Abstract
Cardiorenal syndrome type 5 (CRS-5) includes conditions where there is a simultaneous involvement of the heart and kidney from a systemic disorder. Given the wide spectrum of diseases that contribute to CRS-5, several pathophysiological mechanisms are invoked representing the response of the heart and kidney to the contributing disorder that is ongoing. The nature, duration and the underlying condition of the heart and kidney strongly influence the clinical course of CRS-5. In this paper we discuss the pathophysiology of CRS-5 in the setting of sepsis as a model system for CRS-5 providing a brief overview of strategies for monitoring and therapeutic intervention. We offer a framework for reference for considering other disorders leading to CRS-5 where the development of cardiac and renal dysfunction is more insidious.
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Type 5 cardiorenal syndrome (CRS-5) occurs when an overwhelming insult leads to the simultaneous development of acute kidney injury (AKI) and acute cardiac dysfunction. In the literature, CRS-5 most commonly encompasses a wide spectrum of disorders that acutely involve the heart and kidney such as sepsis and drug toxicity where both the heart and the kidney are involved secondarily to the underlying process [1]. CRS-5 may develop in the setting of previously impaired organ function or when there is no discernible evidence of prior abnormality. The sequence of organ involvement can vary depending on the acuity and nature of the underlying disorder. The time sequence for developing CRS-5 depends on the underlying disease and is influenced by the underlying level of cardiac and renal function.

Given the multitude of contributing factors and the time sequence of events in CRS-5, it is challenging to identify the underlying pathophysiological mechanisms and develop a strategy for diagnostic and therapeutic intervention. In this paper we provide a framework for considering the pathophysiology of CRS-5 using severe sepsis and septic shock as model disorders in which we discuss the relevant systems pathophysiology, molecular pathways involved diagnostic approach and therapeutic considerations.

Methods

We performed a systemic review of the literature pre-conference, as described elsewhere. Specifically, we used the terms ‘cardiorenal syndrome type 5’ and ‘acute kidney injury’, combined with ‘sepsis’, ‘liver failure’, ‘lupus’, ‘pathophysiology’ and ‘mechanisms’. In view of the volume of the retrieved literature, only representative publications are cited in this review. Furthermore, we opted to focus this summary to the clinical scenario of acute CRS-5 using sepsis as a model system.

Results

Based on the literature identified prior to the conference, the following key questions were considered:

1. What is the underlying pathophysiology of CRS-5?
   a. What are the predisposing and modifying factors?
   b. What are the direct effects of the underlying disorder on the heart and kidney?
   c. Does involvement of one organ contribute to dysfunction in the other?
   d. Which cellular and molecular mechanisms are involved?
e. What is the influence of process of care on pathophysiology, e.g. fluid resuscitation?
2. What are the best techniques for the diagnosis and monitoring of CRS-5?
   a. To evaluate the nature and severity of cardiac and renal damage.
   b. To assess progression and resolution of organ dysfunction.
3. What are the targets and best strategies for therapeutic intervention?
   b. Treatment of cardiac and renal dysfunction.

Q1. What Is the Underlying Pathophysiology of Cardiorenal Syndrome Type 5?

a. What Are the Predisposing and Modifying Factors?
The pathophysiology of CRS-5 depends on the underlying disease state, the time frame for development and the context in which it is encountered. Acute CRS-5 results from systemic processes, e.g. sepsis, infections, drugs, toxins and connective tissue disorders such as lupus, Wegener’s granulomatosis, and sarcoidosis. While there is some overlap in these conditions, to a large extent, the time frame for development and resolution represent the underlying pathophysiological mechanisms. For instance, in sepsis-induced acute CRS-5 there is a fulminant disease process with dramatic impact on both kidney and heart, with obvious clinical manifestations. In contrast, in cirrhotic liver disease, CRS-5 has a more insidious onset and the kidney and cardiac dysfunction may develop slowly until a ‘tipping point’ is reached and full-blown decompensation occurs. Several factors influence the course of CRS-5 (table 1). The inducing events and the immunological and physiological responses are conditioned by the underlying condition of the heart and kidney. Although the mechanisms invoked in acute and chronic CRS-5 are somewhat different (fig. 1, 2), the nature, severity and duration of organ dysfunction are also influenced by the process of care to manage the condition (table 1).

