immunosuppressive treatment was initiated in a peripheral hospital. Steroids and cyclophosphamide were given to all patients, whereas other treatments (vincristine n = 2, azathioprine n = 4, rituximab n = 2) were given only to individual patients. In all patients bleedings continued. Therefore, they were switched over to MBMP, and the immunosuppressive treatment was changed to steroids and cyclophosphamide as mentioned above. Vincristine, azathioprine, and rituximab were discontinued.

A total of 1,202 immunoadsorption procedures (apheresis) were carried out. The extracorporeal treatment was well tol-
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Erated. Mild side effects such as hypotension, hypesthesia due to citrate anticoagulation (citric reactions), and allergic reactions occurred in less than 1% of all apheresis sessions. None did require an interruption of treatment. A median plasma volume of 6,050 ml (range 3,700–9,500 ml) was used.

Treatment Endpoints and Clinically Relevant Correlations
The primary study endpoint loss of detection of the activity of the inhibitor and FVIII recovery ≥ 5% were reached in a median of 3 days (95% CI 2.6–3.4 days) (fig. 2a), the median time of FVIII substitution was 13 days (95% CI 10.6–15.3 days) (fig. 2b), and the median time of immunoadsorption was 16 days (95% CI 13–18.9 days) (fig. 2c).

The median FVIII consumption was $0.185 \times 10^6$ IE (range $0.024–1.9 \times 10^6$ IE).

The median rFVIIa consumption was $0.738 \times 10^3$ IE (range $0–0.824 \times 10^3$ IE).

There was a significant correlation between the inhibitor titer and FVIII/rFVIIa consumption ($r_s = 0.594$, $r_s = 0.369$, $p = 0.01$), endpoint I ($r_s = 0.646$, $p = 0.01$) endpoint II ($r_s = 0.580$, $p = 0.01$), endpoint III ($r_s = 0.569$, $p = 0.01$) and days of hospitalization ($r_s = 0.521$, $p = 0.01$).

Clinical Outcome, Treatment Efficacy, and Side Effects
Once the immunoadsorption was initiated, the bleeding was controlled within the first 2 apheresis sessions.

There was no bleeding-associated mortality in the MBMP group.

From 64 patients who underwent the MBMP, 62 patients completed the MBMP (fig. 3) successfully. In 2 patients, the MBMP was interrupted as consequence of other concomitant diseases. At the time of interruption partial remission had been achieved in both patients; one patient converted 2 months after MBMP spontaneously to CR, the other died within 3 months due to an epileptic seizure that was not related to AH.
In 5 patients, cancer was diagnosed during MBMP. These patients achieved a partial remission (small cell lung cancer $n = 1$, plasmocytoma $n = 2$, lymphoma $n = 1$, breast cancer $n = 1$). A FVIII recovery > 30% after MBMP allowed safe tumor staging procedures (mediastinoscopy $n = 1$, mastectomy $n = 1$, bone marrow aspiration $n = 4$; pleural puncture, pleurodesis $n = 3$) without any bleeding or infection complications.

During long-term follow-up, 4 patients experienced a period of FVIII decline to 10–50%, without any bleeding events 8, 9 and 12 months after the completions of the MBMP (fig. 3). These patients were treated first line with a conventional immunosuppressive treatment as reported above and then switched to MBMP. Two of them responded well to a short-time apheresis of 5–6 days in combination with immunosuppressive treatment for another 3 weeks. One patient was treated only with a short-time cycle of steroids for 3 weeks. The 4th patient had received a pretreatment of rituximab, and the relapse was treated successfully with immunosuppressive treatment for another 3 weeks. Apheresis-related adverse events, which led to treatment interruption, did not occur.

During long-term follow-up all patients suffering from malignancy died in consequence of tumor disease. The inhibitor occurred simultaneously to the tumor disease. Although MBMP achieved a partial remission with a sufficient FVIII > 30%, tumor-adapted chemotherapy improved the coagulation situation further. Inhibitor-associated complication did not occur again, not even in end stage tumor progress.

Finally, when the 5 patients with paraneoplastic syndrome were excluded, 53 of 57 patients achieved a complete remission (93%). Relapses were seen in the first year after completing MBMP and occurred only in patients treated second line by MBMP. During long-term follow-up later than 1 year after completing MBMP, none of the patients relapsed (remission rate of 100%).

