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Abstract
For assessment of sensitive health behaviors (e.g., sexual behavior, violent behaviors, substance use), research is typically limited to an examination of self-reports of past behavior. Audio computer-assisted self-interviews (ACASI) may enhance the validity of self-report data in research and clinical settings by reducing measurement bias. This paper provides an introduction to ACASI for collection of self-reported health data. The potential benefits and cost-effectiveness of ACASI use in research and clinical settings are reviewed. We then review the theoretical underpinnings that may underlie differential reporting of health behaviors between assessment modalities. Next, we highlight studies that have investigated differences in self-reported health behaviors between assessment modalities. Lastly, we summarize potential applications of ACASI assessments within clinical settings.

Research that informs health behavior change interventions and health policy involves many challenges for investigators, including the challenge of accurately assessing the health practices of those who are at greatest risk for adverse health outcomes. For assessment of sensitive health behaviors (e.g., substance use), research is typically limited to an examination of self-reports of past behavior. Self-reports of sensitive health behaviors are sometimes influenced by motivational biases [Schroder et al., 2003]. As such, assessment approaches that enhance the validity of self-report data are essential in health research and clinical settings.

In recent years, audio computer-assisted self-interviews (ACASI) have grown in popularity as an alternative to paper and pencil self-administered questionnaires (SAQ) and interviewer-administered questionnaires (IAQ) for collecting self-report data in psychological and behavioral research. A desire to reduce bias in the measurement of behaviors associated with HIV transmission provided motivation for the development of ACASI technology in the 1990s [Harmon et al., 2009]. ACASI has been most widely adopted for studies or clinical settings involving assessment of sensitive behaviors or with stigmatized populations, including assessment of sexual risk behavior [Romer et al., 1997; Des Jarlais et al., 1999; Johnson et al., 2001; Kurth et al., 2004; Brown and Vanable, 2009], psychiatric symptoms [Epstein et al., 2001; Chinnman et al., 2004], and substance use [Islam et al., 2012]. In addition, ACASI has been used in experimental research to study cognitive functioning [Günther et al.,...
The efficacy of computer-based instruction [Cumming and Elkins, 1996], and to conduct neuropsychological assessments [Davidson et al., 1987; White et al., 2003]. Indeed, ACASI has great potential to assess a range of self-report domains and for a multitude of clinical settings and experimental designs. Dental self-report items have been validated for assessment of oral health and show good potential for the assessment of periodontal disease [Jamieson et al., 2004; Blicher et al., 2005]. Further, dental researchers and clinicians commonly collect self-reported behaviors, attitudes and experiences. However, we are aware of few dental research studies which have utilized this technology.

This paper provides an introduction to the use of ACASI for collection of self-reported health behavior data. In what follows, we first provide an overview of ACASI. Next, we describe the potential benefits and cost-effectiveness of ACASI in research and clinical settings. We then review the theoretical underpinnings that may underlie differential reporting of health behaviors between various assessment modalities. Next, we highlight studies that have investigated differences in self-reported sensitive health behaviors between assessment modalities. Lastly, we summarize potential applications of ACASI assessments within clinical settings.

**ACASI Overview**

ACASI presents individual questions visually to the participant on a computer screen. Through headphones, respondents listen to the questions as they are presented via digitally generated or recorded audio voice-overs. The respondent enters responses by using a touch screen, mouse or keyboard. ACASI assessments can also be administered using telephones with the telephone keypad serving as the input device. ACASI digitally records participants’ responses, and the data are easily exported to most statistical software packages. Implementation of ACASI requires either a desktop or laptop computer and the purchase of ACASI computer software. A number of ACASI software packages are currently available on the market (e.g., Questionnaire Design Studio, BLAISE, MediaLab). These software packages provide considerable flexibility in the design and presentation of questionnaires and each offers unique features. ACASI software programs often utilize user-friendly menus to program survey items, select question types, and add additional features.

