Predictive Factors Associated with the Success of Pneumatic Dilatation in Japanese Patients with Primary Achalasia: A Study Using High-Resolution Manometry
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Abstract
Background/Aims: A new classification of achalasia using high-resolution manometry (HRM) has recently been suggested. Pneumatic dilatation (PD) is a common treatment for primary achalasia. The usefulness of the new classification and HRM for the treatment and follow-up of patients after PD is unknown. The aim of this study was to evaluate the PD effectiveness and the predictive factors of success in Japanese patients with achalasia using HRM and the new classification of achalasia. Methods: Twenty-five patients were diagnosed with primary achalasia using HRM and treated by PD in our hospital. We evaluated symptom scores and esophageal manometry 6 and 12 months after the first PD. Results: After the first PD treatment, remission occurred in 24 out of 25 (96.0%) patients at 6 months and in 19 out of 25 (76.0%) patients at 12 months. With the new classification of achalasia, the success rates were 83.3, 80.0 and 50% for types I, II and III, respectively, 12 months after PD. The median age of the successful group was significantly greater than that of the failure group (47.1 vs. 37.0 years, p < 0.05). The median residual lower esophageal sphincter (LES) pressure 6 months after PD in the successful group was significantly lower than that of the failure group (9.0 vs. 15.5 mm Hg, p < 0.05). Conclusion: Good predictors of PD success were old age (>40 years) and residual LES pressures less than 15 mm Hg 6 months after PD.

Introduction

Achalasia is a primary motility disorder of the esophagus that is characterized by a loss of peristalsis in the esophageal body, impaired relaxation of the lower esophageal sphincter (LES) during swallowing and increased resting pressure of the LES. It commonly produces dysphagia, regurgitation of undigested food and chest pain. The underlying pathological state is a loss of ganglion cells in the myenteric plexus, but the precise etiology remains unknown [1]. Achalasia is a rare disease, with an estimated annual incidence of 1 case per 100,000 people [2]. The aim of therapy in patients with achalasia is to reduce distal obstructions that can be treated by pneumat-
ic dilatation (PD) or surgical esophagomyotomy [3]. In the modern era, endoscopic therapies have been simplified by the advent of the Rigiflex Microinvasive Balloon (Boston Scientific, Watertown, Mass., USA), and myotomy has evolved from the open thoracotomies of 20 years ago to the current standard of laparoscopic abdominal myotomy. Most gastroenterologists use PD as a first-line treatment because they consider this option to have a lower risk of morbidity and mortality. PD can result in remission in 67–90% of patients [3]. Tanaka et al. [4] reported that old age was the only independent factor that was associated with the success of PD. In addition, Eckardt et al. [5] showed that older age and lower post-therapy LES pressures were predictors of good treatment outcomes in patients treated with PD. In Japan, however, there are few studies of PD in patients with primary achalasia.

It has been suggested that the use of objective testing, such as esophageal manometry, could be useful for guiding treatment [6, 7]. High-resolution manometry (HRM) is the most accurate method that can evaluate esophageal motility. However, the usefulness of HRM during follow-up of post-PD patients has not been explored. Recently, Pandolfino et al. [8] reported that achalasia could be categorized into 3 subtypes by HRM. The 3 subtypes are distinct in terms of their responsiveness to treatments and, therefore, the new classification of achalasia may be clinically useful for predicting the efficacy of treatment. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of PD and its predictive factors in Japanese patients with achalasia using HRM and the new classification of achalasia.

Methods

From July 2005 to January 2011, 25 consecutive patients with achalasia were invited to take part in the study. All patients were clinically assessed before the PD, with gastrointestinal endoscopy, barium esophagrams and esophageal manometry. Exclusion criteria were a previous PD and any previous esophageal or gastric surgery. Patients were not treated with any drugs that could alter the PD results.

This observational study was carried out in Saiseikai Nakatsu Hospital. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, the consolidated Good Clinical Practice guidelines and the applicable regulatory requirements.

