Usefulness of Transnasal Argon Plasma Coagulation for Esophageal Varices Compared with the Peroral Method: A Randomized and Prospective Clinical Study
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Abstract
Background: Argon plasma coagulation (APC) is very useful as a consolidation treatment for reducing the recurrence of esophageal varices (EVs). However, repeated sedation in endoscopic treatment has the risk of prolonging hepatic encephalopathy and affects the respiratory state of liver cirrhosis (LC) patients, in whom pulmonary arteriovenous shunts are observed. We evaluated prospectively whether transnasal endoscopic APC without sedation is more effective than peroral endoscopic APC with sedation. Patients and Methods: LC patients (n = 101), treated by endoscopic injection sclerotherapy to eradicate EVs, were randomly divided into a transnasal APC group (n = 50) and a peroral APC group (n = 51). The primary efficacy endpoint was the cumulative recurrence rate of EVs. The secondary endpoints were blood pressure (BP), heart rate, oxygen saturation during APC and complications. Results: There was no significant difference in the cumulative recurrence rate of EVs at 36 months between the transnasal APC and peroral APC groups (35.0 vs. 40.8%, p = 0.39, log-rank test), indicating that transnasal APC is not inferior to peroral APC. The transnasal APC group showed more stable intraoperative BP and oxygen saturation values, and a lower incidence of epigastralgia (56.0 vs. 74.5%, p = 0.04). Conclusion: The efficacy of reducing the recurrence of EVs in the transnasal APC group was not significantly different from that in the peroral APC group. Transnasal APC caused less distress and required no sedation. Therefore, this method was more advantageous for LC patients at risk of suffering from prolongation of hepatic encephalopathy.

Introduction
Argon plasma coagulation (APC) is effective for reducing recurrence after eradication of esophageal varices (EVs) by endoscopic injection sclerotherapy (EIS) or endoscopic variceal ligation (EVL), since inducing fibrosis on the esophageal mucosa is essential to prevent this recurrence [1, 2]. APC is a non-contact thermal coagulation method in which high-frequency electric current is sent to the target tissue through an argon plasma jet. A
distinctive characteristic of APC is the safe and effective shallow coagulation over extensive areas [3]. However, since it is performed with an endoscope, conventional APC requires sedation to reduce patient discomfort. Liver patients are at potentially greater risk of complications, including cardiopulmonary compromise, and possible precipitation or exacerbation of encephalopathy, including sub-clinical encephalopathy [4, 5]. Recently, the negative effects of using midazolam sedation during peroral endoscopic examination, such as prolonging hepatic encephalopathy in liver cirrhosis (LC) patients, have been reported [4, 6, 7]. Moreover, there were some reports that the risk of hypoxemia, bradycardia and hypotension increased during moderate sedation [8, 9]. Since pulmonary arteriovenous shunts are often accompanied by LC as cardiopulmonary compromise [10], the risk of hypoxemia is higher than that in a healthy population [11]. Therefore, if a new endoscopic APC method, causing less discomfort without using sedation, were developed, it would provide a significant improvement for LC patients.

Transnasal endoscopy has attracted much interest because it causes little distress to patients and the examination can be performed without using sedation [10, 12]. In this study, we used a transnasal endoscope without sedation and performed APC to induce fibrosis of the esophageal mucosa after eradication of the EVs using EIS. The aim of this randomized study was to assess prospectively the efficacy of transnasal APC, compared with peroral APC, for the prevention of recurrence of EVs.

**Patients and Methods**

**Patients and Procedures**

A total of 101 LC patients with high-risk EVs, which were subsequently eradicated by EIS at our hospital, were enrolled in this study. This phase II study was conducted prospectively, with 50 patients being randomly assigned to receive transnasal APC without sedation and 51 patients assigned to receive peroral APC with sedation. After obtaining approval from the Ethics Committee of our institution, patients from whom written informed consent had been obtained were enrolled as subjects. Emergency cases, patients receiving anticoagulants, or patients with advanced cardiopulmonary disease, nasal obstruction or thrombocytopenia (platelet count<2.0 × 10^4/μl), were excluded. This study protocol conformed to the principles of the 1975 Helsinki Declaration. Randomization was performed using a computer-generated random number technique. The 2 groups were comparable for all background variables, including age, classification of EVs and the Child-Pugh score (table 1). APC therapy was initiated within 14 days of variceal eradication. Eradication was defined as the complete disappearance of varices, and variceal recurrence was defined as the development of varices of classification type F1 or greater, occurrence of the red color sign or recurrent variceal bleeding. A single operator performed all APC treatments. APC was performed in just 1 session as the mucosa-fibrosing therapy in each case. After EVs had been treated by EIS and APC, patients underwent endoscopy every 4 months until the end of the follow-up period (from August 2007 to February 2012).

