A 1.3-Mb 7q11.23 Atypical Deletion Identified in a Cohort of Patients with Williams-Beuren Syndrome

L.M. Delgado^a M. Gutierrez^b B. Augello^c C. Fusco^c L. Micale^c G. Merla^c
E.A. Pastene^a

^aDepartment of Experimental Genetics, Centro Nacional de Genética Médica (CENAGEM), ANLIS Dr. Carlos G. Malbrán, and ^bMedical Genetics Service, Children Hospital Dr. Pedro de Elizalde, Buenos Aires, Argentina;

^cMedical Genetics Unit, IRCCS Casa Sollievo della Sofferenza Hospital, San Giovanni Rotondo, Italy

Key Words

Deletion 7q11.23 · Genomic disorders · *GTF2I* · *GTF2IRD1* · Segmental duplications · Williams-Beuren syndrome · Williams syndrome

Abstract

Williams-Beuren syndrome is a rare multisystem neurodevelopmental disorder caused by a 1.55–1.84-Mb hemizygous deletion on chromosome 7q11.23. The classical phenotype consists of characteristic facial features, supravalvular aortic stenosis, intellectual disability, overfriendliness, and visuospatial impairment. So far, 26–28 genes have been shown to contribute to the multisystem phenotype associated with Williams-Beuren syndrome. Among them, haploinsufficiency of the *ELN* gene has been shown to cause the cardiovascular anomalies. Identification of patients with atypical deletions has provided valuable information for genotype-phenotype correlation, in which other genes such as *LIMK1*, *CLIP2*, *GTF2IRD1*, or *GTF2I* have been correlated with specific cognitive profiles or craniofacial features. Here, we report the clinical and molecular characteristics of a patient with an atypical deletion that does not include the *GTF2I* gene and only partially includes the *GTF2IRD1* gene.

Copyright © 2013 S. Karger AG, Basel

Williams-Beuren syndrome (WBS; OMIM 194050) is a complex multisystem neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by diverse physical and neurological abnormalities. Children with WBS manifest characteristic facial features, including bitemporal narrowing, depressed nasal root, bulbous nasal tip, periorbital fullness, stellate irides, full cheeks, long philtrum, full lips, wide mouth, and dental malocclusion [Morris et al., 1988; Morris, 2010]. The most common cardiovascular abnormalities include supravalvular aortic and peripheral pulmonary stenosis. Patients with WBS have developmental delay, intellectual disability commonly ranging from a mild to a moderate degree, visuospatial construction deficit, and motor functions impairment [Morris, 2010].

This distinctive spectrum of symptoms and features arises from a 1.5–1.8-Mb hemizygous deletion of 26–28 genes on chromosome 7q11.23. Individuals with atypical deletions smaller than 1.5 Mb (1–2%) present variable subsets of WBS signs and symptoms. The phenotype-genotype correlation relies mainly on evidence obtained through mouse models and analysis of individuals with atypical deletions. Certain genes within the WBS deletion region, such as *LIMK1*, *CLIP2*, *GTF2IRD1*, and *GTF2I*, have been associated to the specific cognitive profile and

craniofacial features [Tassabehji et al., 2005; Antonell et al., 2010].

Here, we report the clinical and molecular characterization of a girl with a ~1.3 Mb deletion that does not include the *GTF2I* gene and comprises partially the *GTF2IRD1* gene.

Methods

A cohort of 37 patients referred to the Centro Nacional de Genética Médica (CENAGEM) because of a suspected diagnosis of WBS has been studied. Diagnostic confirmation by FISH was performed with a commercial Williams-Beuren syndrome region probe kit. Genomic DNA from the probands and their parents was extracted using standard methods. The current work has been approved by the Ethics Committee of CENAGEM. Informed consent was obtained from all families.

All DNA samples were tested for 7q11.23 microdeletion size using 2 different techniques: multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) and real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR). The MLPA assay was performed using SALSA kit P029 (MRC Holland, <http://www.mlpa.com>), which contains 12 probes from the WBS chromosomal region (fig. 1a) and 20 control probes. For the MLPA analysis, 50 ng of DNA was used as instructed by the manufacturer's protocol. DNA from 3 healthy individuals was used as control. The PCR products were analyzed by capillary electrophoresis, and data normalization was performed by Coffalyser software (MRC Holland). Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) was used for qPCR, as instructed by the manufacturer's protocol. Primers were designed for the amplification of DNA fragments located within and flanking the WBS chromosomal region and qPCR reactions were carried out as previously described [Howald et al., 2006]. Control DNA fragments were located on alternate chromosomes (fig. 1a). Samples were run in triplicate using standard conditions. Calculations were carried out by the comparative C_t method.

To refine the distal breakpoint, we used several additional primer pairs to amplify the region between the more distal deleted fragment and the adjacent nondeleted segment (primer sequences are listed in online supplementary table 1, see www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000347167).

