Eosinophilic Esophagitis: Asthma of the Esophagus?
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Abstract
The question whether eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE) might be an ‘asthma of the esophagus’ is reasonable. There are a number of similarities between the two diseases: EoE and asthma, as well as other atopic diseases, are frequently associated and have a number of similarities in their pathogenesis. Thus, investigating differences and similarities between the diseases might be a worthwhile endeavor. Both EoE and asthma are chronic immune-mediated conditions characterized by inflammatory changes in the mucosa and submucosa with a characteristic and diagnostic infiltration of eosinophils. They result in organ dysfunction with considerable morbidity and (in the case of asthma) even mortality. Asthma and EoE affect all ages, but frequently start in childhood or adolescence. While asthma has seen a large increase in its prevalence in the past 50 years, EoE was first described in the 1970s. Since then the frequency of the diagnosis of EoE has increased significantly. The prevalence for both diseases seems to be highest in the Western world. In contrast to asthma, where females are more often affected, EoE is more frequent in males. Asthma in children, however, is also more common in boys, but this changes after puberty. EoE is frequently associated with asthma, and up to 80% of patients with EoE are atopic, similar to childhood asthma. Adult-onset asthma is not necessarily associated with atopy (termed intrinsic asthma) and similar observations have been made for EoE. Endoscopically, asthmatic airway mucosa as well as esophageal mucosa in EoE can appear normal, and biopsies are required for diagnosis. Long-standing disease in asthma has been associated with ‘remodeling’ compared to predominantly reversible inflammatory changes early in the course of the disease. Similar observations have been made in EoE. Toxic proteins derived from eosinophils such as major basic protein, eosinophil-derived neurotoxin and eosinophil cationic protein can be found in the mucosa of both diseases, which are also characterized by a thickening of the lamina propria or basement membrane, respectively. Despite these histologic and immunochemistry findings, asthma as well as EoE remain clinical diagnoses, and diagnosing either condition can be challenging. Therapeutically, both diseases respond well to corticosteroids. Ironically, corticosteroids for inhalation are deliberately swallowed in EoE to reach the esophageal mucosa. Allergen/food avoidance can improve symptoms in asthma and EoE. Taken together, allergic asthma and EoE have a number of common features which make a common pathogenesis manifested in different organs for reasons not yet fully understood likely. Combining allergologic research with gastroenterologic and pneumologic expertise with a focus on similarities between these diseases might be a way forward.
Introduction

While bronchial asthma has been recognized for many decades with a sharp increase in prevalence in the last 30 years, thus gaining the attention of specialists as well as general practitioners, eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE) has only recently gained wider attention from a mainly specialized audience of pediatricians and gastroenterologists. Guidelines which address both diseases together do not exist. Yet, a number of similarities between the diseases make it worthwhile to compare these diseases according to their pathophysiology and pathogenesis.

Asthma is a disease defined by clinical, (patho)physiologic and pathologic characteristics. Its clinical history includes episodic dyspnea that frequently occurs at night and is often accompanied by coughing. Wheezing is the most common clinical sign, but in some patients coughing can be the only symptom. Pathophysiologically, patients with asthma have (episodic) airflow obstruction, expiratory flow limitation and a characteristic bronchial hyperresponsiveness to nonspecific stimuli such as cold air, second-hand smoke, fumes, dusts, etc. These findings are caused by inflammatory changes in the airways which include many different inflammatory cells and mediators, but also structural cells of the airways. Its pathogenesis is unclear: genetic as well as environmental influences have been described with no clear pattern of inheritance.

Similarities between Asthma and EoE

Both asthma and EoE are chronic immune-mediated and most likely antigen/allergen-driven conditions. They are characterized by inflammatory changes in the mucosa and submucosa with a typical infiltration by eosinophils that are diagnostic features of both diseases. This inflammation is believed to be the cause of the organ dysfunction observed in asthma as well as EoE that results in considerable morbidity and (in the case of asthma) even mortality. Both diseases appear to occur preferentially on an allergic background, but atopy is not a prerequisite for either illness.

Asthma and EoE can present with variable symptomatology. There are no specific or exclusive symptoms to either disease; therefore, the delay in diagnosis can be considerable, with EoE having an even larger time span between occurrence of symptoms and diagnosis [1]. Children with either condition commonly have a failure to thrive [2].