**APC Equipment, Settings and Usage Based on Basic Experiment**

The endoscopes used were the Fujinon EG-530N2 or NW (Fujinon Corp., Saitama, Japan) transnasal endoscope, and the EG-590WR or WR2 (Fujinon Corp.) peroral endoscope. Both endoscopic tips were coated with insulating material and were suited for APC. APC was performed with a high-frequency generator (APC300, ICC200; ERBE Elektromedizin GmbH, Tübingen, Germany), a flexible 1.5-mm-diameter axial probe for the transnasal endoscope and a 2.2-mm-diameter axial probe for the peroral en-

### Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the patients according to study group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Transnasal APC (n = 50)</th>
<th>Peroral APC (n = 51)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>64.7 ± 11.1</td>
<td>64.5 ± 12.1</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/female</td>
<td>37/13</td>
<td>36/15</td>
<td>0.70†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etiology of LC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.23†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral hepatitis</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-B non-C hepatitis</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcoholic hepatitis</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPH, PBC, AIH</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up period, months</td>
<td>20.5 ± 15.6</td>
<td>19.0 ± 14.3</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variceal classification type</td>
<td>(F1/F2/F3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child-Pugh score</td>
<td>6.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>6.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sessions of EIS</td>
<td>2.6 ± 1.3</td>
<td>2.8 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood test results</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT-INR, s</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets, ×10^4/μl</td>
<td>9.1 ± 5.0</td>
<td>8.4 ± 5.4</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST, IU/l</td>
<td>41.2 ± 16.2</td>
<td>47.1 ± 27.3</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT, IU/l</td>
<td>27.2 ± 16.2</td>
<td>31.2 ± 18.6</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bilirubin, mg/dl</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin, g/dl</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholinesterase, IU/l</td>
<td>168.8 ± 65.1</td>
<td>151.5 ± 74.3</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia, μg/dl</td>
<td>73.4 ± 30.9</td>
<td>81.7 ± 42.1</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean ± SD. The Student t test was used for the comparison of values. † Differences for each characteristic were tested with the χ² test. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. IPH = Idiopathic portal hypertension; PBC = primary biliary cirrhosis; AIH = autoimmune hepatitis; PT-INR = prothrombin time international normalized ratio; AST = aspartate aminotransferase; ALT = alanine aminotransferase.
As described in previous reports [1, 2, 13–15], peroral APC was set with an argon gas flow rate of 1.8 liters/min and an arc output of 60 W. In contrast, the maximum power of the 1.5-mm-diameter axial probe for transnasal APC had the following limitations: a flow rate of 0.5 liters/min and an arc output of 40 W. Because of the above-mentioned limitations, a preliminary animal experiment was conducted in 5 esophagus areas using 3 ex vivo porcine models to compare cauterizing capabilities. APC pulse duration times were set at 1, 3, 5, 7 and 10 s and the cauterizing capabilities were estimated using both probes. When cauterization was applied for 3 or 5 s, the resulting effects of transnasal and peroral APC probes on the mucosa were comparable. Evaluated with a scoring system – (1) epithelium, (2) lamina propria, (3) muscularis mucosa, (4) upper submucosa, (5) lower submucosa, and (6) muscularis propria – the median values of tissue defects for 3 (or 5) s of cauterization with transnasal probe and peroral probe were 2.0 (2.0) points and 2.0 (3.0) points \([p = 0.42 (0.067), \text{Mann-Whitney } U \text{ test}]\), and those of tissue degeneration were 3.0 (3.0) points and 3.0 (3.0) points \([p = 0.18]\). However, there were significant differences for tissue effect of cauterization time of 1, 7 and 10 s. When endoscopic APC experiments with both probes were performed on whole esophagus of 2 ex vivo porcine models under the setting of a pulse duration time of 3 s, the depth of tissue defects on 43 sites cauterized by transnasal APC and those on 40 sites by peroral APC were comparable \([p = 0.071]\). Therefore, all APC treatments were performed, with the setting of a pulse duration time of 3 s, on the entire circumference of the distal esophagus from the esophagogastric junction to about 5 cm towards the proximal segment.

**Efficacy Assessment and Statistical Analysis**

The primary efficacy endpoint of this study was the cumulative recurrence rate of EVs. The secondary endpoints were intraoperative blood pressure (BP), heart rate, oxygen saturation \((\text{SpO}_2)\), operating time and complications.