Results and Discussion

The WBS269-023A1 patient is the first daughter of healthy, nonconsanguineous parents and was 5 months old at the time of diagnosis. Family history showed ventricular septal defect in the father and atrial septal defect in a paternal uncle. The pregnancy was controlled and delivered by caesarean at 39 weeks of gestational age due to fetal distress.

Birth weight, length, and OFC were: 2.650 kg (~3rd centile), 50 cm (~50th centile) and 35 cm (50–75th cen-

tile), respectively. She was diagnosed with peripheral pulmonary stenosis and ventricular septal defect, and had a flat midface, depressed nasal bridge, anteverted nostrils, bitemporal narrowing, periorbital fullness, long philtrum, thick lips, and mild micrognathia (fig. 2). When she was 2 years old, these facial features became accentuated as in typical WBS. She showed a mildly delayed development profile for her chronological age, based on the Gesell Developmental Schedules [Ball, 1977]. At 2 years of age, she also showed delays mainly in the adaptive fine motor development. Patterns of development for children between 15 months and 2 years old for motor, adaptive, language and personal-social areas were evaluated. The patient complied with the development guidelines envisaged by Gesell Schedules for the age of 15–18 months, except for some guidelines in the adaptive and fine motor development areas. For example, she was not able to turn the pages of a book and inserted no more than one cube on the form board. She also had speech delay with the incapacity to say 5 words, which is what we would expect for a child her age by the Gesell's standards. Unfortunately, she was too young to unambiguously determine her social behavior.

The molecular assessment of this patient showed an atypical short 7q11.23 deletion that partially included the *GTF2IRD1* gene, but not *GTF2I* gene (fig. 1c). The qPCR assay showed a deletion of the marker 4.12_*GTF2IRD1*, located 19 kb downstream of exon 1 of the *GTF2IRD1* gene, but not of 4.1_*GTF2IRD1* marker, 80 kb from exon 1.

To narrow the telomeric breakpoint, we performed a new qPCR assay using 3 additional markers located in between 4.12_*GTF2IRD1* and 4.1_*GTF2IRD1* (fig. 1d). In this new qPCR assay, 4.12_*GTF2IRD1* remained the most distal deleted marker, while 4.11_*GTF2IRD1*, located about 50 kb downstream exon 1, was the more proximal not deleted marker. This new assay showed that the distal breakpoint of the deletion was between 19–50 kb downstream of exon 1 of *GTF2IRD1* gene, indicating that this patient had a deletion of ~1.3 Mb (fig. 1d, e). The MLPA assay did not detect the atypical deletion in this patient because the commercial kit does not provide probes located at the distal breakpoints.

Several reports have shown that the distal breakpoints of many atypical WBS deletions are clustered around the *GTF2IRD1* gene [Gagliardi et al., 2003; Hirota et al., 2003; Tassabehji et al., 2005; Dai et al., 2009; Ferrero et al., 2010] (fig. 1b). Such patients suggest that *CLIP2*, *GTF2IRD1* and *GTF2I* are associated to the specific cognitive profile and craniofacial features [Tassabehji et al., 2005; Ferrero et al.,

Fig. 1. **a** Physical map of the WBS region at 7q11.23 showing the relative location of the MLPA probes (green vertical lines) and qPCR amplicons (blue vertical lines). Ac, Bc, Cm, Bm, and Am indicate segmental duplication blocks flanking the common deletion interval. **b** Summary of the mapping of WBS atypical deletions reported in the literature and WBS269-023A1 patient. Deleted fragments are denoted by gray segments, not deleted ones by black segments. Dotted segments represent undefined deletion status. **c** Profiles of qPCR assays for detection of deletion size. Relative DNA amplification of several markers was quantified for the WBS269-023A1 (green triangles) and 2 patients with classical WBS: WBS268-022A1 (red squares) and WBS270-024A1 (purple circles). WT is a reference sample (blue diamonds). **d** Profiles of qPCR assay to narrow the distal deletion breakpoint within the *GTF2IRD1* gene. WBS269-023A1 (green large squares), WBS160 (purple triangles) carrying the classical deletion, WT2 (turquoise small squares), and WT (blue diamonds) are controls. **e** Mapping of atypical deletion of patient WBS269-023A1. The distal breakpoint is schematically represented about 19–50 kb downstream of exon 1 of the *GTF2IRD1* gene. 4.12_GTF2IRD1 was the more distal deleted marker and 4.11_GTF2IRD1 was the first not deleted marker.

Fig. 2. Facial appearance of WBS269-023A1. Picture of patient WBS269-023A1 shows the classical WBS facial appearance, including bitemporal narrowing, periorbital fullness, flat nasal bridge, short nose, anteversion of nares, wide mouth, and long philtrum.

