Bidirectional Crosstalk between the Estrogen Receptor and Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2 Signaling Pathways in Breast Cancer: Molecular Basis and Clinical Implications

Mario Giuliano, Meghana V. Trivedi, Rachel Schiff

Lester and Sue Smith Breast Center, Baylor College of Medicine, University of Houston, College of Pharmacy, Dan L. Duncan Cancer Center, Margaret M. and Albert B. Alkek Department of Medicine, Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Houston, TX, USA

Keywords
Estrogen Receptor · HER2 · Crosstalk · Resistance

Summary
The estrogen receptor (ER) and/or the human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) signaling pathways are the dominant drivers of cell proliferation and survival in the majority of human breast cancers. As a result, targeting these pathways provides the most effective therapies in appropriately selected patients. Nevertheless, resistance to both endocrine and anti-HER2 therapies occurs frequently and represents a major clinical challenge. Compelling preclinical and clinical evidence relates this treatment resistance to the presence of a complex bidirectional molecular crosstalk between the ER and HER2 pathways. As a consequence, treatment strategies targeting either pathway are associated with up-regulation of the other one, ultimately resulting in resistance to therapy. Therefore, a more promising strategy to prevent or overcome either endocrine or anti-HER2 resistance at least in some tumors is to combine targeted treatments that simultaneously block both signaling pathways. Many clinical trials exploring this strategy have shown positive results, and many more are currently ongoing. Future clinical trials with appropriate patient selection, based on biomarker evaluation of primary tumors and possibly of recurrent lesions, are warranted for the optimization of individualized therapeutic strategies.
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Zusammenfassung
Introduction

Despite the recent advances in the therapy and prevention of breast cancer, this malignancy remains the leading cause of cancer death among women, with approximately 425,000 deaths worldwide in 2010 [1]. In the last decades, many efforts have been made to understand the molecular mechanisms responsible for breast tumor initiation and progression and to develop effective treatment strategies. Breast cancer is a heterogeneous disease and various tumor characteristics can predict different treatment responses and patient outcomes. Traditionally, breast tumors are classified into distinct clinical subtypes by using histopathological features, such as the expression of the estrogen receptor (ER), the progesterone receptor (PR), and the human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2). This histopathological classification identifies 3 major clinical subtypes, including the hormone receptor-positive (HR+; ER- and/or PR-positive), the HER2-positive (HER2+), and the triple-negative (TN; negative expression of ER, PR and HER2) subtypes, which are associated with different biological behaviors and treatment sensitivities. In addition, a novel molecular taxonomy based on gene expression profiling has recently been developed, with important therapeutic implications. This molecular classification describes 5 major subtypes, including the luminal A and B (corresponding to some extent to the ER+/PR+ and ER+/PR−/HER2+ subtypes, respectively), the HER2 (corresponding to the HER2+/HR− subtype), the basal-like (partially overlapping with the TN subtype), and the normal breast-like subtype [2, 3]. Both the histopathological and the molecular classifications clearly indicate that ER and HER2 represent the dominant drivers of tumor growth, since they are present or overexpressed in the majority of tumors. Therefore, targeting these pathways provides the most effective therapies in appropriately selected patients. However, despite the overall success of ER- and HER2-targeting agents, treatment resistance remains a major clinical problem. Compelling preclinical and clinical evidence suggests the existence of a bidirectional crosstalk between the ER and HER2 pathways, which contributes to the development of endocrine resistance as well as resistance to HER2-targeted therapies [4]. The aim of this review is to summarize the current knowledge regarding the molecular mechanisms of this bidirectional crosstalk and to highlight its clinical implications.
ER and HER2 Pathways and Targeted Therapies

