Predicting Visual Outcome following Surgery for Idiopathic Macular Holes
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Introduction

Idiopathic macular hole (MH) is one of the major vitreoretinal disorders that cause metamorphopsia and poor central vision in the elderly. MHS are more common in women [1, 2], and the estimated incidence is reported to be 7.8 new cases per 100,000 population per year [3]. Since Kelly and Wendel first reported the successful closure of idiopathic MH with pars plana vitrectomy and fluid-gas exchange in 1991 [4], several modifications have been made to improve surgical outcomes. The introduction of internal limiting membrane (ILM) peeling to MH surgery improved the closure rate dramatically [5, 6]. Triamcinolone acetonide is now almost routinely used to visualize the vitreous body, contributing to better removal of the residual posterior vitreous cortex and a decrease in postoperative ocular inflammation [7]. Microincision vitrectomy surgery has decreased the operating time, reduced postoperative inflammation and astigmatism formation, and improved patient comfort [8]. Furthermore, multiple lines of evidence have revealed that postoperative face-down positioning can be shortened or is even unnecessary in patients with a small MH, which considerably alleviates patient suffering [9, 10]. With the improved closure rate and decreased surgery-related risks and distress, the degree of postoperative visual recovery
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Abstract
Since Kelly and Wendel [Arch Ophthalmol 1991;109:654–659] first reported successfully treating macular holes (MHS) using pars plana vitrectomy in 1991, MH surgery has been constantly improved. For example, introducing the removal of the internal limiting membrane considerably increased the closure rate of MHS, and the advent of microincision vitrectomy surgery reduced surgical trauma and decreased patient discomfort after surgery. As modern MH surgery can achieve a higher anatomical success rate and alleviate patients’ postoperative distress, postoperative visual outcomes have lately become the primary concern. Informing patients of the expected visual acuity and visual improvement before surgery is ideal, but predicting postoperative visual outcomes is difficult because a large number of factors are associated with them. In this paper, we review previous studies and provide accumulating evidence for the relationship between individual prognostic factors and visual outcomes after MH surgery.

© 2013 S. Karger AG, Basel

Ophthalmologica
Review
Ophthalmologica 2014;231:125–132
DOI: 10.1159/000355492
Received: September 2, 2013
Accepted: September 5, 2013
Published online: November 26, 2013

© 2013 S. Karger AG, Basel
0030–3755/13/2313–0125$38.00/0
www.karger.com/oph

KARGER
E-Mail karger@karger.com
www.karger.com/oph

Sentaro Kusuhara, MD, PhD
Division of Ophthalmology, Department of Surgery
Kobe University Graduate School of Medicine
7-5-2 Kusunoki-cho, Chuo-ku, Kobe 650-0017 (Japan)
E-Mail kusu@med.kobe-u.ac.jp
has become a chief concern for modern MH surgery. As ophthalmologists are responsible for informing patients of their expected visual recovery before surgery, we have reviewed the preoperative predictive factors for visual outcome following idiopathic MH surgery in this paper.

**MH Surgery**

Because vitreous surgery for idiopathic MH is composed of several distinct steps, there are many technical variants that seem to be important in discussing preoperative predictive factors. Accordingly, we first briefly review the possible effects of each variant on the visual outcome.

**Intraocular Tamponade Agents and Postoperative Face-Down Posturing**

There has been controversy concerning the selection of the intraocular tamponade agent and the optimum duration of face-down posturing following MH surgery [9–11]. Two comparative studies in the 1990s showed that the use of long-acting C₃F₈ gas and prolonged prone positioning (for more than 2 weeks) provided a better improvement in visual acuity and a higher rate of MH closure [12, 13]. However, later trials found that a short tamponade by short-acting gases and shorter face-down posturing (for up to 10 days) provided anatomical and visual outcomes comparable to those associated with a longer tamponade [5, 14–16]. Moreover, two recent multicentre randomised controlled trials (RCTs) suggested that no face-down posturing was necessary for small MHs (<400 μm) [15, 16].