In order to understand the underlying pathophysiology and identify opportunities for intervention it is helpful to consider the temporal sequence of CRS-5 as characterized by the natural history and management of the underlying disorder (table 2). Acute CRS-5 develops along a temporal framework consisting of hyperacute (0–72 h after diagnosis), acute (3–7 days), subacute (7–30 days) and chronic (30+ days) phases (fig. 3). Most published human literature refers to the hyperacute phase, since this has been the focus of most of the interventional clinical trials conducted to date in sepsis. Chronic CRS-5, e.g. in cirrhotic patients, similarly demonstrates distinct phases of pre-ascites, diuret-
Cardiorenal Syndrome Type 5

Predictors and Modifiers of Sepsis Induced CRS-5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient attributes</th>
<th>Process of care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inducing event and immunological response</td>
<td>Resuscitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underlying condition of heart and kidney</td>
<td>Search for primary focus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physiological responses</td>
<td>Drug dosing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Peripheral vasodilation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Compensatory hormonal response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Increased vascular permeability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Mitochondrial dysfunction and tissue hypoxia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Cardiac filling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Renal hypoperfusion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General supportive care</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Fluids</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Inotropes, pressors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific interventions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Ventilator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Surgery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– RRT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ic responsive and diuretic refractory ascites prior to the development of hepatorenal syndrome although the time sequence is quite variable (fig. 4). In most cases of chronic CRS-5 there is usually a precipitating event that brings the condition to attention. For instance in cirrhosis this is often an infection such as spontaneous bacterial peritonitis. Thus an acute CRS-5 can be superimposed on a chronic indolent process. Although we recognize the importance of all phases of CRS-5, we focus here on the pathophysiology of acute CRS-5 because of its immediate relevance for intensivists, nephrologists and cardiologists.

b. What Are the Direct Effects of Underlying Disorder on the Heart and Kidney?

With respect to the cardiorenal syndrome, changes in systems physiology during sepsis can result from the systemic effects of sepsis itself, from the septic injury to ‘systemic pathways’, or from the organ cross-talk between injured heart and kidney.
An overall key feature of sepsis is the dissociation between the systemic circulation and the microcirculation in various organs [2]. Especially in the early phases of sepsis, profound microcirculatory changes can develop, despite apparently normal systemic hemodynamics [1–3]. Microcirculatory changes, such as lower blood flow velocities and heterogeneous perfusion patterns, strongly correlate with morbidity and mortality rates [4].

**Septic Injury of the Heart (Septic Cardiomyopathy).** Septic cardiomyopathy (SCM) represents one of the major predictors of morbidity and mortality of sepsis and is present in nearly 50% of all patients [5, 6]. Sepsis can cause both the
**Fig. 2.** Pathophysiology of cirrhosis-induced CRS-5 [from 91, with permission].

**Table 2.** Temporal considerations in pathophysiology of CRS-5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>CRS-5 acute (sepsis) (fig. 1)</th>
<th>CRS-5 chronic (cirrhosis) (fig. 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time for organ dysfunction</td>
<td>Short: hours to days</td>
<td>Long: weeks to months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underlying organ function</td>
<td>May be superimposed on under-</td>
<td>Heart and kidney have adaptive re-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lying cardiac and kidney disease</td>
<td>lying cardiac and kidney dise-</td>
<td>sponses that fail over time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequence of organ involvement</td>
<td>Generally simultaneous or in</td>
<td>One organ precedes the other, e.g.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>close proximity to each other</td>
<td>cardiac dysfunction precedes ren-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underlying disease</td>
<td>Systemic event contributes to</td>
<td>Precipitating events can transition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CRS5</td>
<td>to an acute deterioration in CRS5, e.g. GI bleeding can precipitate hepa-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathophysiology</td>
<td>Direct effects on organs</td>
<td>Failure of adaptive responses over time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanisms</td>
<td>Determined by underlying dis-</td>
<td>Determined by adaptive changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversibility</td>
<td>Possible with control of seps</td>
<td>Limited unless there is replace-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>is and organ support</td>
<td>ment of diseased organ, e.g. liver transplant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
left and the right ventricle to dilate and develop decreased ejection fractions, leading to impaired responsiveness to fluid and catecholamine treatment [7]. Although SCM can become so severe mimicking cardiogenic shock, it is reversible within 7–10 days in most cases [5, 6]. Moreover, as long as hypovolemia is corrected, tachycardia and reduced vascular tone allow for a maintained or even increased cardiac output in many patients. Contrary to early wisdom, myocardial blood flow or energy metabolism do not seem to play a role in SCM [8]. On the other side, myocardial depressants, including pro-inflammatory cytokines and complement factors, have emerged as crucial factors in the development of SCM [9–11].

