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Abstract

\textbf{Background/Objectives:} Older adults with dementia have at least a twofold increased risk of falls. Multi-factorial interventions have failed to demonstrate a reduction in falls in this group. Improved understanding of specific cognitive factors and their relationship to gait, balance and falls is required. \textbf{Methods:} Systematic searches of Medline, Embase, PsycInfo, and CINAHL databases from inception to April 2011 were conducted to identify prospective studies in older adults examining executive function and its relationship with falls, balance and gait abnormalities. Two independent reviewers extracted data on study populations, executive function measures and study outcomes. \textbf{Results:} Of 8,985 abstracts identified, 14 studies met inclusion criteria. Eleven studies examined executive function and falls. The remaining studies examined executive function and gait speed decline. Nine studies examining executive function and falls found a relationship between poor executive function and increased fall risk. All 3 studies examining executive function and gait found an association between poor executive function and declines in gait speed. Impaired executive function was associated with more serious falling patterns. \textbf{Conclusions:} Executive function was associated with falls and gait speed slowing in older adults. Future research should consider executive dysfunction as a training target for fall prevention, or as a factor mediating the failure of conventional fall prevention interventions.
Introduction

Falls are an important cause of morbidity and mortality in older adults with dementia. Older adults with cognitive impairment have at least a twofold increased risk of falls compared with cognitively intact older adults [1], equating to an annual fall incidence of 60–80% in this population [2, 3]. People with cognitive impairment who fall are significantly more likely to sustain serious injuries and require admission to long-term care facilities [4, 5].

There has been extensive research into falls and fall prevention in older people with multifactorial interventions reducing fall risk in this group [6]. However, managing falls in people with dementia has proven difficult, and multifactorial interventions fail to demonstrate the same reduction [7, 8]. Potential reasons include more severe gait and balance impairments in this group [9], unresponsiveness to fall prevention programmes, or the possibility of dementia-related cognitive factors contributing to fall risk.

In a seminal paper in 1997, Lundin-Olsson et al. [10] demonstrated that those who 'stopped walking when talking' were more likely to fall, indicating that gait was attentionally demanding. This prompted extensive investigation of 'dual-task deficits' in gait (declines in gait performance during simultaneous performance of a secondary cognitive task), as a predictor of falls [11] and led to interest in other cognitive domains, such as executive function, as potential contributors to fall risk in dementia.

There is no generally accepted definition of executive function, but there is consensus that executive function consists of those functions involved in decision-making [12]. This includes the abilities to reason and solve problems, initiate and maintain tasks, cognitive flexibility to adapt to changing contingencies, and it incorporates attention, working memory, and abstract reasoning [13]. Early studies in this area demonstrated that impaired executive function was associated with an increased risk of injurious falls in older adults and also explained the variance in fall risk in an inpatient rehabilitation population spanning all age groups [14, 15]. More recently a decline in executive function was associated with an increased risk of falls in older adults with Alzheimer's disease [16], but also in older adults without overt cognitive impairment [17] suggesting that cognitive risk factors for falls in dementia may evolve before dementia is clinically evident.

Measures of gait, such as stride length and swing time variability, predict falls in a community-dwelling cohort of older adults [18]. Several studies support the association of gait and balance abnormalities in older adults with dementia [19–21]. A causal relationship between impaired executive function and gait changes remains to be proven, but ample evidence exists to demonstrate an association between the two [22–26]. This work suggests that executive function and gait impairments may underpin the increased risk of falls in dementia. However, tests of executive function are not part of routine fall risk assessment.

No systematic review has evaluated prospective studies examining the association of executive function and falls or changes in balance and gait parameters to determine if tests of executive function are predictive of future fall risk or gait impairment. We hypothesised that impaired executive function in older adults would be associated with a higher subsequent fall risk. We also hypothesised that impaired executive function would be associated with changes in gait or balance parameters that are known to increase fall risk.

Methods

A systematic review of existing literature was performed, following the MOOSE (meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology) guidelines and the PRISMA statement [27, 28]. Eligible prospective studies included older adults with or without dementia and examined the association between a specified measure of executive function and falls or changes in balance and gait. Studies solely demonstrating the relationship
between dual-task decrements and incident falls have been extensively reported elsewhere and were excluded from this review [11]. Due to lack of consensus definition of executive function, for the purposes of this review, measures of executive function in its broadest definition, incorporating attention and working memory, and deemed appropriate in the textbook *Neuropsychological Assessment* [12], were included. Participants in eligible studies had to be over 65 years old, but studies with mean ages and standard deviations indicating the majority of participants were over 65 years were also eligible for inclusion. Studies reporting serious or injurious falls as outcome measures were considered eligible for inclusion as they have been used as a proxy measure for fall reporting in dementia populations. For inclusion, studies must have examined an association between measures of executive function and one of the stated outcomes. If this association was not reported in the research study, nor available from the authors, the study was excluded.

