Cardiac Tolerability of Concurrent Administration of Trastuzumab and Anthracycline-Based Regimen as Adjuvant Chemotherapy for Breast Cancer
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Introduction

In 2005, Buzdar et al. [1] designed a unique and tactical regimen of chemotherapy for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-positive breast cancer, and performed a randomized trial for this protocol, in which they had administered anthracycline and trastuzumab concurrently. He achieved an outstanding pathological complete response (pCR) rate, and the patients did not experience severe adverse cardiac events. Following this report, the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) clinical practice guideline [2] recommends the regimen: 80 mg/m² paclitaxel intravenously (IV) weekly on day 1 for 12 weeks (wP) followed by FEC75 (500 mg/m² 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), 75 mg/m² epirubicin, and 500 mg/m² cyclophosphamide) IV cycled every 3 weeks on day 1 for 4 cycles, with concurrent administration of trastuzumab (H) weekly (wPH→FEC75H) throughout this period.

However, the NCCN also added the proviso that trastuzumab should not be given at the same time as an anthracycline, except as part of the neoadjuvant trastuzumab with paclitaxel followed by FEC. The established evidence of Buzdar et al. was only based on the trial in neoadjuvant setting, but this regimen could feasibly be adopted for the adjuvant chemotherapy. We conclude that the regimen of Buzdar et al. could be treated as an exception, although the NCCN has not accepted the feasibility of concurrent use of anthracycline and trastuzumab in ground rules. However, the NCCN also commented that anthracycline-based chemotherapy regimens may be superior to non-anthracycline-based regimes in patients with HER2-positive tumors [2, 3].

Over the past few years, while wPH→FEC75H may only be regarded as an exceptional option, we prefer this regimen for the treatment of HER2-positive breast cancer. This is because the regimen has some apparent advantages over the other recommended regimens in the NCCN guideline. First, the required duration is the shortest of all regimens containing...
both anthracycline and trastuzumab, and so contributes to shortening the duration of chemotherapy to 3–6 months, and to cutting healthcare benefit costs. Second, in the neoadjuvant setting, with this regimen trastuzumab can be administered for a longer period: 6 months from start to finish.

Before initiation of therapy, informed consent was obtained from all participants, and we ensured the feasibility of cardiac risk management. We performed echocardiography to examine the left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) routinely starting at initiation, and then after weekly paclitaxel, and FEC. We also concurrently administered a similar regimen, wP→FEC100, to HER2-negative breast cancer patients. To assess the cardiotoxicity of wPH→FEC75H, we compared the cardiac damage from the chemotherapy between these 2 groups retrospectively.

### Patients and Methods

#### Patients

A retrospective review was performed of patients who had stage II–III breast cancer, and who had been referred to the Department of Surgery at the Japanese Red Cross Society Himeji hospital between October 2010 and April 2013. The patients received adjuvant or neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Our candidates were patients who had received an particular regimen: sequential administration of wP followed by FEC.

Histological confirmation of invasive tumor was performed on the specimen by core needle biopsy or by ultrasound-guided vacuum-assisted breast biopsy (Vacora® Breast Biopsy System, Medicon Inc., Osaka, Japan). The biopsy samples were also examined for HER2 overexpression by fluorescence in situ hybridization or 3+ overexpression by immunohistochemistry (IHC). Details of methods for measuring protein overexpression by IHC and amplification by polymerase chain reaction have been previously reported [4–6]. We used antibodies (4B5, Roche Diagnostics Japan) directed against the internal domain of the HER2 protein for IHC analysis.

Estrogen and/or progesterone receptors were assessed locally on the same tissue samples. If the biopsy sample was not insufficient for IHC, we performed a pathological evaluation on the surgical specimen.

Before initiation of therapy, all patients underwent staging evaluation, which included complete history, physical examination, complete blood count (CBC), chemistry profile, chest radiograph, and [18 F]fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET). Tumor size and extension were examined by contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI-CE) and ultrasound sonography.

All patients were required to have adequate cardiac function in the baseline examination. They underwent an initial electrocardiogram and cardiac echogram evaluation including LVEF using a modified biplane Simpson method [7]. Patients with a history of uncompensated congestive heart failure, abnormality of the electrocardiogram, or a cardiac ejection fraction less than 50% were excluded from the study.

