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Abstract
Adolescents and young adults occupy a unique place within the cancer community due to the challenges they face related to disease biology, access to care, and psychosocial and socioeconomic circumstances. Efforts to define specific needs and targets for intervention in these areas are under way and evolving. This review will discuss the current and future challenges in delivering quality care to this population.

Introduction
Cancer in the adolescent and young adult (AYA) population is the most common cause of nonaccidental death following injuries, suicide and homicide [1, 2] with up to a third of cases coming from hematological malignancies (table 1) [2, 3]. AYAs have been recognized as a distinct population within the oncology community due to the unique challenges they face. When compared to pediatric and older adult oncology patients, these 15- to 39-year-olds encounter differences in disease biology, access to care, psychosocial and socioeconomic circumstances, and issues related to long-term follow-up [4–6]. Furthermore, there have been fewer improvements in treatment of AYA cancers than have been observed in non-AYA populations, a trend that is evident across all ethnic groups and tumor types [2]. Current reports place the 5-year overall survival for AYAs with hematological malignancies between 47 and 95% [7]. There have been efforts to define specific needs and targets for intervention in order to achieve high-quality care for AYAs. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network has recently published guidelines to address this [8].

The spectrum of malignant diseases varies among different age groups. Pediatric oncological diseases are predominated by embryonal-type tumors such as Wilms’ tumor, neuroblastoma, hepatoblastoma and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL). In contrast, adult cancer diagnoses tend to come from epithelial origin such as breast, lung and prostate tissue. AYAs straddle these two groups, sharing overlaps in terms of age and tumor type; however, the prognosis of a given tumor type may be significantly different when compared to non-AYAs. For instance, the outcomes of AYAs with ALL are worse than those of pediatric patients [9].
Other papers have discussed how diverse and incompletely understood disease biology can explain how the same pathological diagnosis can have different courses in different age groups [5]. The purpose of this article is to discuss the nonpathological issues that create challenges in achieving satisfactory care delivery for AYAs with a focus on hematological malignancies.

**Access to Clinical Trials and Initiation of Treatment**

The main method by which the field of medicine advances is careful scientific study of disease. Detailed analysis of various interventions through clinical trials informs the medical community of the proper way to best improve outcomes. Unfortunately, cancer patients between the ages of 15 and 35 have the lowest rates of accrual to clinical trials [10]. Roughly 90% of patients under the age of 15 are managed at institutions with trials sponsored by the National Cancer Institute, and most of those patients are entered into trials. These numbers drop off rapidly for older patients. Only 20–35% of 15- to 19-year-olds are seen at such institutions, and only 10% go on trial. For 20- to 29-year-olds, less than 10% are seen at institutions with trials sponsored by the National Cancer Institute, and only 1% are actually enrolled. Including community settings, rates of enrollment for pediatric populations are around 60%. In older adults with cancer, enrollment falls in the 3–5% range [10]. The overall rate for enrollment of AYAs in clinical trials is estimated to be less than 2% [2]. Within the AYA group, clinical trial enrollment for hematological malignancies seems to worsen with age. Liu et al. [11] found that when compared to patients younger than 15 years, patients aged 15–19 were 48% less likely to enroll in leukemia trials and 62% less likely to enroll in lymphoma trials. Meanwhile patients aged 20–44 accrued to clinical trials at a rate of 91–96% lower than patients aged <15 years for all leukemias [12].

Similar evidence of poor trial enrollment has been noted for older AYAs with lymphoma [13].

Reasons for lower clinical trial enrollment are likely multifaceted. Lack of awareness of ongoing trials by treating physicians and patients and lack of willingness of patients to participate in the trials are obvious barriers. In addition, there are simply fewer trials open for the cancers that are common in this age group. Historically, there may also be lack of collaboration between pediatric and adult cooperative groups, resulting in trials designed for older or younger patients, but few specifically designed with AYAs in mind [9]. To combat this, the Children’s Oncology Group Adolescent and Young Adult Initiative has been working to increase the age limits on trials that include diseases that also affect AYAs [2]. The Cancer and Leukemia Group B 10403 trial for patients aged 16–39 with newly diagnosed ALL is the first intergroup study designed specifically for AYAs [14].