Apheresis-related adverse events, which led to treatment interruption, did not occur.

Severe adverse events due to the immunosuppressive treatment occurred in 6, catheter-associated sepsis in 4, and pneumonia in 2 patients; cyclophosphamide-induced cystitis was seen in 1 patient. A treatment interruption was necessary in 1 patient due to neutropenia. All other infections were successfully treated by conventional antibiotics. Daily blood counts and CRP levels for an intense infection screening were performed in all patients. Cyclophosphamide was dose-adapted to renal function and blood cell count.

Discussion

Patients suffering from AH are at high risk of fatal bleedings any time until the inhibitor has been eradicated. Complications such as hemorrhagic shock and infection are the main direct causes of death in AH [10]. Relevant prognostic factors for the treatment response in AH have been analyzed by the meta-analysis of Delgado et al. in 2003 [12]. Based on data of 249 patients, the authors showed that after 6 weeks of immunosuppressive treatment the rate of adverse events increased to 53%; of those 15% died due to infection. These data were confirmed in 2007 by the SACHA study. The standard immunosuppressive treatment achieved a remission in only 52% of patients. In case of inhibitor persistence the 1-year mortality increased to 39% [21, 22]. Compared to these data the depletion of the inhibitor via immunoadsorption allowed an immediate control of the bleeding due to an efficient FVIII recovery (fig. 2).

Our patient collective showed a significant correlation between the inhibitor titer and the time to achieve treatment endpoints, e.g. the days of hospitalization or the consumption of coagulation factors. These results suggest that especially high-titer patients might benefit from the extracorporeal treatment. Additionally the reduction in the FVIII consumption might be another important argument for the apheresis. In the absence of data concerning the amount of factor consumption from other studies, the efficiency of treatment strategies is not comparable [23, 24].

The treatment of bleedings with bypassing factors contrary to FVIII is hampered by the fact that currently no validated laboratory monitoring techniques are available. Therefore, dosages must be adapted to patient’s clinical situation, but as mentioned above, a dose escalation is limited by thromboembolic risks [13, 14].

Intensifying the immunosuppressive treatment schedule in AH was the main strategy to follow by most physicians in the last decade. In this context CD20 B-cell depletion was introduced into the treatment of AH [25, 26].

After an initial enthusiasm, follow-up studies clearly marked that B-cell depletion is not superior to conventional treatments with steroid and cyclophosphamide. In contrast, the observed complete response rate in EACH2 for rituximab was lower: 41 versus 59%. There was also no evidence that high-titer patients might benefit from the extracorporeal treatment. Additionally the reduction in the FVIII consumption might be another important argument for the apheresis.

In the absence of data concerning the amount of factor consumption from other studies, the efficiency of treatment strategies is not comparable [23, 24].

The treatment of bleedings with bypassing factors contrary to FVIII is hampered by the fact that currently no validated laboratory monitoring techniques are available. Therefore, dosages must be adapted to patient’s clinical situation, but as mentioned above, a dose escalation is limited by thromboembolic risks [13, 14].

Intensifying the immunosuppressive treatment schedule in AH was the main strategy to follow by most physicians in the last decade. In this context CD20 B-cell depletion was introduced into the treatment of AH [25, 26].

After an initial enthusiasm, follow-up studies clearly marked that B-cell depletion is not superior to conventional treatments with steroid and cyclophosphamide. In contrast, the observed complete response rate in EACH2 for rituximab was lower: 41 versus 59%. There was also no evidence that high-titer patients might benefit from the extracorporeal treatment. Additionally the reduction in the FVIII consumption might be another important argument for the apheresis. In the absence of data concerning the amount of factor consumption from other studies, the efficiency of treatment strategies is not comparable [23, 24].

The treatment of bleedings with bypassing factors contrary to FVIII is hampered by the fact that currently no validated laboratory monitoring techniques are available. Therefore, dosages must be adapted to patient’s clinical situation, but as mentioned above, a dose escalation is limited by thromboembolic risks [13, 14].

Intensifying the immunosuppressive treatment schedule in AH was the main strategy to follow by most physicians in the last decade. In this context CD20 B-cell depletion was introduced into the treatment of AH [25, 26].