### Table 1. Study characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Regimen</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients on regimen, n</td>
<td>P→FEC100/FEC100→P</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients receiving NAC, n</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCR, n</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade +3(n)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years (±SD)</td>
<td>54.7 (±11.2)</td>
<td>54.2 (±10.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (±SD)</td>
<td>23.7 (±3.8)</td>
<td>23.2 (±3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM, n</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT, n</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High cholesterol, n</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triple negative</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER (+/-/uncertain)</td>
<td>36/21/0</td>
<td>24/17/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HER2 (+/-/uncertain)</td>
<td>0/57/0</td>
<td>41/0/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial cardiac function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF, % (±SD)</td>
<td>63.9 (±5.1)</td>
<td>63.2 (±4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVDd, mm (±SD)</td>
<td>45.7 (±3.5)</td>
<td>44.4 (±4.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac event in past history, n</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV = intravenously, P = weekly 80 mg/m² paclitaxel IV on day 1 for 12 cycles, 5-FU = 5-fluorouracil, FEC75 = 500 mg/m² 5-FU, 75 mg/m² epirubicin, 500 mg/m² cyclophosphamide IV every 3 weeks on day 1 for 4 cycles (5-FU omitted on day 4), FEC100 = 500 mg/m² 5-FU, 100 mg/m² epirubicin, 500 mg/m² cyclophosphamide IV every 3 weeks on day 1 for 4 cycles (5-FU omitted on day 4). H = weekly trastuzumab IV at 4 mg/kg initially, followed by 2 mg/kg on day 1, NAC = neoadjuvant chemotherapy, pCR = pathological complete response, BMI = body mass index, DM = diabetes mellitus, HT = hypertension, NS = not significant.

- All patients were female.
- Of patients receiving NAC, 7 of 28 (25%) on the P→FEC100 and 10 of 18 (55.5%) on the PH→FEC75H regimen had grade 3.
- Total serum cholesterol value over 220 mg/dl at the start of chemotherapy.
- Student’s t-test, 2-sided (calculated using Statmate® Ver. 3.19).
- χ² test, χ² value = 1.975 (calculated using Statmate® Ver. 3.19).
fraction less than 50% were considered not appropriate for adjuvant chemotherapy, and were excluded from this study (table 1).

Chemotherapy

In the study period, 41 patients with HER2-positive breast cancer underwent the regimen of Buzdar et al. (wPH→FEC75H), i.e. concurrent weekly administration of trastuzumab (first time 4 mg/kg, followed by 2 mg/kg IV on day 1) with wP (at 80 mg/m² IV on day 1 for 12 cycles) followed by FEC75 (consisting of 500 mg/m² 5-FU, 75 mg/m² epirubicin, and 500 mg/m² cyclophosphamide) IV repeated every 3 weeks on day 1 for 4 cycles. We modified this protocol by omitting 500 mg/m² 5-FU on day 4. After sequential administration of wP and FEC, we started trastuzumab at 6 mg/kg IV, repeated every 3 weeks on day 1 as a single agent, with a total dose period of trastuzumab of 12 months. In the case of neoadjuvant chemotherapy, we reinitiated trastuzumab after surgery, and continued it for 6 months.

In Japan, the package leaflet for trastuzumab states that the concurrent administration of anthracycline and trastuzumab requires careful and thoughtful consideration [8]. We gained approval for the implementation of this regimen from the Institutional Review Board, which included a clinical trial scientist person from outside our company. We got informed consent from all study patients, and the administration was performed under and subject to close monitoring of cardiac function.

During the same period, 57 HER2-negative patients were administered sequential wP and FEC, without trastuzumab: 50 patients were administered wP→FEC100 (every 3 weeks; 500 mg/m² 5-FU, 100 mg/m² epirubicin, 500 mg/m² cyclophosphamide) IV on day 1 for 4 cycles) and 7 were administered FEC100→wP.

The dose of paclitaxel or only epirubicin among FEC was reduced by 20% in subsequent cycles if a patient experienced grade 4 neutropenia or neutropenic fever. We administered granulocyte colony-stimulating factor until the granulocyte count exceeded 5,000/μl. Patients were required to have more than 1,000/μl neutrophils and more than 100,000/μl platelets before the administration of the next cycle of chemotherapy. The dose of drugs was also reduced if a patient experienced continuing severe nausea or vomiting of grade ≥ 3, even after the appropriate modification of premedication.

Assessments

CBC and clinical chemistry were repeated at each cycle of chemotherapy, and adverse events were assessed by Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) Ver. 4.03 [9] and documented. During FEC, CBC was not performed before the next cycle unless a patient experienced febrile neutropenia.

