The Smoking Paradox: Impact of Smoking on Recanalization in the Setting of Intra-Arterial Thrombolysis
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Abstract
Background: The smoking paradox refers to a better outcome in smokers eligible for thrombolytic treatment in myocardial infarction or ischemic stroke. Recent findings suggest that current smokers may present higher recanalization rates after intravenous (IV) thrombolysis with recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rt-PA). We evaluated the impact of smoking in a consecutive series of patients treated with intra-arterial (IA) rt-PA. Methods: We analyzed data collected between April 2007 and December 2012 in our prospective registry. All acute ischemic stroke patients with an arterial occlusion treated by IA rt-PA (± IV, ± thrombectomy) were included. Arterial status was monitored with conventional angiography during the IA procedure. The primary study outcome was a complete recanalization achieved immediately after termination of IA rt-PA infusion. Secondary outcomes included complete recanalization after the end of the endovascular therapy (including complete recanalization achieved after adjunctive thrombectomy), favorable outcome (90-day modified Rankin Score ≤ 2), 90-day all-cause mortality, and any intracerebral hemorrhage. Results: Among the 227 included patients, 18.5% (n = 42) were current smokers and 16.7% (n = 38) former smokers. Compared with nonsmokers, current smokers were younger, more often men, had less frequently hypertension, and cardioembolic etiology, whereas former smokers were more often men and had more frequently hypercholesterolemia. The rate of complete recanalization was 30% (n = 68) after IA rt-PA infusion and 49% after adjunctive thrombectomy. A higher complete recanalization was observed in current smokers (49% vs. 30%) and nonsmokers (41% vs. 30%). Conclusions: Current smoking is associated with higher recanalization rates after IA rt-PA and may be beneficial for acute ischemic stroke patients.
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Recanalization rate was found both in current smokers (45.2%) and former smokers (42.1%) compared to nonsmokers (22.5%). After adjustment for potential confounders, the adjusted odds ratio (OR) for complete recanalization associated with ever-smokers was 2.51 [95% confidence interval (CI) 1.26–4.99; \(p = 0.009\)]. A similar adjusted OR was found when the complete recanalization achieved after thrombectomy was included (OR 2.18, 95% CI 1.13–4.19; \(p = 0.019\)). However, smoking status was not independently associated with favorable outcome (adjusted OR 1.41, 95% CI 0.62–3.22 for former smokers, and adjusted OR 1.35, 95% CI 0.59–3.05 for current smokers), 90-day all-cause mortality (adjusted OR 0.68, 95% CI 0.25–1.81 for former smokers, and adjusted OR 1.55, 95% CI 0.54–4.48 for current smokers) or intracerebral hemorrhage (adjusted OR 0.72, 95% CI 0.29–1.76 for former smokers, and adjusted OR 0.80, 95% CI 0.32–1.96 for current smokers).

Conclusions: IA rt-PA administration was more effective to achieve complete arterial recanalization in current as well as former smokers. The characterization of the smoking paradox pathophysiology may lead to the identification of a patient-target population with a favorable response to rt-PA therapy. However, the smoking paradox should not be misinterpreted and not be used to promote smoking.

Introduction

The smoking paradox refers to a better outcome in smokers eligible for thrombolytic treatment. This phenomenon was first described in myocardial infarction (MI) [1] and has been further reported in acute ischemic stroke (AIS) patients treated by intravenous (IV) recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rt-PA) [2, 3]. A recent study reports that current smokers present higher recanalization rates within 24 h of symptom onset compared to nonsmokers [2]. Reasons for this association are not fully understood, and conflicting evidences from previous IV rt-PA studies hamper the potential beneficial effect of smoking on favorable outcome after adjustment for confounders [4, 5]. According to this literature, we evaluated if smoking habits impacted recanalization after intra-arterial (IA) rt-PA as well as the prognosis of these patients by examining data from our prospective clinical registry.

