Genetic Admixture and Obesity: Recent Perspectives and Future Applications

José R. Fernández  Keith E. Pearson  Kenneth P. Kell  Michelle M. Bohan Brown

Department of Nutrition Sciences, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Ala., USA

Introduction

The genetic richness of individuals in the 21st century has emerged from millennia of influential genetic drifting, selection, and environmental pressures. The advantages that genes conferred to individuals for survival in diverse geographical regions have been recently challenged by the environmental changes that have occurred through advances in technology, agricultural and agrarian interchanges, accessibility to resources, and the continuous intermixing of individuals that has been recently influenced by intercontinental traveling. Initially, the colonization of the New World served as a natural outbred experiment where long-geographically-separated populations, each with autochthonous genetic makeup, intermated to produce individuals with unique combinations of genetic material. The degree to which the genome of a particular individual from an admixed population is composed of DNA segments descended from one particular parental population relative to others is referred to as genetic admixture.

Today, advances in molecular and population genetics have allowed the identification of ancestry informative markers (AIMs) or DNA variants of differential frequency among those long-geographically-separated populations, each with autochthonous genetic makeup, intermixed to produce individuals with unique combinations of genetic material. The degree to which the genome of a particular individual from an admixed population is composed of DNA segments descended from one particular parental population relative to others is referred to as genetic admixture. A challenge to confront at this time would be to further stratify and define environments in progressively more granular terms, including nutrients, muscle biology, stress responses at the cellular level, and the social and built environments.
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Admixture used for assessing population patterns such as sex-biased. Given that mtDNA is inherited only through the mother, it has been used for many applications, including the study of admixed populations. Admixture approaches have been used in research for various purposes, such as for surrogates of the biological component of race, elucidation of the relationship between ancestry and disease risk, controls for the statistical effects of population stratification in genetic association studies, and identification of genes contributing to phenotypic variation among certain populations. Associations between genetic admixture and disease-related outcomes have been reported in the literature for diseases such as cancer [1–3], cardiovascular disease [4–8], and type 2 diabetes [9–12]. These and other conditions are related to obesity, and given its increased prevalence among admixed populations, an interest has arisen in identifying how admixture may contribute to the etiology of obesity in the population. In this paper, we review the most relevant evidence supporting associations of genetic admixture with obesity, body composition parameters, and obesity-related comorbidities. Further, we provide a perspective of the present contributions of admixture toward the understanding of population differences in obesity and discuss some future implications of this approach.

**Ancestry Informative Markers and Genetic Admixture Estimation**

Initially, the identification of AIMs was based on the difference between the frequency of one allele in a given population and the frequency of the same allele in another population, i.e. delta (δ). AIMs are not limited to autosomal markers; ancestral markers have also been found in sex chromosomes and mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA). Given that mtDNA is inherited only through the maternal line and is not subject to recombination, it has been used for assessing population patterns such as sex-biased admixture [13]. Taking into consideration the historical context of an admixed population, AIMs are used to estimate ancestral proportions of each appropriate parental population (e.g. European, West African, and Amerindian) within individuals.

There is no general consensus about the number of AIMs required to precisely estimate genetic admixture. However, the amount of markers needed seems to be influenced by the type of analysis or overall purpose of the genetic admixture approach. For example, if the purpose is just to estimate parental proportions in individuals to account for the biodiversity of a sample, some investigators have suggested that 15 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) can suffice [14]. If the objective is to use admixture estimates to determine the association between a phenotype and admixture proportions or to control against confounding in genetic association studies with admixed samples, it has been suggested that 100 AIMs should be enough [15]. In these cases, the individuals’ estimates of genetic admixture are obtained primarily through the use of maximum likelihood [16] or Bayesian approaches [17]. With technological advances increasing the genotyping of SNPs, statistical techniques have been applied to create genetic clusters that capture human variation [18]. Consequently, in genome-wide association studies (GWAS) where there is already enough ancestral information in the available genetic data, principal component analyses become an alternative way to account for the confounding effect of ancestry [19]. A relevant use for the inclusion of admixture approaches in statistical analysis for gene mapping is the prevention of type I and type II errors. The work of Halder et al. [20] demonstrated that false-positive and false-negative associations between phenotypes and admixture proportions would be reduced with the use of large AIM panels.

