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Abstract
In 1850, Theodore Gobley, working in Paris, described a substance, ‘lecithine’, which he named after the Greek ‘lekithos’ for egg yolk. Adolph Strecker noted in 1862 that when lecithin from bile was heated, it generated a new nitrogenous chemical that he named ‘choline’. Three years later, Oscar Liebreich identified a new substance, ‘neurine’, in the brain. After a period of confusion, neurine and choline were found to be the same molecule, and the name choline was adapted. Lecithin was eventually characterized chemically as being phosphatidylcholine. In 1954, Eugene Kennedy described the cytidine 5-diphosphocholine pathway by which choline is incorporated into phosphatidylcholine. A second route, the phosphatidylethanolamine-N-methyltransferase pathway, was identified by Jon Bremer and David Greenberg in 1960. The role of choline as part of the neurotransmitter acetylcholine was established by Otto Loewi and Henry Dale. Working in the 1930s at the University of Toronto, Charles Best showed that choline prevented fatty liver in dogs and rats. The importance of choline as an essential nutrient for human health was determined in the 1990s through controlled feeding studies in humans. Recently, an understanding of the role of genetic variation in setting the dietary requirement for choline in people is being unraveled.

The Discovery of Choline

The middle of the 19th century was a period when scientists were avidly pursuing the chemical composition of living tissues. In 1850, Theodore Gobley (1811–1876) (fig. 1), a member of the Académie Nationale de Pharmacie, and a pharmacist in Paris, isolated a molecule from brain tissue and carp fish eggs that he described as ‘matière phosphorée’ which he named ‘lecithine’ from the Greek ‘lekithos’ (egg yolk) [1]. We now know that this phospholipid (in English called lecithin), is a constituent of most membranes. In 1862, Adolph Strecker (1822–1871) (fig. 2), recently having moved to the University of Tübingen as a Professor, was characterizing the composition of bile from pig and ox, and found that lecithin from bile, when boiled, generated a new nitrogenous chemical that Strecker named ‘choline’ [2]. The name was derived from the Greek word for bile – ‘chole’. Eventually, lecithin was characterized chemically as being phosphatidylcholine [3].

Three years later, in 1865, Oscar Liebreich (1839–1908) (fig. 3) was completing the study of medicine in Königsberg, Tübingen, and Berlin. Working on human brain, Liebreich identified what he thought was ‘the mother-substance-of-all’ which he named ‘proton’. He demonstrated that a constituent of proton was a new molecule which he named ‘neurine’ [4]. Neurine was identical to a chemical previously synthesized in 1858 by treating trimethylamine and ethylene bromide. After a short period
of confusion, it was accepted that choline and neurine were the same molecule, and the name choline was adopted. The pathway whereby choline is incorporated into phosphatidylcholine remained unknown until 1954, when Eugene Kennedy (1919–2011), at the University of Chicago, described the cytidine 5-diphosphocholine pathway [5]. A second pathway for forming the choline component of phosphatidylcholine [the phosphatidylethanolamine-N-methyltransferase (PEMT) pathway] was identified by Jon Bremer and David Greenberg at the University of California School of Medicine, San Francisco, in 1960, and involved the methylation of phosphatidylethanolamine using S-adenosylmethionine [6].

Choline’s Function as Part of the Neurotransmitter Acetylcholine

Before 1921, it was not known how nerves communicated with each other. Otto Loewi (1873–1961) (fig. 4), a physician and Professor at the University of Graz, Austria, found that when he stimulated the vagal nerve it secreted a substance he called ‘vagusstoffe’ [7]. Henry Dale (1875–1968) (fig. 5), working at the Wellcome Physiological Research Laboratories, had previously isolated a compound from fungi that produced effects when applied to organs that were similar to those produced by activating nerves; this compound contained a choline molecule and he named it acetylcholine. When Dale heard of Loewi’s studies, he realized that the neurotransmitter Loewi called ‘vagusstoffe’ was the molecule acetylcholine [8]. Loewi and Dale shared the 1936 Nobel Prize for this work proving the chemical transmission of nervous impulses. Half a century later, interest in choline was stimulated when Prof. Richard Wurtman and his graduate student Edith Cohen at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, and separately Dean Haubrich at the Squibb Institute for Medical Research, discovered that the rate of synthesis of the neurotransmitter acetylcholine could be modulated by changing dietary choline intake [9, 10]. This discovery led to new therapeutic approaches for tardive dyskinesia (a movement disorder that is a side effect of neuroleptic drugs) [11].