There may also be economic reasons underlying the low rate of AYA trial enrollment. AYAs are the most uninsured age group, and not every clinical trial covers the complete cost of care [2]. In general, community-based physicians are less likely to enroll AYAs in clinical trials, the reasons for which are variable but may include economic disincentives. Furthermore, providers may lack adequate training in issues specific to the AYA population and thus may not recognize the particular importance of trials in this age group. AYAs may also be perceived by local physicians as a group with a higher likelihood of noncompliance and may thus be considered less suited to clinical trial enrollment [2].

Education and outreach at multiple steps may help to address gaps in enrollment of AYAs into trials [10]. AYAs should be encouraged to ask about clinical trials and to consider traveling to other facilities that have open trials, if possible, since in some instances the standard of care for the AYA population has not been defined and is thus not clear locally. Once enrolled, AYAs should be given adequate support to help them with adherence to the protocol. One example of this strategy is the Children’s Oncology Group’s Adolescent and Young Adult Initiative which was integral to increasing the upper limit for age in Children’s Oncology Group trials as discussed above and continues to collaborate with adult cooperative groups on codevelopment of trials for Hodgkin’s lymphoma (HL) and non-HL [2].

From a policy standpoint, it is important to note that the available funding for clinical trials is limited and thus may preclude developing specific trials for the AYA population. In one survey of providers and patients, both

---

Table 1. Percentage of all cancer diagnoses [adapted from Bleyer et al. 2]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Aged 15–19, %</th>
<th>Aged 20–29, %</th>
<th>Aged 30–39, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hodgkin’s lymphoma</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute lymphoblastic leukemia</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute myeloid leukemia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Acute myeloid leukemia 5 3 1
Acute lymphoblastic leukemia 7 2 1
Non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma 7 6 6
Hodgkin’s lymphoma 15 10 3

---
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groups agreed that higher priority is needed for trials that address specific predefined topics as opposed to traditional investigator-initiated studies [15]. This type of strategic prioritization could benefit areas of need such as defining standards of care for AYA hematological malignancies. Additionally, though randomized trials remain the gold standard for developing the evidence base for AYA hematological malignancies, comparative effectiveness research studies may be a helpful and less expensive adjunct to facilitate this goal. Comparative effectiveness research compares the effectiveness of tests, treatments, procedures or other health care services in multiple formats, such as prospective data collection or systematic reviews [16]. The goal of comparative effectiveness research is to improve health care by studying ‘real-world’ data, which is particularly applicable to AYAs with leukemias and lymphomas as this population may be treated in both pediatric and adult settings [17].

Access to Multidisciplinary Professionals Well Versed in Specific Issues in the AYA Population

The majority of 15- to 19-year-old patients are referred to adult oncologists [10]; however, this practice pattern does vary with disease pathology. Cases of acute leukemia tend to get referred to pediatric oncologists, while lymphomas tend to get referred to adult oncologists [9]. The primary goal of treating AYAs should be achieving the best outcome. Some have argued that this may mean treating AYAs with ALL using a pediatric regimen and possibly at a pediatric center, though this is the subject of ongoing debate. In general, issues around which approach and which treatment setting are optimal for AYA hematological malignancies remain largely unresolved and deserve further specific attention [10]. In addition to making sure AYAs receive the best disease-based care possible, this group of patients also has a large and unique set of psychosocial issues that require diligent attention.