Methods

Patients were identified from a registry of AIS patients treated between April 2007 and December 2012 at the Bichat University Hospital, Paris, France. A detailed description of the materials and methods used has been previously reported [6, 7]. Patients were eligible for inclusion in this study if they had a documented arterial occlusion, were treated with rt-PA administration by IA route (± previous IV rt-PA) and had available information on smoking status. Patients received a combined IV/IA rt-PA dose of 0.9 mg/kg (IV, 0.6 mg/kg; IA, 0.3 mg/kg) in case of documented arterial occlusion and an onset-to-treatment time (OTT) <4.5 h, or an IA rt-PA dose of 0.5 mg/kg in case of documented arterial occlusion and an OTT ranging between 4.5 and 6 h. Additional mechanical thrombectomy (MET) was performed if IA rt-PA failed. Informed consent was obtained from the patient or surrogate, and the research protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee from the Ambroise Paré Hospital, Paris, France.

Data Collection and Definitions

Data were collected using a structured questionnaire. Smoking was classified as current (any smoking within the past 6 months), former (>6 months since cessation) or never smokers. Hypertension and hypercholesterolemia were defined by treatment history. Subjects were...
classified as diabetic when treated for type 1 or type 2 diabetes. The AIS severity was assessed using the NIHSS score at admission. Arterial status of the occluded artery was monitored with conventional angiography during the IA procedure and measured using the Thrombolysis in MI (TIMI) score [8].

All patients underwent a CT or MRI scan 24 h after treatment onset, to assess hemorrhagic complications. Intracranial hemorrhage was defined and classified according to the ECASS trials [9, 10]. Functional outcome was assessed at 3 months using the modified Rankin Scale (mRS) during face-to-face interviews or via telephone calls by a senior vascular neurologist (E.M.).

**Study Outcome**

The primary study outcome was the percentage of complete recanalization achieved immediately after IA rt-PA infusion, before the use of adjunctive MET if any. Complete recanalization was defined as a complete restoration of blood flow (TIMI 3). Secondary outcome measures included complete recanalization after the end of the endovascular therapy (including complete recanalization achieved after adjunctive MET), favorable outcome (90-day mRS \(\leq 2\)), 90-day all-cause mortality, and intracerebral hemorrhage.

**Statistical Analysis**

Patients were categorized into three groups according to the smoking status. Bivariate comparisons of current and former smokers versus nonsmokers (used as control group) were made using \(\chi^2\) tests for categorical variables (or Fisher’s exact test when the expected cell frequency was <5) and Student’s t test for continuous variables (or the Mann-Whitney U test for skewed distribution); a p value <0.025 was considered statistically significant (according to the Bonferroni correction). We assessed the smoking status effect on complete recanalization by calculating the odds ratios (ORs). As the ORs for former and current smokers were very similar, we also computed the OR for ever-smokers (current and former smokers combined). Adjustment for age and sex was made using the logistic regression model. The logistic regression model was further adjusted for other significant baseline differences between nonsmokers and smokers (hypercholesterolemia, admission systolic blood pressure, and cardioembolic etiology). We also used the same logistic regression models to evaluate the association of smoking status with secondary outcome measures. Statistical testing was done at the two-tailed \(\alpha\) level of 0.05. Data were analyzed with SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, N.C., USA).

**Results**

A total of 242 AIS patients with arterial occlusion were treated with IA rt-PA during the study period. Of these, 15 patients with missing information on smoking status were excluded, resulting in a study sample size of 227 patients (fig. 1). One hundred and seventy-four patients were previously treated with IV rt-PA (i.e. using the IV/IA approach), and 69 were treated with adjunctive MET. A total of 18.5% (n = 42) were classified as current smokers and 16.7% (n = 38) as former smokers. Compared with nonsmokers, current smokers were younger (\(p < 0.001\)), more often men (\(p < 0.001\)), had less frequently hypertension [history (\(p = 0.013\)], a lower SBP (\(p < 0.001\)], cardioembolic etiology (\(p = 0.004\)), whereas former smokers were more often men (\(p < 0.001\)) and had more frequently hypercholesterolemia (\(p < 0.001\)) (table 1).

