Care Transitions: A Leverage Point for Safe and Effective Medication Use in Older Adults – A Mini-Review
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Abstract
Older adults often face challenges as they transition out of the acute care hospital, especially with regard to adhering to their medications. In this narrative review, we discuss medication adherence in older adults across the continuum of care, describing reasons for nonadherence, methods to assess adherence and tools to improve adherence, with particular focus on emerging techniques and technologies. Taking steps at care transitions to assess medications and foster adherence to the medication regimen can increase the safety of older adults following hospitalization.

Introduction
Older adults typically take more medications than younger adults due to multimorbidity associated with advanced age. Adherence is defined as the extent to which an individual’s medication-taking behavior follows the recommendations from a health care provider. Adherence incorporates filling or refilling medications as well as taking medications correctly, which in turn requires clarity on which medications to take and how to take them. Nonadherence significantly affects patient outcomes and the cost of health care. In a sample of more than 17,000 noninstitutionalized individuals aged 65 years and older in the USA, 40% reported some type of nonadherence\cite{1}. Though age alone is not a risk factor for nonadherence, older adults are vulnerable to medication nonadherence issues, particularly during care transitions.

Care transitions occur when an older adult moves between different health care settings across the continuum of care. Of particular interest are the transitions surrounding a hospital stay, specifically the following: emergency department visits, hospitalization, discharge to home, post-acute care (PAC), home health, and outpatient follow-up in primary care and subspecialty clinics. During these interactions medications are frequently stopped, adjusted or newly prescribed. Differences between the medication lists, or discrepancies, are a common cause for confusion about the intended medication regimen and can lead to nonadherence as well as adverse drug events or harm due to medications. In the period...
following hospitalization 24% of older adults do not fill new prescriptions, 14% experience medication discrepancies and 46% are nonadherent to medications, reinforcing the fact that such transitions are an ideal time to improve adherence for older adults [2–4].

Though many reviews have been written on medication adherence, few have focused on care transitions and novel ways to improve adherence such as new technologies. This article discusses medication adherence across the continuum of care, focusing on care transitions such as those surrounding hospitalization, for adults aged 65 years and older. We discuss reasons for nonadherence, methods to assess adherence and tools to improve adherence, with particular focus on emerging techniques and technologies. The ensuing discussion is based primarily on studies conducted in the USA, where we practice, and is intended to contribute to the international dialogue on adherence.

Adherence during the Transition out of the Hospital

Transitions of care surrounding a hospitalization represent a vulnerable time for older adults. While only 8% of older adults in the USA are hospitalized annually, they frequently experience multiple care transitions after hospitalization [5]. With each care transition, older adults often face new health information and recommendations, changes in medication doses and frequencies and poor communication with health care providers. Therefore, all hospitalizations give health care providers a golden opportunity to review medications, assess adherence and impact a patient’s adherence going forward.

During care transitions, health care providers are required to complete medication reconciliation, the process by which a provider ‘compares the medications a patient should be using (and is actually using) to the new medications that are ordered for the patient and resolves any discrepancies’ [6]. However, the process of medication reconciliation is error-prone. Over a third of patients have at least 1 discrepancy in the admission medication list, with most due to an inaccurate medication history. At hospital discharge, the erroneous and incomplete information from admission is often fed forward, coupled also with changes to the regimen or new medications to treat the acute illness. On average, an older adult being discharged from hospital has 2 new medications (range 1–13), 0.8 medications discontinued (range 1–9), 0.7 medications changed in frequency (range 1–6), and 0.5 medications changed in dose (range 1–3) [7].

