Circulating mRNA for the PLAC1 Gene as a Second Trimester Marker (14–18 Weeks’ Gestation) in the Screening for Late Preeclampsia
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Abstract
Objective: To develop a model for prediction of late preeclampsia (PE; which develops at or after 34 weeks’ gestation) based on maternal history and characteristics, mean arterial pressure (MAP), and circulating levels of mRNA for the placenta-specific 1 (PLAC1) gene in maternal plasma at 14–18 weeks’ gestation. Method: This was a screening study of singleton pregnancies at 14–18 weeks’ gestation including 43 women that subsequently developed PE and 200 that were unaffected by PE. A Gaussian model was fitted to the log distribution of the multiple of the median (log MoM) PLAC1 mRNA in the PE group and in the unaffected group. Likelihood ratios for log MoM of circulating levels of mRNA for the PLAC1 gene were used to combine the a priori risk from maternal characteristics with MAP to produce patient-specific risks for each case. Results: Screening by maternal characteristics (including BMI, woman’s mother’s history of PE, previous PE, and parity) (a priori risk) and MAP detected 46.8% of all cases of late PE at a fixed false-positive rate (FPR) of 10%. The addition of PLAC1 yielded a detection rate (DR) of 62.8% at the same level of FPR. PLAC1 alone yielded a DR of 30.2%.

Conclusion: In late PE, molecular markers can be used to improve the DR of screening and can be a valid option for the biochemical approach.
of the X chromosome and is essential for normal placental and embryonic development [6]. PLAC1 has previously been evaluated as a potential marker of genetic and gestational disorders, and many papers have reported higher levels of PLAC1 in PE patients than in a healthy population [7–10]. In the past years a consistent number of studies have reported the development of several statistical models for the prediction of PE long before its clinical onset. Most of them involve maternal history, biophysical parameters, Doppler of uterine arteries, and biochemical markers in the prediction of any kind of PE, including early and late onset. For example, in MEDLINE there are more than 100 papers that present results obtained on a huge amount of data. Even if it is a hard challenge to summarize the results of so many articles, the detection rate (DR) for PE ranges from about 45 to 55% at a false-positive rate (FPR) of 10% by using maternal history plus mean arterial pressure (MAP), and various combinations of biochemical factors yield a DR around 90% at the same FPR with a much better degree of prediction for the early onset of PE [11]. On the other hand, our group has already reported risk estimation for PE by means of molecular markers [12, 13]. Although the number of cases enrolled to build up a predictive model means of molecular markers was small in comparison with that used in the studies on biochemical markers, the DR of various panels of mRNAs species with and without maternal factors has been quoted as about 80–85% at 10% FPR [12, 13].

However, until now no papers have attempted to combine the so-called a priori risk derived by some equations with the risk obtained by the Gaussian distribution of mRNA species and expressed as a likelihood ratio (LR). In the medical literature, in fact, only papers that used a combination of biochemical markers and/or Doppler measurements with a panel of equations derived by anamnestic and biophysical maternal factors are present.

In this paper we used a risk model derived from maternal history plus MAP distribution [14] combined with mRNA for PLAC1 at 14–18 gestational weeks, using part of the data already published by Purwosunu et al. [15] in the American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology in 2009. In particular, in that paper, 7 mRNAs species, including PLAC1 were dosed in a population of 310 controls and 62 PE cases between 15 and 20 weeks’ gestation, including cases of mild PE, severe PE, and HELLP syndromes. The DR at a fixed 5% FPR was calculated for each mRNA, and PLAC1 yielded a significant discrimination between cases and controls.

We decided to dose PLAC1 in the second trimester since the low degree of placental insufficiency typical of late PE would not allow the prediction of the disease. The aim of the present study was to combine, for the first time, maternal factors plus a molecular marker for the risk estimation of late PE by means of the same parametric algorithm used in biochemical screening to allow a possible comparison of the two approaches.

