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Abstract

Immunoglobulin E (IgE) and its high-affinity receptor (FcεRI) are well-known participants in the allergic response. The interaction of allergens with FcεRI-bound IgE antibodies is an essential step in mast cell/basophil activation and the subsequent release of allergic mediators. It is known that the affinity of the interaction between an IgE antibody and an allergen may differ, raising the question of whether FcεRI can decipher these differences. If so, do the cellular and physiological outcomes vary? Are the molecular mechanisms initiated by FcεRI similarly under low- or high-affinity interactions? Could the resulting inflammatory response differ? Recent discoveries summarized herein are beginning to shed new light on these important questions. What we have learned from them is that IgE and FcεRI form a complex regulatory network influencing the inflammatory response in allergy and beyond.

Introduction

Differences in the affinity of immunoglobulin E (IgE) antibodies for an allergen (a term used for allergy-provoking antigens) have long been recognized [1]. Recent studies, primarily done in mice, have shown that some IgE antibodies can be generated in peripheral tissues [2], in contrast to IgG antibodies. Antibodies generated in peripheral tissues undergo direct class switching rather than sequential class switching through an IgG intermediate, and thus, do not undergo the extensive process of affinity maturation through somatic hypermutation [3]. IgE antibody production in peripheral tissue may not be unusual; it has been demonstrated that the majority of allergen-specific IgE in the blood of allergic patients is not derived from blood-derived B cells or plasma cells [6]. Further, recent work has demonstrated that IgE antibodies can be found in various diseases with inflammatory components [7]...
arguing that the dysregulation of IgE production occurs in many inflammatory diseases and may have (patho)physiological consequences. Such findings suggest that differences in the affinity of IgE antibody interactions with an antigen are likely to affect immunological responses. Thus, multiple questions arise: (1) how are differences in the affinity of IgE antibody and antigen interactions interpreted? Does FcεRI sense these differences? (2) How do these differences influence the molecular signals generated upon FcεRI activation? (3) What are the consequences of these affinity differences at the cellular level? (4) Are there differences in the physiological outcome when the affinity of IgE and antigen interactions differ? (5) Does this suggest a role for IgE antibodies beyond allergic disease?

On mast cells and basophils, FcεRI consists of an IgE-binding α chain, a tetraspanning β chain and two disulfide-linked γ chains. Aggregation of FcεRI with multivalent allergen (antigen) results in phosphorylation of ITAMs by Lyn kinase and leads to activation of Syk kinase through ITAM binding. In the case of high-affinity antigens (upper panel), activation of Syk results in the phosphorylation of the adapter protein LAT1 and phospholipase Cγ (PLCγ), which is required for the generation of inositol trisphosphates (IP3) and normal calcium responses. The Lyn-Syk-LAT1 signals generated by high-affinity allergens cooperate with Fyn kinase signals that positively regulate PI3-K and PKB/Akt pathways. Both Lyn-Syk-LAT1 and Fyn-PI3-K-Akt pathways are required for the initiation of degranulation, lipid mediator release and cytokine production (upper panel). While the Lyn-Syk-LAT1 signals are dominant under high-affinity antigen engagement, low-affinity antigens more effectively recruit another Src kinase, Fgr, which shows an enhanced colocalization with FcεRI clusters (lower panel). Furthermore, low-affinity antigens enhance phosphorylation of the LAT1-related adapter protein, LAT2, which is important for chemokine production and is recruited to the FcεRI clusters. In vivo, high- or low-affinity antigen stimulation of FcεRI leads to the respective recruitment of neutrophils or monocytes/macrophages and to the development of tissue inflammation. Thus, changes in the usage of both kinases and adapter proteins are responsible for the ability of FcεRI to interpret high- or low-affinity IgE-antigen interactions, resulting in selective responses that regulate the inflammatory response.
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tein tyrosine kinase Lyn resulting in transphosphorylation [11, 12]. The phosphorylation of tyrosine residues found in the ITAMs by Lyn results in the formation of novel docking sites for multiple signaling proteins that become activated and amplify signaling; the most notable example is that of Syk kinase [13, 14]. Downstream of these events, a plethora of molecular signals in the regulation of FcεRI-dependent effector responses have been uncovered. These events include phosphorylation of scaffold adaptors, like the linker for activation of T cells (LAT) 1 and subsequent association and phosphorylation of phospholipase Cγ, molecules that serve to organize and promote calcium responses and degranulation in mast cells (fig. 1) [15]. The topic of FcεRI signaling has been extensively covered over the years [16, 17] and will not be detailed herein. However, it has been proposed [18, 19] that the occurrence of such downstream signaling events leading to a productive effector response requires that FcεRI remains in an aggregated (or clustered) state for a sufficient time to allow such signals to be successfully propagated downstream. This kinetic proofreading hypothesis [18] argues that weak or low-affinity stimuli may not promote the appropriate residence time of a receptor in the cluster to allow for productive signaling. Thus, while not absolute, it implies that low-affinity IgE antibody and antigen interactions may not yield a productive response. However, it is known that individuals with IgE antibodies of low affinity can develop allergies. At the same time, in some diseases, the presence of high amounts of IgE [20] or autoreactive IgE [21, 22] does not appear to be associated with an increased incidence of allergic disease.