Table 1. Molecular and clinical characteristics of patient WBS269-023A1 compared to the classical WBS phenotype and other patients with similar atypical deletions

Clinical and molecular characteristics	Classical deletion (%) Morris et al., (1988)	Comparable atypical deletions					
		Gagliardi et al., (2003)	Hirota et al., (2003); case 1	Tassabehji et al., (2005); HR	Dai et al., (2009); 5889	Ferrero et al., (2010)	Patient WBS269-023A1
Molecular characteristics							
Deletion size, Mb	1.5–1.8	1.1–1.2	~1.2	~1.1	~1.4	~0.9	~1.3
<i>CLIP2</i> deletion	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>GTF2IRD1</i> deletion	+	–	–	+/–	+	–	+/–
<i>GTF2I</i> deletion	+	–	–	–	–	–	–
Clinical features							
Age		5 years	23 years	3 years	7 years	11 years	5 months
<i>Craniofacial</i>							
Dolicocephaly	+	–	–	–	+	–	+
Bitemporal narrowing	+	+	–	+	+	+	+
Periorbital fullness	+	–	–	+	+	+	+
Epicanthal folds	+	–	–	+	+	+	+
Strabismus	50	–	+	–	+	+	–
Short upturned nose	+	+	–	+	+	+	+
Full cheeks	+	+	–	+	+	+	+
Long philtrum	+	–	–	+	+	+	+
Thick lips	+	–	–	–	+	+	+
Dental malocclusion	85	–	+	–	+	+	NA
<i>Cardiovascular</i>							
Supravalvular aortic stenosis	75	+	+	–	+	+	NA
Peripheral pulmonary stenosis	50	–	+	+	+	–	+
Ventricular septal defect	10	–	–	–	–	–	+
Hypertension	50	NR	–	NR	+	–	NA
<i>Musculoskeletal</i>							
Joint hypermobility	90	–	–	–	–	–	+
Joint contractures	50	–	–	–	–	–	NA
Kyphosis/lordosis	20/40	–	–	–	–	–	NA
Hernias	50	–	–	–	–	+	–
<i>Neuropsychological</i>							
Developmental delay	95	+/–	NR	–	–	–	NA
Intellectual disability	75	+/–	+	+	NR	–	NA
Overfriendliness	+	+	NR	–	–	–	NA
Visuospatial construction deficit	+	+/–	–	+	–	+/–	NA
<i>Others</i>							
Genitourinary malformation	20	+	–	NR	NR	–	–
Hyperacusis	80	NR	–	–	–	–	+
Hypercalcemia	15	NR	NR	NR	–	–	NA
+ = Present; – = not present; +/- = partially present; NR = not registered; NA = not available at clinical examination.							

+ = Present; – = not present; +/- = partially present; NR = not registered; NA = not available at clinical examination.

2010], although such evidences are debated and need further investigations [Vandeweyer et al., 2012]. A number of studies have established mice models of the more telomerically positioned WBS genes. Analysis of these mice has shown a series of phenotypes that share some intriguing parallels with WBS. A mouse model harboring a deletion between *Clip2* and *Gtf2ird1* showed craniofacial and

dental abnormalities [Tassabehji et al., 2005]. Mice haploinsufficient for both *Gtf2ird1* and *Gtf2i* are often growth retarded, and show hypoplasia of the mandible as well as other craniofacial defects resembling the anomalies and dental problems of WBS individuals [Enkhmandakh et al., 2009]. Finally, haploinsufficiency of *GTF2IRD1* protein contributes to abnormalities of facial development, motor

function and specific behavioral disorders that accompany WBS disease [Young et al., 2008; Howard et al., 2012; Schneider et al., 2012].

The phenotype of the patient reported here is in accordance with Dai et al. [2009], who described a patient carrying a full deletion of *GTF2IRD1* gene but preserving the *GTF2I* gene. Of note, these clinical manifestations do not completely fit with the data reported by other authors which showed that patients with WBS and smaller deletions preserving both *GTF2IRD1* and *GTF2I* genes had mild craniofacial features [Gagliardi et al., 2003; Hirota et al., 2003; Tassabehji et al., 2005] (table 1).

Although the patient reported here does not have a complete deletion of *GTF2IRD1* gene, it is possible that, as consequence of the rearrangement, the gene expression level is affected, as it has been demonstrated for the WBS flanking region genes [Merla et al., 2006]. Nevertheless, as we do not have access neither to cell lines nor to fresh blood from the patient, this hypothesis has not been investigated in this work.