**Impact of Smoking on Recanalization after IA rt-PA**

Complete recanalization was achieved immediately after IA rt-PA infusion in 30% (n = 68) of cases. Both current smokers (45.2%) and former smokers (42.1%) had significantly
higher rates of complete recanalization in comparison to nonsmokers (22.5%). Similar results were found when analysis was restricted to patients treated with combined IV/IA rt-PA (fig. 2). After adjustment for age and sex, current as well as former smokers remained associated with complete recanalization, with an OR of 2.30 [95% confidence interval (CI) 1.04–5.10] for current smokers and 2.96 (95% CI 1.28–6.84) for former smokers. Similar results were found after additional adjustment for hypercholesterolemia, admission systolic blood pressure, and cardioembolic etiology with a nonsignificant OR for current smokers (OR 2.17, 95% CI 0.96–4.89; p = 0.061). After combining current and former smokers, the fully adjusted OR for smoking was 2.51 in the main analysis as well as in the sensitivity analysis (fig. 3).
Impact of Smoking on Secondary Outcomes

The increased rate of complete recanalization in smokers was also found when complete recanalization after adjunctive MET was considered. As in the main outcome analysis, ever-smokers remained significantly associated with higher rate of complete recanalization in the fully adjusted analysis (OR 2.18, 95% CI 1.13–4.19; p = 0.019), with a nonsignificant OR for current smokers (table 2). Except for a significant higher rate of favorable outcome in current smokers in comparison to nonsmokers (63 vs. 45%, p = 0.038), smoking status was not asso-

Fig. 2. Rate of complete recanalization after IA rt-PA administration according to smoking status.

Fig. 3. Unadjusted and adjusted OR for complete recanalization after IA rt-PA administration associated with smoking status. ORs were calculated using nonsmoker as reference group. * Adjusted for age, sex, hypercholesterolemia, admission systolic blood pressure, and cardioembolic etiology.

Impact of Smoking on Secondary Outcomes

The increased rate of complete recanalization in smokers was also found when complete recanalization after adjunctive MET was considered. As in the main outcome analysis, ever-smokers remained significantly associated with higher rate of complete recanalization in the fully adjusted analysis (OR 2.18, 95% CI 1.13–4.19; p = 0.019), with a nonsignificant OR for current smokers (table 2). Except for a significant higher rate of favorable outcome in current smokers in comparison to nonsmokers (63 vs. 45%, p = 0.038), smoking status was not asso-
Discussion

This study observed an impact of smoking status on recanalization after IA rt-PA administration, showing that smokers have higher recanalization rates compared to nonsmokers. These findings are consistent with previously reported evidences suggesting that current smokers experience higher recanalization rates after IV rt-PA [2]. In addition, we showed that former smokers sustain this beneficial effect on recanalization. These data may be interpreted as a high thrombus responsiveness to rt-PA and probably reflect a different thrombus composition according to smoking habit. Evidences suggest that clots with porous network are more susceptible to fibrinolysis, as well as fibrinogen is an independent predictor of clot permeability [11]. However, smoking has been described to induce faster clot formation and increase fibrin clot resistance to fibrinolysis [12]. These effects are mediated through platelet activation, proinflammatory cytokine and fibrinogen increase [13, 14]. The contradictory findings on smoking enhanced-fibrinolysis raise the question of the clot abnormalities specificity and its impact on stroke prognosis [15]. If the pathophysiology of the smoking paradox remains unelucidated, it is probably due to the complexity of the involved mechanisms.

The persistence of the observed smoking effect in former smokers is questionable since fibrinogen levels decrease 2 weeks after smoking cessation [13]. Although smoking cessation associated with any other secondary outcome measures (table 2). In a fully adjusted analysis, current smokers were not associated with an increased rate of favorable outcome (OR 1.35, 95% CI 0.60–3.05; p = 0.47).