**ILM Peeling and Adjuvant**

ILM removal during MH surgery is widely accepted to significantly improve the likelihood of hole closure [6, 17, 18]. Although the benefit of ILM peeling on the visual outcome had long been unclear, one recent RCT concluded that there was no difference in distant visual acuity at 6 postoperative months between the ILM-peel and no-ILM-peel groups [19]. Nevertheless, ILM peeling is still thought to be important, especially in eyes with large MHs, because ILM removal facilitates the anatomic closure of large MHs, although it is not always associated with good visual recovery [18]. Recently, in a prospective randomised study of 102 eyes with large MHs (>400 μm), Michalewska et al. [20] reported the inverted ILM flap technique, which improved both the functional and anatomical outcomes compared with standard ILM removal.

Because peeling of the colourless thin ILM is a challenging manoeuvre even for experienced vitreoretinal surgeons, several adjuvants are intraoperatively used to facilitate ILM removal. Indocyanine green (ICG) is a fluorescent dye that formerly gained widespread use to visualise the ILM. However, there were concerns regarding the safety of ICG use [21], and recent meta-analyses of ILM peeling in MH surgery showed that visual outcomes were significantly worse in the group with ICG application [22, 23]. Trypan blue and triamcinolone acetonide have been used to replace ICG [24–27], but there are reports suggesting retinal toxic effects associated with these agents [28–30]. The recently introduced brilliant blue G (BBG) is thought to be a promising dye. In a rat model of subretinal injection, BBG did not cause any detectable toxic effects on retinal cells, whereas ICG and trypan blue caused retinal degeneration [31]. Moreover, the BBG dye acts as a P2RX7 antagonist and can mediate neuroprotective effects on retinal neurons [32, 33]. As the favourable effects of BBG dye use in MH surgery on visual outcomes have been confirmed in several comparative studies [34, 35], the benefits of BBG use should be evaluated in an RCT.

**Microincision Vitrectomy Surgery**

Since Fujii et al. [36] first successfully performed a sutureless transconjunctival vitrectomy using commercially available 25-gauge instruments, microincision vitrectomy surgery gained popularity throughout the past decade because of the advantages over the conventional 20-gauge approach, including shorter surgery time, increased patient comfort and rapid vision recovery [8]. There have been several studies focusing on the effects of gauge selection on visual outcomes following MH surgery. In a comparative study of 47 MH cases, 25-gauge vitrectomy yielded similar visual outcomes, visual acuity and visual improvement at 6 postoperative months to those of 23-gauge vitrectomy [37]. In another comparative study of 72 MH cases, the 23-gauge vitrectomy group had a visual acuity improvement similar to the improvement in the 20-gauge vitrectomy group [38]. In a prospective study of 23 MH cases, the difference in the final visual acuity was not significant between 23- and 20-gauge vitrectomy [39]. A case series reported that 27-gauge MH surgery was feasible, although the advantage in visual outcomes compared with the other microincision vitrectomy surgeries remains elusive [40].

**Others**

In a case series of 29 MH eyes, triamcinolone acetonide use for the visualisation of the posterior hyaloid during
MH surgery did not have a significant effect on the average improvement of visual acuity [41]. A cohort study of 74 eyes demonstrated that the surgical time did not increase the risk of the progression of nuclear sclerosis following MH surgery, although vitrectomy itself was highly associated with cataract progression [42]. The temporal visual field defect is a severe complication of MH surgery that may be caused by mechanical or dehydration injury from air streaming during the fluid-air exchange. Several case series have demonstrated that this visual field defect can be reduced using humidified air or a low air pressure [43, 44].

Preoperative Predictive Factors

There is a large volume of literature regarding the predictors of the visual results following MH surgery. We focus on preoperative predictive factors in this review.

Stage of MH

The stage of MH is an important prognostic factor for postoperative visual acuity [6]. In a meta-analysis, a postoperative visual acuity of 6/12 or better was achieved in 65.9% of the stage 2 MH group. This figure is significantly higher than in the stage 3 and 4 MH group (15.0%) [45]. A multiple regression analysis using the RCT data of the Moorfields Macular Hole Study also concluded that the advanced stages had a worse visual outcome [46].