Materials and Methods

The women were examined between mid-2005 and 2006, and comprised singleton pregnancies that visited the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Indonesia, at Cipto Mangunkusumo National Hospital. Singleton pregnant women without any preexisting medical diseases including chronic hypertension and pregravid diabetes at screening or antenatal complications at the time of blood drawing were invited to participate. The pregnancies were dated by ultrasound, which was performed during the first trimester (11–13 weeks). All women provided informed consent to join in the study that was approved by the Institutional Research Ethics Committee. From the original study [15], we excluded the patients who developed a HELLP syndrome and those whose follow-up was not complete. Again, only the women within the interval 14–18 weeks’ gestation were considered. Forty-three cases of PE were therefore retrospectively matched with 200 normal pregnancies in a 1:5 match for gestational age at the time of enrollment. For 15 PE cases, however, only 4 controls were available. Data on pregnancy outcomes were collected from the hospital maternity records. Diagnosis of PE was made according to the criteria of the International Society for the Study of Hypertension in Pregnancy [16]. Hypertension is defined as systolic blood pressure ≥140 mm Hg and/or diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mm Hg on at least two occasions 4 h apart developed after 20 weeks’ gestation in previously normotensive women. PE, on the other hand, is characterized by hypertension with proteinuria ≥300 mg in 24 h, or two readings of at least ++ on dipstick analysis of midstream or catheter urine specimens if no 24-hour collection is available. We defined late PE as occurring after 34 weeks’ gestation (which is also mostly associated with normal or slightly increased uterine resistance index, a low rate of fetal involvement, and more favorable perinatal outcomes).

Processing of blood samples has been described previously [17]. In brief, in the second trimester (14–18 weeks’ gestation) 7-ml peripheral blood samples were collected in EDTA-containing tubes and centrifuged at 1,600 g for 10 min at 4°C twice within 1 h from collection. Molecular analysis was performed at the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Showa University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan. Total RNA was extracted from 1.6 ml of harvested plasma. The plasma was mixed with 2 ml of Trizol LS reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, Calif., USA) and 0.4 ml of chloroform. This mixture was centrifuged at 12,000 g for 15 min at 4°C, then the aqueous layer was transferred to new tubes. After 1 volume of 700 ml/l, ethanol was added to 1 volume of aqueous layer; the mixture was then applied to a QIAamp MinElute Virus column (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and processed according to the recommendations of the manufacturer. Total RNA was eluted.
with 20 μl of RNase-free water and directly reverse-transcribed with an Omniscript RT Kit (Qiagen) in accordance with the instructions of the manufacturer. After this, complementary DNA products were amplified by real-time quantitative PCR according to the manufacturer’s instructions (QuantiTect Probe PCR Kit; Qiagen) with a 2-μl aliquot of complementary DNA and the kit components in a reaction volume of 20 μl. TaqMan PCR analyses for PLAC1 were performed with predeveloped and commercially available primers and probe sets described previously [8]. As an initial step, we verified that each PCR assay was specific to mRNA and not to genomic DNA. Amplification data were collected and analyzed with an ABI Prism 7900HT Sequence Detector (Applied Biosystems). Each sample was analyzed in duplicate, and multiple negative water blanks were included in every analysis. The following thermal profile was used: 15 min of denaturation at 95°C, followed by 15 s of annealing at 94°C, and 1 min of extension at 60°C. Quantification of gene expression was performed by investigators who were blinded to the outcome of pregnancy. The amounts of mRNA samples were expressed in terms of copies per milliliter. To quantify mRNA concentrations, we prepared plasmid DNA for calibration curves as previously described [18].

