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Dear Sir,

Myasthenia gravis (MG) is an antibody-mediated, neuromuscular transmission disorder. Its clinical manifestations range from ocular myasthenia, which can be visually disabling, to myasthenic crisis, with patients experiencing life-threatening respiratory insufficiencies [1]. Several effective medical treatments are available for the ocular symptoms of MG [2–6]; however, physicians often struggle to treat patients with longstanding blepharoptosis [7–9]. Moreover, although blepharoptosis surgery is occasionally applied in such cases [10, 11], the indications and clinical effectiveness of blepharoptosis surgery for MG patients remains unclear. In the present study, we retrospectively investigated the clinical features of blepharoptosis surgery by interviewing 19 Japanese patients with MG.

Methods

We examined 676 Japanese patients with MG (240 men, 436 women; mean age: 57.6 years) who were consecutively evaluated between April and July 2012 at the 11 neurological centers constituting the Japan MG Registry Study Group. All of the patients were interviewed about the clinical features of their MG and whether they had undergone blepharoptosis surgery. A total of 19 patients (2.8%; 7 men, 12 women; mean age: 65.7 years) had undergone blepharoptosis surgery, and were further evaluated by using a questionnaire.

Questions regarding the timing of the surgery (relative to MG onset), the ease of eyelid opening [over both the short term (≤1 year) and long term (>1 year), postoperatively], aesthetic outcome (scarring), and overall satisfaction with the surgery, and any noted complications. Three-point Likert scales were used to assess the ease of eyelid opening (much easier, easier, worse), scarring (very minimal, minimal, visible), and overall satisfaction with the surgery (very satisfied, satisfied, dissatisfied). To evaluate scarring, the patients answered the questionnaires with their attending neurologists in the out-patient clinics. The actual surgeons were not present to help in obtaining unbiased opinions from the patients. All clinical information was collected after the patients had provided written informed consent, and the study was approved by the institutional review boards of each hospital.

Results

The clinical features of MG and the postoperative status of these 19 patients are summarized in table 1. The time to surgery after the MG diagnosis ranged from 2 to 30 years. However, 5 patients (26%) underwent blepharoptosis surgery before being diagnosed with MG. The degree of short-term (≤1 year) postoperative eyelid opening, compared with the preoperative status, was much easier in 12 patients (63%) and easier in 7 patients (37%). The ease of long-term (>1 year) postoperative eyelid opening, compared with the preoperative status, was much easier in 7 patients (37%), easier in 10 patients (53%), and worse in 2 patients (11%). In terms of aesthetic outcomes, postoperative scarring was very minimal in 15 patients (79%), minimal in 2 patients (11%), and visible in 2 patients (11%). The overall satisfaction levels were ‘very satisfied’ in 11 patients (58%), ‘satis-
Table 1. Clinical features of the 19 MG patients who underwent blepharoptosis surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical features</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age of onset, years</td>
<td>22–79 (average: 65.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (m/f), n</td>
<td>7/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGFA, n</td>
<td>I: 8, II: 5, III: 4, IV: 1, V: 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AChR, n</td>
<td>Positive: 13, Negative: 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to surgery, n</td>
<td>Before MG diagnosis: 5, After MG diagnosis: &lt;2 years: 0, 2–5 years: 9, &gt;5 years: 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AChR = Acetylcholine receptor; MGFA = Myasthenia Gravis Foundation of America.

Discussion

Many patients with MG experience longstanding blepharoptosis, despite undergoing multiple medical procedures, and this condition substantially reduces the patient’s quality of life. Although several reports have indicated that blepharoptosis surgery is suitable in such cases, its frequency, effectiveness, and overall satisfaction levels remain unclear.

In the present study, 19 (2.8%) of the 676 MG patients underwent blepharoptosis surgery, a rate that is much lower than the first author (a plastic surgeon) had expected. A possible explanation for this unexpectedly low rate is that only a few reports and reviews have recommended blepharoptosis surgery as a suitable treatment for myasthenic blepharoptosis [10, 11]. However, our study revealed that blepharoptosis surgery has a role as a safe and effective treatment for longstanding myasthenic blepharoptosis. All of the patients reported easier postoperative eyelid opening within the first year, and 17 of the 19 patients (89%) reported easier eyelid opening over the long term. In addition, postoperative scarring was very minimal in the majority of patients (89%). Moreover, most patients (79%) were more than satisfied with their surgical outcomes.

Among the 4 patients (21%) who were dissatisfied with their surgical outcomes, 3 had undergone blepharoptosis surgery before being definitively diagnosed with MG. If the 5 patients who underwent the surgery before diagnosis were excluded, 11 (79%) among the 14 remaining patients were ‘very satisfied’, and 2 (14%) were ‘satisfied’. In terms of overall satisfaction, 14 (93%) of 15 patients were more than ‘satisfied’ with the outcome of the blepharoptosis surgery. The results hint that blepharoptosis surgery might be a good treatment choice for myasthenic blepharoptosis, although a limitation of our study is that only a small number of patients actually had the operation.

In the present study, major complications, such as lagophthalmos or exposure keratopathy, were not reported by any of the patients with MG. Although various minor complications are not entirely evident from the study, we believe that the postoperative complication rate might not be very different from those for patients without MG if a trained plastic surgeon performs the operation under close supervision of a neurologist and there has been an accurate diagnosis.

Through this multicenter study, we retrospectively found that the 19 operated patients were not selected for blepharoptosis surgery under explicit criteria. Hence, we propose here criteria for the surgical treatment of longstanding blepharoptosis based on our findings and institutional experience. We suggest that this procedure is indicated in cases where the MG patient is in a stable general condition, the MG patient has had at least 2 years of prior medical treatment by neurologists before surgery, the blepharoptosis is continuous and shows minimal circadian changes, the patient’s quality of life is severely disrupted by the blepharoptosis, and the surgery is to be performed by a trained surgeon under proper supervision of a neurologist.

Of the 676 MG patients retrospectively evaluated, 69 (10%) had a history of MG greater than 2 years from onset and had persistent blepharoptosis (a quantitative MG score of 3 for the blepharoptosis). Hence, these patients might fulfill our criteria for a blepharoptosis operation, and there may be more patients who are candidates for surgical intervention. We recommend that neurologists and surgeons collaborate more closely and discuss the comprehensive treatment plan to increase the quality of life of MG patients.
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