A genetic background has been proposed in both EoE and asthma, but direct proof is still missing. In asthma the genetic basis has been investigated in many studies and the genetic determinants of allergic asthma are, at best, multifaceted [3], while in EoE a genetic underpinning has been suspected based on SNPs for eotaxin, TSLP and the TSLP receptor [4–6]. Still asthma as well as EoE remain clinical diagnoses, and response to treatment is a valuable diagnostic tool in both diseases.

Asthma and EoE can affect patients at all ages, but both diseases often start during childhood and adolescence, with the highest prevalence found in the Western world. For asthma, several hypotheses have been put forward to explain the recent increase in prevalence as well as the fact that asthma is preferentially associated with features of the Western lifestyle. The most accepted hypothesis assumes a lack of exposure of the immune system of young children and/or their mothers to ubiquitous microbial antigens and/or unpasteurized cow’s milk, and a resulting failure of the adaptive immune system to differentiate between potentially harmful and harmless antigens [7, 8].

Due to the atopic background of many patients with asthma or EoE, seasonal worsening of symptoms is common [9, 10]. The fact that atopy is a systemic condition with the potential to manifest itself with disease-specific symptoms in several organs is also reflected by the fact that EoE is frequently associated with other atopic conditions such as asthma, allergic rhinitis, atopic dermatitis and food allergies [11]. Asthma is often preceded by food allergies in children, and similarly food allergies seem to be driving EoE in children, while in adults aeroallergens are more often associated with worsening of asthma as well as EoE [11]. Allergen avoidance often improves symptoms in both diseases, but it is not curative in either condition [12, 13]. However, adult onset of both diseases is not necessarily associated with atopy for which the term intrinsic asthma has been used in asthma [14].

Comparative Pathology: Similarities and Differences

Asthma and EoE can have macroscopically normal mucosa (although chronic EoE causes rather characteristic appearances of the esophagus), which, however, have dense infiltrates of eosinophils (including eosinophil microabscesses), mast cells and lymphocytes. The accumulation of mast cells in EoE has resulted in the suggestions to rename and/or redefine this disease. While mast cells in asthma have been associated with the early response to allergens, their role in the chronic manifestation of asth-
such as IL-5 indices of asthmatic inflammation such as activated T
an inverse relationship between pulmonary function and ionic proteins derived from eosinophils
phil activation as well as the concentrations of toxic cat-
response to therapy has been associated with eosino-
hand, there is quick recrudescence of symptoms after ces-
eosinophils is predictive of a good response to treatment
in EoE. While these latter changes are likely to contribute
to treatment-refractory disease, the inflammation with eosinophils is predictive of a good response to treatment
in the majority of cases of asthma or EoE. On the other
there is quick recrudescence of symptoms after ces-
In asthma, the degree of airflow obstruction as well as the response to therapy has been associated with eosino-
activation as well as the concentrations of toxic cationic proteins derived from eosinophils [17], and there is an inverse relationship between pulmonary function and indices of asthmatic inflammation such as activated T lymphocytes, eosinophil numbers and Th2 cytokines such as IL-5 [18].
Elevated numbers of mast cells with features of mast cell activation have been demonstrated in both asthma and EoE. In asthma, mast cells could potentially contribute chronically to organ dysfunction. Yet, histamine that is released from mast cells might not be the most important mediator since antihistamines are clinically useless in asthma and EoE. On the other hand, mast cells have been shown to release a number of cytokines, proteases and bioactive compounds that could contribute to chronic inflammation in both diseases [19]. Another striking similarity of asthma and EoE is the fact that the vast majority of these mast cells are carboxypeptidase A3 and tryptase high but chymase low [20, 21]. In EoE, mast cell-derived TGF-β has been shown to cause smooth muscle contraction [22], but TGF-β has also been reported to be associated with eosinophils in EoE [23] making the relative contributions of either cell population unclear. In asthma, elevated concentrations of TGF-β have been measured endobronchially [24], and a recent publication has described TGF-β- and MMP-2-dependent collagen-1 production as potential causes for airway remodeling [25].
Among the differences in the epidemiology, pathology and pathogenesis of the two diseases, it is noteworthy that asthma has had an almost epidemic increase in the past 50 years. The cause of this increase is unclear. EoE, on the contrary, was first described in the 1970s. While more boys than girls are affected by asthma during childhood, this ratio appears to change after puberty with a male:female ratio of approximately 2:3 in adulthood. In EoE, males have been reported to be more often affected than females [11].
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Topical Steroids
Both bronchial asthma and EoE respond to systemic and possibly even better to local glucocorticosteroid therapy.
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While asthma has been shown to respond to anti-IgE treatment in a number of studies which showed a reduc-
tion in the number of exacerbations [27], the response of EoE to this form of treatment has not been systematically investigated, and positive responses are limited to case reports [28]. While there was some symptomatic improvement in these patients, the number of eosinophils did not change. However, recent data in asthma has chal-
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with omalizumab have also been reported in so-
called intrinsic asthma. It has been hypothesized that ei-
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**Interleukin-13**

