Whole Brain Radiation-Induced Vascular Cognitive Impairment: Mechanisms and Implications
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\textbf{Abstract}
Mild cognitive impairment is a well-documented consequence of whole brain radiation therapy (WBRT) that affects 40–50\% of long-term brain tumor survivors. The exact mechanisms for the decline in cognitive function after WBRT remain elusive and no treatment or preventative measures are available for use in the clinic. Here, we review recent findings indicating how changes in the neurovascular unit may contribute to the impairments in learning and memory. In addition to affecting neuronal development, WBRT induces profound capillary rarefaction within the hippocampus – a region of the brain important for learning and memory. Therapeutic strategies such as hypoxia, which restore the capillary density, result in the rescue of cognitive function. In addition to decreasing vascular density, WBRT impairs vasculogenesis and/or angiogenesis, which may also contribute to radiation-induced cognitive decline. Further studies aimed at uncovering the specific mechanisms underlying these WBRT-induced changes in the cerebrovasculature are essential for developing therapies to mitigate the deleterious effects of WBRT on cognitive function.

\textbf{Clinical Importance of Whole Brain Radiation Therapy}

Close to 1.7 million new cases of cancer \cite{1} and 69,720 primary brain tumors \cite{2} are expected to be diagnosed in 2013 in the United States, and between 20 and 50\% of patients with systemic cancer develop brain metastases \cite{3}. Whole brain radiation therapy (WBRT) continues to be one of the most common forms of treatment for primary and metastatic brain tumors located in brain regions that are difficult to remove surgically, as well as for treatment of primary brain tumors following surgical intervention \cite{4}. With progressive improvements in treatment regimens, the population of long-term cancer survivors continue to grow with 62\% of adult brain cancer patients surviving beyond 5 years \cite{5}. Although WBRT has proven to be effective in eliminat-
Radiation-induced brain injury can be categorized as: acute, early delayed or late delayed reactions [6]. Acute injury is very rare and occurs hours to weeks after radiation therapy while early delayed injury occurs from 1 to 6 months after irradiation and can involve transient demyelination and somnolence (drowsiness). Both acute and early delayed reactions are normally reversible and resolve spontaneously. However, late delayed effects, characterized by demyelination, vascular abnormalities and ultimately white matter necrosis [7], are observed more than 6 months after irradiation and are considered to be irreversible and progressive. The complications of WBRT greatly affect the quality of life of cancer survivors after treatment, and there is currently no accepted treatment to prevent or reverse these effects.

The consequences of radiation-induced injury can be highly variable and these differences are compounded by differences in treatment regimens (e.g. single vs. fractionated dosing; table 1). Current treatments range from single, high doses to lower cumulative fractionated doses administered to the entire body or focused on specific organs. These treatment regimens highlight the importance of selecting relevant experimental designs for studies aimed at understanding WBRT-induced tissue damage [4]. Generally, higher doses of radiation are used for targeted therapy and may result in focal damage limited to the radiated area and the surrounding tissues. However, whole body radiation is generally done in smaller doses since doses of 10 Gy or higher can be lethal if bone marrow cells are not replenished. Therefore, it is unlikely that a single dose of 10 Gy radiation results in the identical biological response as the same cumulative dose of radiation delivered as 2 Gy fractions over several weeks. It is imperative that experimental models appropriately mimic accepted treatment regimens in order to prevent erroneous conclusions regarding the actions of and treatment for radiation-induced damage.