Treatment-Related Issues

Long-term effects from treatment of AYA hematological malignancies vary by specific treatment-related exposure. Cardiac toxicity is a well-described potential complication of anthracyclines [18], as is the lung toxicity associated with bleomycin used in the treatment of HL [19]. Secondary malignancies including leukemia and lung cancer have been reported after treatment of non-HL [20] and HL [21], whether from primary therapy or from subsequent hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) [22]. Long-term infertility (see below) and endocrine dysfunction [23–25] are also prevalent. In the AYA population, physicians must consider these consequences and assume long-term survival of patients when weighing the risks and benefits of competing treatment strategies that may carry different late effect risk profiles. For any given regimen, it is imperative that patients undergo the proper and necessary pretreatment organ function screening and that meticulous detail is paid to proper dosing and supportive care to help mitigate these risks. Having noted that, AYAs tend to be better equipped to tolerate intensive therapies than their older counterparts, even if they have a higher risk of treatment-related complications than pediatric patients treated in a similar way.

Interestingly, technology may prove to be a useful ally in these situations. Patients with chronic diseases are more likely to use the Internet, and cancer patients who research their diseases online are more engaged with their physicians, ask more questions and have more of a partnership in their treatments [26]. Internet use changes how patients cope with and manage their pain [27]. Because most AYAs use smartphones [28] and are generally technology avid, these observations raise the possibility that technology could be used to facilitate communication between AYAs and providers in the areas of patient-reported symptoms or management of short- or long-term disease and treatment-related complications.

Fertility

Infertility is defined as the inability to conceive after 1 year of intercourse without contraception [29]. Unfortunately, infertility can occur as a result of treatment for several of the hematological malignancies in the AYA population. Men can have disease-related infertility, primary or secondary hormonal insufficiency, and treatment-related damage or depletion of germinal stem cells that may or may not resolve after completion of therapy [29]. For women, cancer-directed treatments can decrease primordial follicles, affect hormonal balance or interfere with the function of the ovaries, fallopian tubes, uterus or cervix even if menses do return [29]. Furthermore, premature ovarian failure not only causes infertility but can also lead to vasomotor symptoms and fatigue [30]. AYAs generally regard fertility as important, prefer biological offspring and want to have children in the fu-
The risk of ovarian failure varies with the type of therapy, dose, duration and age of the patient, but the risk of having at least some posttreatment symptoms is significant [30]. For instance, one survey showed that following stem cell transplantation, all women have at least some postmenopausal symptoms [30].

Fortunately, there are resources available for providers to estimate the risk of infertility based on the sex of the patient and the type of cancer or regimen used. One such website is http://www.fertilehope.org/tool-bar/risk-calculator.cfm, which can be useful when having this discussion during the planning phases of treatment. Table 2 shows similar estimates in tabular form [29]. Education of the oncology medical community is one intervention that offers a chance for great improvement in the fertility preservation of AYAs undergoing cancer treatment [31].

One survey of practitioners showed that while oncologists knew the importance of fertility and were aware of potential adverse effects of alkylators and irradiation, about half of respondents were unaware that risks were higher in men, that birth defects and cancer rates in children of cancer survivors are at baseline population levels, and did not know that ovarian cryopreservation is an option in the prepubertal setting. Moreover, 10% thought sperm banking was not successful enough to be worthwhile. With this knowledge gap, it is not surprising that oncologists have room for improvement when discussing fertility issues with their patients [32].

Further complicating matters, 30–60% of cancer survivors did not recall getting information about possible infertility issues even when they did receive prior counseling [32].

In addition to problems with awareness and education, there are still limitations related to the availability and efficacy of the techniques used to address fertility preservation. One obvious impediment is cost. As an example, one analysis found that sperm banking cost USD 275 for initiation followed by an ongoing USD 300 annual storage fee [31]. Access to appropriate referral sites and finding an appropriate specialist were also barriers to care [31, 32]. Some oncologists report that in a busy modern practice there simply is not enough time to address these issues, especially when there are concerns about treatment delay [32].

