UK Renal Registry 16th Annual Report: Chapter 11 Blood Pressure Profile of Prevalent Patients receiving Renal Replacement Therapy in 2012: National and Centre-specific Analyses

Anirudh Rao^a, David Pitcher^a, Ken Farrington^b

^aUK Renal Registry, Bristol, UK; ^bLister Hospital, Stevenage, UK

Key Words

Diastolic blood pressure · Epidemiology · Established renal failure · Haemodialysis · Peritoneal dialysis · Pulse pressure · Systolic blood pressure · Transplant

Abstract

Introduction: There continues to be uncertainty in the literature about which blood pressure (BP) recordings in which time period associate best with long-term patient outcomes and therefore optimal target ranges. **Methods:** Patients receiving renal replacement therapy (RRT) on 31st December 2012 with a BP reading in either the fourth or third quarter of 2012 were included. Summary statistics were calculated for each renal centre and country. **Results:** Data completeness for BP measurements submitted to the

UK Renal Registry (UKRR) for all modalities were improved from previous years: it was better for haemodialysis (HD) patients (75% for pre-HD measurements) than for peritoneal dialysis (PD) patients (51%) or transplant recipients (41%). In 2012, the median pre- and post-HD systolic blood pressures (SBPs) were 140 mmHg and 128 mmHg respectively. The median SBP of patients on PD was 137 mmHg. Transplant recipients had a median SBP of 134 mmHg. Median diastolic blood pressures (DBPs) were 71 mmHg (pre-HD), 67 mmHg (post-HD), 78 mmHg (PD) and 79 mmHg (transplant). Only 26% of PD patients achieved the Renal Association guideline of SBP <130 mmHg **and** DBP <80 mmHg. Amongst transplant patients, 27% achieved the Renal Association guideline of SBP <130 mmHg **and** DBP <80 mmHg. **Conclusion:** In 2012 there continued to be significant variation in the achievement of BP standards between UK renal centres.

Introduction

The aetiology of hypertension in established renal failure is multifactorial and interpreting blood pressure (BP) values in this cohort of patients is challenging. In dialysis patients there is a complex interplay between volume overload with salt (and water) which may be appropriately addressed by dialysis, and vasoconstriction caused by neurohumoral mechanisms which may require additional treatment with antihypertensive drugs. These mechanisms lead to cardiovascular dysfunction and may be important in the observation of the 'U-shaped' mortality curve seen in relation to BP in dialysis patients [1, 2]. Original descriptions at the individual patient level were confounded by unmeasured case-mix, with comorbidity associated with both lower BP and lower survival. Similar patterns have now been reported at centre level [3]. It is possible that the association can be overcome by longer or more frequent sessions of dialysis and careful attention to dry-weight [4, 5]. Iatrogenic factors such as erythropoiesis stimulating agents (ESA) [6] in dialysis patients and ciclosporin [7] in transplant patients may also contribute to high BP. Further, BP in dialysis patients varies as much within individuals as it does between individuals [8]. The extent of this variability appears to be as important as the absolute value in predicting cardiovascular mortality in haemodialysis patients [9]. The optimal measure of BP therefore remains the subject of considerable controversy, with ambulatory BP predicting mortality better than pre- or post-dialysis BP [10]. There is some evidence to suggest that pre-dialysis systolic blood pressures (SBPs) >150–160 [11–13] are associated with excess mortality in haemodialysis patients and other data suggesting that very high SBP (>200) pre-dialysis seems to confer an adverse prognosis [14]. Conversely, lowering BP too aggressively may lead to intradialytic hypotension [15], which is an independent predictor of mortality [16, 17]. Data from a number of studies suggest excess mortality associated with pre-dialysis SBP <120 mmHg [14, 18].

The Renal Association guidelines updated in August 2010 and in operation during the period in which the audit data in this chapter were collected [19] stated:

Guideline 5.2 – CVD: Hypertension in dialysis patients

We suggest that pre- and post-dialysis blood pressure (measured after completion of dialysis, including washback) should be recorded and intra-dialytic blood

pressure measurements should be made to facilitate good management of the HD session. (2D)

Guideline 5.4 – CVD: Hypertension in dialysis patients

It would be sensible to avoid sustained BP extremes and, in order to try to provide some guidance we suggest that systolic blood pressure during the inter-dialytic period on HD and for PD patients should not regularly exceed >160 mmHg. (2C)

Guideline 5.5 – CVD: Hypotension/Hypertension in dialysis patients

We suggest that systolic blood pressure should not routinely be treated with pharmacological agents with antihypertensive properties if SBP is regularly <120 mmHg pre dialysis.