Patients were also observed for progressive disease in the neoadjuvant setting. Imaging studies of the tumors were performed by ultrasound sonography monthly and by MRI-CE at the initiation, and after wP and FEC. Tumor shrinkage (objective response) and disease progression were assessed using the Response Evaluation Criteria In Solid Tumors (RECIST) guidelines (version 1.1) [10]. After chemotherapy, resected specimens were evaluated by pathologists. In general, for non-palpable (clinical complete response) cases, the specimen was then sectioned into 1 or 2 representative histological sections. The specimens were evaluated by pathologists. In general, for non-palpable (clinical complete response) cases, the specimen was then sectioned into 1 or 2 representative histological sections. All axillary lymph nodes were also carefully evaluated by serial gross sectioning. 1 or 2 representative histological sections were evaluated per paraffin block. IHC staining for cytokeratin was not routinely performed on negative nodes. PCR was defined as no evidence of residual invasive cancer either in the breast or axillary lymph node.

Electrocardiograms and echocardiograms were routinely obtained at baseline, after wP, and after FEC. If conspicuous data were obtained, all were interpreted by cardiologists at our hospital. As the most commonly accepted concept in the oncology community, we defined decreased LVEF as an absolute 10-point decrease in LVEF from baseline or an LVEF of under 50% [9].

Statistical Analysis

Data for individual patients were compared using a paired, 2-tailed t-test, whereas data summarizing a group of patients were compared using repeated measures of analysis of variance, with p < 0.05 considered significant in all comparisons.

Results

Therapeutic Performance

Between October 2010 and April 2013, 41 patients with HER2-positive breast cancer were treated using a chemotherapy regimen containing trastuzumab (H+ group). They were administered wPH→FEC75H; 18 were treated in a neoadjuvant setting, and 23 in an adjuvant setting. During the same period, 57 HER2-negative patients, who did not receive trastuzumab, were treated (H– group); 28 were administered neoadjuvant chemotherapy, with the rest receiving adjuvant chemotherapy. They were treated with a sequential chemotherapy regimen: 50 were given wP followed by FEC100 (wP→FEC100), and 7 FEC100 followed by wP (FEC100→wP). Pretreatment characteristics of the patients in the 2 groups were similar, and are listed in table 1. The number of individuals with hypercholesterolemia was larger for the H– group, but there were no significant differences. In a neoadjuvant setting, predictably, we achieved a high pathological response rate (10/18, 55.5%) in the H+ group that had been treated by a trastuzumab-containing regimen.

About LVEF

The mean initial LVEF in the H+ group was 63.2% (range 51.7–73.0, standard deviation (SD) 4.0) and in the H– group 63.9% (range 52.7–74.2, SD 5.1; not significant (NS), p = 0.4392). The mean initial LVDDd in the H+ group was 45.7 mm (range 35.8–54.3, SD 3.5), and in the H+ group 44.4 mm (range 36.8–52.8, SD 4.7; NS, p = 0.1348). There was no significant difference between the initial LVEF of the 2 groups (table 1).

In the H+ group, LVEF was reduced significantly from the initial level (63.2%) to 60.9% (SD 5.3; p = 0.03022), and in the H– group from 63.9 to 61.9% (SD 5.1; NS, p = 0.1348). There was no significant difference in the reduction rate of LVEF in the H+ and H– groups (table 1).

In the H+ group, LVEF was reduced significantly from the initial level (63.2%) to 60.9% (SD 5.3; p = 0.03022), and in the H– group from 63.9 to 61.9% (SD 5.1; NS, p = 0.1348). There was no significant difference in the reduction rate of LVEF in the H+ and H– groups (table 1).

In the H+ group, LVEF was reduced significantly from the initial level (63.2%) to 60.9% (SD 5.3; p = 0.03022), and in the H– group from 63.9 to 61.9% (SD 5.1; NS, p = 0.1348). There was no significant difference in the reduction rate of LVEF in the H+ and H– groups (table 1).

In the H+ group, LVEF was reduced significantly from the initial level (63.2%) to 60.9% (SD 5.3; p = 0.03022), and in the H– group from 63.9 to 61.9% (SD 5.1; NS, p = 0.1348). There was no significant difference in the reduction rate of LVEF in the H+ and H– groups (table 1).
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Feasibility of Concurrent Use of Trastuzumab and Epirubicin

None of our patients experienced grade 4 neutropenia during the paclitaxel phase of the therapy. Between each FEC administration, unless a patient returned complaining of neutropenic fever, we could not check the peripheral blood cell count, and so could not check whether any adverse events occurred for the 3 weeks up to the next administration. Considering that caveat, only 2 patients had neutropenic fever in the FEC100 phase, and 1 in the FEC75H phase (table 2). The dose of epirubicin was reduced by 20% in those cases. Besides 2 cases with febrile neutropenia, 4 cases had a dose reduction because of grade 4 neutropenia, and 3 because of grade 2 nausea during the FEC100 phase of chemotherapy. Grade 1 or 2 elevation of alanine aminotransferase (ALT) or aspartate aminotransferase (AST) was seen in the patients in the paclitaxel phase whether with or without trastuzumab.

