Docosahexaenoic Acid Augments Hypothermic Neuroprotection in a Neonatal Rat Asphyxia Model
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Abstract

Background: In neonatal rats, early post-hypoxia-ischemia (HI) administration of the omega-3 fatty acid docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) improves sensorimotor function, but does not attenuate brain damage. Objective: To determine if DHA administration in addition to hypothermia, now standard care for neonatal asphyxial brain injury, attenuates post-HI damage and sensorimotor deficits. Methods: Seven-day-old (P7) rats underwent right carotid ligation followed by 90 min of 8% O2 exposure. Fifteen minutes later, pups received injections of DHA 2.5 mg/kg (complexed to 25% albumin) or equal volumes of albumin. After a 1-hour recovery, pups were cooled (3 h, 30 °C). Sensorimotor and pathology outcomes were initially evaluated on P14. In subsequent experiments, sensorimotor function was evaluated on P14, P21, and P28; histopathology was assessed on P28. Results: At P14, left forepaw function scores (normal: 20/20) were near normal in DHA + hypothermia-treated animals (mean ± SD 19.7 ± 0.7 DHA + hypothermia vs. 12.7 ± 3.5 albumin + hypothermia, p < 0.0001) and brain damage was reduced (mean ± SD right hemisphere damage 38 ± 17% with DHA + hypothermia vs. 56 ± 15% with albumin + hypothermia, p = 0.003). Substantial improvements on three sensorimotor function measures and reduced brain damage were evident up to P28. Conclusion: Unlike post-HI treatment with DHA alone, treatment with DHA + hypothermia produced both sustained functional improvement and reduced brain damage after neonatal HI.

Introduction

Docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) is a dietary long-chain omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid with neuroprotective properties [1-7]. DHA modulates neuroinflammation, oxidative stress, and apoptosis [7-9]. We previously reported that DHA pretreatment is neuroprotective in a neonatal rodent model of hypoxia-ischemia (HI) brain injury, elicited by right carotid artery ligation and timed exposure to 8% O2 in 7-day-old (P7) rats [4]; a single injection of DHA prior to lesioning improved sensorimotor function and reduced brain damage. Sub-
Subsequently, we found that a single DHA injection after the end of hypoxia exposure improved the same neurologic function, but did not reduce tissue injury [5]. Other investigators have shown that chronic maternal dietary supplementation with DHA or fish oil (enriched in DHA) in the prenatal and lactation period also confers neuroprotection and reduces brain damage in their offspring in this model [6, 7]. Since most perinatal asphyxia insults occur sporadically and unpredictably in term infants, we focused our preclinical investigations on optimization of the efficacy of DHA administered after acute HI injury.

Hypothermia decreases death or disability in neonates with HI brain injury [10]. Yet, 40–50% of infants treated with hypothermia have adverse outcomes and treatments to augment the neuroprotective efficacy of hypothermia are needed [11]. Potentially neuroprotective drugs, such as DHA, administered in conjunction with therapeutic hypothermia, could exert additive or synergistic neuroprotection or paradoxically counteract the benefits of cooling. To further evaluate the neuroprotective properties of DHA, it was thus essential to examine its efficacy in combination with hypothermia. We developed a protocol for delayed onset, relatively brief postinjury cooling to evaluate drug-hypothermia interactions, either beneficial or harmful, in the neonatal rodent HI brain injury model [12–14]. The stepwise evaluation begins with a comparison of drug versus vehicle and then comparison of adding hypothermia to drug- and vehicle-treated groups. Based on our prior report [5], in this study, we selected a post-HI DHA dose that resulted in better sensorimotor function than in vehicle-treated controls without any benefit on tissue damage. We examined the impact of combining this DHA dose with brief hypothermia on function and neuropathology outcomes. We found sustained benefits of combination treatment with DHA and brief hypothermia.

Methods

**DHA Treatment**

DHA (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Mo., USA) was complexed to human 25% albumin, as previously described (final concentration 0.5 mg/1 ml) [4]. The dose selected, 2.5 mg/kg, was more effective than either higher (5 mg/kg) or lower (1 mg/kg) doses in the post-HI treatment protocol reported previously [5].

