Late Recurrences in Early Breast Cancer: For Whom and How Long Is Endocrine Therapy Beneficial?
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Summary
During the last decade, besides the well-established clinical-pathological predictors for the risk of late recurrence in breast cancer, such as estrogen receptor status, and T and N stage, a variety of multigene assays have been shown to improve prognostication and prediction in this setting. Several clinical trials have evaluated the role of extended endocrine therapy with tamoxifen (ATLAS) or aromatase inhibitors (MA.17, NSABP-B33 and ABCSG 6a), and other randomized studies are still ongoing. However, among this patient population, it is still not clear who could benefit from extended therapy and what the optimal treatment duration should be. New multigene assays such as EndoPredict, PAM50 ROR-score, HOXB13/IL17BR ratio and Breast Cancer Index provide significant and relevant prognostic information concerning the likelihood of recurrence beyond 5 years after surgery. The identified low-risk subgroups not only show a very favorable prognosis, they also seem to have only little benefit from extended aromatase inhibitor therapy. Many of these reverse transcriptase/polymerase chain reaction-based techniques have been validated in archived tumor material from large phase III trials, and will soon be available to routine pathology laboratories as an aid in clinical decision-making for patients.

Introduction
The pattern of recurrence in breast cancer is as heterogeneous as the disease itself. Over the last decade, breast cancer has been shown to comprise a wide spectrum of molecular subtypes, some of which are fragmented further, e.g. the basal-like or triple-negative subtypes [1, 2]. Some subtypes, like the Her2 subtype, preferably metastasize to distinct tissues or organs [3]. The timing of distant recurrence also varies according to the subtype and is non-proportional – while estrogen-receptor (ER)-negative and Her2-positive breast cancers tend to recur within the first 5–7 years with an up to 3-fold higher risk, a lower annual hazard rate for ER-positive tumors exists for the first 5 years after diagnosis [4]. The patterns of late metastases are determined in all age groups by ER status, with the rates of annual recurrences in ER-positive cancers exceeding those of ER-negative tumors after 5–7 years [5]. For many cases, the simple distinction by ER status is a more reliable aid for decision making with regards to extended endocrine therapy than the use of some multigene assays such as the 21-gene recurrence score, the prognostic ability of which diminishes when assessing late recurrence risk. This test was initially developed to predict early recurrence, i.e. within the first 5 years; however, the annual risk of metastasis in the low- and intermediate-score group exceeds that of the high-risk score group after 10 years [4, 6]. Curves of hazard rates over time show a sharp peak for the high-risk group in the first few years after diagnosis, similar to the non-proportional risks for recurrence in ER-negative tumors. These findings suggest different biological mechanisms between early and late recurrences in breast cancer.

Clinical Trials of Extended Adjuvant Endocrine Therapy

Several phase III clinical trials have been published and others initiated. An overview of extended adjuvant aromatase inhibitor (AI) therapy trials is given in table 1. The Canadian MA.17 trial randomized 5,187 patients who had completed 5 years of adjuvant tamoxifen to 5 years of either letrozole or placebo [7]. Disease-free survival (DFS) was significantly improved in patients with extended letrozole (hazard ratio (HR) 0.58, p < 0.001). In patients with positive lymph nodes, even overall survival (OS) was improved (HR 0.61, p = 0.04), which constituted an accepted indication for extended endocrine treatment.

The NSABP B-33 trial started recruiting patients in 2001 and had the same setting of extended adjuvant AI therapy
with exemestane [8]. In 2003, when the positive results of the MA.17 study had become available, the trial was unblinded after randomization of 1,598 patients. Even though a substantial proportion of patients chose to crossover from placebo to exemestane (44%), after 4 years of follow-up, a borderline-significant improvement in DFS (HR 0.68, p = 0.07) was observed. However, the absolute difference was only 2% in this population (91 vs. 89% DFS at 4 years).

In the Austrian ABCSG 6a study, 856 patients were randomized to 3 years of anastrozole or placebo after having completed 5 years of tamoxifen treatment with or without the addition of the AI aminoglutethimide within the preceding ABCSG 6 trial [9]. After a median follow-up of 62 months, the investigators found a significant improvement in recurrence-free survival (RFS) by extending endocrine therapy to 8 years (HR 0.62, p = 0.031).

Despite the significant and clinically relevant relative risk (RR) reduction of 32–43% for recurrence, the results of these well-conducted trials cannot be transferred into clinical routine for many patients, since at present most postmenopausal patients are being treated with an AI for at least 2–3 years in the adjuvant setting.