**Genetic Admixture and Obesity-Related Traits**

Estimating genetic admixture has allowed researchers in the past two decades to identify the relationship between genetic ancestry and obesity-related traits, providing scientific evidence to long-lasting hypotheses of ancestral background influencing disease development in certain populations [21–23]. The most accessible parameter for the evaluation of admixture and obesity has been the body mass index (BMI), which has been associated with European, West African, and Amerindian admixture in various studies within diverse racial/ethnic groups. Table 1 demonstrates reported associations between BMI and European, West African, or Amerindian admixture in the past 10 years, along with the direction of the association and the types of parental populations considered for admixture estimation in each study. It is interesting that some studies found that admixture estimates from one parental population positively relate to BMI, while others reported a negative association. This level of discrepancy appears to be affected by the number of parental populations considered in the study, the ethnicity and gender of the study sample, or the stage of life span of participants in the sample.
Given that similar levels of BMI among individuals of the same racial/ethnic group do not translate to equivalent levels of body fat [24], researchers have explored the association of admixture estimates with other body composition parameters, particularly in measures related to bone, lean, and fat. Among African-Americans (AA) in the US, African admixture has been associated with fat mass, lean mass, and bone mineral density in premenopausal women [25], while the European admixture has been associated with bone mineral density in the femoral neck (women only), bone mineral density in the trabecular spine, appendicular and total lean mass, and total body fat [26]. In addition, proportions of European ancestry have been associated with subscapular skinfold thickness and waist circumference in a cohort of AA adults aged 45–64 years [27].

The role of genetic admixture in body composition has also been studied in other populations. In a multiethnic sample of children of African-, European-, and Hispanic-American descent, West African admixture was found to be negatively associated with fat mass, total abdominal tissue, intra-abdominal adipose tissue, and subcutaneous abdominal adipose tissue while positively associated with bone mineral content [28]. In a sample of postmenopausal Puerto Rican women, West African admixture was associated with bone mineral density and lower visceral adiposity [29, 30]. On the other hand, Amerindian admixture has been associated with higher levels of body fat in children [31], fat distribution in Hispanic-American women [32], and percent body fat in self-identified Native Americans [33].

Estimates of genetic admixture have also been used to evaluate the contributions or the mediation of ancestral background in physiological aspects known to mediate fat accumulation, including insulin sensitivity [10, 11, 34, 35], physical activity and fitness [31, 36], dietary factors [37], and IGF-1 [38]. While these studies provide insight into the possible applications of genetic admixture to the complex nature of fat accumulation, much work is still needed to identify the potential mechanistic role of ancestral genetics in obesity-related traits.

### Admixture Mapping

The process of admixture has served as a natural experiment that created differences in allele frequencies, which can provide variation for mapping of disease traits, as proposed elsewhere [39]. Admixture mapping has focused on the identification of A1Ms contributing to disease. Given that the frequencies of particular A1Ms differ across admixed populations, genome-wide admixture panels have been designed for AA [24, 27, 40, 41] and Hispanic/Latino populations [30, 42]. While groups like Asian-Americans have been less represented in admixture mapping efforts [43], researchers have provided maps for some admixed groups within Asia, such as the Uyghur ethnic group of east/central Asia [44]. Most of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Admixture associated with BMI</th>
<th>Study population</th>
<th>Direction of association with BMI</th>
<th>Ancestry measured</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>European admixture</td>
<td>Mexican-American men and women</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Native American men and women</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>African-American men and women</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women in Brazil over 60 years</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>African-American and Hispanic-American women</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African admixture</td>
<td>African-American women</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>African-American men and women</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women from the Dominican Republic</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>African-American and Hispanic-American women</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>African-American women</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amerindian admixture</td>
<td>Mexican-American men and women</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>African-American and Hispanic-American women</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eu = European; Af = African; Am = Amerindian.
reported results for admixture mapping have been in AA, likely pioneered by the associations between BMI and genes FY-Null (1q23.2), AT3 (1q25.1), DRD2TAQD (11q23.2), and GNB3 (12p13.31) [25]. This evidence was followed by the identification of an association between chromosome locations 3q29, 5q14 and 15q26 and BMI [45]. Most recently, two loci influencing BMI were identified on chromosome X (Xq25 and Xq13.1) and a third locus at 5q13.3 in a sample of 15,280 AA [46]. In 2010, an association was reported between a locus on 2p23.3 and BMI and weight, which appeared to decrease BMI by approximately 0.92 in AA [27]. In 2012, SNP rs631465 of the gene F2RL1 on chromosome 5 was reported to be associated with BMI, also in AA [47]. Admixture mapping in other populations is scarce; however, an association between BMI and the ABCA1/R230C variant in a Mexican population has been reported by Villarreal-Molina et al. [48].

