Complete Resolution of Erythrodermic Psoriasis in an HIV and HCV Patient Unresponsive to Antipsoriatic Treatments after Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy (Ritonavir, Atazanavir, Emtricitabine, Tenofovir)
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Abstract

Background: Psoriasis is a chronic, inflammatory disease affecting 2–3% of the worldwide population [1]. The most common clinical phenotype, namely plaque-type psoriasis, is characterized by symmetrical, erythematous, scaly plaques localized on the extensor surfaces of the skin, scalp, and lower back, although any site can be affected. Psoriasis may represent a cutaneous manifestation of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection, and can potentially provide clinical evidence regarding the progression of the infection [2].

Hence, a worsening of psoriasis in high-risk patients (e.g., story of drug abuse, hepatitis C virus, HCV, infection) or in patients with a multidrug resistance might be determined by a latent infection which laboratory tests can easily detect, with the exception of the HIV test which legally needs a written consent signed by patients who, in some cases, could be reluctant to perform it. Though HIV testing is not mandatory in the screening for biological therapies, it could prove very useful in the selection of the optimal therapeutic option for the treatment of a challenging condition such as HIV-related psoriasis.

Results: In the case we report, the HIV test was shown to be crucial for driving the therapeutic approach. Indeed, antiretroviral agents have been proven to be effective in the treatment of HIV+ psoriasis as first-line therapy.

We present the case of severe psoriasis associated with an undiagnosed HIV infection that was found to be resistant to multiple antipsoriatic therapies. Diagnosis and treatment of HIV led to an improvement of the skin condition.

Moreover, we reviewed the literature, focusing our attention on the wide therapeutic armamentarium available in the treatment of HIV-related psoriasis.

Case Report

A 50-year-old Caucasian man, affected by moderate-to-severe plaque-type psoriasis since the age of 40 years, was referred to our Department with a severe erythrodermic flare. The patient’s clinical notes mentioned a chronic, asymptomatic HCV infection since the age of 30. The patient was unresponsive to conventional systemic antipsoriatic treatments (cyclosporine 3 mg/kg daily and acitretin 25 mg daily) and systemic steroids (methylprednisolone 0.5 mg/kg daily), claiming that erythrodermic flares characterized all episodes of psoriasis exacerbations. Screening for biologicals, according to European Guidelines [1], was performed. Serum transam-
nases were within normal ranges, the HCV replication rate was low, and ultrasound demonstrated that liver dimension and parenchyma structure were conserved. According to blood and imaging results, the patient was considered eligible for biologicals. Of note, the patient refused to sign the informed consent for an HIV test recommended (but not mandatory) [1] prior to a biological therapy. Then infliximab, a chimeric anti-tumor necrosis factor (TNF-α) monoclonal antibody, 5 mg/kg intravenously, was initiated. A significant improvement was observed as soon as week 2, but a loss of efficacy leading to drug discontinuation was observed at week 30 and, after a 3-month washout period, the patient was switched to adalimumab, a human anti-TNF-α monoclonal antibody, 40 mg every other week. Similarly to infliximab, adalimumab initially controlled psoriasis but was discontinued because of inefficacy after 18 months of continuous treatment. Adverse events were not referred during infliximab or adalimumab treatment; in contrast, erythrodermic flares and loss of efficacy to both anti-TNF-α agents were observed. A screening evaluation for biological eligibility was again performed and, remarkably, again the patient refused signing the HIV test consent form. Etanercept 50 mg twice weekly was subcutaneously administered and suddenly, after the third injection, the patient was referred to our outpatient clinic with erythroderma (PASI 48), fever (39.2°C), and a nonproductive cough. The patient’s clinical conditions were severe, deserving hospitalization. Blood tests, including urine culture and hemo- cultures for mycetes, aerobic and anaerobic bacteria, were performed. Cell blood count showed increased neutrophils with a reduction of CD3+/CD45+ T lymphocytes (520 cells/ml at baseline and week 2, respectively) and CD3+/CD45+ T cell count (520 cells/ml and 1,739 cells/ml at baseline and week 2, respectively). After 24 weeks, total lymphocytes and CD3+/CD45+ T cell count were within normal ranges and the patient showed a complete remission of psoriasis. HCV viral load as well as liver functional- ity and structure were strictly monitored during the period of observation. We did not find any progression of the HCV infection, and according to the infectious disease consultations, we did not administer any specific anti-HCV treatment.

Review of the Literature

It is uncertain whether the prevalence of psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis in HIV-infected subjects may be different with respect to the general population, but the clinical behavior of psoriasis seems to be altered among immunosuppressed patients. In particular, clinical observations demonstrate that HIV-affected psoriatic patients have a severe and prolonged clinical course with more frequent exacerbations, and that the development of skin lesions or arthritis symptoms in untreated HIV patients is associated with a poor prognosis and a mean survival expectancy ranging from 4 to 24 months after psoriasis onset [3–5]. These observations are of interest because T lymphocytes are crucially involved in both diseases but, while psoriasis results from T-cell activation, HIV infection switching to overt AIDS determines a massive T-cell depletion. Psoriasis in HIV patients usually develops in severe AIDS stages (CD4+ cell count less than 100 cells/μl) [6]. In contrast, in im- munocompetent psoriatic patients, the treatment with an anti-CD11a antibody, which leads to a marked T-cell reduction, was demonstrated to be significantly ef- fective in improving skin lesions [7]. The occurrence of psoriasis in AIDS patients may be correlated with an increase in CD8+ T cells in the peripheral blood, reflecting the psoriasis relapses in immu- nocompetent patients due to significant infiltration of CD8+ T cells both in the epidermis and dermis of lesional skin. Notably, in patients with CD4+ cell counts of less than 200 cells/μl, memory CD8+ T cells producing IL-17 and IFN-γ comprise over 80% of the T cells in peripheral blood [2].