One author (F.K.) searched the electronic databases Medline, Embase, PsycINFO, and CINAHL from inception to April 2011 using free text search terms. Figure 1 summarises the study identification process. Reference lists of key studies and review articles known to the authors were also reviewed to identify potential papers not identified by the search criteria. The results from each database search and records identified through other sources were combined and duplicate records removed. The remaining abstracts were screened to identify full-text articles for independent assessment of eligibility by one author (F.K. and...
either of two other authors (R.H. or T.M.). Disagreements about inclusion were resolved by discussion and further assessment by the third author who had not already screened the abstract (R.H. or T.M.). Data was extracted from the papers using a standardised form and is presented in tables 1–3. The quality of each study was assessed using a modified version of the Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale, but no study was excluded on the basis of this scale [29]. Meta-analyses were planned if there was adequate uniformity in testing parameters and outcome measures.

Results

The initial search yielded 8,985 abstracts, from which 195 full-text articles were chosen for further assessment, in turn, identifying 14 studies for inclusion [14, 17, 30–41]. Due to heterogeneity of baseline measures, analysis methods and follow-up periods, meta-analyses of studies were not feasible. Therefore, relevant findings, interpretation, and implications for clinical practice and future research are presented in narrative form. The systematic search identified 10 review articles; however, none of these articles systematically appraised prospective cohort studies examining the association between executive function and falls or gait and balance outcome measures.

Tables 1–3 summarise the 14 included studies. Six studies used varying scoring methods of the Trail Making Test A (TMT-A) or B (TMT-B) as a stand-alone measure of executive function [14, 17, 30–33] and are discussed together (table 1, whereas table 4 provides a description of cognitive tests). These 6 studies assessed a total of 1,768 community-dwelling older adults and all recorded falls as a primary outcome measure. Five studies excluded participants with cognitive impairment determined by the Mini Mental State Examination [17, 30, 31, 33]. Two studies included participants <65 years old [14, 32], but the mean age of participants was greater than 70 years in each study. Five studies reported an association between poor TMT performance and falls [14, 17, 30, 32, 33] with 4 studies examining the association between TMT and recurrent or injurious falls [14, 17, 30, 32]. Only 1 study (n = 216) found no association between TMT measure and fall status [31]. This study reported recurrent falls in 55 participants, but subgroup analysis was not performed to examine if an association between executive function and recurrent falls existed.

One study reported a twofold increased risk of recurrent or injurious falls in those with poorer cognitive flexibility as measured by ΔTMT (time to complete TMT-B – time to complete TMT-A) [30]. Using classification and regression tree analysis, the authors also reported that in participants at a high risk of falls on the basis of physiological assessment, impaired executive function was the next discriminating risk factor for injurious or recurrent falls [30]. Another study reported that in a subgroup that had never fallen at baseline normalised TMT-B scores were significantly worse in recurrent fallers compared to non-fallers (odds ratio, OR, 1.82, 95% confidence interval, CI, 1.1–3.0) [17]. They also found that a composite executive function score (Mindstreams®) predicted future falls with participants in the worst executive function quartile having a threefold increased risk of falls and an earlier transition from non-faller to faller [17]. In a further study, those with slower performances on TMT-B (>180 s) were almost twice as likely to sustain an injurious fall (OR 1.9, 95% CI 1.1–3.2) [14].