Following hospitalization, over one quarter of older adults are discharged to a post-acute care (PAC) facility that provides nursing or rehabilitation therapy before returning home. The transfer of information from the acute hospital to the PAC is often disjointed and confusing for the providers at the PAC, who frequently receive more than one version of the discharge medication list. With multiple lists, the risk of medication discrepancies increases, and the PAC provider often cannot distinguish the ‘correct’ medication list. A majority of patients (71%) admitted to PAC have at least 1 medication discrepancy, and each has an average of 3.5 discrepancies, mostly in cardiovascular agents, opioids, neuropsychiatric agents, diabetes agents, antibiotics, and anticoagulants [8]. Inadvertently, then, the administration of medications in the PAC may not be adherent to the medication regimen ordered at hospital discharge because of poorly performed medication reconciliation and communication at hospital discharge. Suboptimal medication management is one reason why 19–24% of patients discharged to PAC are readmitted to the hospital within 30 days, resulting in costs exceeding USD 4 billion to Medicare [9]. Moreover, the risk of not filling new prescriptions for patients discharged from PAC is twice that of patients discharged home from acute hospitals [3]. Clearly, the dual transition – from hospital to PAC and then to home – doubles the risk of medication discrepancies, failure to fill new medications and poor communication, again potentially reducing adherence to the intended regimen.

Among older adults discharged from the hospital to home, 16% are referred to home health services to receive physical and occupational therapy and/or for skilled nursing services including medication management [10]. Medication discrepancies can be as high as 94% in this setting [11]. On average, home health nurses identify 3.3 discrepancies per patient, often involving insulin, anticoagulants, aspirin, and opioids – medications which cause adverse drug events and result in emergency department visits and readmissions [11]. Home health care could be an ideal setting for identifying and reconciling medication discrepancies soon after discharge in order to enhance adherence to the intended regimen. However, procedures for performing medication reconciliation across 14,000 home health care agencies are not standardized [12].

In summary, the errors in the discharge medication list from the hospital or PAC and inadequate counseling about medications all contribute to confusion about what older adults should be taking following hospitalization. As a result, older adults often inappropriately discontinue...
medications, restart home medications that were meant to be stopped, or inadvertently make mistakes with dosing and frequency. All forms of medication mismanagement ultimately reduce adherence to the intended regimen and increase the risk of patient harm such as adverse drug events. Nonadherence is also a significant contributor to unplanned health care utilization such as emergency department visits and hospital admission, as well as higher costs of care [13].

**Reasons for Nonadherence Surrounding Care Transitions**

The reasons underlying nonadherence to the medication regimen surrounding care transitions are complex but in general can be classified as factors related to patients, providers or health care systems, or the medications themselves (table 1). These categories are not mutually exclusive. For example, while forgetfulness or barriers to access the medication are generally categorized as patient-related, the misunderstanding of medication instructions is a result of ineffective communication between health care providers and patients, as well as a system that often fails to provide patient-centered instructions. Cost is another barrier that could be categorized as related both to patients and to providers and health care systems. For example, older adults who have limited funds may find it difficult to pay for expensive prescriptions. Likewise, providers may not have an appreciation of how much certain medications cost, prescribing more expensive versions rather than an equally effective generic medication. It is important to acknowledge that in many instances nonadherence is not the patient’s fault but rather reflects complex circumstances. Below, we discuss selected reasons for nonadherence in greater detail as they relate to care transitions.

Underlying these reasons for nonadherence are other risk factors such as living alone, an increasing number of chronic medical conditions, understanding the potential for adverse reactions, and utilizing multiple pharmacies [14–16]. At the end of a hospitalization, these risk factors may change as a result of alterations in an older adult’s functional status, cognitive abilities and medication regimen. Therefore, the older adult’s likelihood of nonadherence may increase after hospitalization.