Two isoforms of the ER protein encoded by distinct genes have been identified: ERα, which mediates breast cancer cell proliferation and survival, and ERβ, whose function in breast cancer is still unclear. Experimental evidence indicates that ERβ may antagonize the ability of ERα to stimulate proliferation in response to estrogen (E₂) [5]. For the purpose of this review, we will use ‘ER’ to indicate ‘ERα’, if not otherwise specified. ER acts predominantly as a hormone-activated nuclear transcription factor that binds to specific DNA sequences (estrogen response elements, EREs) localized in the enhancer/promoter regions of target genes mediating cell proliferation and survival (classical ER nuclear genomic pathway; fig. 1A) [5]. Importantly, this classical ER transcriptional activity can be modulated by different coregulators (coactivators and corepressors) [6]. ER can also induce gene expression by tethering to other transcription factors, such as the activator protein 1 (AP-1), the specificity protein 1 (SP-1), and the nuclear factor κB (NFκB) [7]. This alternative genomic signaling is also known as the non-classical ER nuclear genomic pathway (fig. 1B) [5]. Importantlly, this classical ER transcriptional activity can be modulated by different coregulators (coactivators and corepressors) [6]. ER can also induce gene expression by tethering to other transcription factors, such as the activator protein 1 (AP-1), the specificity protein 1 (SP-1), and the nuclear factor κB (NFκB) [7]. This alternative genomic signaling is also known as the non-classical ER nuclear genomic pathway (fig. 1B) [5]. Finally, ER is involved in non-nuclear/non-genomic pathways, where either the traditional ER protein or short spliced/translational variants, localized at the plasma membrane or in its vicinity, can interact with and activate several receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs; e.g. HER2) and their downstream signaling intermediates (e.g. p42/44 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and Akt) (fig. 1B) [8]. 3 main approaches have been successfully developed to block ER signaling in breast cancer: (1) competitive inhibition of estrogen action via ER antagonism by selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs; such as tamoxifen) or (2) by selective estrogen receptor down-regulators (SERDs; such as fulvestrant), which also degrade the ER protein (fig. 1A); and (3) reduction of estrogen levels by aromatase inhibitors (AIs; such as aromasin, anastrozole, letrozole; fig. 1A) or by ovarian suppression (e.g. gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonists, surgical ovariec-tomy), in postmenopausal and premenopausal patients, respectively [9, 10]. Despite the remarkable efficacy of the aforementioned endocrine treatments, more than 50% of patients with metastatic disease do not respond to first-line endocrine therapy, and the majority of responders eventually relapse [11, 12]. Also, the risk of disease recurrence in early breast cancer patients receiving adjuvant endocrine therapy remains a significant problem [13].

Multiple lines of evidence suggest that endocrine resistance is associated with hyperactivity of RTK signaling pathways that circumvent hormonal treatment by modulating ER expression and/or its activity. Among these RTK pathways, the
human epidermal growth factor receptor (HER) family seems to play a crucial role, and is the focus of this review [14]. Indeed, ER+ breast tumors that also overexpress HER2 show de novo (intrinsic) resistance to endocrine therapy [15, 16]. In addition, in both the preclinical and the clinical settings, increased HER signaling is associated with the development of acquired endocrine resistance [14, 17].

The HER family comprises 4 RTKs (HER1–4) and their several ligands (at least 11) [18]. Upon binding with the HER ligands, the receptors form homo- and heterodimers and activate downstream pathways (e.g. p42/44 MAPK and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR)) mediating proliferation and survival stimuli (fig. 2A) [18]. In breast cancer, HER2 is the dominant RTK of the HER family, being overexpressed or gene-amplified in 20–25% of tumors, where it represents the dominant driver of cell growth. In these tumors, HER2, which does not have a ligand, is activated by heterodimerization with other ligand-bonded HER receptors, or by homodimerization. In the recent years, several drugs able to effectively block the HER pathway (primarily HER2) have been introduced in clinical practice. They are either monoclonal antibodies, such as trastuzumab, or small tyrosine kinase inhibitor molecules, such as the HER1/HER2 inhibitor lapatinib (fig. 2B) [19, 20]. More recently, 2 new agents have been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of advanced HER2+ breast cancer: the monoclonal antibody pertuzumab and the antibody-toxin conjugate trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1; fig. 2B) [21, 22]. Despite the efficacy of these agents, HER2+ tumors can acquire treatment resistance either by reactivating the HER pathway or by activating alternative escape pathways that can bypass effective HER inhibition. One of these potential escape pathways is the ER signaling network itself. In support of this hypothesis, it has been shown that resistance to anti-HER2 treatments is associated with the reactivation of the ER pathway in HER2+/ER+ breast cancer preclinical models [23, 24]. In these models, ER inhibition determines cell growth arrest and apoptosis in lapatinib- and lapatinib + trastuzumab-resistant cells [23, 24]. Consistently, in clinical trials in patients with HER2+ breast tumors, ER positivity is associated with reduced response to HER2-targeting therapies [25]. This suggests the existence of a bidirectional crosstalk between the ER and HER pathways, potentially responsible for resistance not only to endocrine therapy but also to anti-HER2 treatments. In the next paragraphs, we will briefly describe the key molecular mechanisms of this crosstalk and highlight potential treatment strategies to overcome it.