Pijnappels et al. [32] found significantly poorer performance on TMT-B in recurrent fallers, compared to non-fallers (94 vs. 66 s, p < 0.001). Further evaluation using path analysis indicated that slow cognitive spatial processing indirectly increased fall risk by influencing reaction time and balance. Finally, slower performance on the Trail Walking Test, an adaptation of the TMT, was significantly associated with future falls (78 s in fallers vs. 62 s in non-fallers) with a weaker association found between TMT-A and falls in the same group [33].
### Table 1. Studies measuring the association of the Trail Making Test (TMT) or variants with fall outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year, country</th>
<th>Number of subjects, setting</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>General cognition</th>
<th>EF measure</th>
<th>Other measures</th>
<th>Outcome measure, follow-up methods</th>
<th>Main finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delbaere [30], 2010, Australia</td>
<td>500, community dwelling</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>All MMSE &gt; 24</td>
<td>TMT B-A</td>
<td>(1) Boston Naming test (2) Logical memory subset (3) Block design (4) PPA (5) Personality traits (6) Fear of falling (7) Depressive symptoms</td>
<td>Injurious falls defined as ‘an unexpected event in which the person comes to rest on the ground, floor or lower level’ and resulted in injury or more than one fall Fall diaries ± phone call</td>
<td>In univariate analysis there was increased likelihood of being a faller with poorer performance on ΔTMT (OR 2.2, 95% CI 1.04–4.6, p ≤ 0.05) In CRT analysis the group was considered at high risk of falls on the basis of the PPA score, impaired EF (ΔTMT score &gt; 50 s) was the next discriminating factor for risk of injurious or recurrent falls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herman [17], 2010, Israel</td>
<td>262, community dwelling</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>MMSE &gt; 25</td>
<td>Normalised TMT TMT A-B/A Mindstreams® (composite EF index)</td>
<td>(1) Go-No-GO (2) Stroop (3) Verbal fluency (4) Forward and backward digit span (5) DGI (6) BBS (7) TUAG (8) Grip strength (9) PASE (10) GDS (11) ABC Scale</td>
<td>Fall defined as ‘unintentionally coming to rest on a lower surface’ Monthly fall diaries</td>
<td>EF index was worse among participants who reported new falls during follow-up (98 ± 11) compared to those who reported no new falls (101 ± 11; p = 0.04) In a subgroup of non-fallers at baseline when participants were stratified into quartiles, participants with worse EF were more likely to fall in follow-up compared to those with better EF (OR 3.0, 95% CI 1.4–6.8, p &lt; 0.01) The change in status from non-faller to faller occurred significantly sooner in the worse EF group (p = 0.01) Normalised TMT-B was significantly worse in multiple fallers (1.26 ± 0.78) compared to non-fallers (0.96 ± 0.65; p = 0.02) In univariate analysis poorer performance on normalised TMT was associated with multiple falls (OR 1.8, 95% CI 1.1–3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevitt [14], 1991, USA</td>
<td>325, community dwelling</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>no cutoff</td>
<td>TMT-B</td>
<td>(1) BMI (2) Grip strength (3) Simple light-cued hand reaction time (4) Corrected visual acuity (5) GDS (6) Walking speed (7) Proximal leg strength</td>
<td>Injurious falls defined as ‘falling all the way down to the ground or floor; or falling and hitting an object like chair or stair’ Interview follow-up after a reported fall</td>
<td>In multivariate analyses slower performance on TMT-B (&gt; 180 s) was independently associated with a major injury from a fall (OR 1.9, 95% CI 1.1–3.2, p &lt; 0.05) The results of MMSE and TMT-B were highly correlated. Neither TMT-B nor MMSE were significantly associated with risk of minor injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author, year, country</td>
<td>Number of subjects, setting</td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>General cognition</td>
<td>EF measure</td>
<td>Other measures</td>
<td>Outcome measure, follow-up methods</td>
<td>Main finding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordin [31], 2010, Sweden</td>
<td>216, community dwelling</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>MMSE ≥ 24</td>
<td>TMT-B</td>
<td>(1) TUAG (2) Sit to stance test (3) Barthel Index (4) Visual acuity (5) Self-reported activity levels (6) Walking under single and DT (7) Animal naming and counting as secondary cognitive tasks</td>
<td>Fall defined as ‘an event in which the participant unintentionally came to rest on the floor or ground regardless of the cause or consequence of the fall’ Monthly fall diaries</td>
<td>Time to completion of TMT-B was not significantly different in non-fallers (median 148 s, IQR 119–225 s) compared with fallers (median 151s, IQR 116–214 s, p = 0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pijnappels [32], 2010, Australia</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>MMSE &gt; 20</td>
<td>TMT-B</td>
<td>(1) CSRT (2) PPA</td>
<td>Number of falls Fall defined as ‘unintentionally coming to rest on the ground or lower level’ Those who fell twice or more were classified as recurrent fallers Monthly fall diaries</td>
<td>Time to completion of TMT-B was significantly slower in multiple fallers (mean 94 s, SD 65 s) compared to non-multiple fallers (mean 66 s, SD 44 s, p = 0.001) Cognitive spatial processing, measured by TMT-B indirectly mediated the association between slow CSRT and multiple falls in path analysis The variance by which physiological factors and cognitive performance explained multiple falls in this model is relatively low at 17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yamada [33], 2010, Japan</td>
<td>171, community dwelling</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>MMSE &gt; 24</td>
<td>TMT-A</td>
<td>(1) TUAG (2) 1-leg stand (3) Functional reach (4) 10-metre walking time (5) TWT</td>
<td>Fall defined as ‘an event that resulted in a person unintentionally coming to rest on the ground, floor, or other lower level without loss of consciousness or injury’ Fall diaries each month</td>