In 2009, the estimated number (expressed as percent of the total population in that age group) of HIV-infected young adults (15–49 years), whether or not they have developed AIDS, was 0.3 and 0.6 in Italy and in the USA, respectively (Global Health Observatory Data Reposito- ry, http://apps.who.int/ghodata). Conse- quently, an undiagnosed HIV infection must be carefully ruled out when an immu- nosuppressant is administered. However, when an HIV infection is diagnosed, the treatment of psoriasis is challenging. Despite the number of agents that have been proposed for this subset of patients, several reports exhibit controversial clinical outcomes. Although topical agents pre- vail as therapy of choice in HIV-infected patients, they prove somewhat ineffective in the treatment of widespread plaque- type psoriasis or in severe clinical variants, including pustular psoriasis and erythroderma. In these forms, the Medical Board of the National Psoriasis Foundation recom- mends UVB or psoralen plus UVA (PUVA) phototherapy [8, 9], though the increased risk for skin cancer, increase in HIV viral load and reduced resistance to infections should be carefully taken into consideration [2].

Acitretin, 75 mg/day, has been reported as effective in one report [10], and an addi- tional benefit was reached when ultra- violet light was combined in a RepUVA regimen [11]. Accordingly, systemic reti- noids may be considered as first-line
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agents, although their use must be limited because of their contraindication (e.g., hepatic insufficiency or infections and alcohol intake), particularly in HIV high-risk cohorts (e.g., drug addicts). Concerning other systemic agents, methotrexate can be associated with severe leukopenia and death in HIV-infected psoriatic patients and, thus, should be used with great caution [12, 13]. Also, the use of cyclosporine and its benefits are controversial although cyclosporine may be potentially beneficial [14]. Indeed, in some reported cases, this agent was effective and safe in treating HIV-correlated psoriasis, whereas in other cases, as in our report, it was found to be ineffective and unsafe [15–18]. The use of other antipsoriatic agents in HIV patients, including mycophenolate mofetil or hydroxyurea, is anecdotic [19]. Since TNF-α stimulates HIV transcription in vitro and
could be involved in the pathogenesis of fatigue, fever and cachexia, theoretically, TNF-α-blocking agents should be effective [20]. Furthermore, TNF-α is a pivotal mediator in granulomatous inflammation, generating concern about the use of anti-TNF-α in HIV patients, who often suffer from other comorbidities, including tuberculosis, hepatitis B and other opportunistic infections. In fact, etanercept, a soluble TNF-α-receptor, has been effectively used, at a dosage of 25 or 50 mg, in patients with HIV-associated psoriasis or psoriatic arthritis [21, 22], though one of the treated patients withdrew due to frequent bacterial infections, not definitely related to the biological [20]. It is of note, in all patients, that the CD4+ cell count remains stable, and in one of them viral load was undetectable after 20 weeks [22]. Infliximab, a monoclonal anti-TNF-α antibody, was also demonstrated as effective and safe in HIV patients, rapidly improving both joint symptoms and skin lesions, and maintaining the therapeutic effect throughout a long-term follow up [23]. These observations have been bolstered by another report on a patient effectively treated with a low dose (3 mg/kg instead of 5 mg/kg) of infliximab [24]. These data are promising although further and larger trials are needed to validate these reports. Concerning nonantipsoriatic treatments, antiretroviral therapies have been demonstrated effective in treating psoriasis in single reported cases [25–29]. They include protease inhibitors (lamivudine, saquinavir, ritonavir) used as monotherapy or combined to nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (zidovudine, nevirapine, stavudine, tenofovir), or in addition to an entry inhibitor (enfuvirtide) [30, 31]. To our knowledge, only one open-label clinical trial on 19 evaluable patients treated with zidovudine has been performed. It showed marked efficacy in treating psoriasis with an improvement of skin manifestations in 90% of cases [32]. The usual HAART regimen contemplates different combinations of nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, protease inhibitors, and nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, and it has proven beneficial in HIV-associated psoriasis [33]. Of note, zidovudine is rarely used in modern HAART regimens because of adverse effects, including dyslipidemia and lipatrophy [2].

Though the resolution of psoriasis following HAART was often observed to be concomitant with the decrease in HIV viral load, another case conversely demonstrated a severe exacerbation of psoriasis during the treatment, resulting in raised CD4 and CD8 cell counts [34]. In our patient, HAART dramatically resolved skin lesions (PASI 48 and PASI 0 at baseline and at week 2, respectively) and concomitantly normalized the total T-cell count (791 and 2,235 cells/ml at baseline and at week 2, respectively) and CD3+/CD4+ T-cell count (520 and 1,739 cells/ml at baseline and week 2, respectively), demonstrating a more rapid efficacy than previous report ed therapies. No exacerbation of psoriasis has been observed after the immune system restoration and no side effects were observed or reported by the patient during the treatment.

Conclusion

We described a psoriatic patient who was refractory or contraindicated to almost all known agents because of a latent, undiagnosed HIV infection, which might directly trigger psoriasis as a costimulatory factor through either antigenic presentation or as a source of superantigens [8]. Generally, a latent infection could be easily excluded by laboratory tests but the legal need of a specific, written consent to perform an HIV test poses an obstacle, with the majority of patients reluctant to perform it. This resistance to testing is likely attributed to a wrong social and cultural heritage and to the implications of a possible positivity. The HIV test is not routinely performed and is not mandatory as a screening test for biological therapies. However, the physician should strongly recommend the HIV test to high-risk patient cohorts or in patients with a multi-drug resistance because HAART could represent an adjunctive therapeutic option.
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