Optimization of Positive End-Expiratory Pressure by Volumetric Capnography Variables in Lavage-Induced Acute Lung Injury
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Abstract
Background: In the acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), lung-protective ventilation strategies combine the delivery of small tidal volumes (VT) with sufficient positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP). However, an optimal approach guiding the setting of PEEP has not been defined. Monitoring volumetric capnography is useful to detect changes in lung aeration. Objectives: The aim of this study was to determine whether volumetric capnography may be a useful method to determine the optimal PEEP in ARDS. Methods: In 8 lung-lavaged piglets, PEEP was reduced from 20 to 4 cm H2O in steps of 4 cm H2O every 10 min followed by full lung recruitment. Volumetric capnography, respiratory mechanics, blood gas analysis, hemodynamic data and whole-lung computed tomography scans were obtained at each PEEP level. Results: After lung recruitment, end-expiratory lung volume progressively decreased from 1,160 ± 273 ml at PEEP 20 cm H2O to 314 ± 86 ml at PEEP 4 cm H2O. The ratio of alveolar dead space (Vd/VT) to alveolar VT (VTalv) and the phase III slope of volumetric capnography (SIII) reached a minimum at PEEP 16 cm H2O. At this PEEP level, overaerated lung regions were significantly reduced, nonaerated lung regions did not increase, and partial pressure of oxygen in arterial blood/fraction of inspired oxygen (P/F) and static respiratory system compliance (Crs) reached a maximum. At PEEP levels <16 cm H2O, nonaerated lung regions significantly increased, P/F and Crs deteriorated, and Vd/VTalv and SIII began to increase. Conclusions: In this surfactant-depleted model, PEEP at the lowest Vd/VTalv and SIII allows an optimal balance between lung overinflation and collapse. Hence, volumetric capnography is a useful bedside approach to identify the optimal PEEP.

Introduction
It is well recognized that lung-protective ventilation strategies, including low tidal volume (VT), recruitment maneuvers (RM) and sufficient positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP), should be applied for the management of patients with the acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) [1, 2]. Currently, the major difficulty in applying
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this strategy is determining the optimal PEEP to prevent end-expiratory lung collapse and alveolar overinflation, the two major culprits in ventilator-induced lung injury [3, 4]. Despite the introduction of many methods to determine PEEP in ARDS, the optimal approach remains undefined.

Volumetric capnography has the potential to aid in titrating the optimal PEEP in ARDS. Increased dead space ventilation is a characteristic of ARDS and a powerful predictor of mortality in ARDS patients [5, 6]. Lung recruitment may decrease the phase III slope of the capnogram (SIII), increase the slope of phase II (SII) and limit the alveolar dead space (VDalv) [7, 8]. On the other hand, alveolar overinflation induced by high PEEP may induce an increase in VDalv [9–11]. Hence, evidence supports the value of monitoring the dead space fraction in titrating PEEP in ARDS [12, 13].

Considering the above concepts, we hypothesized that volumetric capnography may be a valuable bedside method to determine the optimal PEEP in ARDS. Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine which of the standard volumetric capnography variables best identify the optimal PEEP during a decremental PEEP trial after full lung recruitment.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Design**

Eight piglets (2 male and 6 female) weighing 33.8 ± 2.2 kg were premedicated with intramuscular midazolam (0.3 mg/kg) and ketamine (10 mg/kg). Animals were subsequently anesthetized by a continuous infusion of pentobarbital (10–20 mg/kg/h), fentanyl (3–6 μg/kg/h) and pancuronium (0.5 mg/kg/h). A continuous infusion of pentobarbital (10–20 mg/kg/h), fentanyl (3–6 μg/kg/h) and pancuronium (0.5 mg/kg/h). A continuous infusion of normal saline was delivered at a rate of 5 ml/kg/h i.v. Body temperature (rectal) was maintained at 36–38°C with an electric blanket.

Animals were tracheostomized and mechanically ventilated in the supine position through an endotracheal tube (internal diameter: 8 mm; Mallinckrodt, Athlone, Ireland) using a constant-flow, volume-controlled mode (Engström CareStation, Datex, General Electric, Helsinki, Finland). At baseline, the animals were ventilated with a tidal volume (VT) 10 ml/kg, respiratory rate (RR) 20 breaths/min, PEEP 0 cm H2O, an inspiratory/expiratory ratio (I:E) of 1:2 and a fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO2) of 0.5.