The worldwide ATLAS trial randomized nearly 13,000 patients who had completed 5 years of tamoxifen to either stopping tamoxifen or continuing for another 5 years as extended therapy [10]. In the years 5–9, there was a small RR reduction of 10%, or 1.4% in absolute numbers. After stopping treatment at 10 years, the Kaplan-Meier curves started to separate, resulting in 25% relative and 3.7% absolute risk reduction at 15 years of follow-up. Regarding breast cancer-related death, extended tamoxifen therapy resulted in a 29% relative and 2.8% absolute reduction of risk after 15 years. With regards to side effects, the most commonly observed toxicities were an increased risk of endometrial cancer (RR 1.74) and pulmonary embolism (RR 1.87). On the other hand, a significant decrease in ischemic heart disease was noted (RR 0.76) and no increase in stroke incidence was found, which led to the conclusion that the benefits of this treatment seemed to outweigh the risks substantially. The risks and benefits of 10 years of tamoxifen, therefore, have to be discussed individually with each patient, taking into account previous side effects and personal preferences. For some patients who are still premenopausal 5 years after diagnosis, continuing with tamoxifen is a valid option. Considering the overall numbers, to avoid 6 breast cancer-related deaths, the number of patients that needed to be treated would be around 340, and that at the cost of 3 additional endometrial cancers and 1 pulmonary embolism. However, the absolute increase of endometrial cancer was only 0.2% in the ATLAS trial.

What we have learned from the clinical trials of extended endocrine therapy with regard to classical clinical-pathological features is that a positive lymph node status and larger tumor size constitute risk factors for late recurrences [11]. The same factors as well as premenopausal status and co-expression of ER and progesterone receptor (PR) were found to be indicative for extended AI benefit in these trials [7, 9, 12].

### Multigenomic Tests for Prediction of Late Recurrence

Several multigene assays have been developed to predict recurrence risk for individual breast cancer patients. Among others, the 21-gene recurrence score (OncoType DX) and the 70-gene profile (MammaPrint) have been used in several clinical trials, including the TailorX and Mindact phase III trials [13, 14]. These studies have finished recruiting patients, and the results are eagerly awaited. Originally, these tests were developed and trained on tumor samples obtained from patients who had a recurrence within the first years. As mentioned above, although these tests are clinically useful for predicting adjuvant chemotherapy benefit, they were not developed to predict late recurrences and benefit from extended endocrine treatment. When focusing on multigenomic tests that provide additional prognostic information beyond ER status concerning the likelihood of recurrence after 5 years, EndoPredict (EP), the intrinsic subtype test PAM50 (Prosigna), and HOXB13/IL17BR (included in the Breast Cancer Index (BCI)) have to be discussed, although none of these have specifically been developed as a predictive marker for extended endocrine treatment and prognostication of late recurrences.

The EP signature, which is a reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)-based assay that includes 8 genes of interest and 3 normalization genes, was originally developed in a set of 964 patient samples treated with tamoxifen monotherapy. The 2 independent validation cohorts consisted of tumor samples from the prospective ABCSG 6 and 8 trials [15, 16]. The EP low-risk group consisted of 49% of patients and had a significantly better outcome regarding occurrence of distant metastasis before and after 5 years of follow-up (HR 2.80, p < 0.001 and HR 3.28, p = 0.002, respectively). In a multivariate analysis with inclusion of age, nodal status, tumor size, Ki-67, grade and treatment, only N stage and the EP signature were independent prognostic parameters [17]. When including the clinical-pathological features nodal status and tumor size into the so-called EPclin, this

### Table 1. Overview of clinical trials on extended adjuvant aromatase inhibitor therapy. The first 3 trials have been published

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>Patients, n</th>
<th>Study question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NCIC MA.17 [7]</td>
<td>5,187</td>
<td>letrozole vs. placebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSABP B33 [8]</td>
<td>1,598</td>
<td>exemestane vs. placebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABCSG 6a [9]</td>
<td>856</td>
<td>anastrozole vs. no treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA.17R</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>late letrozole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEAD</td>
<td>4,050</td>
<td>letrozole duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSABP B42</td>
<td>3,966</td>
<td>letrozole vs. placebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABCSG 16 SALSA</td>
<td>3,486</td>
<td>anastrozole duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATA</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>anastrozole duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOLE</td>
<td>4,800</td>
<td>intermittent letrozole</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Endocrine Therapy for Late Recurrences