**Fig. 3.** Pathophysiologic changes in sepsis-induced acute CRS-5. Organ dysfunction in sepsis can be considered in several different phases that reflect the severity of the disease. The underlying pathophysiological mechanisms are different in each phase and thus provide specific opportunities for targeted diagnostic and therapeutic strategies. Phase A: 0–72 h = hyperacute phase; phase B: 72–168 h = acute phase; phase C: 7–28 days = subacute phase; phase D: >28 days = chronic. RAAS = Renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system; HPA = Hypothalmus-pituitary gland-adrenal gland axis; HRV = Heart rate variation.
Septic Kidney Injury. In addition to cellular and molecular changes in the kidney, which are discussed below, there are also alterations to the intra-renal blood flow during sepsis. These changes are uncoupled from the systemic hemodynamic changes occurring during sepsis [12–14]. The exact nature of these changes, however, remains highly controversial. A lack of sound clinical data as well as the heterogeneous quality and limited applicability of various animal models do not allow us to develop a clear concept. A recent experimental study comparing two different sepsis models in pigs might be the first step to overcome this problem [12]. Both experimental design and findings resemble the heterogeneity seen in septic patients very well. Irrespective of systemic hemodynamics (normo- or hyperdynamic), only animals that developed septic AKI also demonstrated an increase in renal vascular resistance. Moreover, only animals with septic AKI also exhibited early rises in pro-inflammatory cytokines, e.g. IL-6, and in markers of oxidative stress. The excellent agreement of these findings with those from observational clinical studies [15, 16] allows us to formulate strong hypotheses that require further testing in larger clinical studies.
Neurogenic and Endocrine Alterations. Sepsis also affects central structures or pathways, including the autonomic nervous system (ANS), the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) and the hypothalamus-pituitary gland-adrenal gland axis (HPA), which in turn impact cardiac and/or renal function. Sepsis causes ANS dysfunction [17–19], the severity of which correlates with morbidity and mortality. A hallmark of autonomic dysfunction during sepsis is the decreased heart rate variability. A decrease or even loss of heart rate variability (HRV) during sepsis is associated with the release of inflammatory mediators, e.g. IL-6, IL-10 and CRP. Data with respect to kidney-related changes in ANS during sepsis is limited to animal studies. Here, sepsis-induced changes in renal sympathetic nerve activity did not seem to affect renal blood flow [20].

Sepsis activates the RAAS, reflecting the body’s attempt to restore and maintain a sufficient blood pressure. Although counterintuitive, recent experimental and limited clinical data suggest that blockade of the RAAS might be beneficial, as RAAS activation has also been implicated in endothelial dysfunction, organ failure and even mortality during severe sepsis [21–24]. Experimental studies further suggest deleterious effects of RAAS activation on renal function during sepsis [13, 25–27]. The administration of ACE inhibitors improves creatinine clearance and urine output during experimental bacteremia; the application of selective angiotensin II type 1 receptor antagonist improves renal blood flow and oxygenation during experimental endotoxemia.

Sepsis causes complex alterations of HPA and glucocorticoid signaling, leading to severe adrenal insufficiency in some patients [28]. Adrenal insufficiency in turn gives rise to increased production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, free radicals and prostaglandins as well as inhibition of chemotaxis and expression of adhesion molecules. Administration of moderate-dose glucocorticoids for 7 days can reduce the need for vasopressors and intensive care unit (ICU) length of stay [29, 30].

