Neph1 Is Reduced in Primary Focal Segmental Glomerulosclerosis, Minimal Change Nephrotic Syndrome, and Corresponding Experimental Animal Models of Adriamycin-Induced Nephropathy and Puromycin Aminonucleoside Nephrosis
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Abstract

Background/Aims: The transmembrane proteins Neph1 and nephrin form a complex in the slit diaphragm (SD) of podocytes. As recent studies indicate an involvement of this complex in the polymerization of the actin cytoskeleton and proteinuria, we wanted to study the subcellular localization of Neph1 in the normal human kidney and its expression in focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS), minimal change nephrotic syndrome (MCNS), and the corresponding experimental models of Adriamycin-induced nephropathy (ADR) and puromycin aminonucleoside nephrosis (PAN). All these disorders are characterized by substantial foot process effacement (FPE) and proteinuria. Materials and Methods: Kidney biopsies from patients with primary FSGS (perihilar type) and MCNS were compared to normal renal tissue. Mouse and rat kidney cortices from days 7 and 14 after Adriamycin injection and days 2 and 4 after puromycin aminonucleoside injection, respectively, were compared to control mouse and rat kidney. Polyclonal antibodies against Neph1 and nephrin were used for immunoelectron microscopy, and semiquantification was performed. Results: We localized Neph1 mainly to, and in close proximity to, the SD. Double staining of Neph1 and nephrin showed the proteins to be in close connection in the SD. The total amount of Neph1 in the podocytes was significantly reduced in FSGS, MCNS, ADR, and PAN. The reduction of Neph1 was also seen in areas with and without FPE. Nephrin was reduced in MCNS and PAN but unchanged in FSGS. Conclusion: With nephrin (but not Neph1) unchanged in FSGS, there might be a disruption of the complex and an involvement of Neph1 in its pathogenesis.
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Introduction

The outer layer of the glomerular filtration barrier in the capillaries consists of terminally differentiated epithelial cells, namely podocytes. The podocytes have foot-like processes that arise from their cell bodies and cover the underlying glomerular basement membrane (GBM). The adjacent foot processes are connected via extracellular junctions, that is, slit diaphragms (SD). SD appear to have a critical role in the filtration barrier and also seem to be involved in maintaining the structural shape of the podocytes through dynamic signaling pathways. It is now commonly believed that injuries to the glomerulus lead to effacement of the podocyte foot processes and a replacement of the SD by tight junctions [1, 2].

The first protein identified in the SD was nephrin [3] in 1998. Since then, numerous proteins have followed, for example, Neph1 [4], Fat1, and Fat2. Proteins such as ZO-1, podocin, CD2AP, Nck, and Grb2 are thought to be links between proteins of the SD and the actin cytoskeleton. Recent studies suggest that these proteins participate in the signaling events that maintain the structure and function of podocytes [5, 6].

Studies of glomerular diseases characterized by proteinuria and foot process effacement (FPE) have demonstrated alterations in the expression and localization of the SD proteins nephrin [7], podocin, and CD2AP, suggesting that molecular alteration of the SD contributes to the development of proteinuria.

Neph1, a transmembrane protein, member of the immunoglobulin superfamily and structurally similar to nephrin, was identified in mouse kidneys and located to the podocytes by Donoviel et al. [4] in 2001. Neph1 interacts with nephrin and with their cytoplasmic domains in the SD [8, 9], where they form a multifunctional receptor complex. Garg et al. [10] showed that the nephrin-Neph1 complex transduces phosphorylation-mediated signals that induce actin polymerization in the podocytes.

Donoviel et al. [4] discovered that knockout in Neph1 (−/−) mice results in a podocyte effacement phenotype with proteinuria and early postnatal death. Knockdowns in zebra fish result in loss of SD and proteinuria [11], and Otaki et al. [12] showed a dissociation of Neph1 from nephrin in the initiation phase of Adriamycin-induced nephropathy (ADR). This prompted us to investigate and semiquantify the expression of Neph1 in human glomerular diseases with substantial FPE – focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS), minimal change nephropathy (MCNS), and the corresponding experimental models of ADR and puromycin aminonucleoside nephrosis (PAN) – and to compare it to that of nephrin in order to study the role of the complex in these diseases.

Materials

Normal Human Renal Tissue

This material has previously been described [13]. Polyclonal antibodies against Neph1 and nephrin were produced as described in Ruotsalainen et al. [14].

Patients

Patients who fulfilled the criteria for FSGS and MCNS clinically and histopathologically were chosen for the study. Classification of FSGS was performed according to the Columbia system [15, 16]. All clinical data, including treatment and proteinuria, are presented in table 1.

Animals

Rats. The PAN study has previously been described [17].
**Mice.** Six-week-old BALB/c mice, 16–20 g, were obtained from Charles River Laboratories (L’Arbresle, France). All experiments were conducted according to Swedish animal research regulations and approved by the local board of ethics.

**Methods**

*Puromycin Aminonucleoside Nephrosis*
The method has previously been described [17].