We compared the LVEF changes during different periods of the chemotherapy looking at values at initiation, and those after paclitaxel, and after FEC in the H+ group and the H− groups (fig. 2A). In the H− group, since 7 patients were treated in the order FEC→wP, the data shown in figure 2 are for the remaining 50 patients. In the H+ group, the LVEF was severely reduced during the wPH period compared to the FEC75H period, and the LVEF returned toward the baseline during FEC75H. In both group, the LVEF was reduced more in the former paclitaxel-dosing phase than in latter FEC phase (fig. 2B). The reduction rate of LVEF, hence, got worse in the following order: later FEC75H, later FEC100, earlier wP, and earlier wPH.

Table 2. Adverse events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Regimen</th>
<th>P→FEC100</th>
<th>PH→FEC75H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients, n</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac safety data, n</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive heart failure</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 10% decrease in LV ejection fraction</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 10% increase in LV diastolic dimension measurement</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other adverse events, n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 4 neutropenia*</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3 neutropenia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutropenic infections</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization due to febrile neutropenia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy dose reduction as a result of neutropenia</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy dose reduction as a result of grade 2 nausea</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergic reactions, no therapy modification</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT/AST increased, grade 1/2</td>
<td>17/1</td>
<td>14/3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LV = left ventricular, ALT = alanine aminotransferase, AST = aspartate aminotransferase.
*Peripheral blood cell count examined every 3 weeks, at time of chemotherapy.

Other Adverse Event
None of our patients experienced grade 4 neutropenia during the paclitaxel phase of the therapy. Between each FEC administration, unless a patient returned complaining of neutropenic fever, we could not check the peripheral blood cell count, and so could not check whether any adverse events occurred for the 3 weeks up to the next administration. Considering that caveat, only 2 patients had neutropenic fever in the FEC100 phase, and 1 in the FEC75H phase (table 2). The dose of epirubicin was reduced by 20% in those cases. Besides these 2 cases with febrile neutropenia, 4 cases had a dose reduction because of grade 4 neutropenia, and 3 because of grade 2 nausea during the FEC100 phase of chemotherapy. Grade 1 or 2 elevation of alanine aminotransferase (ALT) or aspartate aminotransferase (AST) was seen in the patients in the paclitaxel phase whether with or without trastuzumab.

Fig. 1. A Changes in left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) in individual patients in the HER2-positive group, who had been administered sequential paclitaxel and FEC75, in combination with concurrent trastuzumab. B Changes in LVEF in individual patients in the HER2-negative group, who had been treated by sequential administration of paclitaxel and FEC100, but without trastuzumab. C Reduction rate of LVEF compared between the group treated by a trastuzumab-containing regimen (with trastuzumab) and the group administered paclitaxel followed by FEC, but without trastuzumab (without trastuzumab). FEC75 = 500 mg/m² 5-FU, 75 mg/m² epirubicin, 500 mg/m² cyclophosphamide intravenously every 3 weeks on day 1 for 4 cycles (5-FU omitted on day 4), FEC100 = 500 mg/m² 5-FU, 100 mg/m² epirubicin, 500 mg/m² cyclophosphamide intravenously every 3 weeks on day 1 for 4 cycles (5-FU omitted on day 4), FU = fluorouracil.
1 patient had an allergic reaction at the initial administration of the paclitaxel. Transient redness was seen in her face, and she complained of temporary headache. She recovered from these symptoms as soon as we decreased the infusion rate of paclitaxel.

Discussion

The regimen of Buzdar et al. [1], i.e. weekly paclitaxel followed by FEC with concurrent trastuzumab, is completely unique among the NCCN recommendations. According to the proviso given by the NCCN, however, it was exceptional because the anthracycline, epirubicin, is used with trastuzumab concurrently in this protocol [2]. This reflects a certain fear of clinical practitioners against the synergistic cardiotoxicity caused by the combination use of anthracycline and trastuzumab.

Russell et al. [11] reviewed the combined cardiac data from the NSABP B-31 and NCCTG N9831 clinical trials, and found that trastuzumab-treated patients had a 2.0% incidence of symptomatic heart failure events compared with 0.45% in the chemotherapy-alone arm. Up to now, the cardiotoxicity of epirubicin has been studied almost exclusively, and was proven to be low in comparison with optimal dosing of doxorubicin [12–15]. However, these 2 trials were based on doxorubicin, which was not administered concurrently with trastuzumab.