<td>The TWT was correlated with the TMT-A (r = 0.56, p &lt; 0.001) Fallers had significantly higher mean values for the TWT (mean 78 s, SD 8.2 s) and TMT (mean 91 s, SD 34 s), compared to non-fallers’ TWT (mean 62 s, SD 12 s, p &lt; 0.001) and TMT (mean 66 s, SD 38 s, p &lt; 0.001) After stepwise regression modelling, poorer TWT performance was the only measure significantly related to falls (OR 1.2, 95% CI 1.1 – 1.2; p &lt; 0.001) Using TWT as a predictor of falls, the regression model was able to correctly classify 78% of cases</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ABC = Aging and body composition; BBS = Berg balance scale; BMI = body mass index; CI = confidence interval; CRT = classification and regression tree; CSRT = choice stepping reaction time; DGI = Dynamic Gait Index; DT = dual task; EF = executive function; GDS = Geriatric Depression Scale; IQR = interquartile range; MMSE = Mini Mental State Examination; OR = odds ratio; PASE = Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly; PPA = physiological profile assessment; TMT-A = Trail Making Test part A; TMT-B = Trail Making Test part B; TUAG = Timed Up and Go Test; TWT = Trail Walking Test.
Table 2. Studies measuring the association of executive function (EF, non-TMT) with fall outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Year, Country</th>
<th>Number of Subjects, Setting</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>General Cognition</th>
<th>EF Measure</th>
<th>Other Measures</th>
<th>Outcome Measure, Follow-up Methods</th>
<th>Main Finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anstey [34], 2006, Australia</td>
<td>539, participants of the Australian Longitudinal Study of Ageing</td>
<td>8 years</td>
<td>MMSE measured and those &lt;24 were deemed cognitively impaired but not excluded on that basis</td>
<td>(1) Digit symbol (2) Similarities</td>
<td>(1) Picture recall (subscale of the WAIS-R) (2) NART (3) MMSE (4) Visual acuity (5) Grip (6) Semitandem stand (7) Functional reach</td>
<td>Rate of falls Number of injurious falls Follow-up questionnaires at 2 and 8 years</td>
<td>Using baseline cognitive measures a 1-unit increase in MMSE and similarities test was significantly associated with a reduced rate of falls: 8 and 23%, respectively, in the overall group. When those with cognitive impairment were excluded, no cognitive variable was independently predictive of falls in the fully adjusted model. The association between similarities test and falls was attenuated by final adjustment for sensorimotor variables in this group. In those without cognitive impairment at baseline, the rate of injurious falls increased with each unit decrease in baseline score on MMSE (OR 1.06, p &lt; 0.01) and processing speed (OR 1.02, p &lt; 0.01). When the rate of falls was examined in relation to change in cognition over time, the rate of all falls increased for each unit decrease in MMSE score (OR 1.05, p &lt; 0.05); immediate recall score (OR 1.1, p &lt; 0.01), processing speed score (OR 1.02, p &lt; 0.01) and symbol recall (OR 1.06, p &lt; 0.05). In those without cognitive impairment at baseline, changes in measures of EF over time did not significantly predict falls. However, a 1-unit decrease in processing speed score (OR 1.03, p &lt; 0.01) was associated with an increased rate of injurious falls. No baseline cognitive measure significantly predicted risk of falling in the fully adjusted model. However, deterioration in processing speed performance over time was associated with an increased risk of falling for each unit decrease in score on Digit Symbol (OR 1.02, p &lt; 0.05).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kudo [35], 2009, Japan</td>
<td>78, AD (n = 51), DLB (n = 27), attendees at a memory clinic with diagnosis of AD or DLB</td>
<td>4 months</td>
<td>MMSE &gt;10</td>
<td>(1) Digit Span Forward</td>
<td>(1) MMSE (2) ADAS (3) Neuropsychiatric inventory (4) Motor component of UPDRS</td>
<td>Performance on Digit Span Forward did not differ between fallers and non-fallers (4.7 vs. 4.9 digits, OR 0.84, 95% CI 0.48–1.49, p = 0.56). Increased risk of falling was associated with diagnosis of DLB (OR 7.4, 95% CI 2.2–24.8, p &lt; 0.01), parkinsonism (OR 6.9, 95% CI 2.0–18.8, p &lt; 0.01), visual hallucinations (OR 3.6, 95% CI 1.1–11.8, p &lt; 0.05), and cognitive fluctuation (OR 5.8, 95% CI 1.8–18.8, p &lt; 0.01).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strygley [36], 2009, Israel</td>
<td>266, community dwelling</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>MMSE &gt;25</td>
<td>(1) Mindstreams® (composite EF index)</td>
<td>(1) Barthel Index (2) Frenchay Activities Index (3) PASE (4) SF-36 (5) GDS (6) Spielberg’s Anxiety Index (7) Berg Balance Scale (8) DGI (9) TUG (10) Pull test (11) Gait measures of swing time and swing time variability</td>
<td>Missteps defined as ‘a trip, slip, or loss of balance in which recovery occurred to prevent a fall’ Falls defined as ‘unintentionally coming to rest on a lower surface’ Monthly fall diaries</td>
<td>Subjects reporting missteps were more likely to fall prospectively (RR = 39). Missteps were not associated with gait, cognitive function, or EF. Poorer EF performance was significantly associated with multiple falls when compared to no falls (p = 0.01).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Year, Country</th>
<th>Number of Subjects, Setting</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>General Cognition</th>
<th>EF Measure</th>
<th>Other Measures</th>
<th>Outcome Measure, Follow-up Methods</th>
<th>Main Finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Van Schoor [37], 2002, The Netherlands</td>
<td>1,437, Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>MMSE No cutoff stated 176 persons had a score &lt;24 on MMSE 78 had a score ≤20</td>
<td>(1) RCPM</td>
<td>(1) 15-Word Test</td>
<td>Fall defined as ‘an unintentional change in position resulting in coming to rest on the ground or lower level’ Those with ≥2 falls in a 6-month period Weekly fall calendar returned every 3 months Telephone contact to return calendar if not returned</td>
<td>Recurrent fallers scored significantly lower on all cognitive tests There was no statistically significant relationship between the cognitive tests and falling when comparing one fall with no falls Statistical significance was reached for the 15-Word Test when comparing ≥2 falls with ≤1 fall (OR 1.3, 95% CI 1.01–1.74, p &lt; 0.05); the MMSE when comparing ≥3 falls with ≤2 falls (OR 1.5, 95% CI 1.01–2.06, p &lt; 0.05); CT when comparing ≥4 falls with ≤3 falls (OR 1.4, 95% CI 1.02–2.01, p &lt; 0.05); RCPM when comparing ≥5 falls with ≤4 falls (OR 1.8, 95% CI 1.25–2.58, p &lt; 0.05) In an unadjusted model 1 point reduction in performance on cognitive test score was significantly associated with an increased odds of falling for MMSE (OR 1.06, 95% CI 1.02–1.10, p &lt; 0.05), RCPM (OR 1.04, 95% CI 1.01–1.07, p &lt; 0.05), and CT (OR 1.02, 95% CI 1.00–1.04, p &lt; 0.05). The association remained significant for RCPM and CT with falls after adjustment for age and education, but not for depression Overall the strongest relationship with falls was found for immediate memory as measured by the 15-Word Test with increased age strengthening this relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welmerink [38], 2010, USA</td>