**Genetic Admixture and Environmental Factors**

Applications of genetic admixture and admixture mapping, as well as heritability estimates for obesity ranging from 30 to 70% [36], clearly support a genetic component predisposing for BMI and body composition parameters. When taking into consideration that obesity prevalence has skyrocketed in recent decades with no evidence of a dramatic change in frequencies or mutations of the population’s gene pool, it seems inevitably necessary to contemplate the powerful influence of the environment. Today, it is generally believed that a large component of phenotypic variability in obesity traits responds not only to the individual contributions of predisposing genes and obesogenic environments but also to the extent to which such genes interact with the environment. These interactions are sometimes difficult to interpret due to the conflicting inferences from statistical methodologies that may or may not reflect a biological process, resulting in a mathematical artifact. Consequently, it is imperative that a biological framework is developed prior to statistical evaluation, taking into account that an interaction may have a different meaning according to the variables measured and the context of the experiment.

Although the influence of gene-environment interactions in obesity is poorly understood [25], it would be naive to think that environmental factors would not mediate ancestral-related genetic influences, whether identified through admixture mapping, genetic admixture associations, or a significant association found in a particular admixed group. Insight into the role of the environment in common genetic ancestry is best exemplified by the lower BMI of Pima Indians living in traditional environments in Mexico compared to those Pima Indians with a high obesity prevalence living in obesogenic environments in the US [49]. More recent research has included environmental parameters in studies evaluating the role of genetic admixture in obesity. For example, Klimentidis et al. [33] investigated the interaction among adiposity, genetic admixture, and environmental factors represented by family income, parental education, and activity level. Studying a sample of Native Americans and Hispanics in the US, it was found that, although family income and admixture were correlated, European admixture better explained the variation in adiposity than family income, parental education, or activity level. As we further stratified and define environments in progressively more granular terms, research has started to explore the interactions of nutrients with genetic admixture. For example, figure 1 demonstrates our preliminary data of a significant interaction between polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) intake and Amerindian admixture with BMI. As PUFA intake increases, BMI was simultaneously negatively associated with increasing levels of Amerindian admixture.

The understanding of how genetic admixture interacts with environmental factors to impact obesity-related traits is certainly an area of further exploration. However, investigating these interactions will require (a) a priori identification of which environmental parameters and gene(s) will be considered for the analyses, (b) methodologies to allow the simultaneous consideration of multiple genes and perhaps multiple environmental variables, and/or (c) an effort to overcome the prevalent assumption of genetic and environmental homogeneity mistakenly assumed in many studies evaluating admixed populations.

**Genetic Admixture and Heritability**

Although obesity is a heritable trait, results from GWAS have only explained a small portion of the phenotypic variance of BMI given the small effects of identified loci [50]. Research has demonstrated that genetic admixture explains a portion of the heritability of obesity traits [27, 33, 51]; however, there has not been an approach that estimates heritability by integrating the proportion explained by admixture with that explained by GWAS. Obesity-related traits are complex, and given their polygenic nature it is probable that a greater proportion of the
missing heritability could be explained by approaches that integrate information from multiple SNPs rather than approaches evaluating the contributions of individual SNPs. This principle has been adapted by the implementation of whole-genome marker-enabled prediction approaches where GWAS SNP matrices are used to predict the genetic contribution to a trait [52–54]. This approach has been applied to explain 40% of the variance of height compared to the 3% of the variance explained by GWAS alone [55, 56], and has been employed to look at other complex traits such as BMI [57] and blood lipids [58]. However, inferences from the prediction of this approach are limited by the need to have a sample that is proportionate to or greater than the number of SNPs utilized for the prediction [59].

**Genetic Admixture and Race/Ethnic Classification**

Throughout human history, individuals have been categorized according to social structures, biological traits, and/or levels of empowerment, mostly by a concept known as race/ethnicity. Race/ethnicity has proven to be a changing concept that has taken numerous permutations, integrating biological and nonbiological factors that have traditionally divided individuals into distinct groups [60]. Today, in most epidemiological studies, individuals’ classifications into a particular group rely upon their own self-identification, encompassing a diverse conglomerate of socioeconomic status, cultural practices, behavioral preferences, and ancestral backgrounds.