After completing the animal preparation, an RM was performed in pressure control mode with PEEP of 20 cm H2O, peak inspiratory pressure 40 cm H2O, RR 20 breaths/min, I:E of 1:1 and FiO2 of 0.5 for 2 min in order to restore the lung volume history. After a 30-min period of mechanical ventilation, measurements were obtained at PEEP 0 cm H2O (baseline).

Lung injury was induced by repetitive lung lavage with warm saline (30 ml/kg at 37–39°C) until the partial pressure of oxygen in arterial blood (PaO2) was below 150 mm Hg at pure oxygen and a PEEP of 0 cm H2O and stabilized for 60 min.

After stabilizing the lung injury, the animals were transferred to the CT scan room, and another set of parameters was obtained at PEEP 0 cm H2O (ARDS). Next, another RM was performed in pressure control mode with PEEP 20 cm H2O, peak inspiratory pressure 50 cm H2O, RR 20 breaths/min, I:E 1:1 and FiO2 1.0 for 2 min. Then, the ventilator was switched to VT 6 ml/kg, RR 30 breaths/min, I:E 1:2, FiO2 1.0 and PEEP 20 cm H2O. Two minutes later, an arterial blood gas analysis was obtained to determine the degree of lung recruitment after which FiO2 was adjusted to 0.5. A decremental PEEP trial in steps of 4 cm H2O starting at 20 cm H2O down to 4 cm H2O was instituted. Each level of PEEP was maintained for 10 min before data acquisition. At the end of the study, all experimental animals were euthanized by an overdose of potassium chloride.

Animals were excluded from the study if they had a PaO2/FiO2 ratio (P/F) <450 mm Hg at baseline or if lung recruitment was considered incomplete at PEEP 20 cm H2O (defined as PaO2 + PaCO2 <400 mm Hg at FiO2 of 1.0 [14]).

**Methods**

The study was conducted at the Southeast University Medical School after approval by the institutional animal ethics committee.

**Hemodynamic Monitoring and Blood Gas Measurements**

Central venous and pulmonary artery pressures were measured using a 7.5-french pulmonary artery catheter (Arrow, Reading, Pa., USA) advanced through the right internal jugular vein. The right femoral artery was cannulated with a 4-french, 8-cm PICCO catheter (Pulsion Medical Systems, Feldkirchen, Germany). Hemodynamic monitoring was conducted using PM-9000 Express (Mindray, Shenzhen, China) and PICCO plus (Pulsion Medical Systems). For blood pressure measurements, calibrated pressure transducers (PV8115; Pulsion Medical Systems) referred to atmospheric pressure at the mid-thorax level were used. Arterial and mixed venous blood gases were analyzed using a blood gas analyzer (Critical Care Xpress, Nova Biomedical, Waltham, Mass., USA). Venous admixture was calculated using the formula (capillary oxygen content – arterial oxygen content)/(capillary oxygen content – mixed venous oxygen content).

**Respiratory Mechanics and Volumetric Capnography Variables**

Respiratory mechanics and carbon dioxide measurements were performed with a Ventrak 1550/Capnogard 1265 (Novametrix, Wallingford, Conn., USA). Volumetric capnography was recorded and analyzed using Aplus software (Novametrix). End-expiratory lung volume (EELV) was determined twice by the nitrogen washin/washout method integrated in the ventilator, which is an automated procedure with an FiO2 step change of 0.1 as previously described by Olegård [15].