**Molecular Mechanisms of the ER/HER Crosstalk**

The deregulation of ER and HER pathways in breast cancer can occur by alteration of upstream signaling molecules (e.g. overexpression/amplification of HER receptors and/or their ligands) or as a result of genetic/epigenetic modifications of downstream signaling effectors (e.g. loss of heterozygosity or methylation of the tumor suppressor PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homolog) and activation of Akt) [26, 27]. The bidirectional ER/HER crosstalk can influence each of these pathways at the level of their expression and/or activity. Preclinical and clinical studies have shown an inverse correlation between ER and HER2 expression in breast cancer [28]. It has been proposed that HER signaling members can reduce ER expression both at the mRNA and protein levels. For instance, Akt inactivates the Forkhead box protein FOXO3a that represents a key regulator of ER gene transcription (fig. 1B) [29]. Also, activation of p44/42 MAPK is directly responsible for ER degradation (fig. 1B) [30]. In addition, it has recently been shown that RTK signaling members can interact with ER and impair its growth-inhibitory effects [31]. A potential consequence of this negative control exerted by the HER pathway on ER expression levels is a diminution of endocrine sensitivity. Importantly, for the same reason, effective blockade of the HER pathway results in increased/ restored ER levels in HER2+ breast cancer preclinical models (fig. 2B). In these cell line models, the reactivated ER signaling can then become an escape survival pathway responsible for anti-HER2 resistance [23, 24].

In contrast to the negative effect of the HER signaling on ER levels, the activation of this pathway can also potentiate or modulate ER genomic and non-genomic signaling as well as its ligand dependency, resulting in impairment of endocrine sensitivity. In particular, the ER protein can be phosphorylated and activated in a ligand-independent manner by multiple RTK-dependent and stress-related kinases, including p44/42 MAPK, PI3K/Akt, and p38 MAPK (fig. 1B) [27, 32, 33]. These same kinases can also phosphorylate and activate ER coactivators, such as Src3 (also known as AIB1 amplified in breast cancer 1); fig. 1B) [34]. This can determine a switch in the pharmacological action of SERMs such as tamoxifen from antagonistic to agonistic effects, leading to tamoxifen resistance [15]. Moreover, a recent study of ER genomic cistromes (genome-wide ER binding sites) has shown that, under estrogen deprivation and overactive RTK signaling conditions, ER can be recruited to different genomic binding sites in a ligand-independent manner [35]. This results in an alternative ER transcriptional program that is primarily dependent on AP-1 DNA sites. This AP-1-dependent switch in the ER transcriptional program has been observed also in a tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer preclinical model [36]. Importantly, many of the genes induced by the alternative ER transcriptional activity are overexpressed in HER2+ breast tumors [35]. In addition, our group developed a transcriptional and proteomic PI3K signature showing that hyperactive PI3K signaling in human breast tumors was associated with a reduction in expression of classic ER target genes, such as the PR gene. Consistently, PI3K signature scores were higher in luminal B (ER+/PR−) than in luminal A (ER+/PR+) tumors [37].
ER in turn, via both its membrane and nuclear activities, can interact with and activate the HER signaling members. As anticipated in the previous paragraph, upon estrogen binding, ER can activate the HER receptors either directly or indirectly via G protein interaction [8]. Also, ER can stimulate a signaling cascade involving the tyrosine kinase c-Src and other RTK downstream signaling members (fig. 1B) [38]. Estrogen signaling can also increase the expression of growth factors such as the transforming growth factor-α (TGFα) and the insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1) [39, 40]. On the other hand, it has been shown that ER signaling can down-regulate the expression of HER1 and HER2 and increase that of the IGF1 receptor [39, 41, 42]. This ER-induced hyperactivity of alternative growth factor receptor pathways can function as an escape from effective HER-targeting therapies.