---

**Table 1. Reasons for nonadherence to medication [14, 15]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Broad classification</th>
<th>Specific reasons</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Patient-related factors | Forgetfulness, Did not want to take it, Lack of perceived need for medication, Physical/functional impairments, Lack of social support, Low health literacy, Low self-efficacy, Cost of medication, Transportation to get prescriptions, Physiological changes due to aging, Age-related decline in prospective memory | (1) Patient did not fill new prescription because it was too expensive (cost)  
(2) Patient did not think antihypertensive medications helped because s/he did not feel differently when blood pressure was elevated (lack of perceived need for medication) |
| Provider and system level factors | Omission, Duplication, Inappropriate underuse, Incorrect dose or quantity, Polypharmacy and medication appropriateness, Multiple prescribing providers, Weakness in educating patients about discharge instructions, Unrecognized impairments in patients’ cognition, physical function, or health literacy | (1) Physician increased dose of medication at discharge, but did not give patient new prescription for higher dose (incorrect dose)  
(2) Patient prescribed furosemide (generic name) at discharge but already takes Lasix (trade name; duplication, unrecognized low health literacy) |
| Medication-related factors | Regimen complexity, Side effects, Unclear duration of treatment | (1) Patient stopped medication because it was causing diarrhea (side effect) |
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Patient-Related Factors

Physical Challenges

There are numerous physical factors that may affect the ability of an older adult to adhere to a medication regimen. After hospitalization, 40% of older adults experience greater dependency in activities of daily living which may impact medication self-administration [17]. Physical limitations may prevent older adults from getting to the pharmacy to fill a prescription and storing the medications appropriately. Furthermore, an older adult’s ability to open medication bottles and take medications safely is impacted by their degree of visual impairment (prevalence of 30%) [18], arthritis (prevalence of 50%) which impacts manual dexterity [19] or impaired cognition which affects up to 32% of older adults following hospitalization [20]. Additionally, prospective memory (remembering to perform a certain event at a specific time in the future) also influences medication adherence, but it can vary widely across age groups of older adults and may depend on which tasks are involved [21, 22].

Health Literacy

A factor that may be under-recognized in its impact on care transitions is health literacy, which is defined as ‘the degree to which individuals have the capacity to obtain, process and understand basic health information and services needed to make appropriate health decisions’ [23]. Advanced age is a risk factor for low health literacy; 29% of older adults have inadequate health literacy skills [24]. Low health literacy has been associated with difficulty understanding instructions and maintaining adherence following hospital discharge.

Challenges due to the Processes of Aging

Older adults are more likely to experience complications and side effects from commonly prescribed medications, which may affect adherence. Medications at doses suitable for younger adults may lead to unforeseen complications due to pharmacokinetic changes that occur with aging; this is particularly important for medications that have a narrow therapeutic window such as digoxin, antiepileptics, immune suppressants and some antibiotics [25]. The availability of a drug may be altered through volume of distribution (reduction in plasma protein levels, lean body mass, fat and total body water with age), resulting in highly protein-bound drugs with a greater free and active concentration, bioavailability and renal or hepatic clearance – the latter of which may have changed during an acute illness [25–27]. For hepatic clearance drugs the effects of a decrease in liver mass and hepatic blood flow along with age-related changes in activity of metabolizing enzymes suggest dosages should be reduced by up to 40% and renal-cleared drugs should be dosed according to the decline in glomerular filtration rate that occurs with each decade after 30 years of age [26, 27]. Additionally, changes in pharmacodynamics in older adults can contribute to medication side effects. This relates to the varying effect that the same blood level of a drug has on different people and is most frequently seen with sedative or opioid medications where dosing is less predictable and which result in increased sedation with lower concentrations [28]. The prevalence of side effects may also be affected by drug-drug interactions and drug-disease interactions [29]. A drug’s effect can be altered by competitive displacement from binding sites, antagonistic actions, inhibition or activation of hepatic enzymes, or synergistic side effects such as QT prolongation with antibiotics and antidepressant therapies [30]. For older adults the impact of changing and slowing reflexes and adrenergic and parasympathetic systems results in a higher likelihood of side effects [31]. Furthermore, as new medications are initiated or existing medications changed during hospitalization, side effects may be a more prominent problem in care transitions, potentially leading to nonadherence due to premature discontinuation of medications.