Wistar rat pups were obtained in litters adjusted to equal sex distribution (Charles River Laboratories, Portage, Mich., USA) and were weaned on P21. Animals were treated in accordance with protocols approved by the University of Michigan Committee on the Use and Care of Animals.

Each of six independent experiments (n = 12 animals/experiment) included equal numbers of littermate DHA-treated and albumin-treated pups; animals of both genders were allocated equally between groups in each experiment.

Isoflurane-anesthetized pups underwent right carotid artery ligation, as previously described [4, 15]. Pups recovered (36.5°C, 90 min) and were then exposed to 8% O2 (90 min). After 15-min recovery periods (in 37°C incubators), they received equal-volume intraperitoneal injections (0.05 ml/10 g) of DHA or 25% albumin; they were then returned to incubators (45 min) until initiation of hypothermia. Pups were then placed in a circulating air incubator with cuffed portals (30°C, 3h) and were separated with partitions to prevent huddling [13, 14]. Pups were then returned to dams until P14 (3 experiments) or P28 (3 experiments). Seven sequential temperature measurements were obtained (YSI thermometer 43T with probe 554; YSI Inc., Yellow Springs, Ohio, USA) from baseline to the conclusion of hypothermia [14].

**Sensorimotor Testing**

In this HI model, a variety of sensorimotor tests provide useful outcome measures in neuroprotection studies [4, 5, 7, 12–14, 16, 17]. We incorporated three quantifiable, objective tests.

**Vibrissae-Stimulated Forepaw Place Testing.** On P14, or weekly on P14, P21, and P28, animals underwent lateral vibrissae-stimulated forepaw place testing [4, 5]. Vibrissae are stimulated unilaterally on a surface edge. As early as P14, the normal complete response is consistent immediate extension of the ipsilateral forepaw to contact the stimulus surface. Performance is impaired in the forepaw contralateral to an HI cerebral hemisphere lesion. The impaired forepaw either does not move or demonstrates a partial extension movement that is insufficient to reach the stimulus surface. Performance was videotaped and scored in 10 trials/forepaw by an observer unaware of treatment group (partial response score = 1, complete response score = 2) [4].

**Grip Traction Test.** On P21 and P28, forepaw grip strength (maximal force applied in grasping a pull bar) was measured using a Grip Strength Meter (3 measurements/forepaw; Columbus Instruments, Columbus, Ohio, USA). Normal animals have equal strength bilaterally, and grip strength increases between P21 and P28 [14]. Absolute values for grip strength and left/right forepaw grip strength ratios were calculated for each animal. After HI lesioning for 90 min on P7, animals typically have about 40–50% reduction in contralateral grip strength 2–4 weeks later [14].

**Vertical Cylinder Exploration.** On P28, forepaw preference was evaluated. Normal animals initiate exploratory movements equally with both forepaws; animals that underwent HI lesioning on P7 typically display decreased initiation of weight-bearing contacts with the forepaw contralateral to brain injury [16]. Animals were videotaped in a vertical clear plastic cylinder (20 × 30 cm, 2 min), and initial weight-bearing contacts of the right, left, or both forepaws with the cylinder wall were counted. Right forepaw preference scores were calculated with the formula: 100 × (right – left) / (right + left + both) (normal = 0) [18].

**Histopathology**

Animals were euthanized and brains removed and frozen on P14 or P28. Regularly spaced coronal 20-μm sections, from the anterior genu to the posterior genu of the corpus callosum, were cresyl violet stained. Using Image software (US National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md., USA; http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/),
bilateral volumes were calculated from hemisphere and regional area measurements in at least 10 sections/brain; percent reductions in right hemisphere volumes, compared to left, were calculated with the formula: $100 \times \frac{\text{left} - \text{right}}{\text{left}}$ [14].

**Statistics**

Sample sizes (18/group) were selected based on power calculations with data from prior similar experiments. Differences in contralateral vibrissae-stimulated forepaw placing responses, forepaw grip strength, and cylinder right forepaw preference score were compared using repeated measures ANOVA. Post hoc comparisons of treatment group means were carried out using the Tukey-Kramer single-step multiple comparison procedure. A linear mixed models ANOVA was applied to evaluate differences in percent brain damage. We used litter as a random effect, with treatment, sex, and brain region as fixed effects. Differences in body temperatures and weights were assessed by repeated measures ANOVA, factoring treatment and sex.

