Experience of Mini-Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy in the Treatment of Large Impacted Proximal Ureteral Stones
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Abstract
Objectives: To evaluate the efficacy and safety of mini-percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL) in the treatment of large impacted proximal ureteral stones. Methods: We retrospectively reviewed the outcomes of 163 patients who underwent mini-PCNL between January 2006 and August 2010. Mean age was 48.6 years and mean stone size was 18.4 mm. Hydronephrosis and/or hydroureterosis appeared in all patients. In the prone position, percutaneous access (16-Fr sheath) was established by placement of an access needle into the intended calyx under fluoroscopic guidance or combined with ultrasound guidance for complete obstruction by stones while the contrast agent cannot transit. Pneumatic or ultrasonic probes were used throughout ureterorenoscopy for lithotripsy. The ureteral stents and nephrostomy tube were placed at the end of the procedure. Mean drop in hemoglobin, operative time, success rate, hospital stay, and complications were assessed. Results: Mini-PCNL operations were performed successfully in all patients. Mean operation time was 37 min. Mean postoperative hospital stay was 3.6 days. All cases were followed up for 6–20 months. No major complications like hemorrhage, perforation or organic injury were noted during the operation or postoperatively. The stone-free rate in all patients was 95.7%. Calculus had no recurrence during the follow-up period. Hydronephrosis and hydroureterosis disappeared or were relieved. Conclusions: Mini-PCNL is a safe and effective therapy for large impacted proximal ureteral stones.

Introduction

Technological advances have significantly improved the treatment of upper ureteral calculi. So far, various methods have been used including extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy (ESWL), ureteroscopic lithotripsy (URL), percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL), open surgery, and laparoscopic ureterolithotomy. However, it is still controversial which is the best for large, impacted proximal ureteral calculi.

ESWL was considered to be the primary therapy of uncomplicated upper urinary calculi for noninvasiveness, low morbidity and acceptable efficacy, but the stone-free rate was only 42.1–78.6% when the stone was >1 cm
The appearance of ureteroscopy has greatly improved the stone clearance rate, however the success rate of proximal ureteral stones has significantly declined compared with middle and lower ones [4, 5]. Besides, proximal migration of the stone often leads to surgical failure. Laparoscopic or open surgical stone removal was only considered in rare cases when ESWL, URL, and PCNL failed or were unlikely to succeed. Therefore, a safe and effective method was urgently needed.

PCNL has been widely accepted as the treatment of choice for renal stones since the 1980s and became the gold-standard treatment for complex and large renal stones, but the indication of traditional PCNL was strictly limited due to its significant morbidity [6]. In order to reduce the complications and expand the use of PCNL, Helal et al. [7] developed a ‘mini-perc’ technique for treating pediatric calculi. Jackman et al. [8] then used a ureteroscopy sheath for PCNL to accomplish the ‘mini-perc’ technique. In China, Li et al. [9] also developed a modified mini-PCNL technique to manage most upper urinary tract stones. To evaluate the efficacy and safety of mini-PCNL in impacted proximal ureteral calculi since 2006, we started to use mini-PCNL (8-/9.8-Fr ureteroscopy via a 16-Fr percutaneous tract) for the treatment of impacted proximal ureteral calculi.

### Methods

From January 2006 to August 2010, a total of 163 patients underwent antegrade mini-PCNL for upper ureteral calculi. The mean age of the patients was 48.6 years (range 21–85), and the male:female ratio was 101:62. The mean size of the calculus was 18.4 mm (range 12–30). The demographic and clinical characteristics of patients are shown in table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (range), years</td>
<td>48.6 (21–85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/female</td>
<td>101/62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean stone size (range), mm</td>
<td>18.4 (12–30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral ureteral calculi</td>
<td>4 (2.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monolateral ureteral calculi</td>
<td>126 (77.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associated renal stones</td>
<td>33 (20.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of ESWL</td>
<td>17 (10.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous open nephrolithotomy</td>
<td>19 (11.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The inclusion criteria were: (a) stones located between the ureteropelvic junction and the upper border of the fourth lumbar vertebra, (b) upper ureteral stone ≥15 mm in largest diameter by plain film or ultrasound, and (c) with split glomerular filtration rate of the affected kidney ≥15 ml/min. In addition, patients with a stone diameter >12 mm and a history of abdominal surgery, or repeated session of ESWL treatment, were also included under the method. Exclusion criteria were uncorrected coagulopathy, pyonephrosis, or glomerular filtration rate <15 ml/min.