<td>5,356, participants of the Cardiovascular Health Study</td>
<td>15 years</td>
<td>JMS No exclusions stated</td>
<td>(1) Digit Symbol</td>
<td>(1) Depressive symptoms</td>
<td>Serious fall defined as ‘a fall causing an injury requiring admission to the hospital’</td>
<td>Small decrements in Digit Symbol scores were associated with increased risk of a serious fall (HR = 1.6, 95% CI 1.15–2.17) The risk continued to increase with each quartile decrease in Digit Symbol score. Participants in the worst quartile of Digit Symbol performance had an increased risk of falls compared to those in the best quartile (HR 2.9, 95% CI 1.08–4.03)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AD = Alzheimer’s disease; ADAS = Alzheimer’s Disease Assessment Scale; BMI = body mass index; CI = confidence intervals; CT = Coding Task; DGI = Dynamic Gait Index; DLB = dementia with Lewy bodies; EF = executive function; GDS = Geriatric Depression Scale; HR = hazard ratio; MMSE = Mini Mental State Examination; NART = National Adult Reading Test; OR = odds ratio; PASE = Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly; RCPM = Raven’s Coloured Progressive Matrices; RR = relative risk; SF-36 = Medical Outcomes Study 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey; TMT = Trail Making Test; TUAG = Timed Up and Go Test; UPDRS = Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale; WAIS-R = Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Revised; 3MS = modified Mini Mental State Examination.
Table 3. Studies examining the association between executive function (EF) and gait speed decline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Year, country</th>
<th>Number of subjects, setting</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>General cognition</th>
<th>EF measure</th>
<th>Other measures</th>
<th>Outcome measure, follow-up methods</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atkinson [39], 2007, USA</td>
<td>2,349, community dwelling Participants of the health ABC study</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>3MS</td>
<td>No exclusion</td>
<td>(1) CLOX I (2) EXIT 15</td>
<td>(1) Usual gait over 20 m (2) Depressive symptoms (3) BMI (4) Exercise levels (5) Visual acuity (6) Literacy (7) Interim health events (8) Medications</td>
<td>Gait speed decline (usual gait speed) In longitudinal analyses after adjustment for baseline gait speed, every 1 SD decline in general cognitive function and EF was associated with gait speed decline: 3MS (β –0.02 m/s, SE 0.003, p &lt; 0.001); CLOX I (β –0.01 m/s, SE 0.002, p = 0.004); EXIT 15 (β –0.01 m/s, SE 0.003, p &lt; 0.001) After adjustment for comorbidities the effect size was attenuated for 3MS (p = 0.001) and CLOX I (p = 0.011), and the association was no longer significant for EXIT 15 (p = 0.18) Depressive symptoms attenuated the association between EXIT 15 and gait speed decline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watson [40], 2010, USA</td>
<td>865, in longitudinal analysis Subgroup of participants representing the top 20% of performers on an endurance walk test within the health ABC study</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>3 MS</td>
<td>No exclusions</td>
<td>(1) EXIT 15</td>
<td>(1) 3MS (2) Buschke Selective reminding Test (3) Boxes and digit copying tests (4) Vascular risk factors (5) Depressive symptoms (6) BMI (7) Exercise levels (8) ABI</td>
<td>Gait speed decline (usual gait speed) In longitudinal analyses after adjustment for baseline gait speed, demographics, and health characteristics, every 1 SD decline in general cognitive function and EF was associated with gait speed decline: 3MS (β –0.003 m/s, SE 0.002, p = 0.03); verbal memory (β –0.004 m/s, SE 0.001, p = 0.03); EXIT 15 (β –0.003 m/s, SE 0.001, p = 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soumare [41], 2009, France</td>
<td>Cross-sectional analysis 3,769 Longitudinal analysis 1,732 Participants of the Three-City Study Community dwelling</td>
<td>Mean 7 years</td>
<td>MMSE</td>
<td>Those with ‘dementia’ were excluded No numerical cut-off was given Based on DSM-IV criteria</td>
<td>(1) TMT-A (2) TMT-B The time taken to make a correct connection was calculated by dividing the time to complete each part by the number of correct connections (3) IST</td>
<td>(1) Benton Visual Retention test (2) MMSE (3) Systolic BP (4) Depressive symptoms (5) BMI (6) IADLS (7) Physical activity (8) Smoking and alcohol intake (9) Medications</td>
<td>MWS decline After adjustment for age, sex, education, and BMI, general cognition and EF were associated with MWS decline: MMSE (β 0.08, SE 0.014, p &lt; 0.0001); IST (β 0.15, SE 0.014, p = 0.0001); TMT-A (β –0.15, SE 0.014, p = 0.0001); TMT-B (β –0.10, SE 0.015, p = 0.001) In the fully adjusted model, the association of TMT-B and MMSE with MWS decline was attenuated The association remained significant for TMT-A, and IST: TMT-A (β –0.05, SE 0.021, p = 0.01); IST (β 0.06, SE 0.022, p = 0.004)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ABC = Aging and Body Composition; ABI = ankle brachial index; β = coefficient; BMI = body mass index; BP = blood pressure; CLOX I = scored clock drawing task; EXIT 15 = 15-Item Executive Interview; IADLS = instrumental activities of daily living; IST = Isaacs Set Test; MMSE = Mini Mental State Examination; MWS = maximum walking speed; SD = standard deviation; SE = standard error; TMT-A = Trail Making Test part A; TMT-B = Trail Making Test part B; 3MS = modified Mini Mental State Examination.
## Table 4. Tests of executive function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TMT-A</td>
<td>Participants draw lines to connect consecutively numbered circles; tests how effectively an individual responds to a visual array and follows a sequence. It also requires motor speed and agility as it is a test of speed as well as accuracy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMT-B</td>
<td>Participants draw lines to alternatively connect numbered and lettered circles. In addition to the skills tested by the TMT-A, the TMT-B also requires cognitive flexibility to deal with more than one visual stimulus and shift between stimuli during the course of an ongoing activity. The scoring methods for both TMT-A and TMT-B require an examiner to point out errors as they occur so that the test can be completed without errors and the scoring is based on time alone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔTMT</td>