Esophageal Manometry

An HRM assembly with 36 solid-state sensors that were spaced at 1-cm intervals (ManoScan360, Sierra Scientific Instruments LLC, Los Angeles, Calif., USA) was used on all patients before the PD. Each sensor was circumferentially sensitive and zeroed to gastric pressure. The HRM assembly was passed transnasally and positioned in order to record from the hypopharynx to the stomach with about 5 intragastric sensors. Studies were performed while the patient was in a sitting position after at least a 6-hour fast. The manometric protocol included a 5-min period to assess basal sphincter pressure and ten 5-ml water swallows.

Manometry Analysis

All manometric analyses were done using ManoView software (Sierra Scientific Instruments LLC), which was applied to the data tracings that were viewed in the color pressure topography mode. Impaired esophageal-gastric junction relaxation was defined as an average 4-second integrated relaxation pressure that was greater than 15 mm Hg [9]. Pandolfino et al. [8] reported that achalasia could be categorized into 3 subtypes by HRM. In type I achalasia, there is no distal esophageal pressurization greater than 30 mm Hg in more than 8 of the 10 test swallows (fig. 1). In type II achalasia, at least 2 test swallows are associated with panesophageal pressurization that is greater than 30 mm Hg (fig. 2). Type III patients have 2 or more spastic contractions with or without periods of compartmentalized pressurization (fig. 3).

Pneumatic Dilation

All PDs were performed using Rigiflex balloon dilators with fluoroscopic control. The first PD was usually performed with a 3.0-cm balloon. Patients fasted for at least 12 h before the procedure. All patients were sedated with intravenous midazolam and pethidine hydrochloride. After the gastrointestinal endoscopy, the Rigiflex dilator was passed over a guide wire and the balloon was positioned across the diaphragmatic hiatus using the radiopaque markers as guides. The balloon was then inflated until the waist was completely obliterated and the inflation was maintained for 60 s. The balloon was inflated 3 times at 60-second intervals. A gastrografin swallow was performed immediately after dilation in order to identify any esophageal perforations. All patients were hospitalized for at least 1 day post-dilatation for observation of possible complications, including retrosternal pain, perforation, bleeding, fever or vomiting. Normal diet and activity were commenced after 24 h in the absence of signs of the above-mentioned complications. If symptoms were not relieved after PD, we performed a second treatment using a 3.5-cm balloon within a week.

Clinical Evaluation

We evaluated the main symptoms and LES pressures at 6 and 12 months after the first PD. At the initial investigation and at each subsequent visit, the main symptoms (dysphagia and chest pain) were evaluated and each was given a score between 0 and 2 (almost no symptoms = 0, occasional symptoms = 1, daily symptoms = 2). Patients were considered to be in clinical remission when the total scores (0–4) were less than 2 and each symptom was less than 1. We evaluated the success ratio of PD after 6 and 12 months, respectively. Those patients in clinical remission with a follow-up period shorter than 1 year were excluded from this analysis.

Statistical Analysis

Comparisons of the manometry data and the demographic data were performed using the Mann-Whitney test. p values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.
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Results

Demographic Data

Twenty-five patients were diagnosed with primary achalasia with HRM and were treated by PD in our hospital. The demographic characteristics of the 25 patients with achalasia according to the new classification of achalasia are summarized in Table 1. The age of those with type III achalasia was significantly older than those with type I achalasia. However, there were no statistical differences in other factors among the patients with the 3 types of achalasia.

Success of First PD

After the first treatment of PD, remission occurred in 24 out of 25 (96.0%) patients after 6 months, and in 19 out of 25 (76.0%) patients after 12 months (Fig. 4). The success ratio of PD after 6 and 12 months according to the new classification of achalasia is shown in Table 2. Patients with type III achalasia tended to require further PD compared to those with type I and type II achalasia. The median duration of follow-up was 31.1 months. Twenty-three out of 25 (92.0%) patients required 1 dilatation and 2 (8%) patients needed 2 dilatations to achieve remission in the first PD. The 2 patients who needed 2 dilatations in the first PD were young females aged 29 and 39 years old.