Guideline 5.7 – CVD: Hypertension in dialysis patients

We suggest that hypertension on dialysis should be managed by ultrafiltration in the first instance. (2D)

Blood pressure in peritoneal dialysis patients should be <130/80 mmHg (Good Practice).

The target blood pressure for renal transplant patients is <130/80 mmHg (Good practice).

These guidelines are consistent with international guidelines [20, 21].

This chapter reports UK Renal Registry (UKRR) data completeness for BP for adult renal centres in England, Northern Ireland and Wales and presents centre level average BP attainment for patients on haemodialysis (HD), peritoneal dialysis (PD) and with a functioning kidney transplant at the end of December 2012.

Methods

All adult patients in England, Wales and Northern Ireland receiving renal replacement therapy (RRT) (HD, PD and transplant recipients) on 31st December 2012 were considered for inclusion in the analyses.

The method of data extraction employed is described in chapter 15 of the 11th UKRR Annual Report [22]. The UKRR extracts quarterly laboratory, clinical and demographic data for all patients receiving RRT in the 62 renal centres in England, Northern Ireland and Wales. Data on some variables from the nine Scottish renal centres are sent annually to the Scottish Renal Registry. However, BP measurements are only collected from the Scottish Registry

for HD patients and therefore PD and transplant patients from Scottish renal centres are excluded from all BP analyses.

Patients who had been on the same modality and at the same renal centre for three months and with a valid BP reading in either the fourth or the third quarter of 2012 were included. This included incident patients starting RRT during 2012 who were still alive on 31st December 2012. Analyses used the last recorded BP from quarter four, however, if this was missing, the last recorded BP from quarter three was used instead. BP data from quarter two were used for patients at renal centres in Scotland because BP data from quarters three and four were unavailable.

Analyses were performed for each RRT modality (HD, PD and transplant). Most UK renal centres manage HD, PD and transplant patients. However, Colchester had no PD patients and four centres (Bangor, Colchester, Liverpool Aintree, Wirral) had no transplant patients under their care.

All patients meeting the criteria above were included in the overall national analyses, but renal centres with less than 50% data completeness for any modality, or fewer than 20 patients with results, were excluded from the centre level analysis for that modality. The number preceding the centre name in each figure corresponds to the percentage of missing data for that centre.

Patients on HD were analysed both by pre-dialysis and post-dialysis BP. The BP components analysed included systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure (DBP) and pulse pressure (PP). The data were analysed to produce summary statistics (mean, median, maximum, minimum). Standard deviation and quartile ranges were also calculated. Median BP and inter-quartile ranges (IQRs) are presented for each analysis as caterpillar plots. In addition, the percentage of HD patients with pre-dialysis systolic BP <120 mmHg, between 120–160 mmHg, >160 mmHg; PD and transplant patients attaining Renal Association standards for BP (<130/80 mmHg) in individual renal centres and each nation were calculated and are presented with 95% confidence intervals in caterpillar plots.

Chi-squared tests were used in the analyses of the 2012 BP data to test for statistically significant differences between renal centres and between nations. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.3.

Results

Data completeness

Data extracts were received from all 62 centres in England, Wales and Northern Ireland. Data completeness is summarised in table 11.1. Overall, completeness was very similar to that previously reported.

BP on each modality

Figure 11.1 gives the median and IQR for SBP, DBP and PP in prevalent HD patients (pre- and post-dialysis), PD and transplant patients.

In 2012, the median pre- and post-HD SBPs were 140 mmHg and 128 mmHg respectively. The median

SBP of patients on PD was 137 mmHg. Transplant recipients had a median SBP of 134 mmHg. Median DBP was 71 mmHg (pre-HD), 67 mmHg (post-HD), 78 mmHg (PD) and 79 mmHg (transplant).

Relationship between the centre mean and the proportion above a threshold BP in that centre

As the distribution of BP in each centre approximates a normal distribution (data not shown), the population mean of each BP variable should predict the number of individuals above (or below) a predefined threshold or standard (Rose and Day 1990). As these assumptions were confirmed in the 13th UKRR Annual Report [23] only median BP data by centre are presented below.

Centre-specific analyses of BP in haemodialysis patients

Figures 11.2 and 11.3 illustrate the median and IQR pre-dialysis SBP and DBP in each centre supplying data on >50% of patients. The median HD pre-dialysis SBP and pre-dialysis DBP for the UK were 140 mmHg and 71 mmHg respectively. Figures 11.4 and 11.5 illustrate the equivalent analyses for post-dialysis BP.