Statistical analysis was performed at the Department of Medicine and Surgery (DIMEC), Division of Prenatal Medicine at Bologna University. Distributions of demographic characteristics and log distribution of the multiple of the median (log MoM) PLAC1 mRNA concentrations were analyzed by Student’s t test and a χ2 test after testing for normality by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The a priori risks [intended as odds/(1 + odds)], where odds = eY and Y were calculated using the following equation: Y = −6.311 + 0.092 × BMI + (0.855 if woman’s mother had PE or 0 if she did not) + (−1.481 if parous without previous PE, or 0 if nulliparous). The a priori + MAP risk, % 5.7 vs. 0.8%). PLAC1 log MoM was higher in PE cases when compared to controls and associated with a significantly low p value. A Kolmogorov-Smirnov test yielded p > 0.05 for the log MoM distribution in both cases and controls. The distributions of PLAC1 log MoM values in both PE and control pregnancies followed a log-Gaussian pattern at least between −2 and +2 Z-score in the unaffected group, as judged by a probability plot (fig. 1). Table 3 shows that both the a priori + MAP risk and the PLAC1 MoM are associated with a significant discrimination between cases and controls as expressed by the areas under the curve (AUCs). Finally, DRs for all the available models were calculated. The patient-specific-risk model (combination of the a priori + MAP risk model plus MoM PLAC1 model) yielded the highest DR. The best improvement in DR was observed at the FPR of 5% since it moved from 35.5 to 55.8%.

### Results

Table 1 reports the demographic characteristics of the series of data stratified according to the generated groups. Table 2 reports the distribution of the variables used to build up the statistical models for PE prediction. As shown in table 2, the median quoted a priori + MAP risk of PE is seven times higher for PE cases than controls (5.6 ± 8.56 vs. 0.5 ± 0.43). The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was used to evaluate whether, after log MoM conversion, PLAC1 was associated with a significant risk of PE. The LR associated with the black race was omitted since no black women were present in this series of data.

### Table 1. Demographic characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Cases (n = 43)</th>
<th>Controls (n = 200)</th>
<th>p^</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age, years</td>
<td>28.8 ± 5.46</td>
<td>27.9 ± 5.34</td>
<td>0.356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>23.21 ± 3.74</td>
<td>23.07 ± 3.50</td>
<td>0.821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age at enrollment, weeks</td>
<td>16 ± 1.46</td>
<td>17 ± 1.26</td>
<td>0.184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal weight, g</td>
<td>2,700 ± 414</td>
<td>3,190 ± 488</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% IUGR</td>
<td>20.9 ± 1.5</td>
<td>0.821</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% LPTD^2</td>
<td>16 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woman’s mother with PE</td>
<td>9.3 ± 0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous history of PE</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% nulliparity</td>
<td>70.5 ± 6.1</td>
<td>0.623</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weeks at delivery</td>
<td>37 ± 1.72</td>
<td>38 ± 1.35</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Distribution of the variables included in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Cases (n = 43)</th>
<th>Controls (n = 200)</th>
<th>p^</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>86.6 ± 8.56</td>
<td>80.9 ± 6.89</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLAC1 log MoM</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.43</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.42</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a priori + MAP risk</td>
<td>5.7 ± 76</td>
<td>0.8 ± 3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient-specific risk</td>
<td>17.8 ± 222</td>
<td>1.5 ± 75</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as means ± SD or percentage. \(^1\) Student’s t test \(^2\) Late preterm delivery (<37 and >34 weeks’ gestation).
**Fig. 1.** 
(a) P-plot of the log PLAC1 MoM values in cases (black, blue in the online version) and controls (gray, red in the online version). On the right side of the frame the correspondent Gaussian distributions are also reported (solid line = cases; dotted line = controls). 
(b) ROC curve for the a priori + MAP risk of late PE obtained by the Poon’s model. 
(c) ROC curve obtained for log PLAC1 MoM. 
(d) ROC curve for the a priori + MAP risk of late PE (lower) and patient-specific risk of late PE (upper) obtained by the combination of the a priori + MAP risk of late PE plus log PLAC1 MoM.
Discussion