### Table 1. Summary of studies assessing radiation-induced brain injury

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Dose, Gy</th>
<th>Effects/injury</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Increased blood-brain barrier permeability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Transient increase in microglial proliferation, increased activated microglia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Increased hypertrophy of astrocytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>174</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Increased histopathology in tissues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>2×0.75</td>
<td>Increased organelle damage (cytoplasmic vacuolation, dilation of endoplasmic reticulum, destruction of mitochondria and damage to plasma membrane)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>2×10, 3×10, 4×10</td>
<td>Time- and dose-dependent increase in hippocampal necrosis; Increase in pial microvessel permeability (high at 40 Gy, modest at 30 Gy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>177, 178</td>
<td>3 (whole body)</td>
<td>Acute decline in proliferation followed by increased proliferation in rostral migratory stream</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179</td>
<td>2.5 (whole body)</td>
<td>Decreased proliferation and neuron maturation in the dentate gyrus of hippocampus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33, 35</td>
<td>0–50 (spinal cord)</td>
<td>Decreased endothelial cell density (apoptosis) at 24 h with 50 Gy; transient blood-brain barrier breakdown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>50, 75, 120 (parietal cortex)</td>
<td>Time- and dose-dependent gliosis, blood-brain barrier breakdown and necrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181</td>
<td>4×5 or 8×5</td>
<td>Transient cognitive impairments after 20 Gy and more severe cognitive impairments after 40 Gy; blood-brain barrier disruption and astrogliosis after 40 Gy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>20×2</td>
<td>Increased astrocyte numbers in the cortex and increased blood-brain barrier permeability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WBRT Induces Cognitive Impairment

One of the most prevalent consequences of WBRT is the onset of cognitive decline, which occurs in 40–50% of long-term brain tumor survivors (>1 year after irradiation) [8–10]. These patients exhibit significant impairments in tests of working memory [11], verbal memory [12] and general IQ [13]. Deterioration in cognitive function after WBRT generally precedes the decline in quality of life, as measured by activities of daily living [14]. The clinical findings of WBRT-induced impairments in learning and memory have also been confirmed in animal models. Dose- and time-dependent deficits in cognitive function have been reported in rats [15] in response to single [16] and fractionated [17, 18] WBRT. Additionally, deficits in spatial learning have been reported in mouse models [19, 20]. Our laboratory recently demonstrated that fractionated WBRT induces time-dependent learning and memory deficits in both the Barnes maze and active avoidance tasks [21]. Importantly, spatial learning was progressively impaired after WBRT as mice exhibited increased latency to escape the box and made more errors in the Barnes maze in the months following treatment (fig. 1). Despite extensive studies demonstrating the effects of WBRT on cognition in multiple species, the etiology of WBRT-induced cognitive impairment remains poorly understood.

WBRT-Induced Inflammatory Responses

It is well established that radiation therapy induces chronic oxidative stress [5], neuroinflammation (e.g. activated microglia and infiltrating peripheral monocytes [7, 20, 22]) and acute increases in the expression of proinflammatory cytokines [23]. There is strong evidence that some proinflammatory cytokines (e.g. TNF-α) induce endothelial cell damage and death [24–26]. Brain radiation, administered as single high doses, induces transient increases in tissue gene expression of various cytokines [23, 27–29]. Additionally, at the protein level, whole body radiation induces dose- and time-dependent increases in the production of IL-12 and IL-18 [30]. Moreover, chronic tissue inflammation in the form of increased gene expression of cytokines, chemokines and chemokine receptors has been reported in the lung [31] and thorax [32] in response to single doses of radiation. Unfortunately, the precise relationship between cytokine expression and cognitive impairment remains unclear. Previous reports indicate that tissue inflammation increases angiogenesis and blood flow, but these responses are absent after WBRT. In addition, the time course for cytokine expression is more consistent with the early, transient disruption of some behavioral tests that occurs in the days or weeks immediately following radiation rather than the delayed, late effects of radiation that are the focus of this review.

Effects of WBRT on the Vasculature

Radiation has profound effects on the vasculature, specifically on endothelial cells. Radiation therapy induces dose-dependent endothelial apoptosis [33], suppression of endothelial cell proliferation [34], disruption of the blood-brain barrier [35], thickening and vacuolation of the vascular basement membrane [36], breakdown of the extracellular matrix [37] and microvascular rarefaction in rat brain as early as 10 weeks following fractionated WBRT [38]. Similarly, single doses of 5–20 Gy to the brain result in a 15% decrease in endothelial cell number within 1 day of irradiation that was maintained for at least 1 month [39]. Thus, both single, high doses of radiation as well as lower, cumulative fractionated doses have been shown to compromise the vasculature within the brain.