**Results**

**Survival/Morbidity**

There were no differences in mortality, mean temperatures (table 1), or weights or weight changes (not shown).

**Initial (P14) Outcomes**

**Sensorimotor Function.** Right forepaw placing scores were normal in all DHA-treated and 16 of 17 albumin-treated animals (one scored 18/20). Contralateral (left) placing responses were near normal in the DHA + hypothermia group, but significantly impaired in the albumin + hypothermia group (total scores: 19.7/20 ± 3.5 vs. 12.7/20 ± 0.7, p < 0.0001, t test; complete responses: 9.7/10 ± 0.6 vs. 5.9/10 ± 1.8, p < 0.0001, t test; no gender effect; fig. 1).

**Histopathology.** In controls, there was 55 ± 15% right hemisphere volume loss; damage was modestly attenuated in the DHA + hypothermia group (38 ± 17%, p = 0.003, t test; fig. 2). Figure 3a, c illustrates a representative control lesion with right striatal and hippocampal atrophy and cortical infarction. Figure 3b, d illustrates representative pathology from the DHA + hypothermia group with greater tissue preservation. Table 2 presents regional volume data. On P14, tissue damage was reduced in the
Fig. 2. Treatment with DHA and hypothermia reduces P14 brain damage. Bilateral cerebral hemisphere volumes on P14, calculated from cross-sectional area measurements (see Methods), are compared in the DHA + hypothermia (DHA-HT, n = 18) and albumin + hypothermia (ALB-HT, n = 17) groups (box: median and interquartile range; whiskers: minimum and maximum). Right hemisphere damage is reduced in the DHA + hypothermia-treated group (* p < 0.05, t test). L = Left; R = right; HEM = hemisphere.

Fig. 3. Neuropathology. These cresyl violet-stained coronal sections at the level of the striatum (a, b) and hippocampus (c, d) illustrate representative histopathology in DHA + hypothermia- (b, d) and albumin + hypothermia- (a, c) treated animals on P14. After right carotid ligation + 90 min 8% O2 exposure, P7 pups received DHA, 2.5 mg/kg (b, d), or 25% albumin vehicle, followed 1 h later by hypothermia (3 h, 30°C). In the control (a, c), there is right cortical infarction (arrowheads), striatal atrophy and infarction (*), and hippocampal atrophy (arrow). In the DHA + hypothermia brain (b, d), there is less right hemisphere, striatal (*), and hippocampal (arrow) atrophy (scale bar = 1 mm).

Table 2. Effect of DHA and therapeutic hypothermia (HT) on regional brain damage severity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Cortex left</th>
<th>Cortex right</th>
<th>% diff.</th>
<th>Striatum left</th>
<th>Striatum right</th>
<th>% diff.</th>
<th>Hippocampus left</th>
<th>Hippocampus right</th>
<th>% diff.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>left</td>
<td>right</td>
<td></td>
<td>left</td>
<td>right</td>
<td></td>
<td>left</td>
<td>right</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P14 outcomes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25% albumin + HT</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>69±16</td>
<td>25±15</td>
<td>67±11</td>
<td>23±4</td>
<td>13±4</td>
<td>46±3</td>
<td>6±3</td>
<td>2±1</td>
<td>64±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA 2.5 mg/kg + HT</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>62±16</td>
<td>42±19*</td>
<td>40±13*</td>
<td>23±4</td>
<td>16±5*</td>
<td>32±3*</td>
<td>6±3</td>
<td>2±1</td>
<td>61±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P28 outcomes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25% albumin + HT</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>98±7</td>
<td>29±13</td>
<td>70±13</td>
<td>34±4</td>
<td>13±3</td>
<td>62±10</td>
<td>13±3</td>
<td>2±2</td>
<td>83±13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA 2.5 mg/kg + HT</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>98±8</td>
<td>41±18*</td>
<td>59±17*</td>
<td>34±3</td>
<td>17±5*</td>
<td>50±13*</td>
<td>13±3</td>
<td>4±3*</td>
<td>68±18*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All animals were lesioned on P7 and tissue damage was evaluated on P14 or P28 (see Methods). Left and right values represent regional volumes in each cerebral hemisphere (mm³, mean ± SD). % difference values (mean ± SD) represent regional % damage, calculated from regional volumes with the formula: 100 × (left − right)/left. diff. = Difference. * p < 0.05 compared to albumin + HT (ANOVA with Tukey-Kramer post hoc test).
DHA + hypothermia group (ANOVA, p < 0.001) with region-specific treatment effects in the cortex (p = 0.0004) and striatum (p = 0.0014), and no gender effects.

