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Abstract
Molecular defects occurring in the endothelin receptor type-B (EDNRB) gene are known to be associated with pigmentary anomalies and intestinal aganglionosis in humans, rodents and horses. We carried out a cytogenetic investigation in 2 ewes heterozygous for the deletion of the EDNRB gene and in 2 more females as control. The RBA-banding showed that all 4 ewes were karyologically normal. EDNRB gene-specific probes were produced by PCR and cloning. The application of the R-banding and propidium iodide-staining fluorescent in situ hybridization allowed mapping the gene to OAR 10q22 and confirmed the heterozygous status of the ewes investigated for the EDNRB gene deletion. For the fine estimation of the gene length in sheep and for the correct sizing of the chromosomal gap, a dual-color FISH was applied to high-resolution DNA fibers in combination with digital imaging microscopy. The comparison of the DNA fiber barcodes indicated a chromosomal deletion larger than the EDNRB gene itself. The length of the gene, not known for sheep until now, was estimated to be ~21 kb, whereas the microchromosomal deletion was ~100 kb. EDNRB is located in a chromosomal region previously shown to be a fragile site. The applied method allowed locating the potential breakpoints, thus permitting further interesting prospective investigations also in the field of the fragile sites in sheep.
newborn foals born to parents of the overo lineage [Trommershausen-Smith, 1977; Schneider and Leipold, 1978]. The foals are totally or almost totally white and are affected by intestinal aganglionosis [Hultgren, 1982; Vonderfecht et al., 1983; McCabe et al., 1990].

The molecular event responsible for the LWFS in American [Metallinos et al., 1998; Santschi et al., 1998] and Australian [Yang et al., 1998] Paint horses is a dinucleotide exchange in the endothelin type-B receptor gene (EDNRB).

The EDNRB gene is known to be involved in the developmental regulation of neural crest cells that become enteric ganglia and melanocytes. It was mapped to chromosome 13q22 in human [Arai et al., 1993], chromosome12q22 in cattle [Schläpfer et al., 1997] and to chromosome 10q22 in sheep [Iannuzzi et al., 2001].

In mice, mutations in the Ednrb gene are responsible for disorders associated with the white coat spotting and intestinal aganglionosis [Hosoda et al., 1994; Ceccherini et al., 1995].

A hypopigmented phenotype and signs of intestinal obstruction similar to aganglionosis reminiscent of the LWFS occurred also in sheep. The deletion of the entire EDNRB gene in sheep was shown to be the cause of the observed phenotype [Lühken et al., 2012; Pauciullo et al., 2012a]. However, in sheep the lack of a complete DNA sequence allowed the authors only to estimate the gap as comparison to the homologous bovine sequence. Hence, further investigation is necessary to clarify the length of the deletion.

High-resolution fiber FISH is a method which allows the direct visualization of DNA sequences along the chromatin fibers released from interphase nuclei [Fidlerova et al., 1994], and it is often used for resolving size-gapping problems [Florijn et al., 1995]. Recently, this technique was also used to visualize allele-specific gene copy number variation and orientation by using specific probes [Perry et al., 2007].

The aim of this study was to provide further cytogenetic information on the ovine EDNRB gene by using FISH with a set of specific probes in order to determine the size of the chromosomal deletion and to estimate the length of the gene in the sheep.

Material and Methods

Animals

Five completely white-coated lambs with blue eyes were born in a small group of Cameroon sheep, where only one ram was used for several consecutive years and mated to his relatives. All white-coated lambs died shortly after birth. Cytogenetic investigations were carried out on 2 ewes, both previously found to be heterozygous carriers of a gene deletion (EDNRB+/−) [Lühken et al., 2012]. Two normal ewes (EDNRB+++) belonging to the same flock were used as controls.

Karyotyping of the Samples

Peripheral blood cell cultures from the investigated animals were treated for conventional and late-incorporation of BrdU (15 μg/ml) to obtain R-banding preparations. Hoechst 33258 (30 μg/ml) was simultaneously added to BrdU 6 h before harvesting to enhance the R-banding patterns. The sheep were karyotyped according to standard methods [Iannuzzi and Di Berardino, 2008] for RBA-banding techniques. Chromosome identification followed the R-banded standard ideogram according to the latest international nomenclature for domestic bovids chromosomes [ISCNDB, 2000]. The conventional and R-banding preparations were further used for FISH analysis.