In order to relate vascular changes to specific cognitive deficits, it is critical to demonstrate that radiation modifies vascular structure and/or function in brain regions impor-
tant for learning and memory. Initial studies demonstrated that there is a rarefaction of vessels within the CA1 region of the hippocampus (an area important for spatial memory) 12 months after single doses as low as 0.5 and 2 Gy high linear energy transfer radiation [40]. More detailed analyses have shown that fractionated WBRT induces a significant capillary rarefaction in the CA1, CA3 and dentate gyrus of the hippocampus (approximately a 40% reduction), a reduction that is also associated with a significant loss of endothelial cells and pericytes and is closely associated with deficits in spatial learning and memory [41].

The density of capillaries within any tissue is correlated with regional blood flow [42, 43]. Because the brain is a highly metabolic organ, it requires a consistent and efficient supply of oxygen, nutrients and trophic factors to ensure normal function. This is accomplished through highly organized capillary networks that minimize the diffusion distance between the blood vessels and neurons/glia. Indeed, our laboratory demonstrated that capillary rarefaction within the hippocampus occurs alongside the appearance of learning deficits [21], illustrating the close association between capillary density and neuronal function. Based on these studies and the increased expression of hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1α and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGFR) that occur in response to WBRT, we conclude that capillary rarefaction not only results in loss of nutrient delivery to tissues but also in localized tissue hypoxia and a reduced ability to remove products of cellular metabolism (including CO₂) from brain tissues. Capillary rarefaction is therefore a key mechanism by which WBRT can induce neuronal dysfunction and, as a result, contribute to cognitive impairment.

Several studies have assessed the changes in blood flow and metabolism within tumors or normal tissue following radiation treatment. Acute increases in blood flow are observed in both gliomas and normal brain regions following single, high doses of WBRT (20 Gy) [44]. This increase appears to be an acute phenomenon, as more recent studies have shown dose-dependent decreases in cerebral blood volume in the months following fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy [45]. Decreased glucose metabolism in the radiation-injured or periradiation-injured brain tissue [46] and in brain regions receiving over 40 Gy radiation [47] has also been reported. Similar decreases in cerebral blood flow were observed in the targeted and surrounding healthy tissue weeks following stereotactic radiosurgery [48]. These studies illustrate that radiation therapy induces a long-term reduction in vascular density that is followed by decreased cerebral blood flow and metabolism.

The loss of blood vessels following WBRT should induce vessel repair mechanisms to minimize long-term damage to the tissue. The processes of angiogenesis and vasculogenesis are recognized as two primary mechanisms responsible for the development, maintenance and/or restoration of vascular integrity following disruption of vascular networks. Angiogenesis is a multistep process that results in the formation of new blood vessels from preexisting vascular networks [49]. Alternatively, vasculogenesis, a process of de novo vessel formation, involves the mobilization of populations of cells, generally referred to as endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs), from the bone marrow to sites of injury to stimulate repair [50–54]. Studies demonstrating that prevention of glioblastoma recurrence can only be accomplished when vasculogenesis, rather than angiogenesis, is inhibited provide evidence that these processes are activated by unique stimuli and utilize independent pathways [55].

Following vascular damage, EPCs, known to express surface markers such as VEGFR2 (KDR/Flk-1), CD34 and CD133, are mobilized from the bone marrow and circulate in the peripheral blood [56–58]. Several studies have reported changes in specific populations of EPCs after vascular trauma/injury (table 2). Circulating EPCs are elevated in patients with burns, coronary artery bypass grafting [59], congestive heart failure [60], musculoskeletal trauma [61], head and neck cancer [62], hind limb ischemia [63], radiation therapy [64], coronary angioplasty [65] or surgical injury (laparotomy) [66]. However, the surface markers used to identify EPCs in each study vary widely, emphasizing the controversy that exists in the field in terms of the characteristics of EPCs (table 2). CD34+ cells were the first population of cells described as putative EPCs based on their ability to stimulate angiogenesis in vivo [56]. More recently, bone marrow transplantation of VEGFR2+ (Flk-1+) cells was shown to stimulate both angiogenesis and neurogenesis in a model of cerebral ischemia [67]. Despite these differences in cellular markers, it is evident that EPC recruitment has an important role in some types of vessel repair.