Duration of Symptoms

Eyes with a shorter duration of symptoms have been well recognised to have a better visual outcome after MH surgery. In a series of 58 eyes treated with pars plana vitrectomy with ILM removal and air tamponade, a final visual acuity of 20/50 or better was achieved in 60% of cases with a duration of less than 6 months and in 31% of cases with a duration of 6 months or longer [5]. Several lines of evidence have shown that the chance of recovering good visual acuity following MH surgery is decreased if the duration of symptoms is longer than 12 months [47–50]. In a series including 55 cases, the percentage of eyes reaching a postoperative visual acuity of 6/12 or better was 52% in the cases with a duration of 6 months or shorter, 67% in the cases with a duration of 6–12 months, and 16% in the cases with a duration of longer than 12 months [50]. In a retrospective review of 24 chronic MH eyes with a duration of 1–3 years, 25% of the eyes had a visual acuity of 6/12 or better at 6 postoperative months [48]. In another case series of 23 chronic MH eyes with a duration of more than 1 year, only 8.7% of the treated eyes achieved a visual acuity of better than 20/40 [49]. In a meta-analysis investigating the effects of the duration of symptoms on the surgical outcomes, there was no significant difference in the visual outcomes in the cases with stage 2 MHs. In contrast, the duration of symptoms was a significant determinant of postoperative visual acuity in the cases with stage 3 or 4 MHs. Although the average duration of symptoms for the eyes that succeeded in attaining a postoperative visual acuity of 6/12 or better was 3.2 months, the average duration of symptoms for the eyes that failed was 7.4 months [45].

Preoperative Visual Acuity

Preoperative visual acuity is one of the most important prognostic factors used in clinical practice. In general, eyes with better preoperative visual acuity have more chance to attain better postoperative visual acuity, whereas eyes with worse preoperative visual acuity are likely to gain better visual improvements [48, 50–52]. In an attempt to identify the factors influencing visual success in MH surgery, one study analysed 389 reported cases of MH surgery. In that study, the percentage of cases with a postoperative visual acuity of 6/12 or better was significantly higher in the cases with a preoperative visual acuity greater than 6/60, and the rate of 2 or more lines of improvement in visual acuity was significantly higher in the cases with a preoperative visual acuity of 6/60 or worse [45].

Size of the MH

The preoperative size of the MH is known to be inversely correlated with visual outcomes: eyes with a larger diameter hole had worse visual outcomes [46]. In the investigation of 91 eyes enrolled in The Vitrectomy for Macular Hole Study Group, stepwise regression analysis revealed that the preoperative hole size was the only predictor of postoperative visual acuity [53]. Traditionally, MH size had been estimated based on biomicroscopic observation or photographic evaluation. As imaging technology developed and became applicable to fundus assessment, more attempts were made to measure MH size precisely [54, 55]. In 2002, Ip et al. [56] first used optical coherence tomography (OCT), the most common method used today, to quantify MH size. In a retrospective study of 40 eyes, a trend was found that greater visual acuity improvement was achieved in MHs smaller than 400 μm. Moreover, in a prospective study of 94 eyes, MH size measured by OCT was identified as being negatively correlated with postoperative visual acuity [57]. Gupta et al. [52] also reported that OCT-based preoperative MH size is a significant predictor of visual success.
As OCT can produce a high-quality retinal image in a non-invasive manner, OCT imaging became essential in daily practice for the assessment of macular disorders, including MH. Consequently, numerous attempts have been made to utilise the OCT parameters obtained from MH eyes to predict the visual outcomes (fig. 1). Table 1 shows the representative OCT parameters repeatedly discussed in previous papers in terms of their potential as preoperative predictors for postoperative visual outcomes. The minimum diameter of MH, also known as the minimum linear dimension of MH, is identical to the above-mentioned MH size, one of the most studied OCT parameters so far [52, 56–64]. Commonly, a smaller minimum diameter is associated with better postoperative visual acuity, irrespective of the presence/absence of a statistical significance. For example, in a retrospective study of 132 eyes, the predicted probability of visual success (better than 20/40) was 93% in patients less than 60 years of age with a minimum diameter <350 μm and a preoperative logMAR visual acuity ≥0.6 [52]. The basal hole diameter is a linear dimension of MH at the level of the retinal pigment epithelium layer. The predictive trend of the basal hole diameter for visual outcomes is almost the same as the minimum diameter. The smaller the basal hole diameter becomes, the better the postoperative visual acuity is. In a retrospective study of 50 eyes, the odds of visual success (postoperative visual acuity ≥6/12) decreased by 10% for every 26-μm increase in the basal hole diameter [61]. However, there was a difference in the predictive performance between these two OCT parameters. In a retrospective case series of 38 eyes that were followed up for at least 5 years after surgery, the minimum diameter showed a significant correlation with the final visual acuity, whereas the basal hole diameter did not [58]. Although both the minimum diameter and basal hole diameter appear to be widely accepted as helpful for predicting visual outcomes following MH surgery, one report giving a contrary conclusion should be kept in mind. In a case study of 38 eyes, there was no significant correlation between the basal hole diameter and visual acuity [58].