After an initial enthusiasm, follow-up studies clearly marked that B-cell depletion is not superior to conventional treatments with steroid and cyclophosphamide. In contrast, the observed complete response rate in EACH2 for rituximab was lower: 41 versus 59%. There was also no evidence that high-titer patients might benefit from the extracorporeal treatment. Additionally the reduction in the FVIII consumption might be another important argument for the apheresis. In the absence of data concerning the amount of factor consumption from other studies, the efficiency of treatment strategies is not comparable [23, 24].
In 3 patients, an infection anteceded the relapse. All patients had been switched to MBMP as their second line treatment. These data may propose that unsuccessful pretreatments might lead to the development of a more resistant B-cell clone. Nevertheless, in the absence of bleedings these low-titer relapse responded immediately to a short-time treatment as described above.

Complication due to infections is another challenge in the treatment of AH. Interestingly, these incidences are not always associated with neutropenia [4]. Severe hemotonia is an important focus for infection and inflammation in AH. On admission most of our patients had a baseline CRP > 60 mg/dl without further signs of infection, underlining the severity of the inflammatory process in hematomas. Inflammation per se is a potent stimulus for antibody production. Furthermore, a superinfection of hemotoma is a serious complication of AH, leading to compartment syndrome. Therefore, a fast regression and degradation of a hemotoma is desirable. The substitution with FVIII is more efficient and superior with respect to wound healing and hemotoma degradation compared to bypassing factors. Additionally, antibiotic treatment should be initiated generously, and pneumocystis carinii prophylaxis should be taken into consideration depending on the duration of the treatment course of the patient.

In our patient collective, severe neutropenia was seen in only 1 patient. The close monitoring of daily blood cell counts and the dose adaptation of cyclophosphamide to renal function in combination with high-dose steroids prevented severe neutropenia in all other patients.

When compared with the EACH2 Registry data [25], the MBMP achieved a more stable complete remission (93 vs. 70%) with a lower relapse rate of 6 versus 18%. Relapses were only seen in patients treated second line with MBMP. The median time to achieve complete response was reached much faster by MBMP when compared with the EACH2 data (16 days vs. 5 weeks). As the MBMP study implemented only patients with severe life-threatening bleedings, a comparison to the data of the EACH2 Registry might be hampered by the fact that the EACH2 Registry makes no differentiation with respect to the severity of AH and treatment outcome [25].

The data of the EACH2 Registry gives, as well as the implemented studies, no information on the FVIII and bypassing factor consumption. Therefore, a statically based statement concerning to the factor-sparing effect of MBMP versus EACH2 cannot be made. As already shown by our group in 2007 [20], the consumption of factor concentrates decreased considerably after the implementation of immunoadsorption in the treatment of severe AH. Beside the fast bleeding control the reduction of factor concentrate consumption is another main rationale to start apheresis in severe AH patients.

In our collective of patients, different responses to the treatment between idiopathic AH and AHSAC were not seen [10]. This differentiation was introduced first by Aouba et al. [10], based on their results that idiopathic AH had a 2.3 times higher mortality. As mortality under the MBMP did not occur, it is not possible to calculate different mortalities for AHSAC and idiopathic AH in our study. The intensive short-time treatment of MBMP might perhaps abolish this handicap and improve patient’s prognosis. As AH is a disorder mainly occurring in elderly persons, malignancy should be considered, especially in patients with a delayed response to treatment [17, 27, 28]. In our collective the malignancy occurred simultaneously with the bleeding disease, suggesting that tumor-specific antigens might induce the immunological reaction. Partial remission was achieved by MBMP, allowing important invasive diagnostic interventions for an exact tumor staging. Definitive inhibitor eradication was only achieved via tumor-adapted treatment.

Therefore, AH with concomitant malignancy should be seen as an own entity of AH underlying in which other than autoimmunological mechanisms may play a role [29]. However, long-term follow-up for a median of 8 years in this rare patient collective confirms the treatment success which is worldwide unique.

In summary, our data confirm the benefit of extracorporeal treatment in AH management in its acute and therefore also in its long-term outcome. Treatment of AH should be adapted to the patient’s clinical situation as in patients with minor bleedings a monotherapy with steroids might be sufficient. In patients with life-threatening bleedings, MBMP should be considered as first line treatment.
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