In addition to EPCs, circulating mature endothelial cells have been described as promising indicators of endothelial cell injury since they are increased in the peripheral blood of patients with vascular disorders [68]. Normally, mature endothelial cells are found lining blood and lymphatic vessels; therefore, detection of these cells in the circulation is hypothesized to indicate damage to the endothelium. For example, increased numbers of mature endothelial cells have been reported in patients with pulmonary hypertension [69], percutaneous coronary angioplasty
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[70] and atherosclerosis [71]. Exploring the effects of WBRT on circulating EPCs and mature endothelial cells would provide important information regarding the status of both vessel damage and repair in response to radiation treatment, providing data that are currently limited.

**WBRT Impairs Angiogenesis**

Angiogenesis [49, 72, 73] occurs through a multistep process involving several key intra- and intercellular signaling processes. Generally, quiescent vessels are stabilized by pericytes that act to suppress endothelial cell proliferation. When an angiogenic signal is detected, pericytes detach from the endothelium mainly through the action of matrix metalloproteinases. The blood vessel then dilates and endothelial cell permeability increases, allowing the extracellular matrix to be degraded. Endothelial cells then proliferate and begin to migrate (sprout). A lumen develops and the new vessel fuses with other vessel branches through myeloid bridge cells (leukocytes). Newly formed vessels are stabilized by pericytes and the basement membrane is deposited.

As previously mentioned, radiation induces tissue hypoxia, which is characterized by increased HIF-1α expression and oxidative stress as early as 4 weeks after treatment [74]. It is well established that hypoxia is a potent angiogenic stimulus [75–77] that acts through the stabilization of HIF-1α and the activation of downstream angiogenic factors including, but not limited to, VEGF and erythropoietin [77–79]. In response to WBRT, angiogenesis does not occur despite the presence of local tissue hypoxia and normal levels of hematopoietic cells in the circulation [80] suggesting that WBRT damages angiogenic mechanisms that would normally rebuild the cerebrovasculature. The ability of WBRT to impair angiogenesis may be key to understanding its effects on cognitive function.

**Abrogating WBRT-Induced Vascular Deficits with Hypoxia**

Since hypoxia is a physiological stimulus for angiogenesis, and radiation has been reported to inhibit angiogenesis, systemic hypoxia presents a potentially use-

---

**Table 2. Summary of EPC characteristics used to detect changes after various types of vascular injury**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Type of vascular trauma</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Markers for putative EPCs</th>
<th>Response characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Burn, coronary artery bypass grafting</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>VEGFR2+</td>
<td>Transient increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Congestive heart failure</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>CD34+ CD34+/CD133+/VEGFR2+</td>
<td>Transient increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Musculoskeletal trauma</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>CD34+ and CD133+</td>
<td>Gradual increase Decreased on day 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Head and neck cancer</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>CD133+/VEGFR2+</td>
<td>Increased levels of cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Hind limb ischemia + nicotine treatment</td>
<td>Bone marrow</td>
<td>CD34+/VEGFR2+</td>
<td>Increased levels of cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Radiation-treated cancer patients</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>CD34/CD133 and CD34/VEGFR2</td>
<td>Increased after treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183</td>
<td>Percutaneous coronary intervention</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>In vitro colony formation of mononuclear cells</td>
<td>Early mobilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Coronary angioplasty</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>In vitro colony formation</td>
<td>Increased colonies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Surgery/laparotomy</td>
<td>Bone marrow Blood spleen</td>
<td>Sca-1/ckit VEGF/MAC-1– Lectin and low-density lipoprotein uptake</td>
<td>Increased Increased Increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184</td>
<td>Burn (thermal injury)</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>CD45–(dim)/CD133/CD144/VEGFR2</td>
<td>Rapid increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185</td>
<td>Traumatic brain injury</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>CD34 CD133 CD34/CD133</td>
<td>Decrease Gradual increase Gradual increase</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ful tool for dissecting the mechanisms for radiation-induced vascular rarefaction. Systemic hypoxia has been utilized in various studies to assess physiological changes that occur at high altitudes or to elucidate mechanisms of vessel growth. Following brief exposures to hypoxic conditions (acute hypoxia), cerebral arteries dilate [81] and cerebral autoregulation (the ability to maintain a steady blood perfusion) is suppressed [82] to ensure that oxygen supply to the brain is not reduced extensively and damage to the brain is minimized. Prolonged exposure to hypoxic conditions (chronic hypoxia) induces angiogenesis [41], mobilizes EPCs [83], recruits bone marrow-derived cells to the pulmonary vasculature [84], prevents hypertension in prehypertensive rats and reverses hypertension in hypertensive rats via activation of VEGF-A and increases capillary density [85].