**Benefits of ACASI**

In recent years, ACASI has received increased attention and use, as it affords a number of potential benefits for researchers, clinicians and respondents (table 1). For complex surveys, computerized assessment modes reduce the burden of survey completion through the use of automatic branching, range rules, and consistency checks [Erdman et al., 1985; Turner et al., 1998; Metzger et al., 2000; Schroder et al., 2003]. In low-literacy populations or for multilingual samples, ACASI ameliorates literacy concerns that may affect data quality in alternate assessment modes, such as SAQs [Turner et al., 1998; Schroder et al., 2003; Perlis et al., 2004]. Additionally, ACASI decreases the number of staff hours devoted to interviewing and data entry and verification [Jennings et al., 2002]. ACASI may also improve data accuracy by reducing data entry errors [Metzger et al., 2000].

ACASI may minimize missing data through automatic branching and the privacy it provides to respondents. It may also lessen nonresponse rates given that the technology allows for visual design of the survey and embedding of pictures, symbols and videos within the survey, which may help to keep the respondent engaged in survey completion. These visuals may also be used to educate respondents or visually supplement instructions or questions in a standard fashion.

The use of IAQ for collecting self-reported data on sensitive behaviors has been criticized because of concerns about participant self-presentation biases and interviewer biases [Turner et al., 1998]. In comparison with IAQs, ACASI removes possible interviewer bias that may affect responses [Metzger et al., 2000]. Additional interviewer characteristics, including speed by which ques-
tions are asked, body language, and personal characteristics such as race, gender, and age are eliminated through use of computer assessments [Bloom, 1998]. Furthermore, for large-scale projects, ACASI may reduce the error variance associated with using multiple interviewers [Bloom, 1998]. Thus, use of ACASI may lessen bias concerns often associated with the use of IAQ for collecting self-report data on sensitive sexual behaviors.

Relative to IAQ, SAQ provides increased privacy and may reduce motivational bias to report in a socially desirable fashion. ACASI may further enhance the perception that information remains confidential relative to SAQ because individual responses are not easily viewed by research or clinical staff. As a result, ACASI may reduce the amount of embarrassment or discomfort an individual experiences when disclosing sensitive information (e.g., sexual behavior, illicit drug use) and may result in reduced motivational bias to self-report in a socially desirable manner [Erdman et al., 1985]. This effect may be magnified by the perceived sensitivity of the behavior assessed.

Cost-Effectiveness of ACASI

While ACASI offers a number of potential benefits to researchers, clinicians, and respondents, the cost of the ACASI software and computer hardware needed may preclude its use in some research and clinical settings. Costs for the purchase of ACASI software range from several hundred dollars to as high as thousands of dollars for a single license (depending on software sophistication and licensing specifics) and computer hardware purchases add additional expense. On the other hand, ACASI-based data collection may yield considerable cost savings over time for some studies or settings because of increased efficiency, reduced survey duplication costs, and the elimination of staff time devoted to data entry and survey administration. Brown et al. [2008] conducted a comparative cost analysis to evaluate the difference in costs between ACASI and SAQ assessment types to determine the relative expenses for each approach with varying parameter considerations [Levin, 1983; Brown et al., 2008]. Such an analysis allows researchers and practitioners to compare the initial fixed costs and the variable administrative costs associated with a given assessment type.

To facilitate researchers’ and practitioners’ choice between ACASI and SAQ, this study provided theoretical cost models with specific parameters to compare the costs for each assessment type [Brown et al., 2008]. Utilizing these cost models, the study compared the cost-effectiveness in a health behavior study where both ACASI and SAQ questionnaires were administered. Given the high initial costs, ACASI was found to be less cost-effective than SAQ for a single study. However, the projected cost models that manipulated specific study parameters suggested that in a variety of other research or clinical settings, ACASI was a more economical choice. Data from these models indicated several conditions where ACASI was more cost-effective than SAQ. Indeed, ACASI is particularly cost-effective for studies or clinics assessing a large number of individuals, as staff costs for SAQ and IAQ administration and data entry are eliminated. In addition, when computer and ACASI software purchases are to be used for multiple studies or for long-term clinical usage, ACASI will be the most cost-effective assessment modality because the impact of the high initial fixed costs are distributed across multiple investigations or over time.