Chromatin Fiber Preparation

Chromatin fibers (fig. 1a) were prepared according to the method described by Fidlerova et al. [1994] with minor modifications. In brief, lymphocyte cells spread on the slides were quickly transferred to a coplin jar containing 1× PBS for 70 s and gently stretched 3× with the edge of a coverslip by using 100 μl of 0.1 N NaOH:100% ethanol (3:2). After sodium hydroxide treatment, 2 drops of the classical methanol-acetic acid (3:1) fixative were applied to the slides to improve the reproducibility of the release technique. Slides were dried at room temperature and dehydrated sequentially at room temperature for 2 min each with 70 and 95% ethanol.

Probes Preparation

The EDNRB gene probes were prepared by PCR amplification of 3 DNA fragments spread over the gene itself and one DNA fragment directly upstream the EDNRB gene (primers are provided in table 1). The PCR reaction mix (50 μl) comprised: 100 ng of genomic DNA, 1× GeneAmp PCR Buffer II (Applied Biosystems, Germany), 2 mM MgCl2, 2 pmol of each primer, dNTPs each at 200 μM, 2.5 U of AmpliTaq® DNA Polymerase (Applied Biosystems). PCR was performed under the following conditions: 95°C for 4 min, 35 cycles at 95°C for 1 min, 60°C for 45 s, 72°C for 2 min, with the final extension at 72°C for 10 min. All the amplified fragments were analyzed by electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gels in 0.5x TBE buffer and stained with ethidium bromide (fig. 1b).

PCR products were purified by Invisorb® Fragment Cleanup kit (Invitek, Germany) and cloned using the pGEM®- T Easy Vector System (Promega, Madison, Wisc., USA). White recombinant clones were randomly chosen and screened by PCR using standard vector primers M13. Recombinant clones underwent plasmid purification by PureYield™ Plasmid Midiprep System (Promega) and then sequencing reaction using the BigDye® Terminator Sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems). Sequencing was accomplished using an ABI 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Approximately 1.5 μg of each purified plasmid was labeled with biotin-16-dUTP by standard nick translation (Roche, Germany) and then used for FISH analysis.

NCBI clone finder resource (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clone/) was used to choose a BAC clone. The ovine genomic EDNRB sequence available via the sheep chromosome sequence v1.0 (http://www.livestockgenomics.csiro.au/sheep/oar1.0.php)
was not annotated and exhibited many gaps. The ambiguous localization of the available BACs in the sheep led us to prefer the bovine clone CH240-51D6, reported in NCBI website as tested and precisely mapped to chromosome 12q22 in cattle. The clone was purchased from the BAC/PAC collection belonging to Children’s Hospital Oakland Research Institute (CHORI, Oakland, Calif., USA).

BAC DNA isolation was carried out according to the alkaline lysis miniprep protocol suggested by CHORI. Before the labeling, the DNA was tested via PCR for the EDNRB locus using the following primers: 5′-GAAGATTATTCCTTGATGAGCATTT-3′ (forward) and 5′-CAGACTAAGAAAAAGGAATTATGCTCT-3′ (reverse) and the same chemical condition aforementioned. The amplified fragment (366 bp) spans over the exon 4 (data not shown).

Approximately 1.5 μg of BAC DNA was combined with 20 μl 2.5× random primer (BioPrime aCGH Labeling Module, Invitrogen, Germany) in a total volume of 39 μl. Samples were incubated at 95°C for 5 min and were then placed on ice for 5 min. Next, 5 μl 10× dUTP, 1 μl Exo-Klenow Fragment (BioPrime Module) and 5 μl (0.6 mM) DIG-11-dUTP (Roche) were added. Samples were incubated at 37°C for 5 h and then used for in situ hybridization.

**FISH**

R-banding by late BrdU-incorporation and propidium iodide-staining FISH (RPBI-FISH) was performed according to Iannuzzi and Di Berardino [2008], whereas fiber FISH and conventional FISH were performed according to Pauciullo et al. [2012b] with minor modifications. Briefly, for each experiment, 500 ng of each
labeled DNA probe (BAC probe plus 4 EDNRB probes) were mixed together and combined with 5 μg of salmon sperm DNA and 10 μg of calf thymus DNA. This mixture was precipitated in ethanol 100%, air-dried and then reconstituted in 7 μl hybridization solution (50% formamide in 2× SSC + 10% dextran sulfate), denatured at 75°C for 10 min, and incubated at 37°C for 60 min.

The slides were denatured for 3 min in a solution of 70% formamide in 2× SSC (pH 7.0) at 75°C.