Airway opening pressure and flow were measured by a fixed-orifice, differential pressure flow sensor (model 7222; Novametrix; dead space 8 ml). CO2 measurement was performed by an infrared mainstream sensor (CapnoStat; Novametrix), response time <75 ms, accuracy ±5% at values between 41 and 100 mm Hg, and ±2 mm Hg for values <40 mm Hg with a resolution 1 mm Hg (dead space of airway adapter 5 ml). EELV was measured by a Pedi-lite flow sensor (accuracy 6% or 4 ml, dead space
To analyze the CT images, a commercially available software was used (Pulmo Option, Syngo; Siemens). All of the images were assessed at a window width of 1,600 Hu and a window center of −600 Hu. The lung parenchyma was selected as region of interest by excluding the chest wall, mediastinum, large vessels and airways by manual segmentation. The voxels of each CT slice were distributed into 10 compartments ranging from −1,000 to +100 Hu with an interval of 100 Hu. For each compartment of a known number of voxels, lung, gas and tissue volumes were calculated as follows [16]: lung volume = [number of voxels × volume of the voxel]; gas volume = [mean CT/−1,000] × lung volume (volume of gas = 0 if the compartment considered had a CT number above 0); tissue volume = [lung volume − gas volume]. The total volume of lung, gas (EELV − CT) and tissue was computed by summing the value of each volume of all slices. Different aerated lung regions were divided into four functional compartments according to the standard definition based on Hu [17]: nonaerated (+100 to −100 Hu), poorly aerated (−100 to −500 Hu), normally aerated (−500 to −900 Hu) and hyperinflated (−900 to −1,000 Hu).

Statistical Analysis

Data are presented as means ± SD unless specified otherwise. Statistical comparisons of the data over time were conducted using repeated-measure ANOVA followed by least significant difference for multiple comparisons. A linear regression analysis was performed to evaluate the relationship between EELV, volumetric capnography variables, lung morphology and venous admixture. p < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. A statistics software package was used for the analyses (SPSS 16.0; SPSS, Chicago, Ill., USA).

Results

The study was conducted from December 2011 to August 2012.

After stabilizing the ARDS model, the EELV, P/F and Crs decreased significantly while venous admixture and PaCO₂ increased significantly. All capnography variables, except VD_anat/VT, were significantly different from the baseline measurements.

During the PEEP titration phase, EELV was progressively reduced from 1,160 ± 273 ml at PEEP 20 cm H₂O to 314 ± 86 ml at PEEP 4 cm H₂O, while P/F and Crs began to decline at a PEEP of 12 cm H₂O (fig. 2). During the same process, nonaerated lung volume (CT) increased significantly at all PEEP levels <16 cm H₂O. A reduction in PEEP gradually decreased the amount of overly and normally aerated lung volume (fig. 3).

During the decremental PEEP trial, VD_phy/VT and VD_alv/VT_alv showed similar patterns of change. However, VD_phy/VT reached the minimum at a PEEP of 8 cm H₂O whereas the lowest VD_alv/VT_alv was at a PEEP of 16 cm H₂O. VD_anat/VT decreased with each reduction in PEEP.
Moreover, S_{III} decreased significantly and reached a minimum at PEEP 16 cm H\textsubscript{2}O whereas S_{II} improved at a PEEP of 16 and 12 cm H\textsubscript{2}O; this change did not reach significance (fig. 4).

EELV was significantly correlated to normally aerated (r = 0.918), nonaerated (r = -0.715), and overly aerated lung volume (r = 0.592). VD_{alv}/VT_{alv} was highly correlated to nonaerated (r = 0.681) and normally aerated lung volumes (r = -0.512) as well as venous admixture (r = 0.763). EELV was not correlated to the overly aerated lung volume (table 1). However, at PEEP >16 cm H\textsubscript{2}O, VD_{alv}/VT_{alv} was correlated to the overly aerated lung volumes (r = 0.558) but not to venous admixture.

Compared to PEEP 20 cm H\textsubscript{2}O, PaCO\textsubscript{2} decreased, pH increased, and plateau airway pressure decreased by each decrement from PEEP 16 to 8 cm H\textsubscript{2}O (table 2). Simultaneously, cardiac output increased at PEEP 12 and 8 cm H\textsubscript{2}O, and mean arterial pressure increased at each PEEP decrement from 16 to 4 cm H\textsubscript{2}O (table 3). However, global oxygen delivery and mixed venous oxygen saturation were improved at PEEP 16 and 12 cm H\textsubscript{2}O (table 3).

**Discussion**

The results of this study suggest that in this surfactant-depleted model, volumetric capnography may be a useful method to titrate the optimal PEEP. The PEEP levels producing the lowest VD_{alv}/VT_{alv} and S_{III} are the best predictors as the lung morphology analysis indicated that the maximum amount of effectively ventilated alveoli correlated best to these PEEP levels.