*Adriamycin-Induced Nephropathy*
The method has been described elsewhere [18].

*Urine and Blood Analysis of ADR Mice*
Spot urine was collected prior to Adriamycin injection (controls) and at days 7 and 14 after Adriamycin injection. Albuminuria was determined by analyzing protein in 1 μl of urine by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and staining with PageBlue (Fermentas, St. Leon-Rot, Germany) as well as by a mouse albumin enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (Exocell, Philadelphia, Pa., USA). Albuminuria was measured on gel.

*Immunoelectron Microscopy*
Tissue preparation has been described elsewhere [7]. Polyclonal antibodies against Neph1 and nephrin were both used at a concentration of 1:50. In the double staining of Neph1 and nephrin, secondary antibodies were conjugated with 10- and 5-nm gold particles, respectively. Section examination was conducted as previously described [13].

*Semiquantification by Immunoelectron Microscopy*
This method has previously been described [13, 19].
**Statistical Methods**

In comparisons between groups, a one-way ANOVA was used, followed by Dunnett’s test comparing the means of the diseased group with the control group mean. For skewed distributed data, we used Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by ranks. The Spearman rank-order correlation coefficient was used to measure the association between variables within each group. \( p < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant. The software used was Statistica 9.0 (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, Okla., USA).

**Results**

**Localization of Neph1 in Normal Kidney Material**

We localized Neph1 mainly to, and in close proximity to, the SD and to the podocyte cytoplasm (fig. 1a). Expression was also observed in the glomerular endothelium (0.5 ± 0.3 Au/μm²) but only negligible amounts in the GBM (0.1 ± 0.0 Au/μm²). There was no change in the amount of Neph1 in the endothelium of diseased tissue (data not shown) compared to that of
controls. Double staining of Neph1 and nephrin in normal human kidney showed the proteins in close connection in the SD (fig. 1b).

Semiquantification of Neph1 and Nephrin in Human Glomerular Disease

All FSGS and MCNS cases showed partial FPE. The number of slits per micrometer GBM was 0.7 ± 0.5 in FSGS and 0.4 ± 0.3 in MCNS compared to controls (1.8 ± 0.4; \( p < 0.05 \)). The total amount of Neph1 in the podocytes was significantly reduced in FSGS (0.3 ± 0.1 Au/μm²; fig. 1c) and in MCNS (0.5 ± 0.1 Au/μm²; fig. 1d) both in areas with and those without FPE compared to controls (1.5 ± 0.2 Au/μm²; table 2). In line with our previous results [7], we also found a decreased amount of nephrin in MCNS (1.0 ± 0.2 Au/μm²) compared to controls (2.4 ± 0.6 Au/μm²; table 3).

### Table 2. Expression of Neph1 in controls and biopsies based on iEM (Au/μm²)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proteinuria, g/24 h</th>
<th>Podocytes</th>
<th>FPE areas</th>
<th>Non-FPE areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controls (n = 5)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.5±0.2</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSGS (n = 5)</td>
<td>1–8</td>
<td>0.3±0.1*</td>
<td>0.5±0.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCNS (n = 5)</td>
<td>1–27</td>
<td>0.5±0.1*</td>
<td>0.5±0.2*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as means ± standard deviation and are based on counting 3 images in 6 randomly selected capillaries, thus 18 images per glomerulus per biopsy. * \( p < 0.05 \) compared to controls.

### Table 3. Expression of nephrin in controls and biopsies based on iEM (Au/μm²)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proteinuria, g/24 h</th>
<th>Podocytes</th>
<th>FPE areas</th>
<th>Non-FPE areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controls (n = 4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.4±0.6</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSGS (n = 4)</td>
<td>1–8</td>
<td>2.0±0.4</td>
<td>1.4±0.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCNS (n = 5)</td>
<td>1–27</td>
<td>1.0±0.2*</td>
<td>1.2±0.4*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as means ± standard deviation and are based on counting 3 images in 6 randomly selected capillaries, thus 18 images per glomerulus per biopsy. * \( p < 0.05 \) compared to controls. *Only one observation.

### Table 4. Expression of Neph1 in ADR mice based on iEM (Au/μm²)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proteinuria, μg BSA/μl</th>
<th>Podocytes</th>
<th>FPE areas</th>
<th>Non-FPE areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controls (n = 4)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.7±0.3</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADR day 7 (n = 4)</td>
<td>&gt;1 to &gt;5</td>
<td>1.1±0.2*</td>
<td>1.2±0.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADR day 14 (n = 4)</td>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>0.8±0.1*</td>
<td>0.9±0.1*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as means ± standard deviation. Albuminuria measured on gel was graded as follows: 0 = no visible indication; 1 = trace of marker; 2 = <0.5 μg BSA/μl; 3 = >0.5 to <1 μg BSA/μl; 4 = >1 to <5 μg BSA/μl; 5 = >5 μg BSA/μl. * \( p < 0.05 \) compared to controls.
Semiquantification of Neph1 in ADR Mice

The albuminuria grade was 5 on SDS gel (table 4) for all mice included in the day 7 group. All cases showed partial FPE. The number of slits per micrometer GBM was 1.6 ± 0.8 compared to 3.0 ± 0.8 in controls (p < 0.05). In the day 14 group, the albuminuria grade ranged from 4 to 5, and sclerotic lesions were detected in all but one mouse. All cases showed partial FPE. The number of slits per micrometer GBM was 1.4 ± 0.5.