In this commentary, we focus on some of our recent studies that uncover previously unappreciated intricacies in the role of IgE and FcεRI in allergy and beyond. We believe that these studies are beginning to explain how differences in the affinity of antibody and antigen interactions are interpreted at the cellular and physiological levels and how such interactions may play a role in inflammation.

**Binding of IgE to FcεRI: Properties and Functional Outcome**

The binding of IgE to FcεRI is of very high affinity (K_A \geq 10^{10} \text{M}^{-1}). Yet, it is reversible with a half-life of >6 days [23]. The apparent lack of immediate functional cellular consequences (such as degranulation) upon IgE binding to mast cells or basophils led to the view that the binding of IgE is a passive or inert event and that the IgE-occupied FcεRI could be thought of as the ‘resting’ receptor [24, 25]. This view was further substantiated by studies [26] demonstrating that cells which had not seen IgE, and were subsequently stimulated with an aggregating antibody directed to FcεRI, had a similar degranulation response to cells sensitized with IgE and reacted with antibody to IgE [27, 28]. Later studies, using chemically oligomerized IgE, demonstrated that degranulation could be elicited by an IgE aggregate as small as a dimer, whereas monomers of IgE failed to provide a measurable response [26]. Such early studies showed a strong argument in favor of the essential role of FcεRI clustering in the induction of mast cell or basophil degranulation and the release of allergic mediators.

However, in the past decade, a number of studies demonstrated that the binding of monomeric IgE to FcεRI on mouse mast cells induced both mast cell signals and various effector responses [29–31]. A curiosity in these early studies was that the observed response seemed to be associated with the particular IgE clone used in the study. It was later recognized that such clonal IgE molecules showed heterogeneity in their ability to induce cell responses. These IgEs were subsequently termed highly cytokinergic (HC) or poorly cytokinergic IgEs based on their respective ability to promote cytokines and other responses [32, 33]. It is still unclear whether monomeric HC or poorly cytokinergic IgE is relevant in humans. Some studies, using either human lung mast cells [34] or human cord blood-derived mast cells [35], reported that monomeric human IgE induced Ca^{2+} influx, dose-dependent histamine release, LTC_4 production, and IL-8 synthesis as well as the production of chemokines I-309, GM-CSF and MIP-1α. While these findings suggest that monomeric human IgE can promote cellular responses, there remains considerable uncertainty about whether these effects occur in the absence of FcεRI aggregation. This is because structural studies by James et al. [36] demonstrated that one type of mouse HC IgE (derived from the SPE-7 clone) showed conformational changes upon binding to FcεRI, inducing cross-reactivity to an undefined cell surface antigen. In addition, HC IgEs are polyreactive to cellular autoantigens and their functional effects can be disrupted by monovalent haptens [37]. This latter point makes a strong argument that clustering of FcεRI is a necessity for the functional responses elicited by these HC IgEs. Thus, it seems that in principle, the effects of ‘monomeric’ IgE are similar to those of IgE-antigen clustering of FcεRI but the aggregation induced by monomeric IgE may possibly differ in the extent (or size) of the receptor clusters and perhaps in their stability.
Affinity of IgE and Antigen Interactions: Effect on FcεRI Signaling and Function

As mentioned above, it is well known that the affinity of interaction between an IgE antibody and its cognate antigen can vary. The difference in affinity is likely to influence the size and/or stability of the FcεRI clusters formed. However, whether differences in the size or stability of FcεRI clusters can be recognized and interpreted by the cell, and whether such differences have associated functional consequences, has long remained unknown [38, 39]. The aforementioned kinetic proofreading hypothesis [18] argues that weak or low-affinity interactions are nonproductive, as they would fail to surpass the required thresholds, and thus, molecular signals would be abated. However, such models fail to explain how individuals with low-affinity IgE antibodies can develop allergic disease when exposed to sufficient quantities of an allergen. In addition, kinetic proofreading models have focused on the dominant cellular responses and fail to consider that many other (patho)physiological meaningful cellular responses can occur. Early evidence of an exception to the kinetic proofreading paradigm came from a study demonstrating that MCP-1 (CCL2) mRNA production appeared to be independent of the requirement for continued FcεRI clustering [40]. Additional evidence was provided by a later study demonstrating that the production of chemokines like CCL2 required very low FcεRI occupancy by IgE/antigen complexes, thus suggesting that weak clustering (somewhat akin to low-affinity conditions) of FcεRI might suffice in eliciting some responses [41].