IL-13 has been measured in elevated concentrations in patients with asthma, and allergen challenge further increases local IL-13 production in asthma [30]. Recent studies in asthma have shown that anti-IL-13 treatment, especially in patients with a high IL-13 signature, as measured by periostin expression, can improve pulmonary function [31]. In EoE, an IL-13-induced EoE-specific transcriptome has been described which has been associated with an upregulation of eotaxin and periostin [32]. Furthermore, an IL-13-dependent decrease in filaggrin and involucrin has been reported for EoE [33], but this has not yet been investigated in biopsies from patients with asthma. Recent studies (in mice, however) have suggested that the esophageal eosinophilia in IL-13-deficient mice is IL-13 independent while the pulmonary eosinophilia is IL-13 dependent [34].

Although early studies using anti-IL-5 antibodies in asthma have not been able to show any relevant clinical response (most likely due to the selection of wrong endpoints) [35], a clinical improvement on anti-IL-5 treatment has been reported for EoE. However, there was no significant or meaningful difference compared to placebo in these investigations [36]. In asthma, recent studies using anti-IL-5 antibodies have shown a reduction in exacerbations [37] and even an improvement in lung function [38]. In EoE, a large symptomatic improvement has been reported after several doses of reslizumab. Again, there was a big placebo effect on EoE symptoms which led to a nonsignificant improvement using this anti-IL-5 strategy.

**Phenotypes and Endotypes**

Several phenotypes have been suggested for asthma, based on symptoms and other clinical observations. However, until today most of these phenotypes have been insufficiently separated from parameters of severity. While there are two clearly different clinical entities or phenotypes, namely allergic asthma and intrinsic asthma, it is unclear if other clinical parameters indeed define a separate phenotype. Based on sputum cytology, asthma can be further separated into eosinophilic, neutrophilic and paucigranulocytic phenotypes. These differences, however, might not reflect the true inflammation underlying these conditions. Furthermore, there is evidence that these 'phenotypes' are far from being stable. Many patients with so-called sputum-defined neutrophilic asthma will develop sputum eosinophilia when followed longitudinally [39]. Therefore, the diagnosis of asthma should be challenged in patients with persistent neutrophilia. Recently, ill-defined basic mechanisms which are still vaguely defined but which might cause the discussed phenotypes have been proposed. This concept, however, has yet to prove such basic differences [40] and therefore the concept of endotypes is still reminiscent to the tale of 'The Emperor’s New Clothes'. Whether there are forms of EoE which do not necessarily contain the number of eosinophils required to support or confirm the diagnosis is unclear. In asthma, allergic and intrinsic asthma can be separated based on a number of clinical and histological features (table 1).

In EoE, a nonatopic form has also been identified, but its pathogenetic background and differences to the allergic type are still largely unclear. In contrast to allergic asthma, patients with intrinsic asthma have been shown to have an IL-2-high, IL-4-low and CD23-low background, but both are characterized by elevated IL-5 concentrations. In contrast to allergic asthma, elevated numbers of activated, IL-2R-, HLA-DR-, and VLA-1-positive CD8-T cells have been described in intrinsic asthma [41], which has not been seen in allergic asthma, suggesting that patients with intrinsic asthma might have a chronic antigen exposure, possibly due to an endogenous antigen [41]. Similar mechanisms might be operating in nonallergic forms of EoE, but this has not been studied.