Neph1 was significantly reduced in total amount (1.1 ± 0.2 and 0.8 ± 0.1 Au/μm²) both in areas with (1.0 ± 0.2 and 0.6 ± 0.1 Au/μm²) and those without FPE (1.2 ± 0.1 and 0.9 ± 0.1 Au/μm²) in the ADR day 7 and day 14 groups (fig. 2b), respectively, compared to controls (2.7 ± 0.3 Au/μm²; fig. 2a; table 4). Nephrin was unchanged on day 7 but slightly reduced on day 14 (table 5).

Neph1 and Nephrin Expression in PAN Rats

The total amount of Neph1 in the podocytes was significantly reduced in the PAN day 2 group (0.4 ± 0.1 Au/μm²) both in areas with (0.4 ± 0.1 Au/μm²) and those without FPE (0.3 ± 0.0 Au/μm²). Further reductions were seen in the day 4 group (0.2 ± 0.1 Au/μm²), both in
areas with FPE (0.1 ± 0.1 Au/μm²; fig. 2d) and those without (0.1 ± 0.1 Au/μm²) compared to controls (0.7 ± 0.0 Au/μm²; fig. 2c; table 6). Nephrin was reduced in a similar pattern, both on day 2 and day 4, as previously published [17].

All results are summarized in table 7.
Discussion

Proteins localized to and associated with the SD have important functions both in controlling the podocyte structure and as part of signaling pathways. Recent studies have identified Neph1 as a key player in connecting the SD to the foot process cytoskeleton. Together with its binding partner nephrin, the protein may play a key role in maintaining the structure of the filtration barrier [20]. Neph1 and nephrin form a complex with podocin that functions as a transmembrane receptor.

Various actin-associated and adaptor proteins bind to the complex, for example, CD2AP, ZO-1 [21], α-actinin 4, and TRPC6, which thereby holds a critical role in signal transduction to the actin cytoskeleton in podocytes [22–24]. Disturbances in these pathways may lead to disorganization of the cytoskeleton, resulting in FPE.

With immunoelectron microscopy (iEM), we localized Neph1 mainly to, and in close proximity to, the SD as well as to the podocyte cytoplasm. Double staining of Neph1 and nephrin in normal human kidney showed the proteins in close connection in the SD, which has not been shown in human material by iEM before.

By semiquantification in biopsies from patients with perihilar FSGS and MCNS, we found a significant reduction in the total amount of Neph1 in the podocyte foot processes. The expression was reduced in areas with and without FPE. Nephrin was also reduced in MCNS but unchanged in FSGS in areas with and without FPE. This may indicate a dissociation of the Neph1-nephrin complex in FSGS.

Liu et al. [24] found that a disruption of the Neph1-nephrin complex resulted in proteinuria with intact foot processes. It has been noted that Neph1 disassociates from its interaction partners ZO-1 and nephrin and translocates from the cell membrane to the cytoplasm in response to glomerular injury in animal and cell culture models [12, 25]. In our material, we could not, however, see a distinct change in the localization of Neph1 in either FSGS or MCNS compared to controls.

Otaki et al. [12] detected a discontinuous immunofluorescence pattern of Neph1 in early ADR along with dissociation from nephrin when neither proteinuria nor any morphological alterations were detected. They concluded that the dissociation is a critical event in the initiation phase of ADR. We could also see a significant reduction of Neph1 on day 7 in ADR mice in combination with an unchanged amount of nephrin. These data also mirror our findings in the human FSGS material. By day 14, we saw an even further reduction of Neph1 and a slight reduction in the amount of nephrin in areas with FPE.

In the MCNS experimental model of PAN, Neph1 was reduced in the material from days 2 and 4 in areas with and without FPE. This is the same pattern as for nephrin, as previously published [17].

With one of the components of the Neph1-nephrin complex lost or damaged, the binding adaptor proteins, and thereby the cytoskeleton, are also affected, and it is reasonable to suspect FPE and proteinuria to be a direct result. This could either be due to glomerular injury affecting the interactions and distribution of the proteins or because of specific mutations of the genes, though this is unlikely in these acquired diseases.

With nephrin (but not Neph1) unchanged in FSGS and early ADR, this could indicate a role for Neph1 in the pathogenesis. A decrease in Neph1 could lead to a dysfunctional SD complex with defect signaling pathways, ultimately affecting the actin cytoskeleton and leading to FPE. Certainly, other factors and components could be of great importance and behind glomerular diseases characterized by proteinuria and FPE, but Neph1 is clearly well worth further investigation.
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