Cost

Medication costs contribute significantly to nonadherence in older adults [32]. In 2011 over 3.6 million older Americans were below the poverty line, with a further 2.4 million classified as near poverty [33]. Paying for brand name medications with high copayments and even generic medications can be a financial strain. For example, before the implementation of Medicare Part D (prescription drug insurance) in the USA, 2 million older adults were nonadherent to their drug regimen due to lack of prescription coverage [34]. Despite the improvements resulting from Medicare Part D, obstacles remain. The design of Medicare Part D includes a coverage gap, or ‘donut hole’ that requires beneficiaries to pay 100% of drug costs after exceeding an annual threshold. Research has shown that the ‘donut hole’ increased nonadherence, with fewer prescriptions filled and more gaps in therapy [35]. The Medicare Part D coverage gap should be phased out by 2020 and will benefit older adults facing financial barriers. In the interim, it is especially important to assess financial barriers at each care transition by asking questions such as, ‘How often do you miss your medicines...
because you can’t afford them?’, or ‘How do you pay for your medicines?’ In addition, generics and lower cost alternatives should be prescribed when available. When out-of-pocket expenses are reduced, medication adherence improves for several chronic diseases.

Despite the link between adherence and cost of therapy, efforts to reduce or eliminate copayments have had an inconsistent effect on adherence rates [36]. Furthermore, it is clear that countries that subsidize the cost of medications also have significant issues with adherence, which reinforces the need to address additional factors through a multifaceted approach [37].

**Provider and System Level Factors**

**Polypharmacy**

Polypharmacy, defined as taking ≥4 chronic medications, is associated with nonadherence as well as inappropriate prescribing, drug interactions, adverse drug events, hospitalization, and mortality. Often, the standard of care for individual chronic illnesses leads to polypharmacy and potential drug-drug interactions for individuals with multiple chronic illnesses. Health care providers need to prioritize the therapies to act in the older adult’s best interest, eliminating medications that are not clinically indicated. Studies have estimated that 50% of older adults are taking at least 1 medication with no ongoing indication, and many of these drugs are initiated during hospitalization such as stress ulcer prophylaxis and antipsychotics for delirium [38]. Medication reviews should be performed at every transition of care to assess for and reduce unnecessary medications. All of the medications that a patient is taking should be reviewed, including over-the-counter medications and supplements that may introduce additional cost and complexity to the regimen with perhaps no therapeutic benefit. Ensuring patients are on as few medicines as necessary to manage their diseases will improve adherence and patient safety and reduce medication costs for the patient.

**Under-Treatment, Over-Treatment, and Medication Appropriateness**

While polypharmacy is a well-documented issue that predisposes older adults to adverse drug events and non-adherence, under-treatment of conditions is also a problem. Not prescribing a potentially beneficial medication when clinically indicated is considered an error of omission, and indicates a provider and system level problem in evidence-based support for prescribing. There are errors of omission in over half of hospitalized elderly patients, and the probability of having an omission increases with advanced age and being female [39]. The most common prescribing omissions described were statins for the treatment of atherosclerotic disease, warfarin for chronic atrial fibrillation, ACE inhibitors for chronic heart failure, and antiplatelet therapy for arterial disease [39].

The Beers Criteria and the STOPP/START criteria can be used to detect inappropriate overuse or underuse of medication [40, 41]. These explicit screening tools are intended to alert the provider to particular medications that are potentially inappropriate based on the patient’s diagnoses, but are not a substitute for clinical judgment that incorporates the patient’s preferences. Risk-benefit should be discussed with the patient and caregiver each time a medication is added to the patient’s regimen, congruent with the patient’s goals of care. This concern becomes even more important with multimorbidity [42]. The medication list should also be reviewed for appropriateness at each care transition.