There remains marked centre variation. The difference between the centres with the lowest and highest median SBP was >20 mmHg. Comparison with previous UKRR reports showed that in general, the same centres can be found at roughly the same place in the distribution from year to year.

Adherence to guidelines

Figures 11.6, 11.7 and 11.8 illustrate the percentages (with 95% confidence intervals (CIs)) of HD patients achieving SBP in the range 120–160 mmHg, <120 mmHg and >160 mmHg respectively. There was marked variation (45–80%) between centres achieving their pre-dialysis SBP readings in the range 120–160 mmHg. The vast majority of centres had greater than 50% of their patients falling in the range 120–160 mmHg. Thirty-five of the centres had greater than 20% of their patients with a pre-dialysis SBP <120 mmHg and there were also 35 centres who had greater than 20% of their patients with a pre-dialysis SBP >160 mmHg.

Centre-specific analyses of BP in peritoneal dialysis patients

Figures 11.9 and 11.10 illustrate the median and IQR SBP and DBP in each centre supplying data on >50% of eligible patients. Figure 11.11 gives the percentage of

Table 11.1. Percentage of patients by renal centre for whom BP readings were received by the UKRR, by modality

Centre	% completed data				Centre	% completed data			
	Pre-HD	Post-HD	PD	Transplant		Pre-HD	Post-HD	PD	Transplant
England					Prestn	20	0	0	0
B Heart	98	98	2	3	Redng	95	100	0	0
B QEH	94	93	84	93	Salford	97	97	0	0
Basldn	98	93	96	2	Sheff	99	96	99	97
Bradfd	3	2	96	69	Shrew	99	99	0	1
Brightn	54	68	0	0	Stevng	94	91	63	23
Bristol	99	99	91	72	Sthend	99	99	0	61
Camb	100	100	97	97	Stoke	95	95	1	0
Carlis	100	100	5	0	Sund	99	99	0	0
Carsh	92	92	1	0	Truro	83	82	68	19
Chelms	100	98	96	94	Wirral	94	93	14	n/a
Colchr	99	99	n/a	n/a	Wolve	99	99	98	95
Covnt	100	100	95	81	York	100	98	96	53
Derby	99	95	99	83	N Ireland				
Donc	100	95	91	100	Antrim	98	92	100	65
Dorset	100	96	58	81	Belfast	94	87	16	45
Dudley	95	93	47	16	Newry	99	98	71	86
Exeter	100	99	94	92	Ulster	99	94	100	90
Glouc	100	100	90	89	West NI	98	92	100	93
Hull	97	97	89	25	Scotland				
Ipswi	100	100	0	0	Abrdn	99	99	n/a	n/a
Kent	98	98	98	85	Airdrie	94	94	n/a	n/a
L Barts	0	0	0	0	D & Gall	96	96	n/a	n/a
L Guys	0	0	0	0	Dundee	99	96	n/a	n/a
L Kings	0	0	0	0	Dunfn	96	95	n/a	n/a
L Rfree	93	91	99	77	Edinb	94	93	n/a	n/a
L St.G	59	60	0	0	Glasgw	95	88	n/a	n/a
L West	0	0	0	0	Inverns	96	95	n/a	n/a
Leeds	100	97	99	96	Klmarnk	99	99	n/a	n/a
Leic	97	96	81	48	Wales				
Liv Ain	98	98	12	n/a	Bangor	98	98	100	n/a
Liv RI	97	95	2	2	Cardff	4	29	51	98
M RI	0	0	0	0	Clwyd	100	92	0	0
Middlbr	97	96	88	46	Swanse	100	100	96	100
Newc	100	100	0	0	Wrexm	100	99	25	0
Norwch	95	90	4	41	England				
Nottm	100	100	97	87	N Ireland				
Oxford	96	95	43	16	Scotland				
Plymth	59	10	65	85	Wales				
Ports	100	100	85	19	UK				
						75	74	51*	41*

*UK % completeness for PD and transplant excludes Scotland

Fig. 11.1. Summary of BP achievements

Fig. 11.2. Median systolic BP: pre-HD

Fig. 11.3. Median diastolic BP: pre-HD

Fig. 11.4. Median systolic BP: post-HD

Fig. 11.5. Median diastolic BP: post-HD

Fig 11.6. Percentage of patients achieving pre-dialysis SBP readings in the range 120–160 mmHg

Fig 11.7. Percentage of patients with pre-dialysis SBP <120 mmHg

Fig 11.8. Percentage of patients with pre-dialysis SBP >160 mmHg

Fig. 11.9. Median systolic BP: PD

Fig. 11.10. Median diastolic BP: PD

Fig. 11.11. Percentage of patients with BP <130 mmHg systolic and <80 mmHg diastolic: PD

patients meeting the audit standard of BP <130/80 mmHg.