There is no sound data regarding the effects of adrenal insufficiency on renal function.

c. Does Involvement of One Organ Contribute to Dysfunction in the Other?
Among the most provocative yet complex questions in multiorgan failure is to determine the precise role of the failing heart or kidney in dysfunction of either organ. The plethora of effects of sepsis on the function of various organs, including heart and kidneys, makes it very difficult to differentiate between the effects of sepsis itself and the effects of inter-organ cross-talk. However, some effects of inter-organ cross-talk can be postulated from the sepsis-specific pathophysiology: (i) a reduced cardiac output will lead to reduced renal perfu-
sion, further aggravating sepsis-induced kidney injury; (ii) fluid overload due to AKI can lead to CHF in already dilated and hypocontractile heart, and (iii) AKI-induced metabolic acidosis can impair contractility and increases the heart rate, worsening myocardial workload.

Limited experimental data allow us to hypothesize that AKI exerts cardiodepressing effects via remote induction of pro-inflammatory and pro-apoptotic pathways [31].

Beyond the hemodynamic effects of the failing heart on renal circulation, there are cardiac changes due to impaired fluid clearance by the kidney. However, AKI itself is well established experimentally to lead to distant organ function [32]. In a murine model, AKI led to decreased cardiac contractility and apoptosis, which was partially abrogated by anti-TNF treatment [31]. AKI also led to cardiac hypertrophy [33]. AKI can cause an increase in cardiac macrophages [34]. Better studied has been the effect of AKI on lung function, where there is an increase in microvascular permeability, endothelial cell dysfunction, and caspase-mediated apoptosis [35]. A well-orchestrated molecular response occurs in lung independent of volume overload [36], and this signature has been localized to endothelial cell [37]. The brain is particularly affected by AKI, and this may in turn affect systemic neuroendocrine response in sepsis [38]. Though this is a particularly challenging area of research, it is potentially among the most important as this could decrease the severe mortality associated with combined acute heart and kidney failure by guiding extracorporeal support devices which cleanse circulating factors that enable deleterious inter-organ cross-talk.

d. Which Cellular and Molecular Mechanisms Are Involved?
During combined acute cardiac and kidney dysfunction, such as in sepsis, there are marked cellular and molecular changes in each organ. There is an important time-specific pattern for these changes, but this aspect has not been sufficiently studied. Furthermore, it is difficult, except using highly controlled experimental models, to tease out the role of the primary infection versus the role of each failing organ on the other.

Activation and induction of cytokines, leukocytes, toll receptors is well established in heart and kidney during sepsis [39]. Notable are pro-inflammatory cytokines TNF and IL-6, while IL-10 has been implicated as anti-inflammatory. Macrophages, neutrophils, lymphocytes, and more recently T regs, have been implicated. There is an increasing body of evidence supporting the role of danger and pattern-associated receptors, DAMPS and PAMPs, and Toll receptors are among the most important pathophysiologically (fig. 1) [40]. Abnormalities in oxidative stress are likely key mechanisms as well. These range
from mitochondrial dysfunction [41]), to alteration in antioxidant stress enzymes, particularly those mediated by the Nrf2-Keap pathway [42].

Given the fundamental contractile function of the heart, there are specific molecular pathways that are deranged. Cardiac muscle protein expression, particularly actin and myosin are abnormal in sepsis. Membrane-associated proteins, especially dystrophin, regulate cell shape, mechanical strength and cardiomyocyte transduction of contractile force. The mean amounts of dystrophin and associated glycoproteins in significant reduced in septic hearts [39]. Intercalated discs are the sites where cardiomyocytes connect to each other and both ensure mechanical coupling plus enable electrical signal propagation. Components of intercalated discs including connexin-43 and N-cadherin are markedly reduced in sepsis, with dehiscence of the junctions during sepsis. L-type calcium currents, important in cardiac function, are reduced during septic shock [43].

In the kidney, geared towards filtration, fluid and electrolyte regulation, there are specific tubular changes after sepsis. Lipopolysaccharide directly alters HCO$_3^-$ transport through toll receptors, leads to abnormalities in acidification of urine [44]. Sepsis leads to a decline in autophagy in the proximal tubule, which in turn contributes to proximal tubule function [45]. LPS also modifies the important glomerular protein megalin, which can contribute to an increase in urine albumin [46].

e. What Is the Influence of Process of Care on Pathophysiology?

