Acute Cerebrovascular Accident after Cisplatin Treatment in a Patient Taking Letrozole
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We describe a patient with left homonymous hemianopsia following the treatment with small cell lung carcinoma (SCLC) with cisplatin while also on letrozole.

Case Presentation

A 58-year-old woman diagnosed with SCLC extensive disease (metastases in the spine) was hospitalized for her first chemotherapy cycle.

Her medical history was noteworthy for a stage IIIA breast cancer diagnosed 3 years earlier and treated with mastectomy, adjuvant radiotherapy and chemotherapy of carboplatin/docetaxel/trastuzumab followed by hormone therapy with letrozole. She had no history of hypertension, hypercholesterolemia or diabetes, but had a current smoking history of 30 pack-years. The only other medication she was taking was 2.5 mg of letrozole daily. Her physical examination was normal.

The chemotherapy administered consisted of cisplatin 25 mg/m² and etoposide 80 mg/m² on days 1–3. On the 5th day after the start of chemotherapy, the patient noticed difficulty reading, especially the last letters of each line.

An ophthalmologic examination revealed normal pupils and normal ocular motility. The optic fundi were normal. Visual fields examination demonstrated a right homonymous hemianopsia. The rest of the neurologic examination was unremarkable. Laboratory studies including a coagulation profile, electrolytes and cholesterol were within normal limits. ECG showed a normal sinus rhythm.

Brain MRI showed a hyperdense lesion in the left occipital lobe in the T2 sequence, without gadolinium enhancement (fig. 1b).
This lesion was consistent with a thrombotic CVA. The lesion was not present in the brain MRI performed for staging 1 month before (fig. 1a). Doppler ultrasonography of carotids was normal.

Letrozole was stopped. Treatment with aspirin was started and the planned chemotherapy was continued every 3 weeks.

The neurological deficit remained stable and the patient had a partial response at the evaluation after 3 cycles of cisplatin/etoposide. MRI 2 months after the event showed a slight improvement of the left occipital lesion (fig. 1c). She received 2 more cycles of chemotherapy. No new thromboembolic events (TEs) happened until the patient died from progressive disease 10 months after the event.

**Discussion**

TEs occur frequently in cancer patients and constitute the second cause of mortality after cancer per se in these patients [6]. Conversely, about one fifth of patients with a venous thromboembolism have active cancer [7]. The mechanisms involved in the pathogenesis of TEs include aberrant activation of the coagulation cascade, defects in blood flow due to local tumor growth and the frequent presence of foreign bodies such as indwelling catheters in these patients and immobility due to cancer or surgery [6]. Furthermore, cancer treatment such as chemotherapy is an established risk factor for TEs in cancer patients in a variety of malignancies and many different treatment regimens. TEs are divided into venous (deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism) and arterial (peripheral artery and cerebrovascular thromboembolism and myocardial infarction).

Several cases of ischemic cerebrovascular complications have been reported associated with the use of cisplatin-based chemotherapy.

A study that prospectively evaluated the incidence of major vascular events in 108 patients with non-SCLC receiving cisplatin and gemcitabine concluded that chemotherapy is a powerful risk factor. In this study, 10 of 22 recorded events were arterial including 1 patient who had an ischemic stroke [8]. Vascular events were detected between 4 and 234 days after the start of chemotherapy. The time to the first arterial event seemed to be shorter than the time to the first venous event (median 35 vs. 61.5 days) but it remains unclear if this observed numerical difference represents a true difference in the delay of these events, because it was not statistically significant. The role of gemcitabine as a contributing agent cannot be excluded [9]. A large retrospective analysis of 932 patients treated with cisplatin-based chemotherapy for various malignancies at a single institution confirms the high incidence of TEs in these patients. This analysis showed an incidence of 18.1% (169 patients) during or up to 4 weeks after chemotherapy. There were 18 arterial events, 10 of which were CVAs. Factors identified by multivariate analysis to increase the risk of TEs were advanced age, lower Karnofsky Performance Status score, the presence of a central venous catheter and higher Khorana score [10].

In another study of 179 patients with germ cell cancers receiving first-line platinum-based chemotherapy, 15 (8.4%) developed a TE; 3 of these TEs were arterial including 2 cerebral ischemic strokes [11].

Other case reports of CVAs in patients receiving cisplatin-based chemotherapy exist in the literature with various presentations. A patient with testicular cancer receiving cisplatin/vinblastine/bleomycin treatment was found to have left homonymous hemianopsia with encephalopa-
thy manifesting as 'somewhat inappropriate social responses' 7 days after the third cycle [12]. Both symptoms improved gradually over the next days. Another patient with embryonal testicular cancer had a massive lethal left middle cerebral artery infarct 4 days after the second cycle of cisplatin/etoposide/bleomycin [5]. This patient had used cannabis and also had a stenotic thrombus in his left internal carotid artery. In 2 other reports, 2 patients suffered a CVA 4 and 13 days after combination chemotherapy with cisplatin and a 5-FU analog [4, 13].

The mechanism of vascular complications induced by chemotherapy is probably multifactorial. A hypercoagulability may be caused by a cisplatin-induced decrease in circulating anticoagulant protein C and by increased plasma von Willebrand factor and tissue factor levels. Direct endothelial damage is another mechanism leading to increased intimal-medial thickness and decreased nitric oxide production [14, 15]. In addition, cisplatin may cause renal tubular dysfunction with electrolytes wasting, and the resultant hypomagnesemia predisposing to vasospasm.

In the differential diagnosis of neurological symptoms in patients receiving chemotherapy, one should consider, besides TEs, a direct central nervous system neurotoxicity that cisplatin or other chemotherapy drugs such as ifosfamide [16], methotrexate [17] or 5-FU [18] may cause.

Our patient was concomitantly treated with letrozole. Aromatase inhibitors are often used in postmenopausal hormone-receptor-positive breast cancer patients in both the adjuvant and metastatic setting. They have a different mode of action compared with tamoxifen and inhibit estrogen production rather than interacting with the estrogen receptor, and thus do not possess the estrogen-agonistic effects of tamoxifen. In a randomized trial, letrozole was associated with a significantly lower incidence of venous TEs than tamoxifen although the risk of CVAs was not different [19]. In another randomized trial, the risk of CVAs with letrozole was not statistically significantly different to placebo [20]. Although there are rare reports of arterial and venous thromboembolism in patients on anastrozole [21, 22], in randomized trials both anastrozole and exemestane were reported to be associated with a decreased incidence of TEs compared to tamoxifen [23]. Thus the evidence does not support an inducing effect of aromatase inhibitors for TEs, although a contributing effect in combination with chemotherapy, analogous to the effect seen with concomitant tamoxifen and chemotherapy [24] cannot be excluded entirely.

In conclusion, we report a patient with a CVA during chemotherapy for lung cancer. Investigation for a potential cardiac or vascular source was negative and the only risk factor identified (in addition to smoking and her age) was the chemotherapy regimen and, possibly, the concomitant letrozole treatment. Although clinical thromboembolism, especially venous thromboembolism, has a high incidence in patients with malignancy, no pharmacological thromboprophylaxis is recommended to prevent it except for patients on thalidomide or lenalidomide treatment [25]. In particular cases with increased risk such as in pancreatic cancer, a thromboprophylaxis may be justified. Efforts should be made, if possible, to avoid concomitant risk factors such as other medications, immobility and surgery during the chemotherapy period.
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