Predictive Factors Associated with the Success of PD

The median age in the successful group was significantly greater than that of the failure group (47.1 vs. 37.0 years, p < 0.05). The median residual LES pressure 6 months after the first PD in the successful group was significantly lower than that of the failure group (9.0 vs. 15.5

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of 25 patients with achalasia according to its new classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Type I (n = 6)</th>
<th>Type II (n = 15)</th>
<th>Type III (n = 4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>43.6 (23–75)</td>
<td>51.5 (25–84)</td>
<td>60.2 (23–88)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male/female)</td>
<td>2/4</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td>3/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of symptoms, years</td>
<td>9.5 (1–30)</td>
<td>5.3 (1–10.5)</td>
<td>6.7 (1.5–11.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptom score</td>
<td>2.8 (2–4)</td>
<td>2.5 (1–4)</td>
<td>2.3 (1–4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual LES pressure before PD, mm Hg</td>
<td>23.9 (15.7–44.4)</td>
<td>28.5 (16.1–55.7)</td>
<td>25.4 (17.2–33.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD pressure, psi</td>
<td>9.4 (7–15)</td>
<td>13.0 (6–19)</td>
<td>9.6 (9–15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-month residual LES pressure after PD, mm Hg</td>
<td>7.9 (1.6–10.5)</td>
<td>13.0 (6.5–18.5)</td>
<td>16.1 (8.8–21.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as median (interquartile range). * p < 0.05 versus type I.

Fig. 1. Type I achalasia: there is no distal esophageal pressurization greater than 30 mm Hg in more than 8 of the 10 test swallows.

Fig. 2. Type II achalasia: at least 2 test swallows were associated with panesophageal pressurization greater than 30 mm Hg.
mm Hg, p < 0.05). However, there were no differences in the other factors between the groups (table 3). Old age and lower residual pressures 6 months after PD were the factors associated with a better response to PD. Setting the cutoff value at 40 years of age resulted in an 85.7% cumulative success of PD in the >40 age group, but only 46.7% in the <40 age group. Also, setting the cutoff value at 15 mm Hg of residual pressure at 6 months after PD resulted in a 90.0% cumulative success of PD in the <15 mm Hg group, but only 20.0% in the >15 mm Hg group.

**Complications**

There were no perforations related to PD. Two of the 25 (8%) patients had reflux esophagitis of grade A or B of the Los Angeles classification, and the esophagitis responded well to standard doses of proton pump inhibitors.

**Discussion**

Achalasia is a chronic condition with a broad spectrum of expressions. Treatment is palliative and follow-up is lifelong. Therefore, most gastroenterologists prefer PD as the first line of therapy. In a recent meta-analysis that studied the pooled results of 15 articles and 1,065 patients who underwent PD, the rates of symptom relief were 73.8% at 6 months, 68% at 12 months and 58% beyond 36 months, and 25% of patients required repeat dilatation [10]. These were outcomes that were consistent with our study.

It is important to be able to predict the response to PD. Age was thought to be the only factor that determined the response to dilation [4, 11, 12]. Howard et al. [13] reported that a young age at diagnosis and an increased esophageal width on barium swallows predicted a worse outcome. Our study demonstrated that old age (>40 years) and lower residual LES pressures (<15 mm Hg) at 6 months after PD were the factors that were associated with a better response to PD. Regarding the age factor, our results were consistent with other published data showing that older patients respond favorably to PD compared to younger patients [14]. However, it is well known that LES pressure decreases in the short term after PD [5, 15, 16]. Eckardt et al. [12] reported that LES pressures less than 10 mm Hg after PD was the most significant predictive factor of favorable long-term outcomes. In addition, Penagini [17] reported that in the patients who needed further treatment the LES pressure 3 months after the first treatment was 10 mm Hg or more, whereas the pres-

![Fig. 3.](image)

Type III achalasia: these patients had 2 or more spastic contractions with or without periods of compartmentalized pressurization.

![Fig. 4.](image)

One patient experienced recurrence within 6 months and 5 patients experienced recurrence within 12 months of the first PD. The success ratio was 96.0 and 76.0% 6 and 12 months after PD, respectively.