Thus, systemic hypoxia is an excellent tool to assess the potential mechanisms for vascular growth or vascular rarefaction after WBRT. In our own studies, we have utilized a 30-day systemic hypoxia challenge to assess the capacity for cerebrovascular angiogenesis. Importantly, systemic hypoxia was found to completely reverse the WBRT-induced deficits in cerebrovascular density (fig. 2) and the corresponding reduction in learning and memory. The recovery of cognitive function persisted for at least 2 months after the animals were returned to a normoxic environment [21, 41]. Although systemic hypoxia is not a translationally relevant model, this is an important finding that provides insight into the mechanisms of vascular rarefaction after radiation and perhaps will provide clues to potential therapeutic interventions. Additionally, other tools such as gene transfer (Del-1: developmental endothelial locus-1) [86], cell therapy (CD34+ cell delivery to the brain) [87] and exercise [88] have been used to stimulate angiogenesis and can be utilized as potential tools for studying angiogenic mechanisms after WBRT.

Radiation-Induced Changes in the Neurovascular Unit

Compromising the vasculature of the brain, as WBRT has been shown to do, can be detrimental to neuronal function and survival. Neurons are highly metabolic cells that require a constant supply of nutrients, oxygen and growth factors not only for proper development, but also for survival. Moreover, neurons are dependent on the efficient removal of carbon dioxide and metabolic waste products. In order for these functions to occur successfully, an intact vascular network surrounding the neurons is required. Thus, neurons are found located within a specialized niche comprised of astrocytes, pericytes, smooth muscle cells and endothelial cells that work in coordination to orchestrate proper neurovascular communication [89]. The loss of cells within this neurovascular niche could have profound consequences. As mentioned, WBRT leads to both a reduction in endothelial cells as well as pericytes. Because of this, other cells within the neurovascular unit suffer from a loss of nutrient delivery, metabolic waste removal and localized hypoxia, all of which may ultimately compromise cellular survival.

In addition to disturbing the neurovascular unit as a whole, WBRT can negatively impact neurons directly. It is well established that brain radiation inhibits neurogenesis [90–96]. Because neurogenesis within the hippocampus is required during development and throughout life for proper learning and memory [97–99], it is likely that the decreased neurogenesis following radiation contributes directly to impairments in cognition [100]. Interestingly, the decrease in neurogenesis after WBRT can be reversed by the introduction of human embryonic stem cells into the mouse hippocampus, resulting in improved cognitive function [101, 102]. These findings provide prima facie evidence that radiation-induced decreases in neuronal stem cells and neurogenesis are important factors contributing to the cognitive deficits following WBRT. Unfortunately, these studies did not analyze whether the treatment regimen increased angiogenesis in the radiated animals. Based on the neurovascular interactions that are necessary for neurogenesis, this is an important question that needs to be explored. A recent study designed to identify the major contributions of angiogenesis and neurogenesis to learning (through targeted inhibition of each process) determined that angiogenesis is the critical component for learning acquisition while inhibiting neurogenesis paradoxically improves performance on the water maze task [103]. Additionally, there is strong evidence that microvascular angiogenesis is disrupted if neurogenesis is inhibited [104]. These studies and others provide important support for the interaction between these two processes and the importance of vascular plasticity in cognitive performance. Furthermore, it has been recognized for some time that trophic factors, which are supplied by the microvasculature, regulate neurogenesis [105]. Factors such as VEGF [106, 107], VEGF-C [108], hepatocyte growth factor [109] and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor [110] have strong neurogenic effects further supporting the hypothesis that capillary density
within the tissues must be maintained in order for learning and memory to occur.