- The management of sepsis can contribute to the development of CRS-5. Fluid resuscitation coupled with the increased vascular permeability can lead to organ and tissue edema and result in an abdominal compartment syndrome with an increase in renal venous pressure and reduced renal perfusion [47]. The utilization of contrast agents for imaging studies can similarly lead to myocardial depression and tubular toxicity. There is a prolonged half life of drugs due to a reduction in hepatic and renal clearance that can result in organ toxicity. All these factors can influence the development and course of CRS-5.

Q2. What Are the Best Techniques for the Diagnosis and Monitoring of CRS-5?

In considering a diagnostic approach to CRS-5 it is important to decide what the clinician is trying to achieve at each stage of the disease process. Initially the emphasis is on diagnosis (of severe sepsis and septic shock), organ function
assessments, risk prediction and treatment guidance. Attention then turns to monitoring organ function (using both physiological and biochemical tools) while the patient is stabilized and further organ dysfunction prevented where possible. Assuming the patient begins to recover, the emphasis turns to assessment of residual organ function impairment and assessment of the long-term impact of the disease on the patient’s life. Here we discuss these in the context of CRS-5.

a. To Evaluate the Nature and Severity of Cardiac and Renal Damage

Diagnosis of Severe Sepsis and Septic Shock. For practical purposes, when systemic inflammation occurs in the setting of known or suspected infection, the diagnosis of sepsis is made. Once organ dysfunction develops the diagnosis progresses to severe sepsis, and when the systemic blood pressure cannot be maintained without vasoactive therapy then septic shock is present. Systemic inflammation is usually said to be present when two or more of the systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) criteria are present: body temperature $<36^\circ$C (96.8°F) or $>38^\circ$C (100.4°F); heart rate $>90$ beats/min; tachypnea ($>20$ breaths/min) or arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide $<32$ mm Hg; white blood cell count $<4 \times 10^9$ cells/l or $>12 \times 10^9$ cells/l; or the presence of $>10\%$ immature neutrophils (band forms) although many sepsis trials have required three of these criteria to be present in order to increase the specificity of the diagnosis [48]. Reinhart et al. [49] present an excellent review of the current state of the art regarding biomarkers for the diagnosis and management of sepsis – readers are referred to this for detailed information regarding the place of candidate markers such as lipopolysaccharide binding protein, procalcitonin, acute phase reactants, cytokines, chemokines and ‘-omic’ techniques including the use of microarrays for pathogen determination and treatment.

Assessment of Cardiac and Renal Function. Assessment and monitoring of cardiac dysfunction in CRS-5 relies on the same techniques as in other causes of acute myocardial dysfunction. Biochemical assays based on natriuretic peptides and troponins provide evidence of cardiac chamber morphological change and myocyte leak respectively. Traditional markers such as leukocytosis and C-reactive protein are non-specific for myocardial assessment, and most clinicians rely on functional monitoring to guide assessment and management. The cardiomyopathy of sepsis is complex and incompletely understood [50], but it is fair to say that in the early (unresuscitated) stages there is generalized depression of cardiac function, manifesting clinically as a low cardiac output state. Following fluid therapy the situation often changes to a picture more typical of distributive shock – rapid bounding pulses, increased cardiac output and systemic vasodila-
tion. Most ICUs no longer use pulmonary artery catheters to guide diagnosis and hemodynamic management in septic shock [51], and thus this is almost certainly the case for CRS-5 too. Lastly, there is a growing appreciation of the value of echocardiography in the assessment and management of ICU patients with acute myocardial dysfunction [52]. Bedside assessment of myocardial filling status and systolic function is particularly helpful even if a full study is not obtainable.

Assessment of Renal Function. Diagnosis and assessment of renal function in sepsis-induced CRS-5 is the same as in other causes of AKI. The clinical mainstay is still an acute change in serum creatinine levels, and consensus has been reached with the RIFLE [53], AKIN [54] and KDIGO criteria [55] essentially describing similar acute reductions in renal function. These systems also provide a means of assessing severity and have served to move the clinical research agenda forwards from recognition of the disease to risk assessment, assessment of treatment effect and transition to clinically important endpoints. In CRS-5, several novel biomarkers of AKI have been reported to have clinical utility. For reviews of these see Comnick and Ishani [56] and Cruz et al. [57]. Several new markers of renal function and AKI risk prediction are now in clinical use in Europe and time will tell if they have true clinical utility. Cystatin C is still the only new biomarker approved for diagnostic assessment in the USA at the time of writing. For the time being at least therefore it is likely that RIFLE, AKIN and KDIGO criteria using serum creatinine and urine output will continue to be used for diagnosis and monitoring of AKI in CRS-5.