**Table 2.** Success ratio of PD after 6 and 12 months according to the new classification of achalasia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Success ratio, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>type I (n = 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>100 (6/6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>83.3 (5/6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**All patients (n = 25)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No further therapy (n = 24)</th>
<th>Further therapy (n = 1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No further therapy (n = 19)</td>
<td>Further therapy (n = 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Old Age and Low Residual Pressure Are Good Predictive Factors of PD Success

Table 3. Predictive factors associated with the success of PD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Success (n =19)</th>
<th>Failure (n = 6)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>47.1 ± 17.8</td>
<td>37.0 ± 12.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male/female)</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of symptoms, years</td>
<td>5.9 ± 2.3</td>
<td>6.7 ± 3.4</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual LES pressure before PD, mm Hg</td>
<td>20.2 ± 11.8</td>
<td>24.3 ± 5.8</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD pressure, psi</td>
<td>11.5 ± 4.9</td>
<td>10.0 ± 2.7</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-month residual LES pressure after PD, mm Hg</td>
<td>9.0 ± 3.7</td>
<td>15.5 ± 5.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as median ± SE.

In all of the patients who underwent only one dilatation was less than 10 mm Hg. However, in these reports, the method used for the manometry was the infusion pump method. There have been few studies that have evaluated LES pressures after PD using HRM, which is the most accurate method for evaluating LES pressure. We examined LES pressures using HRM and, therefore, our study differed from the previous studies.

Pandolfino et al. [8] proposed a new classification of achalasia using HRM in which impaired LES relaxation was defined as greater than 15 mm Hg. In addition, they categorized achalasia into 3 subtypes that were distinct in terms of their responsiveness to PD therapies. They reported that patients with type III achalasia were resistant to PD, which was consistent with our study. In our study the age of patients with type III achalasia was significantly older than those with type I achalasia; however, the success ratio of PD in type III achalasia patients was lower than in those of type I achalasia. We think the high residual LES pressures in type III achalasia 6 months after PD compared to those of type I achalasia is the reason for the low clinical remission in type III achalasia. Our data showed that patients who developed clinical relapses after reaching clinical remission had residual LES pressures that were higher than 15 mm Hg 6 months after the first dilatation. On the contrary, residual LES pressures before dilatation and PD pressures did not discriminate patients who developed clinical relapses after reaching remission from those who did not. We think it is important to decrease the residual LES pressure to less than 15 mm Hg for remission of symptoms. Therefore, the definition of impaired LES relaxation as that greater than 15 mm Hg, which was suggested by Pandolfino et al. [8], is appropriate.

Previous studies have shown that unsatisfactory first dilation results were always evident within 1 year. Actually, we found that most of the relapses occurred early after the first dilation (within 1 year). Therefore, we think that the patients should be carefully followed up in the first year after PD. Bravi et al. [18] reported a strategy that includes sessions of PD until clinical remission and a standardized follow-up result in a low need for further dilatations and a high rate of clinical success over the long term. Furthermore, a careful follow-up during the first year after treatment allows for the rapid identification of patients who do not respond to endoscopic therapy and a chance to offer them surgery [18]. A long-term strategy of standardized follow-up assessments is important because it is known that, during on-demand follow-up, patients may underestimate their symptoms, have a poor quality of life and possible complications, and eventually come back to the physician when the esophagus is dilated and treatment is less effective [6]. Esophageal manometry by HRM may be a useful objective for evaluating achalasia treatment and for deciding on the need for further dilatations.

Esophageal perforation is the most serious complication of PD. In our study, no patients had an esophageal perforation during treatment with PD. In the meta-analysis of the series, the mean perforation rate was 1.6% [10]. The most frequent complication was gastroesophageal reflux disease. In our study, 2 of the 25 (8%) patients had reflux esophagitis of grades A or B of the Los Angeles classification, and responded well to standard doses of proton pump inhibitors.

In conclusion, this study suggests that an initial PD is a reasonable approach as first-line therapy in patients with achalasia. Good predictors of success are old age (more than 40 years) and residual pressures less than 15 mm Hg 6 months after PD. Therefore, the practice of serial PD for all patients with achalasia may need to be modified for young men. In this group, initial therapy
with a larger balloon size or surgical esophagomyotomy might reduce the likelihood of repeated dilation. Esophageal manometry by HRM may be a useful objective test for evaluating achalasia treatment and possibly for deciding on the need for further dilations.
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