Choline as an Essential Nutrient

It was appreciated that choline was a component of two biologically important molecules, phosphatidylcholine and acetylcholine, but it was not until 1932 that investigators uncovered the first evidence that choline might be an essential nutrient (needs could not be met by endogenous biosynthesis). During the summer of 1922, Charles Best (1899–1978), a medical student at the University of Toronto, was working as an assistant to Frederick Banting (1891–1941) when they discovered insulin (fig. 6). These studies used pancreatectomized dogs which developed fatty degeneration of the liver. After Best became Professor of Physiology at Toronto in 1929, he continued studies on these dogs and observed that this fatty liver could be corrected by feeding either raw pancreas or lecithin [12]. During the period 1932–1934, Best showed that choline was the active component of lecithin that prevented fatty liver in dogs and rats [13, 14].
Interest in the nutrient functions of choline remained high through the 1940s. Dietary choline was found to prevent the bone disease ‘perosis’ that occurred in developing chickens [15]. In rats, choline deficiency was associated with atheromatous changes in aorta, carotid and coronary arteries [16]. Young rats deprived of choline developed hemorrhagic kidneys [17] and intraocular hemorrhages [18]. Studies were published describing choline deficiency in the rabbit, calf, guinea pig, hamster and baboon [19–23]. By the mid-1950s, investigators began to recognize that choline-deficient animals also developed liver cancers [24]. This led to a renewed interest in choline deficiency, along with deficiency of other methyl donors and the carcinogenic process [25–32]. Despite all of the animal findings on the effects of choline deficiency, scientists continued to believe that humans were different and did not need to eat choline. This remained accepted dogma for almost 5 decades.

From Acetylcholine to Proving the Human Requirement for Choline

In 1977, fresh from completing my residency training in pediatrics, I joined the Wurtman laboratory as a graduate student just as his work on choline and acetylcholine was unfolding (discussed earlier). By chance, I made the observation that blood choline concentrations were much higher in the neonate than in the adult [33]. In part, this was because mammary gland transports choline into milk, and neonates therefore are fed with a very rich source of choline [34, 35]. This was not always the case when infants were fed commercial infant formulas, as choline content could be much lower in some formulations [36]. Fortunately, in 2007, most commercial formulas were ‘humanized’ in terms of choline content. Working with a fellow student and long-term collaborator, Jan Blusztajn, we showed that the endogenous biosynthesis of phosphatidylcholine in brain and liver was increased in newborn rodents [37], contributing to the very high choline concentrations observed in newborns. Adding to this story of choline’s importance in early life, others were describing how choline was concentrated across the placenta to be delivered to the fetus [38]. It seemed that the mother was designed to deliver large amounts of choline to the fetus and infant so as to sustain very high tissue concentrations of choline.

A wife-husband team of investigators at Columbia University (Christina Williams and Warren Meck) became aware of our research in choline and wondered whether high perinatal choline concentrations in brain might influence brain function by increasing acetylcholine release. They made the very important observation that the dietary availability of choline to the fetus and infant rat had major effects on performance on spatial maze tests, a hippocampal function [39]. They observed that enhancement of memory by extra choline lasted a lifetime. This fascinating observation led to a sustained effort by my research team, the Williams-Meck team and by Jan Blusztajn to determine the underlying mechanisms for
this effect of choline. Among several potential mechanisms, we found that choline is an important epigenetic modifier (changes the methylation of DNA and histones), and that this modulated gene expression in brain resulting in enhanced neurogenesis in the hippocampus [40].

During the period of exploration of choline’s role in brain development, I began to think about why choline was a required nutrient for so many species of animals, but was thought not to be required by humans (fig. 7). An interesting paper reported that patients fed with total parenteral nutrition solutions had diminished plasma choline concentrations [41] and was followed a few years later by a series of papers confirming this observation [42–44] and reporting that these people also developed fatty liver and liver dysfunction [45]. Total parenteral nutrition solutions contain little choline. It seemed obvious to me that sick humans, when deprived of choline, became choline deficient. Would this be true for healthy humans? Our research team set out to answer this question, and luckily chose to conduct the study in men (at that time the National Institutes of Health did not require that women be studied). In 1991, we reported that men fed defined diets low in choline content developed fatty liver and liver damage that resolved when choline was reinstated in the diet [46]. In subsequent studies that included women, we found that premenopausal women often did not develop organ dysfunction when deprived of dietary choline, and this was because estrogen induces the gene (PEMT) responsible for de novo biosynthesis of phosphatidylcholine in liver (phosphatidylcholine serves as a source for choline) [47, 48]. The subpopulation of premenopausal women who did develop choline deficiency when fed a low-choline diet usually had a genetic variation (SNP) in PEMT that abrogated the estrogen responsiveness of this gene [47]. Despite the resistance to choline deficiency seen in young women, almost all men and postmenopausal women developed fatty liver, liver damage, and/or muscle damage when fed a low-choline diet, and this resolved when choline was restored in the diet [48]. The parenteral nutrition-choline story also advanced during this time period, and in 1995, Alan Buchman at Baylor College of Medicine observed that administering choline to patients fed by total parenteral nutrition prevented the development of fatty liver [49]. This body of evidence supported the conclusion that choline was an essential nutrient for men and postmenopausal women, and for some premenopausal women. In 1998, the scientific advisory panel considering recommendations for dietary reference intakes agreed that this was the case, and the Food and Nutrition Board of the US Institute of Medicine issued an Adequate Intake level recommendation for choline [50]. The line of investigation started in dogs by Charles Best in 1932 finally reached application in humans after 67 years.
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