ARDS significantly influences volumetric capnography. After stabilizing the ARDS model, VD_{phy}/VT in-
creased significantly, mainly because of the increment in $V_{D_{alv}}/V_{T_{alv}}$. As $V_{D_{phy}}/V_{T}$ was calculated according to the Enghoff modification of the Bohr equation, venous admixture had a significant influence on the computation of $V_{D_{alv}}$ [18], as evidenced by the significant linear relationship between $V_{D_{alv}}/V_{T_{alv}}$ and venous admixture. This type of $V_{D_{alv}}$, which is more suitably considered 'shunt dead space' [19], had nothing to do with real $V_{D_{alv}}$. Our data demonstrated that overly aerated lung volumes, most likely to be $V_{D_{alv}}$, were only 8 ± 2 ml during ARDS.

Our data showed that PEEP had bimodal effects on $V_{D_{phy}}/V_{T}$ and $V_{D_{alv}}/V_{T_{alv}}$. However, they did not change by the same pattern: $V_{D_{alv}}/V_{T_{alv}}$ reached the minimum at a PEEP of 16 cm H$_2$O while the lowest $V_{D_{phy}}/V_{T}$ was at a PEEP of 12 cm H$_2$O. Because $V_{D_{alv}}/V_{T_{alv}}$ was highly correlated with nonaerated lung regions and venous admixture, it was sufficiently sensitive to detect lung collapse. Since the lung started collapsing and venous admixture increased at a PEEP of 12 cm H$_2$O, $V_{D_{alv}}/V_{T_{alv}}$ increased again. In contrast, at PEEP >16 cm H$_2$O, $V_{D_{alv}}/V_{T_{alv}}$ was not correlated with increased venous admixture but rather with an overly aerated lung. These results are in line with previous studies, which demonstrated that increments in $V_{D_{alv}}/V_{T_{alv}}$ at high PEEP was caused by high ventilation/perfusion regions in oleic acid-induced lung injury [9, 10]. Hence, PEEP at the lowest of $V_{D_{alv}}/V_{T_{alv}}$ corresponded to the best compromise between alveolar recruitment and overinflation.

In contrast to $V_{D_{alv}}/V_{T_{alv}}$, $V_{D_{phy}}/V_{T}$ was obviously affected by $V_{D_{anat}}/V_{T_{anat}}$. A previous study showed that an increase in $V_{D_{phy}}$ caused by PEEP was prominently attributed to changes in $V_{D_{anat}}$, which was possibly due to airway distension resulting from an increase in EELV [9].

Fig. 3. Changes in 4 different aerated lung regions in the ARDS model and during the decremental PEEP trial. * p < 0.05 vs. ARDS; ** p < 0.05 vs. PEEP20 (PEEP 20 cm H$_2$O). Data are expressed as means ± SD. $V_{normally aerated}$ = Normally aerated lung volume; $V_{poorly aerated}$ = poorly aerated lung volume; $V_{nonaerated}$ = nonaerated lung volume; $V_{overly aerated}$ = overly aerated lung volume.
The decline in $V_D^{\text{anat}}$ counteracted the increment in $V_D^{\text{alv}}$, which caused the minimum $V_D^{\text{phy}}/V_T$ at a PEEP of 12 cm H$_2$O. However, lung tissue had already started to collapse at this PEEP level as evidenced by the CT analysis. Hence, $V_D^{\text{alv}}/V_T^{\text{alv}}$ better predicts optimal PEEP than $V_D^{\text{phy}}/V_T$. Our results correspond to those of a previous study conducted by Tusman et al. [8], who showed that $V_D^{\text{alv}}/V_T^{\text{alv}}$ had a higher sensitivity and specificity than $V_D^{\text{phy}}/V_T$ for detecting lung collapse.