The PAM50 Risk of Recurrence (ROR) score was developed in 2009, after the ‘intrinsic subtypes’ have been described nearly 10 years earlier [1, 19]. The RT-PCR-based assay was validated in 786 specimens uniformly treated with adjuvant tamoxifen and comprises 50 genes that define these subtypes. In contrast to some other multigene tests with testing in a central company laboratory, the ROR score can be generated locally in qualified routine pathology laboratories. Gnant et al. [20] recently reported 10-year results of the ROR score from 1,478 patients who were treated in the ABCSG 8 study. 1,620 formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumor blocks were collected after obtaining further consent from 1,671 patients. Of these, 91.2% passed the PAM50 quality control and could be classified into 3 distinct risk groups. The probabilities of staying free of distant metastasis were 96.7, 91.3 and 79.9% for the low-, intermediate- and high-risk subgroups, respectively, in which patients were nearly equally distributed. In addition to the generation of the ROR score, PAM50 also assigns the respective intrinsic subtype to all cases: ~66% of tumors were assigned to the Luminal A and ~33% to the Luminal B subgroup within this population of hormone receptor-positive patients. A small proportion of patients were re-classified into a different intrinsic subtype by PAM50 (3.3% Her2-positive and 0.5% basal-like subgroups). Compared to Luminal A tumors, Luminal B tumors had a significantly worse prognosis at 10 years (HR 2.85, p < 0.001), with, for example, 90.6% DRFS in the Luminal A node-positive subgroup, indicating that positive lymph nodes are more an indication of tumor burden than aggressive biology in these patients. Moreover, it has been shown that the PAM50 ROR score and ROR-based risk groups can differentiate breast cancer patients with respect to their risk for late distant recurrence beyond that achievable with established clinical-pathological risk factors [21]. Between years 5 and 15, an absolute risk of distant recurrence of 2.4% in the low ROR-based risk group, as compared to 17.5% in the high ROR-based risk group, was observed. The PAM50 ROR score was also evaluated in 1,017 tumor samples from the TransATAC study [22, 23]. The ROR score significantly added prognostic information beyond clinical risk assessment in both node negative and positive, as well as Her2-negative, patients with the best C-index of 0.78 by combining ROR score with a clinical treatment score (CTS) vs. 0.73 for CTS. This index also exceeded the prognostic value of the 21-gene recurrence score in this trial (C-index 0.76). As a second comparison, the immunohistochemical IHC4 score was added with equal prognostic information as the ROR score for all patients. However, in the Her2-negative/node-negative group, the ROR score performed better. The authors found a continuous relationship between 10-year distant recurrence risk and ROR score with fewer patients being categorized as intermediate and more as high risk than with the 21-gene score.

The RT-PCR-based HOXB13/IL17BR (H/I) 2-gene ratio was also developed for prediction of recurrence risk in ER-positive, node-negative early breast cancer [24]. Sgroi et al. [25] recently published a case-control study of 83 recurrent patients and 166 non-recurrent patients from the MA.17 study of extended letrozole therapy. Regarding prognostication of late relapses, the H/I ratio was significantly associated with outcome in the univariate analysis, and it was of borderline significance in the multivariate analysis, including clinical factors such as age, tumor size, grade, nodal status, ER, PR and Her2 (odds ratio (OR) 2.15, p = 0.05). When the same analysis was done for predicting treatment benefit of extended letrozole, a high H/I ratio was associated with a 67% RR reduction in the placebo arm (OR 0.33, p = 0.006). The absolute reduction in relapse risk at 5 years was 16.5%, with the test for interaction being significant and an 89.5 vs. 73% RFS rate for the letrozole vs. placebo group, respectively.

The BCI assay integrates the H/I ratio and the 5-gene Molecular Grade Index (MGI), which recapitulates grade and proliferation, and has shown to be of prognostic value in ER-positive breast cancer [26]. Sgroi and colleagues [27, 28] used archived tumor material from the TransATAC study to compare the prognostic ability of the BCI, the 21-gene recurrence score and the immunohistochemical IHC4 score. The IHC4 score measures protein expression of the 4 most widely used biomarkers ER, PR, Her2 and Ki-67 [29]. The results of BCI are given in a similar fashion to the 21-gene recurrence score and the PAM50 ROR score, i.e. low, intermediate and high recurrence risk. The authors identified a relevant subgroup of 61% of patients with BCI low risk, and this subgroup experienced a very low risk for recurrences of 3.5% in the years 5–10 of follow-up. Both the IHC4 and the 21-gene score failed to predict for late distant recurrences in this study, although they were highly predictive for early relapse.

Conclusions

In conclusion, besides the well-established clinical-pathological predictors for late recurrence risk in breast cancer such as ER status, and T and N stage, a variety of multigene assays have been shown to improve prognostication and prediction in this setting. EP, PAM50 ROR score, H/I ratio and BCI provide significant and relevant prognostic information concerning the likelihood of recurrence beyond 5 years after surgery. The identified low-risk subgroups not only showed a very
favorable prognosis, they also seem to have only very little benefit from extended aromatase inhibitor therapy. Many of these RT-PCR-based techniques have been validated in archived tumor material of large phase III trials and will soon be available for routine pathology laboratories to assist in clinical decision making for patients.
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