**Medication-Related Factors**

**Regimen Complexity**

The complexity of the medication regimen is inversely associated with medication adherence. Many factors contribute to regimen complexity, including the number of medications, the number of trips to the pharmacy for unsynchronized refills, the frequency of administration, special medication instructions, and variability in dosage forms. Research shows that the rate of adherence significantly decreases when the frequency changes from once-to thrice-daily dosing [43]. For example, while there is no statistically significant difference in adherence between once-daily (79%) and twice-daily (69%) dosing, increasing frequency to 3 and 4 times a day results in much lower adherence rates of 65 and 51%, respectively [43]. Special medication instructions, such as alternating daily doses for warfarin or crushing a tablet before administration, also increase regimen complexity and could impact adherence, especially in older adults with low health literacy. Other routes of administration like eye drops or inhalers can also present issues for older adults because of reduced dexterity and more difficulty coordinating the delivery of the drug. Using long-acting formulations when available and implementing a universal medication schedule by tailoring medications to be taken at set times
of the day (morning, noon, evening, and night) can help decrease the regimen complexity and limit the number of administration times per day. Another way to decrease complexity is to synchronize fill dates at the pharmacy so all medications can be picked up at the same time.

Assessing Adherence

Though an older adult may have many reasons for nonadherence, the actual degree of adherence may not be evident unless it is specifically assessed.

Objective Approaches

Objective methods include performing pill counts, measuring medication concentrations in blood samples, using electronic monitoring systems, and obtaining prescription refill or claims data. These methods do not reveal the reasons for nonadherence and, with the exception of pill counts, they require specialized resources to implement. Moreover, although these methods are considered objective, they may actually provide inaccurate or unreliable information in certain situations. For example, pill counts and measuring blood concentrations may overestimate adherence because patients may ‘dump pills’, adjusting the number of medications in their bottles prior to assessment, or appear to have adequate levels because they took medications in the period leading up to assessment. Electronic monitoring systems may underestimate adherence if a patient removes more than 1 tablet at a time (such as to fill a pill box), or overestimate adherence if they open the container without removing medication.

Prescription fill and claims data can be used to assess adherence on a patient or population level. The most common methods to report adherence include the Medication Possession Ratio (MPR), Proportion of Days Covered (PDC), and the Cumulative Measure of Medication Gaps (CMG). These methods measure the day supply that a patient fills within an established time frame (MPR, PDC) or the gaps in time between refills (CMG) [44]. These data can demonstrate whether a patient has filled their medications, but will not establish that the patient is actually taking the medication. Additionally, claims data will not capture ‘cash’ purchases, which are often used for discounted generics and over-the-counter medicines. Using this information in a population of older adults transitioning between facilities can also be challenging because patients may fill their prescriptions at different pharmacies for which data are not linked.

Patient-Centered Approaches

Direct questioning of the patient or caregiver is a subjective but simple way of assessing adherence. No specialized resources are required and, by elucidating reasons for nonadherence, questioning may yield the most beneficial and actionable information. Having a conversation using open-ended, nonjudgmental questions – i.e. ‘Tell me how you take your medicines’, or ‘Most patients miss their medicines from time to time; in the last week, how many times have you missed a dose of your medicine?’ – will help reveal the patient’s medication-taking behaviors. It is important, though, not to ask a single question in a cursory manner, but rather to initiate a discussion that includes follow-up prompts to elicit more details of the patient’s medication use patterns (Table 2).

The conversation will help assess the patient’s adherence and will provide clues about medication understanding, patient perceptions and beliefs, and barriers to taking medication as prescribed. Several formal assessment tools such as the DRUGS tool [45], the MedTake tool [46] and the Adherence to Refills and Medications Scale [47] quantify adherence and the ability to manage medications in a systematic way. Adherence measured by self-report and monitoring systems are moderately correlated. Furthermore, self-reported adherence predicts clinical outcomes such as hospitalization. A busy health care provider, therefore, can adequately capture adherence using simple self-reported measures [48, 49].