The hybridization mixture was applied to the slides, covered with 24 × 24 mm coverslips and incubated in a moist chamber at 37°C overnight. After hybridization, the slides were washed in: 2× SSC at room temperature for 1 min, 0.4× SSC + 0.3% Nonidet P40 (Applichem, Germany) at 73°C for 2 min, 2× SSC at room temperature for 1 min. Slides were then incubated with 75 μl 1× hybridization blocking solution (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, Calif., USA) for 30 min at room temperature.

Detection steps were carried out with 1:400 fluorescein isothiocyanate-avidin (Vector Laboratories) and 1:200 anti-avidin antibody (Vector Laboratories) for the biotin-labeled probe (green signal), whereas the digoxigenin-labeled probe (red) was detected using 1:400 rhodamine fluorochrome conjugated to an anti-digoxigenin antibody from sheep (Roche). Three-step detection and signal amplification were used for RPBI and chromatin fiber slides. Each step was conducted for 45 min at room temperature followed by 3 washes in 1× PBT for 5 min each at room temperature by gently shaking.

R-banding slides were mounted with Antifade/Propidium Iodide (3 μg/ml), conventional slides were counterstained with DAPI (0.24 μg/ml) (Sigma, St. Louis, Mo., USA) in Antifade (Vector Laboratories), whereas chromatin fibers were mounted with Antifade only.

**Fluorescence Analysis and Scoring**

The slides were observed at 100× magnification with an AX70 Olympus (Olympus Deutschland GmbH, Germany) fluorescence microscope equipped with DAPI, fluorescein isothiocyanate and Texas Red-specific filters. Digital images were captured using the CellP software ver. 2.6.

A total of 30 randomly selected metaphase cells were examined per normal control to ensure the reliability of the probe signals by FISH. The hybridization efficiency was calculated as follows: FISH efficiency (%) is equal to the number of cells with hybridization signals present at the 10q22 region of both chromosomes 10 divided by the number of cells examined. Distances between the probe signals were computed and further analyzed in a spreadsheet program according to Florijn et al. [1995].

**Results**

The 4 investigated sheep were karyotyped. The analysis of the RBA-banding pattern showed karyologically normal animals. Sheep chromosome 10 is reported in detail in figure 2a.

**High-Resolution FISH**

Four PCR amplicons spanning the EDNRB gene and partially its promoter region were mixed together and used to set up a FISH method for the detection of the gene deletion. The specificity of the amplified probes was first verified by agarose gel electrophoresis (fig. 1b) and then by sequencing the corresponding recombinant clones for approximately 500 bp in each direction. The comparison with the homologous bovine sequence (EMBL acc. No. NC_007310) confirmed that the probes belonged to the EDNRB gene (table 1).

To ensure the reliability of the detection of the gene signals by FISH, the probes were preliminarily tested on
the 2 normal individuals (EDNRB+/+); then the probes were applied to the heterozygous EDNRB deleted samples. For all the analyzed samples, the FISH efficiency was 91% on average (range 83–95%).

DAPI counterstained FISH analysis on heterozygous gene-deleted animals showed 2 symmetrical spots on a single chromosome (fig. 2b), whereas the normal samples showed 4 distinct signals on the 2 homologous chromosomes. In the RBPI-FISH experiment, the EDNRB gene showed 2 symmetrical spots located on the chromosome 10q2.2 (fig. 2a), according to the standard ideogram. For the 2 investigated sheep (EDNRB+/–), the hybridization signal was visible only on one chromosome 10, whereas no signal was detected on the other homologous chromosome 10 (fig. 2c). In the normal sample (EDNRB+/+), however, 2 clearly visible spots were detected on both chromosomes 10 (fig. 2d). This finding confirmed that the deletion is located on chromosome 10q2.2 and that the 2 investigated ewes are heterozygous carriers of the EDNRB gene deletion.

**Fiber FISH**

In order to estimate the length of the gene, the size of the gap and its position, we set up a dual-color high-resolution fiber-FISH analysis. A bovine BAC probe, including the EDNRB gene, was used in a ZOO-FISH experiment to cover approximately 200 kb of OAR 10q2.2. The BAC was labeled in red, whereas a mixture of 4 specific sheep EDNRB gene probes was labeled in green.

According to the length of the chromatin fiber, 4 distinct green spots (on average) were visible for the EDNRB gene probes for the normal chromosome, whereas no signal was detected on the homologous EDNRB deleted chromosome (fig. 3a, b). Overlapping colors between BAC and EDNRB gene probes often resulted in yellow signals (fig. 3b).