<td>This is a score derived from subtracting the time taken to complete the TMT-A from the time taken to complete the TMT-B and removes the speed element from the test evaluation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalised TMT</td>
<td>TMT (B–A)/A isolates the cognitive flexibility component of the TMT-B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit Symbol Substitution Test (Digit Symbol)</td>
<td>Consists of rows containing small squares, each paired with a randomly assigned number from 1 to 9. An accompanying printed key pairs each number with a different nonsense symbol. Subjects are required to fill the numbered squares with the corresponding nonsense symbol. The score is the number of correctly filled squares. It is a test of processing speed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding task</td>
<td>This task consists of three 1-min trials in which participants have to combine two characters according to a given example. The score on each trial is the number of correctly completed combinations irrespective of the number of wrong answers. The mean score of the three trials is used as the overall score. It is a measure of information-processing speed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLOXI</td>
<td>Is a scored clock-drawing task whereby the subject is instructed to draw a clock displaying a particular time on a blank page. It reflects performance in a novel and ambiguous situation. The participant must choose the clock format (digital, analog face, watch), size, and position on page. It requires initiation, persistence, sequencing of constructional actions, progress monitoring, and error correction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXIT 15</td>
<td>This is a shortened version of the 25-Item Executive Interview. The EXIT 15 assesses inhibition of automatic responses and intrusions, word and design fluency, and sequencing tasks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mindstreams</td>
<td>This is a computerised testing system for comprehensive clinical assessment of cognitive impairment, testing multiple cognitive domains, and designed primarily for use in older patients. A number of tests may be used to generate and index score for the cognitive domain of interest such as executive function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit Span Forward</td>
<td>Participants are presented with increasing numbers of spoken digits at a rate of 1/s that have to be repeated in the same order. It begins with 3 digits, and the number of digits presented is increased by 1 in every other trial. When errors in 2 consecutive trials are made, the test is ended. The score is based on the number of correctly recalled trials. This is a test of efficiency of attention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal fluency</td>
<td>Participants have to say as many words as possible from a category in a given time (usually 1 min). The category may be semantic such as animals or fruit, or phonemic, such as words beginning with the letter s or f. This test is scored by summing the number of valid words. It is a test of self-regulation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isaacs Set Test</td>
<td>This also tests verbal fluency abilities and speed of verbal production. It measures the ability to generate lists of words in 4 semantic categories (colours, animals, fruit, cities) in 1 min for each. A total score is summed over the 4 categories.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Similarities</td>
<td>Participants are asked to explain why two different items are similar, such as ‘what have an apple and an orange got in common’. The score is the number of correct associations made. It is a test of verbal abstract reasoning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raven’s Coloured Progressive Matrices</td>
<td>This test consists of 3 sections of 12 items, each showing a visual pattern in which a small part is missing. The participant is asked to choose 1 of 6 possible alternatives that best fits the missing part. It measures non-verbal and abstract reasoning.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A further 5 studies used alternative executive measures at baseline [34–38] (table 2). Three longitudinal studies recorded performance in the Digit Symbol Substitution Test (Digit Symbol) or the Coding task, both measures of processing speed [34, 37, 38]. Participants in the first of these studies also completed a similarity task, a measure of abstract verbal reasoning, which was found to be associated with the rate of falling over an 8-year follow-up [34]. For each additional error in Digit Symbol performance there was a 2% increased rate of falls (OR 1.02, 95% CI 1.00–1.03) [34]. Van Schoor et al. [37] also examined immediate memory in addition to abstract reasoning and processing speed. Baseline scores for all cognitive tests were significantly lower in recurrent fallers (defined as ≥2 falls in the same 6-month period). There was no significant relationship between cognitive tests and falls when comparing one fall with no falls. However, the strength of associations of executive function increased in groups defined by progressively increasing the number of falls in the same 6-month period [37]. Additionally, a 1-unit decrease in abstract reasoning and processing speed performance was associated with increased odds of falling, but the significance of the association was attenuated by depressive symptoms. This association was not found with the test of immediate memory [37]. In the largest cohort of 5,356 participants, lower baseline Digit Symbol scores were associated with an increased risk of experiencing a serious fall (hazard ratio, HR, 1.9, 95% CI 1.4–2.5 for those in the lowest performance quartile compared to the best quartile). Risk of serious fall increased as Digit Symbol performance deteriorated (HR 1.6, 95% CI 1.2–2.1, for 1-quartile decrease and HR 2.9, 95% CI 2.1–4.0, for a 3-quartile decrease in Digit Symbol performance over time) [38]. In a study recording both ‘missteps’ (defined as ‘a trip, slip, or loss of balance in which recovery occurred to prevent a fall’) and falls as outcome measures, a composite measure of executive function (Mindstreams) was significantly associated with multiple falls, while no similar association was found with missteps [36]. In a small group of subjects (n = 78) with either Alzheimer’s disease or dementia with Lewy bodies, Digit Span Forward was not predictive of future falls [35].

