Surgical Exploration as the Definitive Means of Diagnosing a Unilateral Idiopathic Retroperitoneal Fibrosis: Report of a Case
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Summary

Background: Retroperitoneal fibrosis is considered as one of the rare diseases, with an incidence rate of 1/200,000. A guideline for its diagnosis and therapy does not exist. In the past centuries, case studies and small-scale series have therefore been used for diagnostic purposes. Case Report: We report the case of a 45-year-old female patient who approached the emergency department complaining of flank pain. The primary diagnostics including computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, sonography, and laboratory testing showed a distension of the Musculus psoas and Musculus iliacus on the right side with an unclear genesis. Accessorily performed diagnostic analysis including scintigraphy and intestinal endoscopy did not provide additional information. Because of persisting complaints, a surgical exploration of the right retroperitoneal space was performed resulting in a histological diagnosis of an idiopathic fibrosis (Morbus Ormond). Conclusion: Despite the permanent improvement of diagnostic imaging in general, a surgical exploration accompanied by histological investigation is the diagnostic tool of choice in selected cases.

Introduction

Retroperitoneal fibrosis is considered as one of the rare diseases, with an incidence rate of 1/200,000. In the past centuries, case studies and small-scale series have been used for diagnostic purposes.

A guideline for its diagnosis and therapy does not exist. By means of a rare case study we would like to describe the complex procedure of diagnosing retroperitoneal fibrosis. In the following case study the improvement of medical imaging is not inevitably the key in making a diagnosis.
Case Report

History
A 45-year-old female patient presented to the surgical emergency with diffuse, right-sided abdominal pain for 1 week. Upon admission, she described that the symptoms had started 1 week ago in her right flank, with a progression of pain over the last few days. The past medical history included an appendectomy 15 years ago and a reactive depression without pharmacological treatment.

On the day of presentation, we performed a computed tomography (CT) of the abdomen and the pelvis showing a diffuse swelling of the right psoas muscles with adjacent reaction of the retroperitoneum.

Findings
The clinical examination upon presentation showed tenderness in the right flank, right lumbar, and right iliac fossa. There were no other clinical findings. The laboratory results showed elevated inflammatory parameters (leukocytes 11,800/µl; C-reactive protein 9.0 mg/dl). All other values were within the normal range.

Considering the preliminary findings, the swelling of the psoas muscle in combination with the elevated inflammatory parameters suggested an inflammatory process. However, the CT and abdominal ultrasound could not diagnose the presence of a definitive abscess. The kidney was not involved in the inflammatory process, and the urine status did not show any abnormalities.

Further diagnostics included a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the abdomen (fig. 1, 2). A distinct signal uptake was seen dorsal to the right kidney. The uptake stretched from the dorsal part of the right psoas muscle and the whole iliacus muscle, the autochthonous back musculature, and into the subcutaneous tissue. The spinal cord, however, was normal. A definite focus could not be identified.

Therapy and Follow-up
Regarding the elevated inflammatory parameters in combination with the inflammatory changes of the right psoas and iliacus muscle seen in the imaging process, we started an antibiotic treatment with a third-generation cephalosporin and metronidazole due to the assumption of an underlying bacterial infection. Consultations in the department of urology and gynecology were without relevant findings. A bone scintigraphy was performed to exclude any ongoing inflammatory process of the spine. In order to eliminate an intestinal dignity, a colonoscopy as well as a MR Sellink was performed. Both investigations did not show any evidence for the above-described changes or a link to the inflammatory process.

The inflammatory parameters regressed under the antibiotic therapy; however, the subjective clinical signs persisted. Due to the unsatisfactory situation, a second MRI of the abdomen and pelvis was performed 14 days after antibiotic treatment and showed no relevant new findings. Since no focus could be identified, an open biopsy via a retroperitoneal approach was indicated. As no tumor was identified in the imaging procedures (CT and MRI), we decided to perform a small retroperitoneoscopy rather than a CT-guided probe.

Intraoperatively, we found a diffuse swelling of the muscle whereas the adjacent tissue was rather sclerotic/fibrotic. The histopathological assessment described an idiopathic retroperitoneal fibrosis, i.e. Ormond’s disease. There was no sign of a malignant process. The postoperative period was without any complications. The patient was discharged a few days later for an outpatient follow-up.

Discussion
Although idiopathic retroperitoneal fibrosis was first described in 1905 by Albarran and was identified by J.K. Ormond as its own disease entity four decades later, Ormond’s disease is considered a rare disease with an incidence rate of 1/200,000 [1, 2]. In 1948, Ormond first described two cases in which an inflammatory retroperitoneal mass was found in the presence of a ureter obstruction. In the following years, Ormond collected data of similar cases of unidentified ureter obstructions. He divided his collective data into two groups. The data of the first group described a symmetrical fibrosis whereas the second group described a localized process [3].

Retroperitoneal fibrosis is, together with the above-mentioned incidence, a rare disease entity of the retroperitoneal fat where etiology and manifestation are vast. Principally, one divides between primary, idiopathic (Ormond’s disease), and secondary retroperitoneal fibrosis.

The secondary type can be caused by radiation, infections, or pharmaceuticals (i.e. Methysegrid). The median age lies between the 5th and 7th decade with a male to female ratio of 3:1. Whereas in the past patients were diagnosed in an advanced state, with symptoms such as azotemia and symmetrical urinary retention, nowadays an early diagnosis can be made by modern imaging methods [4].

In up to 10% of the patients, retroperitoneal fibrosis is associated with an aortic aneurysm, and in 4–8% with a paraneoplastic syndrome. It is crucial to be aware of those coherences

Fig. 1. a, b. MRI of the abdomen. Fibrosis is marked with white arrows.
Differential diagnosis such as a neoplastic disease should be considered, although lymphomas do not usually present periurethrally. Amyloidosis should also be considered as a differential diagnosis. Imaging can be applied for evaluating differential diagnosis; however, differentiating between malignant and benign entities needs to be assessed by a pathologist. A percutaneous puncture can be an alternative to surgery. We refrained from this method as the surgical exploration benefits from additional information in regard to the macroscopic picture.

Although there are no current guidelines for treating idiopathic retroperitoneal fibrosis, we used an empirically tested pharmaceutical immunosuppression therapy. Corticosteroids and/or tamoxifen are the most commonly used agents, followed by methotrexate [12, 13]. Urological monitoring is vital in the follow-up as the fibrosis can be progressive, leading to required surgery with ureterolysis and intraperitonealization of both ureters. In this context, the above-mentioned association with malignant transformation as a paraneoplastic syndrome should be recognized. The finding of a retroperitoneal fibrosis should not exclude the possibility of an underlying malignant disease. A gastroscopy, colonoscopy, abdominal ultrasound, CT of the abdomen and pelvis, chest x-ray as well as a urological and gynecological consultation should be performed to complete a full investigation, as done in our case study.

**Conclusion**

On the whole, our case study shows that the surgical exploration and the subsequent histopathological assessment are crucial for diagnosing idiopathic retroperitoneal fibrosis, especially in cases in which non-invasive investigations do not show distinct findings. Nowadays, surgical exploration can be performed via minimally invasive approaches, thereby reducing the surgical trauma to a minimum. The importance of diagnosis and knowledge of the disease, especially its association with malignancy, is crucial in order to offer the right treatment option.

This case study demonstrates that the surgical exploration, the intraoperative macroscopic picture, and the histopathological assessment were the key for diagnosing idiopathic retroperitoneal fibrosis. This led to the successful treatment with immunosuppressive agents, resulting in an arrest of disease progression by 80–90% [12, 13].
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