Given the morbidity associated with PE, an enormous variety of biomolecules have been studied to detect those that show evidence of alteration in the maternal circulation during early pregnancy, before the manifestation of clinical symptoms. Therefore, PE screening is in growing demand, and many papers support the combination of several risk factors to derive a combined method for the patient-specific risk of PE. However, the vast majority of the published results involve biochemical markers and/or Doppler measurements—only a few papers have reported on the predictive values of molecular markers. In previous studies, PLAC1 gene expression has been reported to be higher in PE patients than in controls [13–15]. PLAC1 mRNA concentrations are a function of PE severity and weeks of onset, and are higher in early-onset PE than in late-onset PE [9]. Given this difference in PLAC1 distribution, in this paper we selected only those cases of late PE that are the greater part of available series of data in order to have a more homogenous sample. The cellular function of PLAC1 is not known, but it is thought to be membrane associated and has been linked to trophoblast differentiation [6, 20]. It is possible that higher levels of PLAC1 are related to an abnormal interaction between trophoblast and uterine tissues which induces a defective vascular remodeling of maternal spiral arteries leading to noninvasion of trophoblast and placental insufficiency [21, 22]. Therefore, behind the increase of PLAC1 in PE women’s plasma, one possible mechanism could be the enhanced expression of placental mRNA due to genetic deregulation.

This is the first paper that prospectively combines the risk derived from maternal factors like history and MAP, coming from a previously calculated equation generated by a vast number of cases, with a molecular marker of a new data set. Only two studies had previously provided a model based on a panel of mRNA species combined with parity [12, 13], but in those only a logistic equation had been retrospectively generated, i.e. by using the same cases recruited in the paper. Many multivariable analyses have been proposed to predict both early and late PE at the first trimester of pregnancy. In general, there is a trend of using several different logistic regression-based equations for the calculation of the a priori and the a posteriori risk of PE. Some papers have instead reported a mixed model that uses an LR derived from Gaussian distribution of some marker like log Doppler of uterine arteries or log MAP combined with risk logistic-regression or which is derived from a Gaussian model [23].

The model that we used to calculate the a priori + MAP risk yielded a lower DR (at 10% FPR) than the one reported in the original paper by Poon et al. [14] in their cohort using the same set of predictors (62.5 vs. 46.8%), but with quite similar AUC (0.852 vs. 0.829). Similar underestimation has also been recently noted in a study by Scazzocchio et al. [24]. The possible role ethnicity plays in lowering the DR must also be noted. However, irrespective of the performance, the estimated a priori + MAP risk of our PE cases was sevenfold that in controls. Reasons for such an underestimation of the DR are probably due to our population which bears a lower rate of risk factors than that enrolled by Poon et al. [14]. For example, the rate of nulliparity between cases and controls is quite similar in our series of data, while in Poon’s paper nulliparity was almost 20% higher in PE cases [14]. However, the increase in MAP values in our study was similar to Poon’s (roughly 6.5 vs. 8%).

PLAC1 MoM alone yielded a sufficient DR to be considered in further studies and its addition consistently improved the DR of the patient-specific risk. We dosed PLAC1 in the second trimester since it is definitely detectable at that time [25] and also because the low degree or absence of placental insufficiency typical of late PE makes predicting late PE in the first trimester quite challenging. Some biochemical markers like PIGF, however, when
combined with a panel of specific markers, yielded a more promising result in late PE screening, also in the first trimester [23]. To conclude, the present study suggests that screening combining maternal factors with PLAC1 is useful for predicting late PE. Our findings indicate and confirm previous studies on the different levels of mRNA for PLAC1 in PE before clinical onset versus controls. The marker itself has a sufficient DR, but probably cannot be used alone, and a panel of various mRNAs, species, or other strategies like Doppler measurements could be used. Among the limitations of the study, we acknowledge that the performance of the screening proposed here should be validated in further prospective studies.
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