There is compelling evidence that radiation leads to significant impairments in both neurogenesis and angiogenesis [19, 90–93, 95, 96]. Interestingly, both chronic [111, 112] and intermittent [113] systemic hypoxia stimulate these processes. Additional studies will be required to assess the specific molecular mechanisms for the effects of radiation on neurogenesis and angiogenesis but, based on our current understanding of the field, radiation-induced impairments that are initiated within the neurovascular niche are likely a primary factor in the decline in cognitive function.

**Vascular Recovery after WBRT – The Role of Bone Marrow-Derived Cells**

As previously noted, there is strong evidence that bone marrow-derived cells contribute to recovery of the vasculature in different organs/tissues. For example, in response to hypoxia, bone marrow-derived cells were found to be closely associated with blood vessels in the mouse spinotrapezius muscle [114]. In a rat model of stroke, bone marrow stromal cell transplantation resulted in the recovery of motor behavior along with new blood vessel formation in the infarct region [115]. Although these and other transplantation studies provide evidence for func-

---

![Fig. 2. WBRT reduces hippocampal capillary density while systemic hypoxia reverses capillary rarefaction. a CD31 (red) and smooth muscle actin (green) capillaries are reduced following WBRT and restored with systemic hypoxia. Quantification of capillary density measured by endothelial cell (b) and smooth muscle actin (SMA) cell staining (c) in CA1, CA3 and dentate gyrus (DG) of the hippocampus. **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 vs. normoxia; a p < 0.05, b p < 0.01 vs. control normoxia [adapted from ref. 41].](image-url)
tional recovery of the vasculature and improvements in cognitive function, there is still no consensus as to whether these cells differentiate into vascular cells and incorporate into the vessel wall. There is strong evidence to support the role of EPCs in reendothelialization, neovascularization and endothelial repair [50, 116–126]. However, other studies have reported a supportive role for transplanted bone marrow cells in cytokine secretion [53, 127], monocyte development [128] and/or the recruitment of monocytes and macrophages to sites of injury [129]. These conflicting reports necessitate further studies addressing the roles of EPCs and transplanted bone marrow-derived cells in vascular recovery.

If EPCs and bone marrow-derived transplanted cells do not become part of the vascular wall, one can hypothesize that they differentiate into cell types that comprise the neurovascular unit, including pericytes. Pericytes are a heterogeneous population of mural cells associated with the microvasculature [130, 131] and have an important role in endothelial proliferation [132], blood-brain barrier integrity [133], contraction of capillaries and regulation of capillary blood flow [134]. Pericytes have been shown to guide and precede proliferating endothelial cells during embryonic angiogenesis [135], stabilize newly formed blood vessels and maintain endothelial cells in a quiescent state [77]. Importantly, pericytes derived from the bone marrow have been detected at sites of angiogenesis and vasculogenesis [136, 137]. Because of the vital roles that pericytes play in maintaining blood-brain barrier integrity and regulating cerebral blood flow [138], it is likely that the loss of pericytes we observe following WBRT [41] may contribute to cognitive dysfunction. Thus, the potential recruitment of pericytes from the bone marrow to the brain following chronic systemic hypoxia should be explored further.