Finally, there are several ethical issues involved in fertility preservation. Levine et al. [32] and Jadoul and Kim [33] suggest the following examples:

1. Making sure to clarify reasonable expectations for fertility preservation;
2. Distinguish which methods of preservation are standard of care and which are experimental;

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High risk (&gt;80%)</td>
<td>Radiation therapy ≥10 Gy to ovaries; alkylators</td>
<td>HL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TBI; alkylators</td>
<td>BMT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alkylators + TBI</td>
<td>BMT, HL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cyclophosphamide ≥7.5 mg/m² in women &lt;20 years old</td>
<td>Non-HL, ALL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Procarbazine-containing regimens</td>
<td>HL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium risk (30–70%)</td>
<td>Whole abdominal or pelvic radiation 5–10 Gy; spinal radiation &gt;25 Gy</td>
<td>Relapsed ALL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low risk (&lt;20%)</td>
<td>Multiagent therapy</td>
<td>ALL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 + 3</td>
<td>AML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHOP (4–6 cycles)</td>
<td>Non-HL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COP</td>
<td>Non-HL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ABVD</td>
<td>HL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very low or no risk</td>
<td>Vincristine</td>
<td>Leukemia, HL, non-HL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown risk</td>
<td>Monoclonal antibodies, TKIs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TBI = Total body irradiation; 7 + 3 = cytarabine and anthracycline; CHOP = cyclophosphamide, hydroxydaunorubicin, vincristine, prednisone; COP = cyclophosphamide, vincristine, prednisone; ABVD = doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, dacarbazine; TKIs = tyrosine kinase inhibitors; BMT = bone marrow transplantation; AML = acute myeloid leukemia.
Likewise for females, rates of birth after BEACOPP are 90% and reported rates of birth after natural fertilization, support groups or counseling services for AYAs [9]. From a more patient-centered perspective, emotional barriers, poverty and underemployment due to illness, physical and functional limitations, and lack of knowl-
edge can lead to underutilization of psychiatric services [49].

Psychosocial issues reported by AYAs with hematological malignancies include depression, anxiety, distress, delirium, posttraumatic stress disorder, sexual dysfunction, physical limitations, family dysfunction, strain on relationships, infertility, body image, problems with work, issues with education, hospital readmission, complications of treatment, slow recovery and other chronic issues [15, 48]. Late effects of cancer treatment include problems with memory, learning, attention, cognition, social interactions and work performance [48]. Cancer patients use multiple coping mechanisms, most of which attempt to increase hope and give the patient a sense of control over his or her disease even though in reality many disease-related issues may be outside of the patient’s control [48]. It may be helpful for providers to understand this, so they can appreciate how patients cope with these potentially fatal diagnoses.

To this end the National Comprehensive Cancer Network has included in its AYA guidelines a section on psychosocial issues to help clinicians address these issues [8]. Recommendations are mostly general rather than specific, but do include suggestions such as anticipatory education on the importance of medication adherence and early referral to mental health providers for psychiatric or cognitive dysfunction or signs of substance abuse. Church young adult groups and mentors can be useful allies for patients with crises of faith or conscience. In addition, there are a variety of face-to-face and virtual support groups that can act as adjunct support networks for AYAs. Finally, providers are encouraged to simplify treatment as much as possible and have some flexibility to account for non-disease-related obligations that patients may have.

**Access to Care and Socioeconomic Issues**

Despite the perception that AYAs are a generally healthy population, roughly one sixth suffer from some form of chronic illnesses and have trouble paying medical bills [7]. Access to care is paramount in order to cover the illnesses from which this group suffers including hematological malignancies [6]. Treatment of these diseases is complicated, requiring multidisciplinary care and significant social support. Leukemias and lymphomas are frequently treated at tertiary care hospitals, which may require patients to stay locally even if they live hours away.