All these complex bidirectional molecular circuits between the ER and HER2 signaling networks, if left uninhibited, can contribute in concert to the development of resistance to targeted therapies against these two key pathways.

Clinical Implications

As a direct consequence of the extensive crosstalk between the ER and HER2 signaling pathways, treatment strategies targeting only one of the pathways often result in the up-regulation of the other one, and ultimately in resistance to therapy. As an example, neoadjuvant treatment with AIs was associated with increased HER2 mRNA levels in tumors originally not overexpressing HER2 [43]. On the other hand, neoadjuvant anti-HER2 treatment increased ER expression and activity in HER2+ tumors [24, 44]. Thus, a more promising strategy to prevent or overcome endocrine and anti-HER2 resistance is to combine targeted treatments to simultaneously block both signaling pathways. In this attempt, several clinical trials have evaluated the effectiveness of combining endocrine therapy with anti-HER2 treatment in patients with ER+/HER2+ tumors. In the phase III TAnDEM trial, ER+/HER2+ metastatic breast cancer (MBC) patients receiving the combination of the AI anastrozole with trastuzumab had a longer progression-free survival (PFS) compared with those treated with the AI alone [45]. However, the overall response rate achieved in the combination arm was relatively low compared with the historical control of trastuzumab + chemotherapy, suggesting the need of an improved patient selection to identify those who can benefit from the combination of ER- and HER2-targeting therapies without chemotherapy. In a large phase III trial comparing another AI, letrozole, with and without lapatinib in patients with ER+ MBC, the combination treatment resulted in a clinical benefit that was primarily confined to the HER2+ subset [46]. In the neoadjuvant setting, potent anti-HER2 treatment with trastuzumab + lapatinib combined with letrozole in patients with locally advanced HER2+/ER+ breast tumors determined a pathologic complete response (pCR) rate of 21%, suggesting that a selected subset of patients with HER2+ tumors that are also highly responsive to endocrine therapy may greatly benefit from chemotherapy-free regimens that effectively block both the ER and the HER2 pathways [47]. Combinations of endocrine therapy with agents targeting either the HER receptors or downstream signaling intermediates have been tested in multiple trials also in ER+/HER2- MBC.

As an example, the combination of tamoxifen with the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibitor gefitinib showed a numerical advantage in PFS compared with tamoxifen alone in a phase II trial randomizing HR+ MBC patients [48]. Consistent results were reported in a randomized phase II study evaluating the combination of anastrozole + gefitinib [49].

Despite their positive results, these trials showed only a small benefit from the addition of upstream HER signaling inhibitors to endocrine therapy. This suggests the need to test alternative strategies to more effectively block the HER pathways, such as the inhibition of downstream signaling molecules. Indeed, the randomized phase III trial BOLERO II that assessed the steroidal AI exemestane with or without the mTOR inhibitor everolimus showed a remarkable survival advantage in the combination arm [50]. As a consequence, this combination has become the standard treatment for ER+ MBC patients progressing on a previous treatment with non-steroidal AIs. In addition, many ongoing clinical trials are currently evaluating, in both the metastatic and the neoadjuvant settings, the effect of agents inhibiting different signaling molecules downstream from the HER tyrosine kinase receptors, including PI3K, Akt, mTOR, and MAP kinase kinases (MEK), in the context of endocrine resistance [51]. Importantly, emerging preclinical and clinical evidence suggests the presence of negative feedback loops among the RTK pathways that may limit the efficacy of targeted therapies (e.g. activation of the p44/42 MAPK pathway induced by mTOR inhibition) [52, 53]. Thus, a more extensive blockade of multiple up- and downstream pathways, obtained by combining different targeted agents, may be necessary to overcome treatment resistance. Also, since the molecular expression and signaling of tumor cells can change despite effective targeted treatment due to feedback loops and activation of escape pathways (e.g. ER restoration in tumors treated with anti-HER2 agents), re-biopsy of recurrent/progressing lesions, where feasible, should be considered in order to optimize individualized therapeutic strategies.

In conclusion, future clinical trials are warranted to optimize patient selection, improve biomarkers available to guide therapy and to identify novel targets, and test the efficacy and safety of new targeted therapies that can overcome endocrine and anti-HER2 resistance.
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