b. To Assess Progression and Resolution of Organ Dysfunction

Risk Prediction. Once the diagnosis of septic shock and CRS-5 is made, organ function has been assessed and the patient is (hopefully) recovering, attention turns to risk prediction and protection of further deteriorations in organ function. In AKI, there are new data suggesting that biomarkers of cell cycle arrest may be able to predict which patients will progress to severe AKI within a few days [93]. These data will need to be confirmed in clinical practice, but the idea that a simple blood test may help clinicians identify those at increased risk of severe disease is certainly attractive. For cardiac risk prognostication, septic shock survivors have been shown to have lower ejection fractions and higher end-diastolic volumes when compared with patients who died, which appears to suggest a possible protective effect of myocardial depression [58]. Rudiger and Singer [50] provide a good discussion of the mechanisms underlying cardiac dysfunction in sepsis.
**Table 3. Therapeutic interventions in sepsis-induced CRS-5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease modification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Removal of inflammatory mediators</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rationale based on targeting removal of inflammatory molecules as molecular weight of most cytokines (e.g. TNF) ranges from 17,000–50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytokine removal is variable and depends on operational characteristics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal can be enhanced by</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Increasing permeability of membrane (high cut-off membranes, plasma filtration)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Increasing convection (HVHF, pulse HVHF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Utilizing adsorption (PMMA CHDF, polymyxin, Osiris membrane)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Immunomodulation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rationale based on targeting cellular elements of disease response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Immunoparalysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Apoptosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Neutrophil activation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategies include</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Endotoxin removal by selective binding to polymyxin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Anticoagulant-based strategies (citrate enabled selective cytophoretic device)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Plasma filtration coupled to binding to sorbents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organ support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cardiac</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac dysfunction contributes to shock and poor tissue perfusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased vascular permeability results in maldistribution of fluid in various compartments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategies include</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Ionotropes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Vasopressors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Vasodilators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Renal</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal dysfunction contributes to organ failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Solute clearance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Fluid management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Acid base and electrolyte homeostasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategies include</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Diuretics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– RRT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q3. What Are the Targets and Best Strategies for Therapeutic Intervention?

Therapeutic interventions in CRS-5 can be focused on disease modification, management of cardiac and renal dysfunction and their consequences (table 3). Decision for interventions should be based on knowledge of the phase of disease, the underlying pathophysiology and anticipated course.
a. Management of Underlying Disease

General Principles. As shown in figure 3, patients in the hyperacute phase will have an emphasis on resuscitation and stabilization of hemodynamic factors coupled with source control. Several publications, e.g. the ‘surviving sepsis’ guidelines, provide recommendations for the initial management of patients with sepsis and septic shock [51]. Maintaining hemodynamic stability and tissue perfusion are key components for preventing CRS-5 in the hyperacute phase. However, source control and adequate antibiotic coverage need to be addressed. As discussed earlier, often fluid resuscitation results in fluid accumulation and continued maintenance fluids can contribute to fluid overload with deleterious consequences [59–62]. Thus avoiding iatrogenic complications from resuscitation and recognition of failing organ function are critical to reduce the development of CRS-5.

Disease Modification. The pathophysiology and molecular events of sepsis offers several therapeutic targets [63]. As shown in figure 1 the systemic inflammatory response with PAMPS and DAMPS sets into motion the release of several circulating cytokines [49]. Physical removal of cytokines and immunomodulation are the two main approaches that have been tried and are currently being assessed for disease modification based on extracorporeal techniques (table 3). Removal is based on the rationale that cytokine burden can be reduced by utilizing convection [64], high-volume hemofiltration [65, 66], high-permeability membranes [67, 68] or adsorption [69]. High-volume hemofiltration has not been very successful [66, 70], however limited studies have shown benefit with high-permeability membranes and adsorption [71]. An alternate approach is to target cellular elements of the disease response including immunoparalysis, apoptosis and neutrophil activation through endotoxin removal with a polymyxin filter [72] or a citrate anticoagulant-based selective cytopheretic device [73]. Both these techniques have been successful in small pilot studies and pivotal trials are underway. Plasma filtration coupled with sorbents has been studied in experimental models and clinical studies are being initiated [74, 75].