The comparison of the fiber barcodes from the homologous chromosomes indicated the physical location of the breakpoints and a deletion even larger than the EDNRB gene itself. The analysis of the distance between the spots allowed us to estimate the length of the gene in...
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Discussion

The mating of genetically related animals is known to increase the homozygosity. Such condition can also increase the chances of offspring to be affected by recessive traits. In this study, we carried out a cytogenetic investigation on 2 ewes belonging to a small flock of Cameroon sheep, mated to their father, which produced hypopigmented lambs with clinical signs similar to the LWFS.

For the first time, we produced DNA clones specific for the sheep EDNRB gene and hybridized them to metaphase chromosomes of cultured lymphocytes in experiments of high-resolution FISH. The 2 ewes were heterozygous for the deletion of the entire EDNRB gene. The specific signals were located only on one of the homologous chromosomes demonstrating the presence of a single copy of the gene (fig. 2b). Since the DAPI banding does not allow chromosome identification, we set up a RPBI-FISH experiment to physically map the EDNRB gene. With this method, it is possible, in fact, to visualize simultaneous R-banding (by late BrdU-incorporation and propidium iodide staining) and fluorescein isothiocyanate signals (by the specific probes) providing immediate and clearer results. According to the standard ideogram, the position of the EDNRB gene was confirmed on chromosome 10q2.2 (fig. 2a), while the 2 investigated ewes were confirmed to be heterozygous carriers of the EDNRB gene deletion (fig. 2c), according to the molecular assessment reported by Lühken et al. [2012] and the preliminary cytogenetic analysis stated by Pauciullo et al. [2012a].

Table 2. Singles and average values of the chromosomal deletion and the EDNRB gene length

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Deletion kb</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>EDNRB gene kb</th>
<th>SE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>97,638</td>
<td>0.849</td>
<td>20,472</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>98,462</td>
<td>0.509</td>
<td>21,538</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>101,695</td>
<td></td>
<td>20,339</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>94,949</td>
<td></td>
<td>24,242</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>97,561</td>
<td></td>
<td>19,512</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>103,636</td>
<td></td>
<td>21,818</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>97,561</td>
<td></td>
<td>22,748</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>96,098</td>
<td></td>
<td>21,951</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>99,048</td>
<td></td>
<td>20,952</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>101,333</td>
<td></td>
<td>18,667</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>98,798</td>
<td></td>
<td>21,226</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SE = Standard error.

Estimated out of 10 fibers normalized for the degree of DNA condensation and computed according to Florijn et al. [1995].
The deletion of the *EDNRB* locus is a molecular event occurring also in other species. For instance in human, Lamont et al. [1989] reported 2 patients with multiple congenital anomalies/mental retardation syndromes whose findings included HD. The chromosomal aberration was interpreted as del(13)(q14.1q22.3), which includes the *EDNRB* locus. The same chromosome was found to be deleted in another clinical case of HD by Bottani et al. [1991]. Deletions of the distal long arm of human chromosome 13 were more recently investigated by high-resolution comparative genomic hybridization, and the Waardenburg-Shah syndrome (a disorder which combines the manifestation of Waardenburg syndrome and HD) has also been mapped to the *EDNRB* locus [Shanske et al., 2001].

The total whiteness and megacolon associated with a naturally occurring deletion of the complete *Ednrb* locus in homozygous piebald-lethal mice is quite similar to the phenotype caused by a targeted disruption of the gene in *Ednrb* knock-out mice [Hosoda et al., 1994]. A deletion of 301 bp, spanning from the distal half of the second exon to the proximal part of the adjacent intron of the *Ednrb* gene, results in the absence of a functional receptor protein in the spotted lethal rat [Gariepy et al., 1996].

Different is the case of LWFS in horse. In fact, this variant of HD is associated to missense mutations in the endothelin-B receptor gene [Yang et al., 1998; Metallinos et al., 1998], and no cases of chromosomal deletions were reported so far.

Since in all the aforementioned cases, no high-resolution investigation was performed, we decided to combine the use of *EDNRB*-specific probes with a bovine BAC probe in order to develop a dual-color high-resolution fiber-FISH method which would allow us to estimate the size of the chromosomal deletion in the 2 ewes heterozygous carriers and the length of the *EDNRB* gene in normal sheep.