The possibility of information bias in these analyses cannot be excluded since BP data are extracted from the routine clinical record.

Centre-specific analysis of BP in transplant patients

Figures 11.12 and 11.13 illustrate the median and IQR SBP and DBP in each centre supplying data on >50% of eligible patients and figure 11.14 illustrates the percentage of patients meeting the audit standard of BP <130/80 mmHg.

As with PD patients, the possibility of information bias in these analyses cannot be excluded.

Discussion

Blood pressure control amongst HD patients in the UK remained poor in 2012. Nearly half of centres had greater than 20% of their patients with pre-dialysis systolic BP <120 mmHg. There were also nearly half who had greater than 20% of their patients with pre-dialysis systolic BP >160 mmHg. There continues to be marked variation between centres in attainment of nationally agreed BP standards for those on PD and those with functioning kidney transplants.

High BP is common in HD patients and contributes to the observed excess of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in these patients [24]. However, there is still

Fig. 11.12. Median systolic BP: transplant

Fig. 11.13. Median diastolic BP: transplant

Fig. 11.14. Percentage of patients with BP <130 mmHg systolic and <80 mmHg diastolic: transplant

no clarity about how and when to measure BP, or about BP targets in the haemodialysis population.

Reliance upon immediate pre-dialysis and/or post-dialysis BP measurements alone to detect hypertension in patients undergoing haemodialysis may be misleading [25]. Pre-dialysis BP may substantially overestimate mean ambulatory inter-dialytic BP [26]. For pre-dialysis SBP the overestimate may range from 6–18 mmHg depending on the timing of the measurement and for DBP from 3–9 mmHg. In contrast, post-dialysis measurements underestimate mean systolic BP by approximately 4–14 mmHg for SBP and 1 mmHg for DBP. There are suggestions that post-dialysis BP may be more reflective of mean inter-dialytic BP [25, 26].

The utility of UKRR data could be enhanced by collection of data on intra-dialytic weight gain, the use of BP lowering drugs and the frequency of intra-dialytic hypotension. Future registry analyses should include systolic BP as an independent risk factor in models for predictors of death and variation in survival on dialysis.

Conflicts of interest: none

References

- 1 Kalantar-Zadeh K, Kopple JD. Reverse epidemiology of blood pressure in dialysis patients. *Kidney Int.* 2005;67(5):2067

