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In a recent article in Nature Reviews Neuroscience, Kempermann [2012] proposed that adult neurogenesis in the dentate gyrus of mammals may function differently from the adult neurogenesis seen in the brains of nonmammals and, rather than representing a vestigial function compared to the widespread neurogenesis seen in nonmammals, is a co-opting of that process for a new purpose in mammals, namely the ability to adapt to a wide range of environments. He cites rats and humans as examples of species that possess that adaptability, species that are found in every ecological niche on earth. He correctly states that the dentate gyrus is not present in nonmammals in its mammalian form and hypothesizes that, with its appearance, a new ability to adapt developed. This ability varies among mammals, according to his hypothesis, with animals like bats and dolphins, who have limited or no neurogenesis in the dentate gyrus, showing less adaptability in their behavior than rats or humans.

This thesis is an interesting one, which will require much more data than are currently available to test, as Kempermann acknowledges. He does not discuss, however, data showing that adult neurogenesis in nonmammals is influenced by the same processes as dentate gyrus neurogenesis is in mammals, i.e. by learning and cognitive stimulation. Thus, his paper does not acknowledge the existence of evidence that neurogenesis in widespread areas of the nonmammalian brain is subject to the same processes as neurogenesis in the dentate gyrus of mammals. For example, enriched environments enhance adult neurogenesis in nonmammals, just as Kempermann and others have shown in extensive studies of mammals [e.g., Kempermann et al., 1997; Nilsson et al., 1999; van Praag et al., 1999]. Mountain chickadees that live in the wild, where the environment is presumably richer, show more neurogenesis in the hippocampus than those that live in a laboratory setting [LaDage et al., 2010], and mountain chickadees that live in the laboratory and are allowed to cache seeds show more adult neurogenesis than those prevented from caching seeds [LaDage et al., 2010]. Painted turtles exposed to an enriched environment show more adult neurogenesis in the hippocampus than those that live in a laboratory setting [LaDage et al., 2010], and mountain chickadees that live in the laboratory and are allowed to cache seeds show more adult neurogenesis than those prevented from caching seeds [LaDage et al., 2010]. Painted turtles exposed to an enriched environment show more adult neurogenesis in the telencephalon, including the homologue of the hippocampus, the medial cortex, compared to those housed individually in tanks with little opportunity for stimulation [Powers and Hanusch, 2012]. After exposure to an enriched environment, there was an increase in the number of new cells in the telencephalon of zebra fish [von Krogh et al., 2010], including the homologue of the hippocampus, the lateral pallium. In addition to effects on the hippocampal homologue in these nonmammals, neurogenesis typically increased in other areas of the telencephalon as well.

The role of adult neurogenesis in song learning in birds is well-known [Goldman and Nottebohm, 1983; for a review, see Barnea and Pravosudov, 2011], and although it does not occur in the hippocampus, it does suggest that avian adult neurogenesis is playing a role in plasticity, as it does in mammals.

Thus, even with the modest amount of data now available, the widespread neurogenesis in nonmammalian vertebrates appears to have some of the same functions as the narrowly restricted neurogenesis in mammals. Kempermann [2012] specifically states that the new form of plasticity based in the dentate gyrus ‘builds on ancient mechanisms’ (p. 730). Thus, he might agree that these functions exist in nonmammals and yet wish to argue that the function of the dentate gyrus in mammals is ‘a newly evolved form of plasticity’ (p. 730). If additional research confirms the findings in nonmammals, the parsimonious explanation would be that neurogene-
sis in nonmammals is serving the same purpose as it serves in mammals, in spite of its more widespread nature in nonmammals. Before adopting Kempermann’s thesis, it will be necessary to demonstrate that the dentate gyrus mediates behaviors that are not present in nonmammalian species. Beyond that, it will be necessary to demonstrate that neurogenesis in the dentate gyrus mediates these behaviors.

As provocative as Kempermann’s thesis is, the paper contains errors in his summary of neurogenesis in nonmammalian vertebrates. Kempermann provides a table summarizing the presence of adult neurogenesis in different animals (fig. 1). The table describes three categories for neurogenesis: no adult neurogenesis, few defined neurogenic regions, and widespread adult neurogenesis. In canaries, pigeons, snakes, and turtles, the table says that there are few defined neurogenic regions. In canaries and turtles, however, widespread neurogenesis occurs in the telencephalon, and this has been known for some time (canaries: Alvarez-Buylla et al., 1994; Boseret et al., 2007; turtles: Perez-Canellas et al., 1997; Font et al., 2001; Kesaraju and Milton, 2009). Studies on pigeons and snakes have been published recently, and these groups also show widespread neurogenesis [pi-}
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In another column in the table, three other categories are listed: no specific hippocampal neurogenesis, neurogenesis in hippocampal equivalent, or distinct neurogenesis in the dentate gyrus. Here the table states that canaries, zebra finches, pigeons, lizards, snakes, turtles, and frogs have no specific hippocampal neurogenesis, but all of these species do have adult neurogenesis in the medial wall of the telencephalon, in a region considered to be homologous to at least some part of the hippocampus of mammals [canaries: Alvarez-Buylla et al., 1994; Boseret et al., 2007; zebra finches: Lee et al., 2007; Pytte et al., 2007; pigeons: Melleu et al., 2013; lizards: Perez-Canellas and Garcia-Verdugo, 1996; Font et al., 2001; turtles: Perez-Canellas et al., 1997; frogs: Raucci et al., 2006 – see their fig. 8C]. It might be that the author would dispute the hippocampal equivalence of the medial wall of the telencephalon to the hippocampus of mammals, but most authors agree with this position [Atoji and Wild, 2004; Butler and Hodos, 2005]. Kempermann points out that the equivalent of the dentate gyrus itself in nonmammals is not known and that an exact homologue may not ex-