The remaining 3 studies evaluated both general cognition and executive function and their association with gait speed decline in longitudinal analyses [39–41]. Two studies analysed and reported a different data set from the Health, Aging and Body Composition (Health ABC) study [39, 40]. Associations were found between executive function and gait speed in cross-section and with gait speed decline in longitudinal analyses in all 3 studies. A scored clock drawing task was predictive of gait speed decline in the original Health ABC cohort, while the association with a more comprehensive executive assessment, the Exit Interview (Exit 15), was attenuated by depressive symptoms [39]. However, results from the later Health ABC cohort found an association between Exit 15 and gait speed decline in a subgroup with better physical performance at baseline [40]. Soumare et al. [41] also found a significant association between TMT-A and verbal fluency and gait speed decline.

No studies examining an association between executive function and balance were identified by this review.

**Discussion**

This study presents a systematic review of cohort studies from 1991 to April 2011 examining the association between measures of executive function and future fall risk, or changes in gait parameters in older adults. Nine of the 11 studies recording falls as the primary outcome found an association with a baseline measure of executive function. All 3 studies examining gait speed found associations between processing speed and gait speed decline in longitudinal analyses [39–41].
The Trail Making Test was the most commonly used measure of executive function and poor baseline performance was associated with future falls. The majority of included studies analysed recurrent/multiple falls or serious/injurious falls rather than single falls. This may indicate that impaired executive function is associated with a more clinically relevant falling pattern or it may reflect a more functionally dependent cohort, albeit still community dwelling, and an association with increasing frailty. The latter is in keeping with results from a study of Medicare patients in the USA which reported an association between recurrent falls and increased age, fair or poor health, and limitations in activities in daily living [42]. A possible association of executive function with frailty has also been identified by another research group [43].

Two studies suggest that executive function is particularly relevant in older adults who have already declined physiologically [30, 32]. In a study recording 'missteps' and falls, missteps predicted falls suggesting associated balance impairment. However, missteps may be adaptive to impaired physiology and occurred to prevent falls in those with balance impairment. Participants reporting missteps had better executive function, potentially reflecting better judgement and reaction times resulting in avoidance of some falls by taking a protective misstep. In which case, as executive function declined, the adaptive strategy failed resulting in falls rather than missteps, potentially explaining the association between impaired executive function and recurrent falls but not missteps [36].

Results from 3 longitudinal ageing studies indicate that deterioration in cognition may be more relevant to fall risk than single measurements [34, 37, 38]. In these studies, as cognitive performance declined, risk of falls increased. Also the degree of executive dysfunction seems more important than a simple dichotomous presence or absence of impairment. In two studies, each using different executive function measures, those in the worst performance quartile of each test were approximately 3 times more likely to fall compared to those in the best quartile [17, 38], suggesting a graded association between executive function and fall risk. Determining fall risk on the basis of cognitive change and extent of impairment may be more relevant clinically as most cognitive decline is progressive. Those individuals who experience a more rapid decline or have more severe impairment may have less potential to adapt to their deficits thus conferring a higher fall risk.

Whether executive function influences gait through judgement, reaction time and psychomotor control or whether the association merely reflects a shared anatomical substrate remains unclear. This review indicates that impaired executive function is associated with gait speed decline, but gait speed decline has also been shown to predict emerging cognitive impairment [44]. Subcortical ischaemic vascular disease has been associated with poorer performance in tests of multiple cognitive domains, including executive function, attention, and working memory [45] and has also been associated with falls [46] and gait speed decline [47] in a general older population suggesting that prevalent ischaemic cerebrovascular disease may contribute to the association between executive function, cognitive impairment and falls. Large-scale epidemiological studies further examining this association are required.