In the USA, recent slow economic growth has depressed the job market, which in turn has led to difficulties obtaining adequate insurance [6]. As a subset, AYAs are the most uninsured population, which can lead to missed care opportunities and subsequent economic burdens including tradeoffs with education and career, using up savings, delinquency on loans, building up credit card debt, delaying moving out from their parents’ home, increased cohabitation, delaying marriage and sometimes difficulty paying for basic necessities like rent and food [6, 50]. Even if one has adequate insurance at the time of diagnosis, there is the risk of losing insurance if there is loss of employment during treatment or – for dependent patients – the risk of aging out of eligibility for dependent insurance [6].

American AYAs suffer an increased interval from onset of symptoms to time of diagnosis. This does not seem to be affected by race, ethnicity, age, gender, marital status or surrogate measures of socioeconomic status, but is linked to underinsurance [10]. This delay can be as long as 13 weeks and lead to a more advanced stage of disease at the time of diagnosis [51]. Furthermore, at least one study showed that despite some popular belief, AYAs do tend to purchase insurance when offered by their employers [6]. For AYAs who are unemployed or whose parents are unemployed, the only other option is private health insurance. Employer-based health insurance tends to have subsidies to defray some of the cost to employees, but independently purchased insurance does not [52]. Moreover, premiums can increase seemingly arbitrarily, and preexisting conditions can be grounds for denial of coverage.

In an effort to make medical care more available to Americans, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA) is being phased in and will establish among other things an insurance exchange system in which the federal government and each state will have programs available to health care consumers. Health exchanges will offer essential services that are important to hematological malignancy patients and will likely include ambulatory care, emergency room visits, hospitalization, psychiatric care, substance abuse, chronic diseases, prescriptions and rehabilitation [53]. Another benefit from which patients with hematological malignancies will benefit is the mandate that insurances will now cover any services that occur in the course of a clinical trial but would have been covered under routine care if that patient were not on trial [54].

Another important aspect of the PPACA is mitigation of health care costs, which are inflating at an unsustainable rate. One example of a cost-cutting measure being piloted by the government is bundling payments for a
given diagnosis [54, 55]. How this might work in the case of hematological malignancy is difficult to foresee due to the complex and multidisciplinary nature of the care involved. For instance, a lymphoma patient may see a surgeon, radiation oncologist and medical oncologist, and have multiple hospital admissions over a relatively short time both due to scheduled chemotherapy and complications of that therapy.

Two features of the PPACA, which will greatly impact access for AYAs and which are already in place, are the expansion of dependent insurance eligibility and the elimination of preexisting conditions. Prior to enactment, patients receiving insurance through their parents became disqualified for this option at the age of 19 or at the time of graduation from high school or college. Since September 23, 2010, all dependents are allowed to have dependent insurance until the age of 26 [56, 57]. Evidence has shown that AYAs have taken advantage of this expansion of coverage [6, 58]. While dependent insurance increased, Medicaid claims stayed stable and private self-insurance decreased [57].

The elimination of preexisting conditions means that insurance companies and exchanges cannot deny patients because of their chronic medical conditions. In addition, the Department of Health and Human Services will review premium increases to make sure they are justified. The only variables on which issue of insurance and premiums can be based are age, geography, family versus individual status and tobacco use. Prior limitations on lifetime coverage for essential services and annual limitations on nonessential services which can both be a heavy burden to the oncology population are largely eliminated or phased out. Appeals against denials of coverage have also been made easier and will involve third parties that objectively review the cases.

**Conclusion**

AYAs with hematological malignancies are a unique population as defined not simply by their age and pathological diagnosis, but in terms of the challenges they face with regard to adequate access to medical care, representation on clinical trials, and short- and long-term treatment effects including altered fertility. There are efforts on national and international levels to better define and address these issues from both medical and social perspectives. In the meantime, it is the duty of the oncologists who treat these individuals to recognize this and to help these patients navigate treatment as best as possible. Resources available to clinicians include published guidelines specific to AYAs [8] and sites like ClinicalTrials.gov [59] to help patients and providers identify potential clinical trials that may result in better outcomes for individual patients and, eventually, the AYA community as a whole.
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