Given the observed differences in obesity prevalence and body composition parameters among racial/ethnic groups, there has been a genuine interest to understand the role of genetic and environmental contributors to racial/ethnic classification. The attempts to ‘decompose’ race/ethnicity into genetic and nongenetic factors promises that, by understanding the basis of group differences, preventive strategies could be designed to overcome disparities in obesity and its related comorbidities. Several studies have suggested that a synergistic relationship between genetic admixture and the environment may explain the observed disparities in disease rates among racial/ethnic groups [61, 62]. Although genetic admixture estimates have been used to account for the biological aspects of race/ethnicity in obesity-related traits, it is challenging to disentangle the relationships between ancestral genetic background and the historical, cultural, behavioral, and social aspects embedded into the racial/ethnic classification. Thoughtful attention must be given toward experimental and statistical designs in order to disentangle the complex factors underlying race/ethnicity, as demonstrated by Casazza et al. [63] who, when exploring the etiology of differences in certain body composition parameters, showed that genetic admixture and not race/ethnic classification arose as a significant predictor.

It is evident that the genetic admixture approach could more accurately explain some of the biological differences in obesity-related traits observed between and among racial/ethnic groups. However, genetic admixture must be used in conjunction with measures of environmental influences and even in conjunction with self-identified
race/ethnicity to capture a more holistic view of the biological and nonbiological relationships underlying health disparities among populations [38].

Future Implications and Conclusions

The utilization of the genetic admixture approach has provided insights into the understanding of the etiology of obesity-related traits, particularly among different populations. There are, however, some aspects that deserve scientific reflection and consideration for future research initiatives involving the use of genetic admixture in the understanding of the etiology of obesity and body composition parameters:

(a) Given the limited success of admixture mapping in identifying common or rare variants explaining phenotypic variance in obesity-related traits, the investigation of gene-environment interactions becomes pivotal in the understanding of how ancestral genetic markers and/or environmental factors, conscientious consideration of which ancestral markers are responsible for the variation of the trait, limiting its applicability to admixture mapping and potentially reducing the discovery of genetic mechanisms for prevention. As we move forward into the next generation of genotypic and genomic analyses, it is evident that the genetic admixture approach may be a significant tool in elucidating the obscurities in the complex relationship between genes and environments.

(b) When studying health-related traits, the biodiversity and variability accounted for by the use of admixture estimates should not simply be replaced by the mere classification of race/ethnicity. Although self-identified race/ethnicity could act as a surrogate to provide information about the cultural and environmental factors impacting the phenotypic traits of an admixed population, its sole use negates the historical ancestry of individuals and will confound the understanding of the biological factors underlying obesity, its related traits, and its variation within and among populations.

(c) As the intermating of admixed populations continues to occur, mapping of AIMs influencing obesity may not rely on currently used racial/ethnic classifications because self-identification will become less reflective of the true ancestral background. This trend has already been described in a study where, among Hispanic-Americans from the Multi-Ethnic Study for Atherosclerosis (MESA), ancestral genetic clusters representing race/ethnicity did not correspond with the Hispanic classification in 30% of their Hispanic participants [65].

(d) In order to explore the interaction of genetic ancestry and environmental factors, conscientious consideration will be needed to identify environmental variables that explain the etiology of obesity and its related traits. Admixed individuals in the 21st century have been placed in an environment unfamiliar to their parental ancestors, providing a unique opportunity to understand how current obesogenic environments impact genetic ancestral makeup in phenotypic variability. The focus of environmental evaluation should expand from dietary intake and physical activity to aspects related to the social and built environment as well as physiological factors that reflect variability in response, such as micronutrients, muscle biology, and stress responses at the cellular level, among others.

The utilization of genetic admixture has been limited by its clinical applicability. The future of genetic admixture as a clinical tool may rely on transferring statistical techniques and methods recently developed for gene discovery and gene prediction to genetic panels capturing ancestral background. For example, applying whole-genome prediction approaches to genome-wide admixture panels may provide useful information regarding the extent to which genetic ancestry may influence obesity risk. However, such an approach may provide an indicator of the contribution of AIMs to the overall phenotypic variance (increasing prediction) but may not give a clear indication of which ancestral markers are responsible for the variation of the trait, limiting its applicability to admixture mapping and potentially reducing the discovery of genetic mechanisms for prevention. As we move forward into the next generation of genotypic and genomic analyses, it is evident that the genetic admixture approach may be a significant tool in elucidating the obscurities in the complex relationship between genes and environments.
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