Differential Disclosure of Sensitive Health Behaviors by Assessment Mode: Theoretical Underpinnings

The accuracy of self-report data is influenced by a variety of factors, including the cognitive demands of the recall task and motivational biases [Catania et al., 1990; Turner et al., 1997; Schaeffer, 2000; Tourangeau, 2000; Schröder et al., 2003]. Motivational biases may lead individuals to distort their self-reports of past behavior to avoid shame or embarrassment, or to appear in a more favorable light [Catania et al., 1990; Swadi, 1990; Turner et al., 1997]. Motivational biases may be particularly pertinent to reporting of sensitive health behaviors, where it is commonly assumed that individuals underreport risk behaviors because of the sensitive, personal, and sometimes stigmatizing nature of such behaviors [Catania et al., 1990; Turner et al., 1997; Weinhardt et al., 1998]. The use of ACASI may provide reassurance that responses are truly private, as data entered via computer are not easy to review (e.g., by research or clinic staff) relative to written information provided through paper and pencil surveys. In turn, the perception that ACASI responses are more anonymous may reduce socially desirable responding, an effect that may be magnified when responding to socially sensitive questions.

It has been hypothesized that ACASI-based assessments reduce the motivational bias to self-report in a socially desirable fashion by providing a greater degree of privacy than other assessment modes such as IAQ and
Self-Reports of Sensitive Behaviors: ACASI versus SAQ

The vast majority of studies which have assessed differences in self-reports via ACASI relative to SAQ have assessed substance use and sexual behaviors. A number of reports indicate that ACASI yields higher reports of sensitive behaviors (i.e., illicit drug use and sexual risk behavior) relative to data derived from SAQ [Robinson and West, 1992; Turner et al., 1998]. However, findings have varied across study populations and behaviors assessed. When comparing self-reports of sensitive behaviors between ACASI and SAQ, two studies have found greater disclosure of sensitive behaviors with ACASI [Robinson and West, 1992; Turner et al., 1998]. However, three other studies have found inconsistent patterns of self-reports or nonsignificant differences between the two assessment types [Webb et al., 1999; Johnson et al., 2001; Brown and Vanable, 2009]. Conclusive evidence is lacking for the increased accuracy of self-reported HIV-risk behaviors and substance use when collected via ACASI when compared to SAQ. As these assessment types are both self-administered, the effects of privacy level and associated motivational biases for disclosure of sensitive information may be similar for ACASI and SAQ.

Potential Applications of ACASI to Clinical Settings

ACASI programs have been used for screening in clinical settings [Williams et al., 2004; Chisolm et al., 2009] and shown to improve care for a variety of diseases, including diabetes and asthma [Cherry et al., 2002; Guendelman et al., 2002]. ACASI has potential to broaden these applications, and several studies have assessed the feasibility of ACASI within clinical settings. For example, ACASI screening prior to scheduled HIV clinical care visits was found to be feasible and acceptable to both patients and health providers and useful for identifying patients with inadequate medication adherence and symptoms of depression [Schackman et al., 2009]. Further, ACASI surveys on symptoms, drug use, medication adherence and
sid effects completed by patients in mental health clinics awaiting their appointments was found to be feasible and enjoyable to patients. While health care providers reported the information gained resulted in little impact on their care, specific suggestions for improvement were obtained [Chinman et al., 2007].

Conclusions

ACASI has several advantages over IAQ and SAQ in terms of ease of data collection. ACASI may also be more cost-effective and yield more accurate data and lower nonresponse rates. Although ACASI has not commonly been used in dental research or practice, studies in other areas show that ACASI has useful applications in both research and clinical settings.
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