OCT Parameter and Index
As OCT can produce a high-quality retinal image in a non-invasive manner, OCT imaging became essential in daily practice for the assessment of macular disorders, including MH. Consequently, numerous attempts have been made to utilise the OCT parameters obtained from MH eyes to predict the visual outcomes (fig. 1). Table 1 shows the representative OCT parameters repeatedly discussed in previous papers in terms of their potential as preoperative predictors for postoperative visual outcomes. The minimum diameter of MH, also known as the minimum linear dimension of MH, is identical to the above-mentioned MH size, one of the most studied OCT parameters so far [52, 56–64]. Commonly, a smaller minimum diameter is associated with better postoperative visual acuity, irrespective of the presence/absence of a statistical significance. For example, in a retrospective study of 132 eyes, the predicted probability of visual success (better than 20/40) was 93% in patients less than 60 years of age with a minimum diameter <350 μm and a preoperative logMAR visual acuity ≥0.6 [52]. The basal hole diameter is a linear dimension of MH at the level of the retinal pigment epithelium layer. The predictive trend of the basal hole diameter for visual outcomes is almost the same as the minimum diameter. The smaller the basal hole diameter becomes, the better the postoperative visual acuity is. In a retrospective study of 50 eyes, the odds of visual success (postoperative visual acuity ≥6/12) decreased by 10% for every 26-μm increase in the basal hole diameter [61]. However, there was a difference in the predictive performance between these two OCT parameters. In a retrospective case series of 38 eyes that were followed up for at least 5 years after surgery, the minimum diameter showed a significant correlation with the final visual acuity, whereas the basal hole diameter did not [58]. Although both the minimum diameter and basal hole diameter appear to be widely accepted as helpful for predicting visual outcomes following MH surgery, one report giving a contrary conclusion should be kept in mind. In a case study of 38 eyes, there was no significant correlation between the basal hole diameter and visual acuity [58].
series of 21 eyes that underwent MH surgery with C3F8 gas tamponade and autologous platelet injection, no correlation was found between the minimum diameter and the visual acuity or visual improvement at 6 postoperative months [63]. The hole height is another preoperative OCT parameter, defined as the greatest distance between the retinal pigment epithelium layer and the vitreoretinal interface. Previous studies concluded that there is no significant relationship between the hole height and postoperative visual outcomes [58, 59, 61, 63–65], with the exception of one retrospective study showing a negative correlation between the hole height and visual acuity more than 5 years after MH surgery [58]. The photoreceptor inner segment/outer segment (IS/OS) junction line can be better recognised as a hyperreflective band by spectral domain OCT imaging, and the relationship between the length of the IS/OS junction defect and visual outcomes was recently investigated; although there is a debate as to whether this hyperreflective band corresponds to the IS/OS junction [66], the term ‘IS/OS junction’ is used in this review. The predictive performance of the IS/OS junction defect length for visual outcomes varies across the studies [64, 65, 67–70]. There are studies reporting that the preoperative IS/OS junction defect length is associated with the postoperative macular sensitivity and visual acuity [67, 68]. However, in a retrospective case series of 51 eyes, no significant correlation was found between the preoperative IS/OS junction defect length and visual acuity up to 12 postoperative months [70]. We also investigated 50 MH eyes and proved that the preoperative IS/OS defect length can predict visual success (visual acuity ≥20/28) at 6 postoperative months. With a cut-off value of 1,500 μm, the specificity and sensitivity were 76 and 64%, respectively [64]. The hole form factor (HFF) is the first calculated OCT index used as a prognostic factor [57]. The HFF is the quotient of the summation of the left and right arm lengths divided by the basal hole diameter. The HFF is reported to be positively correlated with the postoperative visual acuity, but the correlation is weaker than those for the minimum diameter and the basal hole diameter [57, 58]. We proposed the MH index (MHI) as an intuitive predictor for visual outcome following MH surgery [71]. The MHI is defined as the ratio of the hole height to the basal hole diameter and is reported to be positively correlated to the postoperative visual acuity in several studies [59, 61, 64, 65]. We also found that eyes with an MHI value ≥0.5 had better visual acuity than those with an MHI value <0.5, which seems to be of great help in the clinical use of the MHI [71]. The tractional hole index (THI), defined as the ratio of the hole height to the minimum diameter, is another OCT index tested as a predictor for visual outcome. Ruiz-Moreno et al. [59] retrospectively examined 46 eyes and found that the THI significantly correlated with visual acuity at 3 postoperative months and that a THI value >1.41 is a predictive factor for a good visual prognosis (improvement of 2 or more Snellen lines). However, later studies failed to prove the significant predictive performance of the THI [61, 64]. Other OCT parameters have also been tested as predictors of visual outcomes, including the external limiting membrane line defect length and the cone outer segment tips line defect length [59–61, 65, 68–70, 72].