Table 2. Questions to ask patients regarding medication adherence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tell me the medicines you take and how you take them.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How often do you forget to take your medicine?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most patients have trouble taking their medicines right on time every day; in the last week, how many times have you missed a dose of a medicine?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you ever cut back or stopped taking your medicine without telling your doctor because you felt worse with it, felt like your symptoms were under control or had trouble paying for it?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When was your last dose of this medicine?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking medication every day can be very inconvenient; how inconvenient is it for you to take your medicine like it is ordered for you?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the last year, what’s the longest time you have gone without one of your medicines?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is the longest time you have gone between running out of your medicine and getting it refilled?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Recognizing nonadherence is only the first step. The provider must also assess why the patient’s medication-taking behavior does not match the intended therapy. Identifying and addressing barriers in a patient-centered way may provide an opportunity to clarify misunderstanding about the medication regimen, simplify the schedule or eliminate a drug that is expensive or causing side effects. Frequently, these tasks are completed by primary care providers, who play a critical role in medication management across the continuum of care.

**Tools to Address Adherence**

Numerous interventions have been developed and tested to improve medication adherence, including patient-centered education, behavioral support, case management, collaborative care, and care coordination [50]. Here, however, we focus on tangible tools that range from classic to new technologies (table 3). Although the quality of the evidence is low in general, we sought to summarize what is available, either from previous reviews or primary literature.

National organizations recommend that older adults use a standardized form to keep an updated list of their medications and update it at each care transition. Providing patients with an updated medication list that incorporates illustrations for the medication’s purpose and dosing times seems to offer additional benefit, improving comprehension, recall and adherence, especially in populations with lower health literacy [51].

Traditional reminders in the form of charts, calendars, phone calls, or postcards may have a small effect on adherence in older adults. Additionally, electronic reminders – by electronic mail, text messaging or electronic reminder devices – generally improve short-term adherence. In recent systematic reviews, short message service reminders like text messages may increase adherence [52, 53]. Few studies have targeted older adults for testing e-mail or text message reminders [54, 55]. Electronic reminder devices, such as caps that affix to prescription bottles and indicate when the last dose of medication was taken, have been tested in uncontrolled studies, and no conclusions should be drawn about their efficacy.

Dispensing tools range from calendar pill organizers (e.g. weekly pill box) and calendar blister packaging (i.e. pills for the patient grouped in a sealed and dated container) to an automated dispenser of all medications at a given administration time, as seen in hospitals. Calendar pill organizers and calendar blister packaging may have a positive effect on adherence, but a review by Zedler et al. [56] noted significant methodological limitations related to sample size, study duration and differing outcomes of the 10 studies included. Electronic chip technology has been integrated into calendar blister packaging, but more feasibility testing is needed. Lastly, devices that essentially dispense a patient’s medications at the correct time have been piloted, but controlled studies are needed [57].

Multimodal solutions integrate tools discussed above with remote monitoring and feedback capabilities. Caps exist that affix to prescription bottles, turn colors to indicate the time for an individual’s next dose and contact someone if that dose is not taken. Additionally, an electronic pill organizer has been fused with a flatbed scanner which sends a digital image of remaining pills for distance monitoring and provides phone support. Despite anecdotal benefit, we were unable to find any evidence from controlled studies for the effectiveness of such multimodal solutions, but this work may be underway.

Finally, the tools to improve medication adherence may be combined for synergistic effects. In the spirit of patient-centered care, however, the choice of adherence tool(s) must be customized to address the older adult’s reasons for nonadherence. Moreover, there are very few studies comparing tools directly to each other or examining their cost-benefit or cost-effectiveness. Larger, well-designed trials are needed to examine the effects of adherence tools, particularly in the time following hospital-
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Conclusions

The transitions following hospitalization that older adults experience present challenges to medication adherence. As they are coping with changes to their health, older adults frequently must also manage multiple medication changes. The risk of nonadherence is high if medication discrepancies are not resolved. When older adults pass through the settings in the continuum of care, health care providers have multiple opportunities to assess the older adult’s degree of adherence, inquire about reasons for nonadherence and recommend tools to aid adherence. The evidence to support which tools are most appropriate and most effective is still evolving. However, care transitions represent touch points at which health care providers can review all medications and then assess and foster adherence to the medication regimen. Through these steps, the safety of older adults will be optimized following hospitalization.
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