Outcomes from P14 to P28

Sensorimotor Function. All right forepaw placing scores were normal. Left forepaw scores remained near normal in the DHA + hypothermia group, and deficits persisted in the albumin + hypothermia group (p < 0.0001 for treatment, p < 0.01 for time, with no treatment-time interaction, repeated-measures ANOVA; fig. 4a).

In the DHA + hypothermia group, left forepaw grip strength increased from P21 to P28 (p < 0.0001, repeated-measures ANOVA). In the DHA-HT group, there was no right-left difference at P21 or P28 (c); in ALB-HT controls, left forepaw grip strength was reduced at both ages compared to right forepaw grip strength (mean ± SD L/R ratio) (c) and compared to left forepaw grip strength in the DHA + hypothermia group († p < 0.0001, ANOVA) (b, c), and remained at about 50% of right forepaw strength at both ages (L/R grip strength ratio, † p < 0.0001 for treatment, repeated-measures ANOVA) (c). In the vertical cylinder test, on P28, both groups had positive scores, indicating right forepaw preference (box: median and interquartile range; whiskers: minimum and maximum), but asymmetry was attenuated (i.e. lower score) in the DHA-HT group († p < 0.005, t test).

Fig. 4. Performance on three measures of sensorimotor function. Vibrissae-stimulated forepaw placing was evaluated on P14, P21, and P28 (a); forepaw grip strength on P21 and P28 (b, c) and vertical cylinder forepaw contact preference on P28 (d) in the DHA + hypothermia (DHA-HT) and control albumin + hypothermia (ALB-HT) groups. a Left forepaw vibrissae-stimulated placing scores (mean ± SEM) remained near normal in the DHA + HT group (n = 18), and impaired placing persisted in the ALB + HT controls (n = 17; * p < 0.0001 for treatment, p < 0.01 for time, with no treatment-time interaction, repeated-measures ANOVA). b Left forepaw grip strength (mean ± SD) increased from P21 to P28 (p < 0.0001, repeated-measures ANOVA). In the DHA-HT group, there was no right-left difference at P21 or P28 (c); in ALB-HT controls, left forepaw grip strength was reduced at both ages compared to right forepaw grip strength (mean ± SD L/R ratio) (c) and compared to left forepaw grip strength in the DHA + hypothermia group († p < 0.0001, ANOVA) (b, c), and remained at about 50% of right forepaw strength at both ages (L/R grip strength ratio, † p < 0.0001 for treatment, repeated-measures ANOVA) (c). d In the vertical cylinder test, on P28, both groups had positive scores, indicating right forepaw preference (box: median and interquartile range; whiskers: minimum and maximum), but asymmetry was attenuated (i.e. lower score) in the DHA-HT group († p < 0.005, t test).
for treatment, $p = NS$ for time, repeated-measures ANOVA; fig. 4c). In the cylinder test, at P28 there were asymmetries in both groups; asymmetric function was greater in the albumin + hypothermia than DHA + hypothermia groups ($p < 0.005$, t test; fig. 4d). There were no gender effects on any scores.

**Histopathology.** Results were congruent with P14 outcomes (fig. 5). In the DHA + hypothermia group, there were region-specific effects in the cortex, striatum, and hippocampus ($p < 0.05$ ANOVA; $p < 0.05$, Tukey-Kramer post hoc tests). There were no gender effects.

**Discussion**

Our results demonstrate that the combination of post-HI treatment with DHA and brief delayed hypothermia confers markedly improved sensorimotor function and modestly reduced tissue injury, compared to treatment with delayed hypothermia without DHA.

Brief post-HI hypothermia (started immediately after hypoxia) may delay the progression of brain injury without conferring sustained neuroprotection [19]. Therefore, we replicated the P14 outcome experiments and confirmed that the beneficial effects of DHA + hypothermia treatment were sustained. In addition, we incorporated three complementary sensorimotor tests to further characterize functional outcomes and to confirm the persistence of improvements. Each testing method included objective, quantifiable measures. All three measures demonstrated substantially better function in the DHA + hypothermia group; persistent asymmetries were most evident in the cylinder test. This task may be more sensitive to detection of subtle sensorimotor deficits.

