Pulmonary Complications following Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy: A Prospective Study
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Abstract

Introduction: Pulmonary complications may occur in the post-operative period and are a significant cause of morbidity and mortality in patients undergoing anesthesia and surgery. Complication rates vary according to different procedures and different types of anesthesia and may be affected by the patient condition. The purpose of this study was to examine pulmonary complications following percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL) and to search for associations between the pre- and intra-operative factors and the risk of post-operative pulmonary complications (PPC).

Patients and Methods: This was a prospective observational study of 100 consecutive adult patients who underwent PCNL surgery. We collected data of the patient, surgery and anesthesia and analyzed it to find correlations with PPC.

Results: Eight (8%) patients had PPC following PCNL, 7 patients had pneumothorax and 1 had atelectasis and pleural effusion. The latter patient died at post-operative day 24 due to respiratory failure. It was found that patients who had PCNL on the right kidney were at lower risk for PPC. In addition it was found that younger patients had a higher incidence of PPC.

Conclusions: Based on this study the most common type of post-operative complication following PCNL is pulmonary, with pneumothorax being the main complication. PPC may result in patient mortality. The side of the operation and the patient’s age might affect the risk of PPC.
Table 1. Data of the surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surgery on</th>
<th>Patients, n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right side</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left side</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surgical approach</th>
<th>Patients, n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper-pole</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not upper-pole</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients who had blood transfusion during surgery</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duration of surgery, hours</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.9 (1.5–6.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patient was examined by an urologist and an anesthesiologist, who decided on the patient’s American Society of Anesthesiologist Class (ASA) [9] and recorded the demographic data on the patient’s file. One hour before the surgery the patient was pre-medicated with oral metoclopramide 10 mg and diazepam 10 mg. On arrival at the operating room, the patient was monitored with non-invasive blood pressure, electrocardiogram and pulse-oximeter, and intravenous access and arterial line were established. The epidural catheter was inserted in the midline approach, at the level of L1–2. General anesthesia was induced with intravenous propofol (2–3 mg/kg) and fentanyl (0.001–0.002 mg/kg), followed by vecuronium (0.08 mg/kg). After endotracheal intubation and tube fixation were accomplished in the supine position, the patient was advanced to the distal end of the operating table and moved to the lithotomy position for cystoscopy and insertion of the ureter-catheter under radiographic guidance. Then the patient was turned to the prone position for the percutaneous nephrolithotomy. Under fluoroscopy, an 18 Fr needle was used to access the collecting system and a guide wire was inserted to the collection system. Thereafter, the tract was dilated to 25 Fr, an access sheath was inserted, and a rigid nephroscope and ultrasonic lithoclast (Olympus Corp., Japan) were used for stone destruction and removal. Following complete destruction of the stone, a 22 Fr nephrostomy tube was inserted (Cook Medical, Ireland). After completion of the procedure, the patient was turned to the supine position and extubated. Following extubation the patient was transferred to the post-anesthesia care unit (PACU), where he/she stayed for 2 hours or more, and was treated with analgesics. The patient’s oxygen saturation was recorded and a chest X-ray was performed. In case of pneumothorax, a chest drain was inserted. The patient was transferred to the ward with oxygen supplement through nasal canula or face mask, depending on the oxygen saturation at the time of discharge from PACU.

Data was collected for each patient concerning patient demographics, pre- and intra-operative parameters, and post-operative consequences. Statistical analysis was done using SigmaStat 3.5 (SYSTAT Software, Inc., Ca, USA). Parametric data were summarized as mean and standard deviation (± SD), and non-parametric data were summarized as median and range.

Results

One hundred consecutive patients were included in the study, 46 male and 54 female patients. The mean age was 54 ± 16 years. Mean weight was 78.8 ± 16.2 kg. ASA class distribution was: ASA I = 5 patients; ASA II = 61; ASA III = 30; ASA IV = 4 patients.

Seven patients had pre-operative chronic lung disease, 20 patients were smokers, 13 patients had a pre-operative blood creatinine level above normal and 23 patients had nephrostomy. Data about the operation is shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients who had blood transfusion</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duration of surgery, hours</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.9 (1.5–6.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-Operative Pulmonary Complications

Eight (8%) patients had pulmonary complications, 7 of them had pneumothorax which was managed with a chest drain. One patient had atelectasis and pleural effusion and died on the 24th post-operative day due to respiratory failure. Pneumothorax was suspected during surgery in 2 patients and proved to be wrong by routine chest X-rays in PACU.

The mean duration that a patient stayed in PACU was 2.8 ± 1.0 hours. Eleven patients were transferred to the ward without oxygen supplements, 70 patients with oxygen through nasal canulae, 18 with a face mask and 1 patient with a reservoir mask. Mean duration of hospitalization was 8.9 ± 4.7 days (range 3–34 days). Five patients had post-operative complications others than pulmonary: 2 had chest pain, 2 had sepsis and 1 had acute kidney bleeding.