In addition to $V_D^{\text{alv}}/V_T^{\text{alv}}$, $S_{\text{III}}$ was helpful in determining the optimal PEEP. Our data show that during the decremental PEEP trial, $S_{\text{III}}$ had a similar predictive value as $V_D^{\text{alv}}/V_T^{\text{alv}}$. The lowest $S_{\text{III}}$ corresponded to the best lung function, indicated by a balance between lung recruitment and overinflation. Although the genesis of $S_{\text{III}}$ is controversial, spatial distribution of ventilation and perfusion together with different time constants of the expired gas volume contribute to its origin [20]. $S_{\text{III}}$ is a useful variable for detecting alveolar recruitment in patients undergoing thoracic surgery when PEEP is titrated according to the maximum Crs [7]. After lavage-induced lung injury, the lowest $S_{\text{III}}$ was associated with the minimum ventilation/perfusion dispersion [21]. Hence, $S_{\text{III}}$ may be a useful variable for detecting changes in lung function. Moreover, as $S_{\text{III}}$ can be continuously monitored without measuring arterial blood gases, in difference to $V_D^{\text{alv}}/V_T^{\text{alv}}$, $S_{\text{III}}$ is superior to $V_D^{\text{alv}}/V_T^{\text{alv}}$ in determining the optimal PEEP due to its noninvasivity.

Our findings show that changes in EELV are not a sensitive variable to detect lung collapse during a decremental PEEP trial. These findings partially differ from those by Rylander et al. [22] and Lambermont et al. [23], who demonstrated that EELV was a sensitive indicator
Table 1. Pearson correlations between EELV, volumetric capnography variables and different aerated lung regions/intrapulmonary shunt (* p < 0.05)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>V_{non}, ml</th>
<th>V_{poorly}, ml</th>
<th>V_{normally}, ml</th>
<th>V_{overly}, ml</th>
<th>Venous admixture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EELV, ml</td>
<td>-0.715*</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.918*</td>
<td>0.592*</td>
<td>-0.657*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_{Dalv}/V_{Talv}</td>
<td>0.681*</td>
<td>-0.232</td>
<td>-0.512*</td>
<td>-0.202</td>
<td>0.763*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_{III}, %/l</td>
<td>-0.789*</td>
<td>0.460*</td>
<td>0.512*</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>-0.591*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_{III}, %/l</td>
<td>-0.165</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>-0.016</td>
<td>0.156</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Gas exchange, respiratory mechanics and volumetric capnography variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>ARDS</th>
<th>PEEP 20 cm H2O</th>
<th>PEEP 16 cm H2O</th>
<th>PEEP 12 cm H2O</th>
<th>PEEP 8 cm H2O</th>
<th>PEEP 4 cm H2O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PaCO2, mm Hg</td>
<td>37.7±4.4*</td>
<td>43.4±7.0**</td>
<td>48.3±9.8*</td>
<td>45.2±7.2</td>
<td>45.8±6.9</td>
<td>44.3±9.5</td>
<td>50.4±14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_{mean} cm H2O</td>
<td>46.1±6.6*</td>
<td>52.6±10.3**</td>
<td>62.7±13.6*</td>
<td>60.4±10.9*</td>
<td>55.2±7.6**</td>
<td>54.5±10.0</td>
<td>59.0±16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.47±0.03**</td>
<td>7.42±0.06**</td>
<td>7.34±0.08*</td>
<td>7.37±0.06**</td>
<td>7.39±0.05**</td>
<td>7.40±0.06**</td>
<td>7.37±0.08**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_{plat}, cm H2O</td>
<td>12.6±2.4*</td>
<td>24.5±4.5**</td>
<td>30.8±1.8*</td>
<td>24.8±1.8**</td>
<td>20.6±2.0**</td>
<td>20.4±3.5**</td>
<td>23.2±4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F_{mean}, cm H2O</td>
<td>5.5±0.8*</td>
<td>9.6±0.9**</td>
<td>25.3±3.5*</td>
<td>20.4±2.1**</td>
<td>15.6±0.5**</td>
<td>12.6±0.8**</td>
<td>10.5±1.2**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_{phy}, ml</td>
<td>93±31*</td>
<td>111±21*</td>
<td>100±16*</td>
<td>94±12*</td>
<td>95±16*</td>
<td>108±19*</td>
<td>110±20*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_{Dalv}, ml</td>
<td>48±20*</td>
<td>120±48**</td>
<td>31±10*</td>
<td>26±11*</td>
<td>34±7*</td>
<td>39±13*</td>
<td>56±15*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_{Danat}, ml</td>
<td>56±14**</td>
<td>55±11**</td>
<td>82±13*</td>
<td>73±13*</td>
<td>62±10*</td>
<td>55±8*</td>
<td>50±9**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as means ± SD. ZEEP = Zero end-expiratory pressure; PaCO2 = arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide; P_{mean} = mean airway pressure. * p < 0.05 vs. ARDS; ** p < 0.05 vs. PEEP 20 cm H2O.

