Biliary Obstruction Induces Extremely Elevated Serum CA 19-9 Levels: Case Report
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Summary
Background: Assessment of carbohydrate antigen (CA) 19-9 levels is used for diagnosis and follow-up of pancreaticobiliary cancers, and high levels of this biomarker are suggestive of a malignancy. CA 19-9 may also be elevated in patients with conditions other than tumors, such as cholestasis, biliary obstruction, and cholecystitis.

Case Report: A 50-year-old male patient presented with jaundice and elevated CA 19-9 levels (161,902 IU/ml). Repeated biopsies of the common bile duct revealed no malignancies. Radiological findings indicated a mass protruding through the common bile duct. Positron emission tomography demonstrated increased 18F-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose uptake in the liver and a mass resembling metastasis was detected. A Whipple procedure was performed and demonstrated no tumor. Postoperatively, CA 19-9 levels decreased to within normal limits (27 IU/ml).

Conclusion: These results indicate that CA 19-9 levels should not be the sole criterion for a diagnosis of malignancy. Although other analytical tools may aid diagnosis, surgical exploration may be required in some instances to avoid misdiagnosis and determine whether radiological results are falsely positive.

Introduction
Carbohydrate antigen (CA) 19-9 was originally identified by a murine monoclonal antibody that specifically bound human colorectal carcinoma cells, and has been used for preoperative staging, resectability assessment, and prognosis of pancreaticobiliary cancer, as well as diagnosis of tumor recurrence during follow-up [1]. However, the diagnostic accuracy of CA 19-9 is likely lower than previously thought because CA 19-9 is elevated in some cases, such as cholecystitis, pancreatitis, and...
choledocholithiasis [2, 3]. Despite these data, many clinicians still strongly associate elevated CA 19-9 levels with cancer [3]. Here, we report an interesting case of extremely elevated CA 19-9 levels in which the clinical, radiological, and laboratory findings all indicated pancreaticobiliary carcinoma, but biopsies proved the condition was benign.

**Case Report**

A 50-year-old male, who had been experiencing painless jaundice for 15 days, was admitted to our hospital with jaundice and scleral icterus. Past medical history revealed that, due to cholecystitis, he had undergone a laparoscopic cholecystectomy 10 years previously. Laboratory test results showed markedly elevated transaminase, alkaline phosphatase, γ-glutamyl transpeptidase (GGT), and total bilirubin levels. CA 19-9 levels were exceedingly high at 161,902 IU/ml (normal range between 0 and 36 IU/ml). An internal stent was placed and biopsy was taken, which revealed chronic inflammation and regenerative changes. On abdominal computed tomography (CT), a 2-cm mass protruding into the lumen and a 3 × 4 × 5 cm³ irregular mass resembling metastasis was located in segment 6 of the liver (fig. 1A, B). Positron emission tomography (PET)/CT scan was performed, which revealed hypermetabolic hypodense lesions resembling metastasis on liver segments 2 and 7 (standardized uptake value [SUV] max = 5.8). A 14 × 11 mm³ hypodense lesion with increased [¹⁸F]fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (FDG) uptake (SUVmax = 4.4) located anterior to the caudate lobe was also visible (fig. 2). An endoscopic biopsy demonstrated chronic inflammation and regenerative changes. Because the radiological and PET/CT findings indicated a tumor at the common bile duct with liver metastasis, our Oncology Board adjudicated that surgical exploration should be undertaken. On surgical exploration, the common bile duct was found to have grown to 4 cm in diameter and an inferior-located fibrotic mass was observed. An exophytic mass on liver segment 7 resembling hemangiomata was also evident. A Whipple procedure and end-to-end pancreaticojejunostomy, choledochojunostomy, and gastrojejunostomy were performed. No complications occurred during or after surgery. The pathological findings were chronic active inflammation with 23 non-metastatic lymph nodes (fig. 3A and B). Postoperative bilirubin and transaminase levels were within normal limits, and CA 19-9 levels decreased to 25 IU/ml.