Preoperatively, patients were evaluated by a urine routine test, urine culture and drug sensitivity test, plain radiography of kidneys, ureters and bladder (KUB), and intravenous urography. Ultrasonography or unenhanced helical computed tomography for the degree of hydronephrosis, computed tomography urography (CTU) and radionuclide imaging were performed if necessary. Antibiotics were administered prophylactically to all patients with WBC-positive urine.

Calculus clearance was assessed on postoperative day 2 with a plain film of KUB. 'Stone-free' was defined as no residual stones or fragments <4 mm detected on KUB, as fragments <4 mm have a likelihood of passing spontaneously [10]. Bleeding during the operation was defined as bleeding which cannot be flushed out with sodium chloride within 2 min. Postoperative hemorrhage was defined as continued heavy bleeding which needed transfusion, embolism or nephrectomy. The operative time was calculated from performing the puncture to placing of the nephrostomy tube, which is also called skin-to-skin time. The time from insertion of the ureteric catheter to the turn in the prone position was not included.

The mini-PCNL procedure was performed under general anesthesia. It began with the placement of an open-ended 5-Fr ureteric catheter by cystoscopy with the patient in the lithotomy position. After the catheter was secured to a Foley catheter, the patient was placed in a prone position. Percutaneous access was obtained by the placement of an 18-gauge access needle into the intended calyx (most often the middle calyx, sometimes the upper calyx) under real-time X-ray guidance with the help of retrograde pyelography or combined with ultrasound guidance for complete obstruction by stones while the contrast agent cannot transit. It was commonly done through a middle posterior calyx. A 0.035-inch floppy-tipped guide wire was passed through the needle into the collecting system. The access needle was then removed and the skin and fascia were incised. Nephrostomy tract dilation was performed with serial dilators (Urovision) through the guide wire. The nephrostomy tract was dilated to 16 Fr to allow the passage of a 16-Fr peel-away sheath. A 8-/9.8-Fr Wolf rigid ureteroscope was used. Using a Swiss Lithoclast® for lithotripsy, most stone fragments (<4 mm) could be flushed out along with the backflow through the peel-away sheath, while the remaining big fragments were extracted with stone forceps. At the end of the procedure, a 5-Fr double-J stent and a 14-Fr nephrostomy tube was routinely placed to drain the kidney. Unless the plain film of KUB suggested significant residual calculi, the tube was removed 2–5 days after the operation.

### Results

All patients were treated with one session of percutaneous nephrolithotomy. Among these, 159 patients underwent a single-tract mini-PCNL, 2 patients required a two-tract mini-PCNL for multiple kidney stones, and 2 patients underwent mini-PCNL on the contralateral side simulta-
neously. 156/163 (95.7%) of the patients have complete calculus clearance. The stone-free rate in single ureteral calculi patients was 128/130 (98.5%), compared to 28/33 (84.8%) in patients associated with renal stones.

The average operating time was 37 min (range 18–65). 6 cases experienced bleeding during the process of renal penetration, all of whom completed the lithotripsy after pressure hemostasis. The mean hemoglobin drop was 1.52 g/dl (range 0.6–3.9). 24 patients had transient fever >38.5 °C postoperatively. The mean postoperative hospital stay was 3.6 days (range 2–6). There were no significant complications such as hemorrhage necessitating transfusion, urinary tract perforation, or visceral injury that occurred during the procedure. The double-J stent was usually removed by cystoscope within 1 month after the operation. The results and complications are shown in Table 2.