Intention-to-treat analysis was performed using SPSS 16.0J software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Ill., USA). Values were presented as means ± standard deviation (SD). As all clinical data had a normal distribution, the Student t test was used for the comparison of values between the 2 groups. The \(\chi^2\) test was used for the comparison of gender, and the Fisher exact test for the incidence of complications. The cumulative recurrence rate curves were determined by the Kaplan-Meier method and were analyzed by the log-rank test. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Required sample size was determined as follows. A power calculation was performed assuming a probability of variceal recurrence of about 50% at 24 months [15, 16]. It was previously demonstrated that peroral APC achieved a 55% reduction in the recurrence rate of EVs (from 50 to 22.5%) compared with the non-APC-treated group [1]. Assuming an \(\alpha\)-error of 0.05, a power of 0.80 and a 10% loss to follow-up observation, the required sample size was found to be 96 patients.
The intraoperative effects on cardiorespiratory function were investigated using the vital sign parameters of BP, heart rate and \( \text{SpO}_2 \) (fig. 2b). A 2-liter oxygen cannula was used to prevent hypoxemia during sedation only for patients in the peroral APC group. When the examination time lasted more than 10 min, a transient elevation in BP and a drop in \( \text{SpO}_2 \) were observed in the peroral APC group. In contrast, these vital signs were stable in the transnasal APC group. With regard to postoperative complications, hypertension (>160 mm Hg on systolic BP or >100 mm Hg on diastolic BP) was more frequently observed in the peroral APC group (transnasal vs. peroral APC group, 30 vs. 54.9%, \( p = 0.05 \), Fisher’s exact test). Moreover, the transnasal APC group had a significantly lower incidence of complications, such as epigastralgia (52.5 vs. 75.8%, \( p = 0.01 \)). Epistaxis occurred in 1 patient, but immediately and spontaneously stopped.

### Discussion

We set out to evaluate prospectively whether transnasal endoscopic APC without sedation is more effective than peroral endoscopic APC with sedation. In order to prevent variceal recurrence, it is necessary to resect the small collateral veins of the distal esophageal mucosa, a site which has a propensity to form variceous veins. For this purpose, mucosal fibrosis therapy was often undertaken as the consolidation therapy after EIS or EVL [17]. The technique previously used was perivarirole sclerotherapy with 1% polidocanol [18]. Recently, APC has superseded this for achieving mucosal fibrosis to prevent the recurrence of EVs [19, 20]. APC is a very effective method, although it requires sedation for reducing patient distress. For LC patients, sedation is a risk factor for inducing the prolongation of hepatic encephalopathy [4]. Concerning this point, our new APC method using a transnasal endoscope was superior to the peroral method, because the former did not require sedation. The degree of patient distress in the transnasal APC group was investigated using visual analog scales (VAS) for pharyngeal pain, choking sensation, nausea and fullness (0, no pain, to 10, maximum pain). Acceptable results were obtained in terms of mean pain VAS scores: 1.7 ± 1.5 for pharyngeal pain, 1.7 ± 1.8 for choking sensation, 0.8 ± 1.3 for nausea and 3.3 ± 3.0 for fullness. The APC treatment with the transnasal endoscope indicated a high acceptability for LC patients, even without sedation.

Since the diameter of the APC axial probe for the transnasal endoscope was relatively small, the output
power and argon gas flow rate had limitations. However, the recurrence rate of EVs after transnasal APC was as low as that after peroral APC, and the non-inferiority in the recurrence rate was demonstrated in this study.

LC patients are often accompanied by arterialization of the liver [21], intrahepatic shunts [22], pulmonary arteriovenous shunts [23] and a hyperdynamic circulatory state with a characteristic increase in cardiac output [24]. Therefore, elevation of BP or reduction in $\text{SpO}_2$ during sedation has the possibility of having a negative effect on these hemodynamic changes [25, 26]. In this study, BP and $\text{SpO}_2$ in the transnasal APC group were more stable than those in the peroral APC group, indicating that transnasal APC was suitable for LC patients. Moreover, the incidence of complications in the transnasal APC group was lower than that in the peroral APC group.

Although this study found that APC treatment using a transnasal endoscope was beneficial for LC patients, the fact that it was carried out at a single institution does present a limitation. A multicenter prospective study to investigate the effectiveness of transnasal APC is warranted.

**Conclusion**

Transnasal APC demonstrated several advantages. It results in a low recurrence rate of EVs, it causes almost no pain, it requires no sedation with the accompanying risk of hepatic encephalopathy, it allows stable intraoperative BP as well as stable $\text{SpO}_2$, and it causes less frequent complications. This method is a promising and beneficial future therapeutic strategy for EVs.
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