**Discussion**

The glycoprotein CA 19-9 was initially described as a biomarker for colorectal cancer, and its association with pancreatic cancer was reported later. However, non-tumoral conditions may also increase CA 19-9 levels; therefore, elevated levels of this marker should be managed cautiously. This is the first case in which both elevated CA 19-9 and radiological findings (CT and PET/CT) strongly supported the evidence of malignancy, but the surgical pathological findings indicated that a malignancy was not the cause of the extremely elevated CA 19-9 levels.

Frequent false-positive results negatively impact the clinical use of CA 19-9. To improve the clinical use of this biomarker, various methods have been investigated to improve sensitivity and specificity. In pancreatic cancer, CA 19-9 has been reported to have 70–80% sensitivity and 80–90% specificity in tumor diagnosis [4]. Marrelli et al. [3] reported that elevated CA 19-9 levels (>37 U/ml) were found in 61% of benign cases and 86% of malignancies. In several studies, cholestasis and obstructive jaundice were also associated with the highest CA 19-9 false-positive rates. Katsanos et al. [5] and Milinois et al. [6] reported 100-fold increases in postcholecystectomy CA 19-9 levels. Basso et al. [7] reported results higher than 10,000 IU/ml in 4 out of 30 patients with benign
stenosis of the main bile duct, including 1 patient with levels higher than 100,000 KU/l. In another report, Dorizzi et al. [8] reported the highest levels of CA 19-9 thus far, 405,000 IU/ml, as a result of a benign condition. An explanation for these extraordinarily high levels is that the biliary epithelial cells produced large amounts of CA 19-9, which accumulated due to reduced hepatobiliary clearance of CA 19-9 as a result of cholestasis. CA 19-9 levels may reach very high levels in benign conditions, especially in obstructive diseases. Our findings are in line with a previous study on 164 patients with elevated CA 19-9 levels, which showed a positive correlation between elevated bilirubin and CA 19-9 levels [9]. In addition, an improvement of jaundice was associated with a decline in CA 19-9 levels. Therefore, in patients with biliary lesions and a suspected malignancy, serum CA 19-9 levels should be measured before and after relief of the obstruction.

Giving undue credence to elevated CA 19-9 levels could lead to a misdiagnosis of a pancreatic or biliary malignancy, despite a lack of radiological, surgical, and endoscopic results supporting this diagnosis. Therefore, radiological findings and, in some cases, surgical interventions should be used in addition to CA 19-9 assessment for an accurate diagnosis. Although extremely high CA 19-9 levels, together with clinical and radiological findings may implicate a malignancy, this is not always true, as we have shown in our case. PET with $[^{18}F]FDG$ has been successfully used for diagnostic evaluation of cholangiocarcinomas, and is associated with a sensitivity of 92% and a specificity of 93% [10]. However, inflammatory processes may cause increased FDG uptake in certain cases, causing false-positive results. Thus, FDG-PET imaging must be interpreted carefully, especially in patients with sclerosing cholangitis, biliary strictures, or other benign inflammatory conditions such as cholecystitis [11, 12]. Anderson et al. [11] reported that in 1 of 7 patients with sclerosing cholangitis in their series, FDG-PET imaging was falsely interpreted as indicating malignant disease. Kluge et al. [13] reported a similar false-positive rate. The type of preoperative assessment methods make the present case, in which we investigated beyond CA 19-9 level assessment, distinct from those reported by others. We performed PET/CT to rule out malignancy, as well as systemic metastasis if there was a tumor. The increased FDG uptake that occurred in this case is likely the result of recurrent biopsies taken from the common bile duct and a long period of biliary obstruction that caused inflammation, both of which led to false-positive results.

**Conclusion**

Although the CA 19-9 biomarker is indicative of malignancy, it cannot be used alone to diagnose cancer. The *sine qua non* includes medical history, clinical examination, appropriate radiological methods, and follow-up. However, it should be noted that radiological methods and PET/CT might lead to false-positive results. A multidisciplinary approach, sometimes including surgical interventions, is required for both accurate diagnoses and making correct decisions about treatment modalities.
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