The mean follow-up time was 9 months (range 6–20); there no case of recurrence during the follow-up period. Hydronephrosis was to some degree relieved after the operation. Stone analysis revealed 73.2% of the calculi were mixed stones (the main component was calcium oxalate), 19.5% calcium oxalate, 3.7% carbonated apatite, 1.8% uric acid, and 1.8% cystine composition.

### Discussion

Large upper ureteral calculi can cause complete obstruction resulting in hydroureteronephrosis, ultimately leading to renal function impairment. Therefore, timely effective treatment is the key to preventing renal injury.

Technical achievements have greatly changed the methods for the removal of ureteral stones, from open ureterolithotomy to ESWL, URL and PCNL, etc. Each device has its advantages and limitations, however the most optimal treatment for a large and impacted proximal ureteral stone remains controversial.

ESWL has proved to be safe and relatively effective for treating upper ureteral stones. Many centers state in their studies ESWL as first-line treatment for ureteral stones. Park et al. [2] have treated 218 patients with upper ureteral stones, achieving a 72.4% stone-free rate after a single ESWL session, but the rate decreases to 42% when the stone is >1 cm. High success rates of >90% with ESWL have been previously reported, however it might be less effective (40–79%) for large stones [1–3, 11, 12]. Besides, ESWL does not assure complete relief of obstruction and is often associated with prolonged attacks of pain during stone passage, flank soreness and repeated treatment in a substantial fraction of patients [13]. The reported retreatment rate of proximal ureteral calculi after ESWL can be up to 60% because large stones (>10 mm) and those causing a greater degree of hydronephrosis usually require more treatment sessions [14]. Therefore, we cannot consider ESWL as the primary choice when the size of ureteral stones is >10 mm.

Ureteroscopy with laser lithotripsy has been found to be more efficacious in the treatment of ureteral stones. Some urologists have recommended laser lithotripsy as first-line treatment [15, 16] and many articles reported that a stone-free rate for calculi <10 and >10 mm was 90–100 and 88–93%, respectively [17–20]. Though a high stone clearance rate was attained, attention should be paid to the complications. The most common and serious complications are ureteral perforation. The reported perforation rates were 2–4.9% [21, 22]. Ureteral stricture is a late postoperative complication. Early reports [21] using 9.5- to 12.5-Fr ureteroscopes cited stricture rates of up to 4%. Although flexible ureterorenoscopy with contact laser lithotripsy can be effective, a larger stone burden tackled by the retrograde route requires a longer procedure and increases the number of times the ureteroscope has to be reinserted into the ureter, increasing the chances of trauma, infection or endoscope damage. Many urologists therefore suggest that PCNL should be the primary therapy for large ureteral stones [23–25].

Although traditional PCNL has many advantages, such as clear vision, high stone clearance rate and short operation time, the indications were strictly limited for a number of serious complications [26]. Besides, the usual 26- to 34-Fr tract size of standard PCNL may be too large to be used in pediatric kidneys and in some adult undilated kidneys. Fortunately, some urologists have modi-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean operation time, min</td>
<td>37 (18–65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone-free rate</td>
<td>156/163 (95.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single ureteral calculi</td>
<td>128/130 (98.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associated renal calculi</td>
<td>28/33 (84.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative complications</td>
<td>38 (23.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>24 (14.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematuria &gt;36 h</td>
<td>14 (8.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perforation</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonic or pleural injury</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative hospital stay, days</td>
<td>3.6 (2–6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Conclusions

Mini-PCNL greatly reduces the complications of PCNL. Though the primary treatment of impacted proximal ureteral calculi is still controversial, mini-PCNL provides another option for urologists. Sometimes, with skillful experience, it might be an advantageous choice.
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