**Table 1. Clinical and histological features of allergic and intrinsic asthma [48]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Allergic asthma</th>
<th>Intrinsic asthma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Onset</td>
<td>&lt;30 years</td>
<td>&gt;40 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other atopic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>manifestations</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasonal symptoms</td>
<td>based on sensitization</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perennial symptoms</td>
<td>based on sensitization</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma attacks</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin prick tests</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total IgE</td>
<td>elevated</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific IgE</td>
<td>detectable</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophilia</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sputum eosinophilia</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic sinusitis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal/sinus polyps</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin-induced asthma</td>
<td>rarely</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response to therapy</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroid-free intervals</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroid requirement</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Some novel aspects in the pathogenesis of asthma that might also be of interest to EoE are the demonstration that upon antigen/allergen challenge there is a large increase in the number of dendritic cells, both of the myeloid as well as the plasmacytoid phenotype [42]. Dendritic cells play an important role in the regulation of inflammation, and targeting dendritic cells might have a therapeutic benefit. This requires further exploration and therefore similar investigations might be of interest to better understand the immune regulation in EoE, too.

EoE has been associated with esophageal dysmotility, which has been attributed to structural changes in the submucosa leading to impaired movements of the esophageal wall. In asthma, airway dysfunction which leads to airway narrowing has been attributed in part to airway inflammation and also in part to structural as well as neurogenic changes [43]. Together, these changes have been associated with the characteristic bronchial hyperresponsiveness in asthma. Recently, the demonstration of increased concentrations of nerve growth factors has lent support to the hypothesis that neuronal changes might be intimately involved in the characteristic bronchial hyperresponsiveness in these patients. Upon allergen challenge, nerve growth factors with their potential to modulate the autonomous nervous system in the lungs increase considerably 18 h following allergen challenge [44]. While this alone might be sufficient to change autonomic nerve functions in the airways, it has also been shown that endobronchial, but not peripheral blood, eosinophils’ survival is markedly enhanced in the presence of these nerve growth factors [45]. This suggests that allergen exposure not only leads to the release of factors which can influence the autonomic nervous system of the lungs but also enhances eosinophil viability and thus endobronchial inflammation. Endobronchial eosinophils express nerve growth factor receptors [45], but the regulation of these receptors remains unclear. Thus, neurotrophin concentrations increase in the airways of patients with asthma following allergen challenge and can activate endobronchial eosinophils that express receptors for neurotrophins. At present it can only be speculated if similar changes in the esophageal mucosa might contribute to the esophageal dysfunction that many affected patients have reported.

Finally, one unsolved puzzle in the understanding of asthma is the fact that asthma can progress even in the absence of allergen exposure. This has been explained with the observation that asthma is characterized by a chronic inflammation with some features of an autoimmune disease. This would be in line with recent hypotheses claiming an inverse relationship between the observed reduction in infectious diseases such as tuberculosis, measles, mumps, etc., and the inverse increase in so-called autoimmune disorders such as multiple sclerosis, Crohn’s disease and type 1 diabetes. Investigations into possible autoimmune phenomena have been largely restricted to humoral immunology with no clear results. In the cellular compartment in asthma, however, in addition to the well-known increase in Th2 lymphocyte-associated changes, there is an increase in cytotoxic cells with cytotoxic granzyme B release into the airways [46]. This increase in granzyme B is regulated by IL-3 and there is a correlation between granzyme B and IL-13 concentrations in individual patients [47] with granzyme B displaying cytotoxic potential [47].

Thus, based on these features there is reason to speculate that the pathogenetic concepts behind asthma and EoE might be similar. Recent overviews in EoE have focused on the effector part with T-helper cells as major producers of IL-9, IL-13 and IL-5, as well as eotaxin, which promote eosinophil and mast cell accumulation and activation, both of which produce TGF-β leading to remodeling and structural changes of esophageal smooth muscle [9].

In asthma, similar processes are present. In addition, release of neurotrophins as well as allergen-dependent accumulation of dendritic cells play a role in the immunopathogenesis of asthma where cytotoxic phenomena might also be operating. It has, therefore, been speculated that chronic allergic asthma as well as intrinsic asthma might be associated with cell-mediated autoimmunity. Whether similar mechanisms play a role in EoE requires further investigation.

In summary, there are many similarities between asthma and EoE which go beyond the regulation of eosinophilia. Findings derived from one of these diseases should stimulate similar investigations in the other to further dissect or combine the pathogenesis of these two diseases. Understanding why eosinophilic inflammation in one case manifests itself in the esophagus and in another in the airways, and occasionally in both, remains a fascinating conundrum. Resolving any of the questions in one of these diseases will certainly facilitate our understanding of the other.
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