As described above, many OCT-based predictors have been proposed so far, and it should be borne in mind that the results for these predictors vary across the studies. There are a multitude of factors that could cause this difference, such as OCT resolution. We recently reported that the reproducibility of the OCT measurement and possible off-centred OCT imaging can affect the predictive performance of each OCT parameter [64].

**Others**

A prospective study of 27 eyes revealed that pattern reversal visual evoked potential P100 latency and pattern electroretinogram N35 latency were significantly associated with visual outcomes [73]. In another prospective study involving 74 cases, both visual acuity and visual improvement at 6 postoperative months were greater when the visual acuity of the fellow eye was less than 20/200 [74]. Several retrospective case-control studies clarified that highly myopic eyes tended to have worse vision after MH surgery [75, 76]. Regarding the effect of the failure of prior MH surgery, Thompson and Sjaarda [77] retrospectively reviewed 16 patients with 2 failed MH surgeries and found that there was little or no benefit except for cases with at least 1 temporarily successful surgery. A RCT of 185 cases also reported that eyes in which the MH was closed after a second operation had slightly worse visual acuity [46]. A few research groups assessed the relationship between preoperative microperimetry values and visual outcomes, claiming that preoperative retinal sensitivity and fixation status are significantly correlated with the visual prognosis [62, 78, 79].

**Future Direction**

Progress in surgical technology is likely to continue to improve the visual prognosis in eyes with MHS as vitreous surgery remains a mainstay of treatment. However, ocriplasmin, a truncated form of the human serine protease...
plasmin, recently became available for the treatment of MHs, which means that MH patients now have a non-surgical treatment option [80]. Accordingly, surgical indications for MHs will become an important topic from now on. Therefore, predicting visual outcomes precisely will become increasingly important. Future advancement of the evaluation methods could contribute greatly to this prediction.
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