The TMT is a widely used test of scanning and visuomotor tracking, divided attention, and cognitive flexibility [12]. It tests speed as well as accuracy. Some studies simply reported TMT-B scores alone, while others corrected for time taken to complete part A, isolating the contribution of cognitive flexibility. Digit Symbol and Coding are tests of psychomotor performance with motor persistence, sustained attention, response speed, and visuomotor coordination playing important roles in their completion [12]. Drawing from theoretical models in neuropsychology, executive function can be divided into the ability to form, maintain and shift mental set [13]. These components correspond to abilities to reason and solve problems, maintain motivation to follow through with a response, and alter goals in response to changing contingencies. In the context of locomotion, this skill set could influence a subject's ability
to make sound judgement with regard to gait, initiate movement, prioritise walking over secondary tasks to minimise risk, maintain motivation to reach an end point, concentrate and adapt to challenges. Failure in any component of this pathway has potential to increase fall risk. As single tests, TMT and Digit Symbol examine a number of these key components, but describing gait and fall risk in terms of deficits in components of executive function rather than a deficiency on single tests will be more informative. This will allow greater scope to identify deficits in skills related to locomotion and potentially identify targets for training or fall prevention.

The association between impaired ability to ‘dual-task’ (simultaneously perform a secondary cognitive task while walking without significant impairment of either task) was not examined in this review. This association has been comprehensively reviewed with demonstration of a fivefold increased risk of falls in association with impaired capacity to carry out dual tasks [11]. Dual-task impairment is thought to clinically represent the inability to appropriately divide attention between two cognitively demanding tasks. Individuals who fail to adopt a ‘posture-first’ strategy and compromise the attention paid to gait are at an increased risk of falls [48]. This may represent poor judgement in failing to prioritise posture or it may fit more closely with lack of cognitive flexibility to adapt and prioritise gait in circumstances of challenge, such as obstacles, or changes in surface and lighting. Therefore, taking the results of this review and those findings from previous dual-task studies, cognitive flexibility to adapt to changing contingencies seems to be a key element of executive function that is associated with increased risk of falls. In older adults processing speed may reflect the ability to ‘react’ to challenges in walking and may explain the association between impaired processing speed and fall risk found in this review. It is impossible to definitively separate out all components of executive function. Failure in any component has the potential to lead to an increased risk of falls. However, there are components of executive function that seem to predominate in the studies in this review. It may be possible to lack judgement with regard to walking, but if the abilities to ‘react and adapt’ are preserved, then safe passage may occur even if the activity was ill-advised in the first place. Equally good judgement may not always compensate for inability to adapt to challenges. In the absence of being able to truly isolate all components of executive function, emphasis on assessment of cognitive flexibility and processing speed may hold most clinical relevance when assessing fall risk.

Gait speed decline is associated with falls, but measures of gait variability may be more discriminating. Increased variability of stride length and swing time have been shown to best predict falls, and to be the only predictors of injurious falls, in an older population [18]. Increased stride length variability has been observed in subjects with Alzheimer’s disease compared to controls [49]. Executive function has been associated with measures of gait variability in cross-section, but longitudinal analyses examining executive function as a predictor of gait variability and a potential mediator of high fall rates in people with dementia have not been completed.

The strengths of this study are its rigorous design, adherence to a systematic protocol, and independent reviewers contributing to selection of included studies. It is limited by lack of consensus regarding definition of executive function and lack of uniformity in testing measures. This may have excluded studies intending to examine the proposed associations, but that failed to meet the inclusion criteria. No studies reporting balance outcomes were identified by the search. Meta-analyses of findings were not feasible due to lack of uniformity in testing measures and outcomes reported.

This review systematically examined the role of executive function in the longitudinal prediction of falls or gait decline. Impaired executive function is associated with both falls and gait speed decline in older adults, but impaired executive function may be particularly relevant in frail older adults with balance and gait impairments, who rely on cognitive abilities to
compensate for physical deficits. This review highlights the relationship between executive function (cognitive flexibility and processing speed in particular), falls, and gait decline among a relatively cognitively preserved population. However, since declining executive function is associated with increasing risk, these factors may play an even greater role in those with cognitive impairment and dementia as impaired executive function is likely to be more pervasive in these conditions [50]. This review also identifies a target population in whom fall prevention should be optimised. Research studies aiming to improve executive function through exercise, dual-task training, or cognitive enhancing medications have shown promising results [51]. The potential to train gait abnormalities has also been demonstrated in a Parkinson’s disease population [52] and warrants evaluation in subjects with cognitive impairment. Those with mild cognitive impairment may represent a group in whom executive deficits and gait abnormalities, if identified, could be trained or modified before the extent of cognitive decline means fall prevention strategies are adaptive and restrictive rather than rehabilitative. Novel approaches adapting rehabilitation strategies to the needs of an individual with executive dysfunction and cognitive impairment and evaluation of such interventions in randomised controlled trials are now required.
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