c. Treatment of Cardiac and Renal Dysfunction

Cardiac Support. Managing cardiac dysfunction in the hyperacute and acute phases requires a multipronged approach for maintaining adequate filling pressures with fluid resuscitation and the judicious uses of vasopressors, vasodilators and ionotropes. Several studies have evaluated the effect of vasopressors to restore blood pressure but can decrease cardiac output (increasing afterload), especially if with coexisting hypovolemia. Vasodilators increase cardiac output, especially in conditions with impaired contractility. Norepinephrine is preferred vasoconstrictor (α-adrenergic effects with some inotropic effects via its moderate β-adrenergic...
effects). Phosphodiesterase inhibitors combined inotropic and vasodilating effects and (likely) less increase myocardial oxygen requirements than other inotropic agents. Vasopressin, strong vasoconstrictor, excessive increase in arterial pressure but has detrimental effects on cardiac output and splanchnic perfusion [76]. Recently, levosimendan has been shown to be of benefit in decompensated heart failure to improve cardiac performance and achieve a diuresis [77, 78]. Further studies are needed with this agent to determine if it can be used to ameliorate CRS-5.

Renal Support. Currently there are no specific drug-based interventions for renal dysfunction in sepsis [79]. General supportive measures include avoidance of nephrotoxic agents, maintenance of an adequate perfusion pressure and intervention with dialysis [80]. Several studies have evaluated the role of various vasopressor agents in improving renal function in sepsis [81, 82]. There is no role for dopamine to improve renal hemodynamics/function [81, 83], and there have been limited studies with fenoldopam [84, 85]. Norepinephrine increases systemic blood pressure but decreases renal perfusion in normal conditions; NE increases systemic blood pressure and renal perfusion in endotoxic conditions [86]. Vasopressin (natural antidiuretic effects) paradoxically increases urine output and (?) creatinine clearance in patients with septic shock [87–88]. It is currently unclear what the target systemic and intrarenal blood pressure should be to optimize renal function [89].

Management of Consequences: Involvement of the heart and kidney in septic shock portrays special challenges as increasing requirements for vasopressor and inotrope support are coupled with oliguric states resulting in fluid accumulation and overload. Diuretics have limited roles [90] and RRT particularly with continuous renal replacement should be considered and implemented early [80]. There is some emerging evidence that implementing ultrafiltration early in the course of septic shock may improve outcomes, however further studies are needed to validate these concepts. Maintaining acid base balance and providing adequate ‘space’ for nutritional support are additional indications for early intervention with RRT.

Research Needs

More detailed epidemiological data...
- time course of developing CRS before/after ICU
- better definition/staging of epidemiological data, i.e. application of RIFLE/AKIN criteria.
- Mechanistic/animal studies with...
  - clinically relevant models
– delineation between effects of systemic insult (sepsis) versus organ cross-talk
– application of definition/staging criteria to better compare animal models.
• More detailed observational studies to identify...
– pathways that are associated with development of CRS 5 (pro-/anti-inflammatory pathways activation/role of RAAS)
– site of injury and its effects/prognostic role (glomerular vs. tubular, RV vs. LV failure).
• Experimental studies to elucidate cross-talk between heart and kidney during catastrophic conditions like sepsis, or less dramatic cases like chemo effecting heart and kidney (adriamycin, cisplatin).
• Elucidating these mechanisms could reveal novel biomarkers and improved therapy CRS-5.
• Diagnostic tests: There is a great need to assess the utility of different biomarkers of cardiac and renal dysfunction individually and in combination to diagnose and monitor the progress of CRS-5. These will likely be different for acute and chronic disorders, e.g. sepsis vs. cirrhosis. Techniques to measure biomarker panels and standardized reporting require further research.

Conclusions

CRS-5 is a complex condition which can result from several different conditions that vary in the time and severity. Consequently, it is challenging to attribute the condition to a single pathophysiological mechanism. The timing and sequence of dysfunction of the heart and kidney are influenced by the state of underlying health and the nature and duration of the precipitating condition.
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