It is also possible that hypoxia induces the recruitment of bone marrow-derived glial cells to the brain. Glia, such as astrocytes and microglia, are known to influence not only neuronal physiology but also cerebrovasculature physiology. In addition to serving as a key component of the neurovascular unit, astrocytes regulate neurovascular coupling [139, 140] as well as cerebral blood flow [141]. Furthermore, these cells release angiogenic signaling molecules such as VEGF [142, 143] and modulate cerebral blood flow via the release of adenosine and prostaglandin E2 [139, 144]. Interestingly, astrocytes are recruited to the brain from the bone marrow following ischemic stroke [145, 146]. Following transplantation, bone marrow-derived astrocyte incorporation is associated with improved functional recovery following stroke and traumatic brain injury [147–149]. Astrocytes are not the only bone marrow-derived glial cells within the brain, as several studies indicate that microglial-like cells are released into the circulation from the bone marrow and home into the brain [115, 150–152]. While these monocytes, microglial-like macrophages are not of the same lineage of resident microglia [153, 154], both cell types respond to sites of neuronal damage and actively phagocytize debris [154–158]. Similar to astrocytes, microglia can release angiogenic factors such as VEGF2, transforming growth factor-β and fibroblast growth factor [159–162]. Moreover, microglia have a critical role in maintaining neurovascular integrity [163] and participate in synaptic pruning [164, 165]. Thus, the recruitment and/or activation of glial cells, both astrocytes and microglia (microglial-like cells), could greatly influence the neurovascular unit and, ultimately, cognitive function following WBRT and/or hypoxia.

Additional Effects of Radiation That Suppress Angiogenesis

The prevailing view in the field is that one of the primary consequences of radiation is the suppression of cellular proliferation. Cellular senescence, associated with aging and cancer suppression, is recognized as one of the processes by which cellular proliferation is regulated [166, 167]. Senescent cells express markers such as p16ink4a (also known as CDKN2A) [167–169] and p38MAPK [170], and have high senescence-associated β-galactosidase activity [171, 172]. Recent studies demonstrate that radiation induces premature senescence in vitro [173], and in vivo senescent cells can persist at least 6 months after radiation in mice [174]. Even though cellular senescence occurs following radiation, it remains unclear whether senescence per se is a factor contributing to impaired angiogenesis and it is not known whether specific cells are more susceptible to senescence than others. Determining whether endothelial cells, pericytes and/or microglia in the brain adapt a senescent phenotype will be important in understanding why capillary density is not restored following WBRT.

Summary and Conclusions

We and others have demonstrated that WBRT induces significant cerebral microvascular rarefaction in brain regions important for learning and memory. The relationship between the reduction in capillary density and
impaired cognitive function is based on the close temporal association between these events, the well-recognized role of the vasculature in maintenance of neuronal function, and studies indicating that interventions that restore vascular density are able to recover cognitive function. Importantly, these studies indicate that the WBRT-induced decline in cognitive function does not result from an intractable change in brain structure.

The profound decrease in vascular density that occurs after WBRT results in localized tissue hypoxia which would normally be repaired by stimulation of local angiogenic mechanisms. However, these processes appear to be damaged by WBRT whereas vasculogenesis, which depends on cells derived from the bone marrow, remains intact. The mechanisms for the deficits in local angiogenesis are poorly understood but may be related to the development of an accelerated ‘senescent cell phenotype’ in the endothelial cells that remain after WBRT and fail to undergo apoptosis. Cellular senescence and the development of a ‘secretory-associated senescent phenotype’ may, in part, explain the chronic inflammation and oxidative stress that persist in the brain after WBRT. Nevertheless, if properly stimulated, bone marrow-derived cells can home to sites of vascular injury where they participate in new vessel formation or replacement of damaged endothelial cells. An important question remains, however, about the specific cell types that are transported to the brain and the mechanisms that contribute to vascular repair and ultimately cognitive recovery. Theoretically, EPCs may home to the brain and participate in rebuilding the vasculature. More likely, however, circulating myeloid progenitor cells may be transported to the brain and develop into glial-like cells that stimulate proliferation of existing vascular networks. This is a complex area of investigation, and the cell types and their secretory products will need to be identified before any therapeutic interventions can be designed. Thus, the specific mechanisms that contribute to vascular recovery after WBRT will only be addressed through additional research.
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