The identification of more atypical patients would enable better understanding of the individual role of each gene in the development of clinical features and the neuropsychological profiles. This is important because it gives us information about the pathogenic mechanisms

of disease, which could contribute to the pursuit of new therapeutic treatments and eventually allow more precise prediction of the natural history in affected individuals. Because WBS is a rare disease with atypical deletions occurring only in 2% of patients, it is necessary to study a large population to obtain significant data, which would allow a more precise genotype-phenotype correlation and achieve more accurate conclusions. We encourage that larger consortia being developed to achieve this aim and the development of a set of quantitative measurements for clinical, cognitive and behavioral features in order to provide a far better mean of optimizing genotype-phenotype correlation.

Acknowledgements

We thank the families who participated in this project and made this study possible. This work was supported in part by grants from the Italian Ministry of Health (Ricerca Corrente 2008–10), the Fondazione Banca del Monte di Foggia ‘Domenico Siniscalco Ceci’, and received support from Ministero degli Affari Esteri, Direzione Generale per la Promozione e la Cooperazione Culturale (2009–2010) to G.M.

We thank Lilian Furforo for her helpful review of the text and María Cecilia Luna for her cooperation in this work.

References

- Antonell A, Del Campo M, Magano LF, Kaufmann L, de la Iglesia JM, et al: Partial 7q11.23 deletions further implicate *GTF2I* and *GTF2IRD1* as the main genes responsible for the Williams-Beuren syndrome neurocognitive profile. *J Med Genet* 47:312–320 (2010).
- Ball RS: The Gesell developmental schedules. *J Abn Child Psychol* 5:233–239 (1977).
- Dai L, Bellugi U, Chen XN, Pulst-Korenberg AM, Järvinen-Pasley A, et al: Is it Williams syndrome? *GTF2IRD1* implicated in visual-spatial construction and *GTF2I* in sociability revealed by high resolution arrays. *Am J Med Genet Part A* 149A:302–314 (2009).
- Enkhmandakh B, Makeyev AV, Erdenechimeg L, Ruddle FH, Chimge NO, et al: Essential functions of the Williams-Beuren syndrome-associated TFII-I genes in embryonic development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 106:181–186 (2009).
- Ferrero GB, Howald C, Micale L, Biamino E, Augello B, et al: An atypical 7q11.23 deletion in a normal IQ Williams syndrome patient. *Eur J Hum Genet* 18:33–38 (2010).
- Gagliardi C, Bonaglia MC, Selicorni A, Borgatti R, Giorda R: Unusual cognitive and behavioural profile in a Williams syndrome patient with atypical 7q11.23 deletion. *J Med Genet* 40: 526–530 (2003).
- Hirota H, Matsuoka R, Chen XN, Salandanan LS, Lincoln A, et al: Williams syndrome deficits in visual spatial processing linked to *GTF2IRD1* and *GTF2I* on chromosome 7q11.23. *Genet Med* 5:311–321 (2003).
- Howald C, Merla G, Digilio MC, Amenta S, Lyle R, et al: Two high throughput technologies to detect segmental aneuploidies identify new Williams-Beuren syndrome patients with atypical deletions. *J Med Genet* 43:266–273 (2006).
- Howard ML, Palmer SJ, Taylor KM, Arthurson GJ, Spitzer MW, et al: Mutation of *Gtf2ird1* from the Williams-Beuren syndrome critical region results in facial dysplasia, motor dysfunction, and altered vocalisations. *Neurobiol Dis* 45:913–922 (2012).
- Merla G, Howald C, Henrichsen CN, Lyle R, Wyss C, et al: Submicroscopic deletion in patients with Williams-Beuren syndrome influences expression levels of the nonhemizygous flanking genes. *Am J Hum Genet* 79:332–341 (2006).
- Morris CA: Introduction: Williams syndrome. *Am J Med Genet Part C Semin Med Genet* 154C:203–208 (2010).
- Morris CA, Demsey SA, Leonard CO, Dilts C, Blackburn BL: Natural history of Williams syndrome: physical characteristics. *J Pediatr* 113:318–326 (1988).
- Schneider T, Skitt Z, Liu Y, Deacon RM, Flint J, et al: Anxious, hypoactive phenotype combined with motor deficits in *Gtf2ird1* null mouse model relevant to Williams syndrome. *Behav Brain Res* 233:458–473 (2012).
- Tassabehji M, Hammond P, Karmiloff-Smith A, Thompson P, Thorgeirsson SS, et al: *GTF2IRD1* in craniofacial development of humans and mice. *Science* 310:1184–1187 (2005).
- Vandeweyer G, Van der Aa N, Reyniers E, Kooy RF: The contribution of *CLIP2* haploinsufficiency to the clinical manifestations of the Williams-Beuren syndrome. *Am J Hum Genet* 90:1071–1078 (2012).
- Young EJ, Lipina T, Tam E, Mandel A, Clapcote SJ, et al: Reduced fear and aggression and altered serotonin metabolism in *Gtf2ird1*-targeted mice. *Genes Brain Behav* 7:224–234 (2008).