Although this brief duration of hypothermia is not equivalent to the much longer duration of hypothermia that is currently applied clinically, our data provide important proof-of-principle evidence that the combination of DHA with hypothermia could represent a more effective postasphyxia treatment than hypothermia alone. In an adult rat focal cerebral ischemia with reperfusion model, postreperfusion treatment with a fatty acid mixture that included DHA aggravated injury, presumably by increasing the ischemia-induced oxidative burden [20]. Thus, in terms of translational potential for DHA in neonates, it is reassuring that we found no adverse interactions between DHA and hypothermia. The finding that DHA + hypothermia improved outcomes in a neonatal rodent model provides the impetus for more complex and costly experiments in larger neonatal animal models (e.g. piglets) in which prolonged hypothermia and physiologic monitoring are feasible. Future experiments could also clarify whether combination treatment conferred additive or synergistic neuroprotection.

The current study has several additional limitations. Although inclusion of a DHA-no hypothermia control group would have been ideal, we employed a pragmatic design that has been used to evaluate other novel therapeutic agents in combination with hypothermia [13, 14]. We prioritized comparisons with littermate controls and evaluation of gender effects. DHA was complexed to albumin; although higher albumin doses may have neuroprotective properties, we previously showed that the albumin doses and administration route used in these experiments had no effects on outcomes in comparison with saline-injected controls [4].

Hypothermia could, hypothetically, either attenuate or amplify DHA neuroprotection. Hypothermia could result in increased accumulation of DHA-derived toxic isoprostanes and/or impaired conversion of DHA to its neuroprotective metabolite [8, 9]; however, we found no detrimental interactions. We speculate that in the injured neonatal brain, hypothermia increased the neuroprotective efficacy of DHA by reducing nonenzymatic DHA peroxidation [21–23] and/or by preserving DHA for en-
zymatic conversion via 15-lipoxygenase to the reported neuroprotective metabolite 10,17S-docosatriene (neuroprotectin D1) [9]. In an adult rat acute hypoxia model, hypothermia reduced lipid peroxidation [21]. We did not explore the underlying cellular and molecular mechanisms whereby DHA and hypothermia act in concert to attenuate brain injury and preserve sensorimotor function; this is a complex and challenging goal, and beyond the scope of this proof-of-principle study.

One of the intriguing findings at P28 was the near-normal sensorimotor function in the DHA + hypothermia combination group, despite substantial forebrain tissue damage. This could suggest that DHA and hypothermia together promote plasticity mechanisms during recovery, e.g. increased production of neurotrophins and/or stimulation of neurogenesis. In adult brain after global cerebral ischemia, postresuscitation hypothermia augments brain-derived neurotrophic factor expression [24], and in normal adult rats a diet enriched in DHA increased brain-derived neurotrophic factor expression in brain (the time course for this response was not examined) [25]. Similarly, both interventions may also increase neurogenesis and could contribute to promotion of recovery, in part, through this mechanism [26, 27].

Several drugs, including DHA, are attractive candidates for post-HI therapy in combination with hypothermia [11]. It will be challenging to decide which agents should move forward to clinical trial assessment. DHA readily crosses the blood-brain barrier, is designated Generally Regarded as Safe (GRAS) by the United States Food and Drug Administration [28], and can be administered parenterally. An investigational omega-3-enriched parenteral lipid emulsion is currently under study in neonates [29], and additional safety data is likely to emerge. The dose of DHA tested, 2.5 mg/kg, is within the feasible range for clinical applications with this emulsion.

Conclusions

Our findings provide the impetus for future studies to determine if findings with DHA + hypothermia can be replicated in large animal preclinical models of neonatal brain injury. The optimal dose(s) and routes of administration of DHA for postischemic neuroprotection also remain to be determined. Confirmatory results from additional preclinical studies could provide a compelling rationale for initiation of randomized controlled trials to test the effectiveness of DHA in combination with therapeutic hypothermia in neonates with moderate-to-severe hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy.
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