In the HERA study [16], severe congestive heart failure occurred in more patients on trastuzumab than in the observation group. Heart failure (NYHA III and IV) occurred in 0.6% in the trastuzumab arm (vs. standard arm: 0% p<0.0001), and a significant decrease of LVEF of 3% occurred (vs. standard arm: 0.5% p<0.0001). In that study, the type of adjuvant chemotherapy was a doxorubicin-containing regimen for 23.4%, and an epirubicin-based regimen for 44.5%. However, in this trial, trastuzumab was also administered sequentially to the other drug. These large trials have not shown that the concurrent use of trastuzumab and anthracyclines would enhance the cardiotoxicity more synergistically than their sequential use. We agree that their concurrent use can be hazardous.

Buzdar et al. [1] showed that FEC75 could be administered safely without severe cardiotoxicity with trastuzumab in neo-adjuvant setting. As expected, we were also able to perform the regimen of Buzdar et al. feasibly in adjuvant setting. We followed all patients for more than 1 year after the completion of chemotherapy and assessed their cardiac function routinely. The LVEF in both group recovered uneventfully. In the group receiving wP→FEC75H, the average of LVEF recovered from 60.9 to 61.5% (n = 25), and in the group receiving wP→FEC100, from 61.9 to 62.4% (n = 24). This means that, as long as we selected an adequate type of anthracycline, its feasible dose, and an appropriate schedule, we could administer a concurrent regimen of anthracycline and trastuzumab, while avoiding adverse cardiac events, thus shortening the total duration of chemotherapy by a few months.

To select regimens that do not contain an anthracycline is a good notion for minimizing the risk of cardiac toxicity. However, retrospective analyses of the correlation of HER2 overexpression and chemotherapy response have suggested that anthracyclines play an important role in the management of HER2-overexpressing breast cancer [4, 17–20]. Recently, BCIRG 006 reported an attractive regimen for HER2-positive breast cancer that consists of docetaxel, carboplatin, and trastuzumab (TCH) and does not contain anthracyclines [21]. However, TCH could not demonstrate better efficacy outcomes than an anthracycline-containing regimen: doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide followed by docetaxel and trastuzumab (AC-TH). Disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS) for AC-TH and TCH were 92.6% and 89.7%, and 97.5% and 96.2%, respectively. Although not significant, TCH seems to be inferior to the anthracycline-containing regimen.

Anthracyclines remain essential for treating HER2-positive breast cancer patients. Therefore, to mitigate the cardiac toxicity, we have to devise the best protocol to use trastuzumab in combination with anthracycline. Further questions arise: Which type of anthracycline should be used, doxorubicin or epirubicin? Which dose has better tolerability, FEC100 or FEC75? Should we administer anthracycline and trastuzumab concurrently or sequentially?

In figure 2B, LVEF dropped considerably during the wPH phase, but recovered in the anthracycline-containing FEC75H phase, even with dosing trastuzumab concomitantly throughout a period of chemotherapy. Contrary to our expectation,
the LVEF was reduced more in the former wP phase in all patients. This suggests that cardiac function is impaired mainly at the introduction of the chemotherapy.

Cardiotoxicity caused by trastuzumab is most likely secondary to inhibition of cardiomyocyte HER2, also known as ErbB2 signaling, thereby interfering with normal growth, repair, and survival of cardiomyocytes [22–24]. AC, FEC100, or heavy administration of anthracyclines as the introduction of adjuvant chemotherapy, even without concurrent trastuzumab, may thereby cause severe cardiomyocyte damage, and subsequent trastuzumab with wP may inhibit their repair. We have no practical experience of the regimen of anthracycline without trastuzumab followed by taxane with trastuzumab, but figure 2B shows that the protocol FEC100–wPH may result in a worse LVEF drop. At any rate, to mitigate the cardiac damage, the dosing regimen in the order wPH–FEC100 may be safer in the combined use with trastuzumab.

Our study was limited by the retrospective nature of the available data, and needs more long-term follow-up. Nevertheless, all patients completed the regimen uneventfully through the period of the chemotherapy, and showed no less cardiac tolerability of the regimen wPH–FEC100 in comparison with wP–FEC100. Our study shows that attention should be paid not only to whether the administration is concurrent or sequential, but also to the rotation and selected type and dose of anthracyclines in the combination with trastuzumab. As it turned out, the regimen of Buzdar et al., wPH followed by FEC75H, is well designed, and one of the best choices for performing adjuvant chemotherapy containing anthracycline and trastuzumab in combination.
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