Table 2. Impact of smoking status on secondary outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Nonsmokers (n = 147)</th>
<th>Former smokers (n = 38)</th>
<th>Current smokers (n = 42)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete recanalization after IA (rt-PA or MET)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number (%)</td>
<td>64 (43.5)</td>
<td>22 (57.9)</td>
<td>26 (61.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted OR</td>
<td>1.00 (ref.)</td>
<td>1.78 (0.87–3.67)</td>
<td>2.11 (1.04–4.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age- and sex-adjusted OR</td>
<td>1.00 (ref.)</td>
<td>2.08 (0.94–4.57)</td>
<td>1.97 (0.91–4.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully adjusted OR</td>
<td>1.00 (ref.)</td>
<td>2.40 (1.05–5.50)</td>
<td>2.00 (0.90–4.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favorable outcome</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number (%)</td>
<td>66 (44.9)</td>
<td>19 (50.0)</td>
<td>26 (63.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted OR</td>
<td>1.00 (ref.)</td>
<td>1.23 (0.60–2.51)</td>
<td>2.13 (1.04–4.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age- and sex-adjusted OR</td>
<td>1.00 (ref.)</td>
<td>1.28 (0.58–2.85)</td>
<td>1.31 (0.59–2.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully adjusted OR</td>
<td>1.00 (ref.)</td>
<td>1.41 (0.62–3.22)</td>
<td>1.35 (0.59–3.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-day mortality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number (%)</td>
<td>40 (27.2)</td>
<td>9 (23.7)</td>
<td>8 (19.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted OR</td>
<td>1.00 (ref.)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.36–1.91)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.27–1.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age- and sex-adjusted OR</td>
<td>1.00 (ref.)</td>
<td>0.72 (0.28–1.88)</td>
<td>1.49 (0.52–4.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully adjusted OR</td>
<td>1.00 (ref.)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.25–1.81)</td>
<td>1.55 (0.54–4.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracerebral hemorrhage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number (%)</td>
<td>47 (32.0)</td>
<td>10 (26.3)</td>
<td>10 (23.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted OR</td>
<td>1.00 (ref.)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.34–1.69)</td>
<td>0.67 (0.30–1.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age- and sex-adjusted OR</td>
<td>1.00 (ref.)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.25–1.45)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.30–1.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully adjusted OR*</td>
<td>1.00 (ref.)</td>
<td>0.72 (0.29–1.76)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.32–1.96)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ORs and their 95% CIs (in parentheses) were calculated using nonsmokers as reference group.
* Adjusted for age, sex, hypercholesterolemia, admission systolic blood pressure, and cardioembolic etiology.
reduces cardiovascular risk [16], the latter decreases by 50% at 1 year, indicating that this risk is reversible to some extent [17, 18]. Furthermore, former smokers continue to have an elevated cardiovascular risk even years after they quit smoking [19]. These facts support a long-term effect of smoking on fibrinolysis as observed in the present study.

Even if smokers had a higher rate of recanalization, a favorable outcome was not related to smoking habits in adjusted analyses. The controversial data published according to prognosis [2–5, 20] are likely to be related to difference in control of confounders. As previously reported, vascular risk factors are underrepresented in smokers compared to nonsmokers [2, 4, 5], and more importantly, current smokers are younger than non- or former smokers. Therefore, smokers may be considered as an indicator of baseline characteristics that are associated with better outcome.

The main limitations of our analysis included the use of observational data, and more precisely: the lack of smoking status in 6% of patients, the absence of data on smoking quantification (e.g. pack-year), and the intrinsic biases in observational studies. However, the smoking prevalence was similar to that reported in a previous large registry of IV and/or IA thrombolysis [21, 22]. We could not exclude that the magnitude of smoking effect on recanalization at 24 h after IA rt-PA could be different, since recanalization was assessed immediately after termination of the IA rt-PA infusion in our study. However, we found no association with onset to recanalization time, a surrogate marker of thrombolytic efficacy. Finally, we could not exclude a lack of adequate statistical power to detect significant difference in clinical outcome.

In conclusion, the smoking paradox is an interesting concept, which should not be misinterpreted and not be used to promote smoking. The characterization of its pathophysiological underlying mechanisms may lead to the identification of a patient-target population with a favorable response to rt-PA therapy.
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