This approach was chosen because chromatin fibers are much less condensed in the interphase nucleus; moreover, since fibers are stretched out linearly on a microscope slide, the order of the genes can be maintained [Heng and Tsui, 1998]. Furthermore, Florijn et al. [1995]
demonstrated that the availability of fiber-FISH dual-color barcodes allows the rapid visual identification of gene rearrangements such as deletions, translocations or duplications, due to the identification of the breakpoints in clinical DNA samples.

In the investigated sheep, the application of a dual-color fiber FISH and the comparison of the generated barcode allowed the identification of chromosomal breakpoints and the detection of an interstitial deletion of about 100 kb on chromosome 10, including the entire EDNRB gene (fig. 4). Although the degree of condensation of the hybridization tracks may vary by a factor of ~1.8, the normalized average fiber-FISH lengths were found to be fairly accurate with a standard error of 0.849 out of 10 measurements (table 2). Our estimation of the chromosomal deletion (~100 kb) is slightly lower (~10 kb) than the finding of Lühken et al. [2012]; however, the order of magnitude of the microchromosomal deletion is the same.

The average length of the EDNRB gene was estimated to be ~21 kb (table 2 and fig. 4). A confirmation of the assessed size was found also in the virtual sheep genome browser ver. 1.2.1 (http://www.livestockgenomics.csiro.au/perl/gbrowse.cgi/vsheep1.2/). This size is similar to the horse EDNRB gene (~21 kb, EMBL acc. No. NC_009160), but it seems to be shorter than the homologous gene in human (~80 kb, EMBL acc. No. NG_011630), mouse (~29 kb, EMBL acc. No. AC_000036) and bovine (~33 kb, EMBL acc. No. NC_007310). However, this result is not surprising. It is in fact known that the lengths of intergenic regions and genes can vary even among closely related species, as in the case of the ruminants. An example is given by casein genes, where the bovine closely related species, as in the case of the ruminants. An example is given by casein genes, where the bovine

EDNRB locus is bigger than the caprine counterpart as a consequence of retrotransposon insertions [Ramunno et al., 2004].

The orientation of the EDNRB gene along the fibers was not defined with certainty, but considering the structure of the gene and the physical location of the probes, it is more likely that a large part of the deletion belongs to the 3′-flanking region (~60 kb), whereas the 5′-flanking region of the gene is missing only by a length of ~20 kb (fig. 4).

These results agree with the molecular data reported by Lühken et al. [2012]. These authors used the bovine genomic sequence (EMBL acc. No. NC_007310.4) as reference to estimate the chromosomal deletion of the lambs affected by hypopigmentation. However, the comparison of sequences belonging to different species is theoretically not adequate for a precise evaluation of molecular differences. Due to the lack of a complete available sequence and the presence of many gaps also in the recent update of the sheep genome (http://www.livestockgenomics.csiro.au/sheep/oar3.1.php), the approach we used in the present study can be considered as definitely useful and precise.

To our knowledge, this is the first time that a deletion of the entire EDNRB locus has been described by fiber FISH. The high-resolution method developed in this study provides simple, rapid and precise detection of the chromosomal gap. Furthermore, the evaluation of the size of the break can be considered definite in sheep.

It is also interesting to note that the EDNRB gene is mapped in a chromosomal region considered as a fragile site, both in sheep [Ali et al., 2008] and in river buffalo [Nicodemo et al., 2008]. Although the location and the distribution of fragile sites are species-specific, their cytogenetic expression is a consequence of genome instability at specific loci, then involved in chromosome breakage and recombination events [Svetlova et al., 2001]. Chromosomal regions carrying evolutionary important genes should be less prone to break than others [Nicodemo et al., 2008]. However, it is not possible to exclude that in sheep the deletion including EDNRB gene might be generated by an abnormal recombination event or by a chromosomal breakage, thus, opening further interesting perspectives of investigation also in the field of the fragile sites in sheep.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Svatava Kubickova (Department of Genetics and Reproduction, Veterinary Research Institute, Czech Republic) for the precious information in the preparation of specific gene probes, and Giulia Pia Di Meo (ISPAAM, Laboratory of Animal Cytogenetics and Gene Mapping, National Research Council, Italy) for the suggestion in the preparation of chromatin fibers. We would also like to thank Pietro Parma (Department of Animal Science, University of Milan, Italy) for providing useful information on the choice of the BAC clone, and Donato Penninella, David Hinchliffe (Biotechnology Center, University of Giessen, Germany) and Daniela Ott (Institute for Animal Physiology, University of Giessen, Germany) for the excellent technical assistance in the use of the fluorescence microscope.
References