Table 3. Hemodynamic data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>ARDS</th>
<th>PEEP 20 cm H2O</th>
<th>PEEP 16 cm H2O</th>
<th>PEEP 12 cm H2O</th>
<th>PEEP 8 cm H2O</th>
<th>PEEP 4 cm H2O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO, l/min</td>
<td>6.3±0.7*</td>
<td>5.3±0.7</td>
<td>5.6±1.1</td>
<td>5.8±1.5**</td>
<td>5.7±1.3**</td>
<td>5.4±1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP, mm Hg</td>
<td>117±17**</td>
<td>110±17**</td>
<td>93±5.0*</td>
<td>96±12*</td>
<td>105±12**</td>
<td>112±13**</td>
<td>127±21**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPAP, mm Hg</td>
<td>15.6±3.7**</td>
<td>25.5±8.2</td>
<td>25.3±3.4</td>
<td>24.4±3.6</td>
<td>24.4±3.6</td>
<td>27.6±6.4</td>
<td>33.6±9.2**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_{O2}, %</td>
<td>81.1±4.2**</td>
<td>62.6±10.7</td>
<td>70.1±4.3</td>
<td>74.7±3.1**</td>
<td>76.7±3.1**</td>
<td>74.6±7.1**</td>
<td>66.2±13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO2, ml/min</td>
<td>822±162**</td>
<td>575±150**</td>
<td>696±164*</td>
<td>811±251*</td>
<td>826±267*</td>
<td>780±265*</td>
<td>710±247</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as means ± SD. ZEEP = Zero end-expiratory pressure; MAP = mean systemic arterial pressure; mPAP = mean pulmonary artery pressure; CO = cardiac output; S_{O2} = mixed venous oxygen saturation; DO2 = oxygen delivery. * p < 0.05 vs. ARDS; ** p < 0.05 vs. PEEP 20 cm H2O.

of PEEP-induced lung recruitment in oleic acid-induced lung injury. The most likely explanation for the divergent results is that we titrated PEEP after full lung recruitment, whereas Rylander et al. [22] and Lambermont et al. [23] did not perform an RM. Luecke et al. [24] observed that the inflation and recruitment curves were nearly identical for the inflation limb of the pressure-volume curve, while there was a marked difference between the deflation and derecruitment curves on the deflation limb of the pressure-volume curve in both oleic acid and lavage-induced lung injury. Hence, without an RM, the increment change in EELV induced by PEEP is highly associated with lung recruitment, whereas after full lung recruitment, the decrement change in EELV...
caused by a reduction in PEEP from high levels reflects the deflation of ventilated lung units and the alleviation of overly aerated lung regions, but not alveolar derecruitment.

Concerns have been voiced about the potential risk of hemodynamic impairment during the open lung strategy. Our data showed that PEEP had significant impact on mean arterial pressure and cardiac output, especially at PEEP 20 cm H₂O. However, at the PEEP level of the lowest \( V_D/VT \) or \( S_{O_2} \), not only the hemodynamics but also global oxygen delivery and mixed venous oxygen saturation were significantly increased, implying the improvement in global oxygen delivery and consumption. Accordingly, the PEEP level titrated by volumetric capnography may be a valuable bedside approach to determine the optimal PEEP.

**Conclusions**

In lavage-induced lung injury, PEEP at the lowest \( V_D/VT \) and \( S_{O_2} \) corresponded to a balance between alveolar recruitment and overinflation. Hence, volumetric capnography can provide sufficient lung protection and oxygen metabolism.

**Limitations**

There are some limitations to this study. First, the saline lavage model used in this study induces severe surfactant depletion and is characterized by high recruitability. However, lung recruitability is variable in patients with acute lung injury/ARDS [25]. Therefore, these results should be interpreted cautiously when applied to these patients. Second, time intervals of 10 min at each PEEP may not have allowed stable measurements, particularly for the CO₂ exchange. However, a recent study showed that the elimination of CO₂ reached a new stable period within 5 min of a PEEP change [26].
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