- 2 Levin NW, Kotanko P, Eckardt KU, Kasiske BL, Chazot C, Cheung AK, Redon J, Wheeler DC, Zoccali C, London GM: Blood pressure in chronic kidney disease stage 5D-report from a Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes controversies conference. *Kidney Int.* 2010;77:273–28
- 3 Robinson BM TL, Zhang J, Wolfe RA, Goodkin DA, Greenwood RN, Kerr PG, Morgenstern H, Li Y, Pisoni RL, Saran R, Tentori F, Akizawa T, Fukuhara S, Port FK. Blood pressure levels and mortality risk among hemodialysis patients in the Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study. *Kidney Int.* 2012;82(5):570–580
- 4 Chazot C, Vo-Van C, Deleaval P, Lorriaux C, Hurot JM, Mayor B, Jean G: Predialysis systolic blood pressure evolution in incident hemodialysis patients: effects of the dry weight method and prognostic value. *Blood Purif.* 2012;33:275–283
- 5 The FHN Trial Group. In-Center Hemodialysis Six Times per Week versus Three Times per Week. *New England Journal of Medicine.* 2010; 363:2287–2300
- 6 Krapf R, Hulter HN. Arterial Hypertension Induced by Erythropoietin and Erythropoiesis-Stimulating Agents (ESA). *Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 2009;4(2):470–480
- 7 Oberbauer R, Kreis H, Johnson RW, Mota A, Claesson K, Ruiz JC, Wilczek H, Jamieson N, Henriques AC, Paczek L, Chapman J, Burke JT: Rapamune Maintenance Regimen Study Group: Long-term improvement in renal function with sirolimus after early cyclosporine withdrawal in renal transplant recipients: 2-year results of the Rapamune Maintenance Regimen Study. *Transplantation* 2003;76:364–370
- 8 Rohrscheib MR, Myers OB, Servilla KS, Adams CD, Miskulin D, Bedrick EJ, Hunt WC, Lindsey DE, Gabaldon D, Zager PG: Age-related blood pressure patterns and blood pressure variability among hemodialysis patients. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2008;3:1407–1414
- 9 Di Iorio B DML, Torraca S, Sirico ML, Guastaferrero P, Chiuchiolo L, Nigro F, De Blasio A, Romano P, Pota A, Rubino R, Morrone L, Lopez T, Casino FG. Variability of blood pressure in dialysis patients: a new marker of cardiovascular risk. *J Nephrol* 2013;26(1):173–182
- 10 Agarwal R. Blood pressure and mortality among hemodialysis patients. *Hypertension* 2010;55(3):762–768
- 11 Zoccali C. Arterial pressure components and cardiovascular risk in end-stage renal disease. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 2003 Feb;18(2):249–252
- 12 Mazzuchi N, Carbonell E, Fernández-Cean J. Importance of blood pressure control in hemodialysis patient survival. *Kidney Int.* 2000 Nov;58(5):2147–2154
- 13 Tomita J, Kimura G, Inoue T, Inenaga T, Sanai T, Kawano Y, et al. Role of systolic blood pressure in determining prognosis of hemodialyzed patients. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.* 1995 Mar;25(3):405–412
- 14 Li Z, Lacson E, Lowrie EG, Ofsthun NJ, Kuhlmann MK, Lazarus JM, et al. The epidemiology of systolic blood pressure and death risk in hemodialysis patients. *Am. J. Kidney Dis.* 2006 Oct;48(4):606–615
- 15 Davenport A, Cox C, Thuraishingham R. Achieving blood pressure targets during dialysis improves control but increases intradialytic hypotension. *Kidney Int.* 2008 Mar;73(6):759–764
- 16 Shoji T, Tsubakihara Y, Fujii M, Imai E. Hemodialysis-associated hypotension as an independent risk factor for two-year mortality in hemodialysis patients. *Kidney Int.* 2004 Sep;66(3):1212–1220
- 17 Burton JO, Jefferies HJ, Selby NM, McIntyre CW. Hemodialysis-induced cardiac injury: determinants and associated outcomes. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2009 May;4(5):914–920
- 18 Zager PG, Nikolic J, Brown RH, Campbell MA, Hunt WC, Peterson D, et al. “U” curve association of blood pressure and mortality in hemodialysis patients. Medical Directors of Dialysis Clinic, Inc. *Kidney Int.* 1998 Aug;54(2):561–569
- 19 Holt S, Goldsmith D: UK Renal Association Clinical Practice Guidelines Committee: 2010 RA Guidelines – Cardiovascular disease in CKD, 5th Edition. 2010. <http://www.renal.org/Clinical/GuidelinesSection/CardiovascularDiseaseInCKD.aspx>
- 20 Levin NW, Kotanko P, Eckardt KU, et al. Blood pressure in chronic kidney disease stage 5D-report from a Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes controversies conference. *Kidney Int* 2010;77(4):273–284
- 21 KDIGO clinical practice guideline for the care of kidney transplant recipients. *Am J Transplant* 2009;9(suppl 3):S1–155
- 22 Ansell D, Tomson CR. UK Renal Registry 11th Annual Report (December 2008): Chapter 15 The UK Renal Registry, UKRR database, validation and methodology. *Nephron Clin Pract* 2009;111(suppl 1): c277–285
- 23 Webb L, Tomson CRV, Casula A, Farrington K: Registry 13th Annual Report (December 2010): Chapter 11 Blood Pressure Profile of Prevalent Patients receiving Renal Replacement Therapy in England, Wales and Northern Ireland in 2009: National and Centre-Specific Analyses. *Nephron Clin Pract* 2011;119(suppl 2):c215–c224
- 24 Foley RN, Parfrey PS, Harnett JD, Kent GM, Murray DC, Barre PE: Impact of hypertension on cardiomyopathy, morbidity and mortality in end-stage renal disease. *Kidney Int* 1996;49:1379–1385
- 25 Agarwal R, Peixoto, AJ, Santos SFF, Zoccali C. Pre- and post-dialysis blood pressures are imprecise estimates of interdialytic ambulatory blood pressure. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2006;1:389–398
- 26 Mitra S, Chandna SM, Farrington K. What is hypertension in chronic haemodialysis? The role of interdialytic blood pressure monitoring. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 1999;14(12):2915–21