Three patients died within 1 month of surgery, 1 with pulmonary complication, 1 had cardiac arrest on the 5th post-operative day, and 1 died on the 18th post-operative day due to klebsiela sepsis. Overall surgically related mortality was 3% while the mortality rate in patients with PPC was 12.5%. Table 2 summarizes the occurrence of PPC and other factors.

Other factors that were assessed, such as blood sodium level, baseline hematocrite, and arterial blood gas results, and were found to have no correlation to the occurrence of PPC in PCNL patients.

Discussion

In this study we found that the main post-operative complication following PCNL was pulmonary, affecting 8% of the patients, while 5% of the patients had post-operative complications that were not pulmonary. The most common PPC was pneumothorax, which was managed
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with a chest drain in all the patients. One patient had atal-
ectasis and pleural effusion with a fatal outcome. It was
found that patients who had PCNL on the right side were
at significantly lower risk for PPC. This may be the result
of the difference in right and left kidney anatomy, spe-
cifically the kidney’s level/height. Since the right kidney
is ‘lower’ than the left, getting to its upper pole is easier
than with the left kidney.

It was also found that younger patients had higher
risk for PPC, with borderline significance of 0.05. This
is contrary to published studies that found that elderly
patients suffer higher rates of PPC [2, 7, 10]. A possible
explanation for this discrepancy is that surgeons tend to
be more aggressive when the patient is younger, thus the
procedure is more hazardous. To determine the truth of
this, a prospective randomized study would have to be
conducted, where each and every patient would be sub-
jected to the technical characteristics that were previous-
ly selected, such as the approach: upper-pole or not.

Approaching the kidney from the upper pole has ad-
vantages, although it may be more difficult to perform
and supposedly more injurious. Most of the patients in
our study (79%) had the upper-pole approach, although
no significant difference in PPC occurrence was found
between patients who had their upper pole approached
and the others. Nevertheless, since the main complica-
tion was pneumothorax, it is reasonable to suspect that
approaching the upper pole played a role in its occur-
rence. In their retrospective study Netto et al. [11] found
that the upper-pole approach carried a slight increase in
the incidence of acceptable complications. Shilo et al.
[12] published a similar study which found that upper
and multiple access approaches were associated with a
higher overall incidence of pleural effusion compared
with the lower-pole access. The CROES Percutaneous
Nephrolithotomy Global Study that examined the differ-
ence between upper- and lower-pole accesses found that
the upper-pole access was associated with a higher inci-
dence of hydrothorax [13].

Patient selection may also play a role in the complica-
tion rate [14]. In their review of complications in PCNL,
Michel et al. [15] emphasized the importance of correct
selection of patients in order to improve outcome, since
cocombidity increases the risk of complications. How-
ever, this approach is not unanimous [16]. In our study
we found that previous chronic lung disease or history of
smoking had no significant effect on the PPC rate. Also,
patient’s ASA class, as an indicator of patient’s general
health, was not significantly different in patients with or
without PPC. Our conclusions are that PCNL should not
be avoided in patients with co-morbidity. Individually
managed intra- and post-operative care should be cus-
tomized to the patient and his/her condition and diseases,
thus keeping the complication rate reasonable in all pa-
tients.

### Table 2. Association between pre- and intra-operative factors and PPC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Patients with PPC (n = 8)</th>
<th>Patients without PPC (n = 92)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male/female</td>
<td>3/5</td>
<td>43/49</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>43 ± 22</td>
<td>55 ± 15</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>71.7 ± 12.4</td>
<td>79.5 ± 16.4</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA class: I / II / III / IV</td>
<td>0 / 7 / 1 / 0</td>
<td>5 / 54 / 29 / 4</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with chronic lung disease</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>7 (7.6%)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokers</td>
<td>3 (37.5%)</td>
<td>17 (18.5%)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with blood creatinine above normal values</td>
<td>2 (25%)</td>
<td>11 (11.2%)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with nephrostomy</td>
<td>1 (12.5%)</td>
<td>22 (23.9%)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCNL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right side</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40 (43.5%)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left side</td>
<td>7 (87.5%)</td>
<td>44 (47.8%)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>1 (12.5%)</td>
<td>8 (8.7%)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of surgery, hours</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical approach: upper-pole</td>
<td>7 (87.5%)</td>
<td>72 (78.2%)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation fluid volume, L</td>
<td>23.6 ± 11.4</td>
<td>19.3 ± 13.1</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients who had blood transfusion during surgery</td>
<td>1 (12.5%)</td>
<td>9 (9.7%)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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