These early studies led us to propose that FcεRI might effectively interpret low-affinity IgE and antigen interactions but that the cellular responses might differ relative to those seen with a high-affinity IgE and antigen interaction. To test this hypothesis, we utilized previously described [42] antigens [2-nitrophenyl and dinitrophenyl (DNP) conjugated to an immunoglobulin Fab fragment as the carrier] that differed in their relative affinities by approximately three orders of magnitude. IgE used was derived from the H1-DNPε 26.82 clone [43], which has a high affinity for DNP. To test whether downstream molecular signaling, that might lead to a productive cellular response, failed to occur upon low-affinity FcεRI engagement, we used concentrations of high- or low-affinity antigens that promoted similar FcεRI phosphorylation. An analysis of multiple mast cell responses demonstrated that low-affinity engagement of FcεRI led to a productive response characterized by enhanced chemokine production (fig. 1) [44]. In contrast, degranulation and cytokine production was reduced under these conditions when compared to high-affinity engagement of FcεRI. As might be expected, clustering of FcεRI by low-affinity IgE and antigen interactions differed from those seen under high-affinity conditions. Specifically, the dynamics and mobility of FcεRI clusters under low-affinity conditions were markedly reduced relative to high-affinity conditions with more FcεRI clusters found in the periphery of cells and with some forming a loose synaptic-like structure at the center of cells. In comparison, high-affinity engagement of FcεRI resulted in highly dynamic receptor clusters that rapidly moved towards the cell center forming a tight synapse-like structure. Phosphotyrosine was associated with FcεRI clusters under both high- and low-affinity conditions but the colocalization of phosphotyrosine-containing proteins with FcεRI clusters was more pronounced under low-affinity conditions.

To explore whether these differences in FcεRI clustering might be interpreted into changes in the underlying molecular signaling, we investigated the molecular events generated under both conditions. Unexpectedly, a marked increase in the association of FcεRI with the Src family protein tyrosine kinase Fgr was observed under low-affinity engagement of FcεRI (fig. 1) [44]. In contrast, no differences were observed in the association of Lyn with FcεRI under high- or low-affinity engagement. While it has previously been shown that Fgr could associate with FcεRI and might contribute to its function [45], it was unclear how this kinase might be used by FcεRI. Further examination revealed that the balance in phosphorylation of two related adaptor proteins, LAT1 and LAT2 [44], was altered. These molecules are key organizers of signalosomes in the plasma membrane of mast cells as well as of other cells. Thus, changes in their phosphorylation status reflect changes in the molecular signals generated. We observed that under low-affinity engagement of FcεRI, stronger phosphorylation of LAT2 occurred relative to LAT1, whereas under high-affinity FcεRI engagement, phosphorylation of LAT1 was favored (fig. 1). Since LAT1 is essential for mast cell calcium responses and contributes to mast cell degranulation [15], a reduction in its phosphorylation under low-affinity FcεRI engagement is consistent with the reduced degranulation observed under these conditions. LAT2 appeared to be more important in driving phosphatidylinositol 3-OH kinase (PI3-K) activation and downstream signaling in this pathway. This apparently augments the chemokine production and secretion. To test the importance of Fgr and LAT2 in the production of chemokines in mast cells, we utilized mast cells derived from genetically altered mice deficient
in these molecules and found a diminished production of chemokines [44]. Thus, the findings argue that low-affinity engagement of FcεRI alters cellular signaling and responses, the physiological consequences of this altered response remained of considerable interest. Specifically, one might ask the following questions: do alterations in the effector response of a mast cell result in an inflammatory response and what are the resulting (patho)physiological consequences? To address these questions, we utilized the mouse model of passive cutaneous anaphylaxis, which is based on the elicitation of mast cell responses locally at the site of injection (ear). Such a model would allow us to measure (patho)physiological differences in the local tissue environment following low- or high-affinity engagement of FcεRI. These experiments revealed that both low- and high-affinity challenges led to similar ear swelling responses, thickness of dermis and numbers of immune cells infiltrating the tissues. However, vascular permeability was reduced upon low-affinity challenge when compared to high-affinity challenge [44] consistent with fewer cells showing a degranulated phenotype under low-affinity challenge. These findings demonstrated that low-affinity engagement of FcεRI in vivo results in an inflammatory response that has strong similarities to that of high-affinity engagement but clearly differs in the release of preformed granule content. Exploration of the immune cell types infiltrating the local tissue revealed some important differences. Whereas neutrophils were the dominant cell type infiltrating the local tissue under high-affinity engagement of FcεRI, monocytes/macrophages were more abundant in the local tissue when low-affinity engagement of FcεRI occurred [44] (fig. 1). The physiological relevance of the difference in immune cell recruitment is unclear. One might speculate that since monocytes/macrophages are more effective producers of cytokines and chemokines than neutrophils, perhaps, low-affinity engagement of FcεRI may require the former cells for amplification of the inflammatory response. Regardless, such findings demonstrate that low-affinity engagement of FcεRI can promote immune cell recruitment and inflammation in tissues.

**IgE and FcεRI beyond Allergic Disease**

The above findings argue that there may be circumstances when the engagement of FcεRI may result in inflammation but not necessarily in allergic inflammation (where preformed granule-allergic mediators are abundantly released). A postulate for this hypothesis might be that increased amounts of total IgE antibody (over normal circulating levels) may not be necessary for such an inflammatory response. While the postulate does not exclude increased levels of total IgE, it argues that the presence of normal levels of IgE antibodies in the context of an appropriate antigen might suffice to elicit physiological responses. Given the recent reports of IgE dysregulation in some inflammatory diseases [7], it seems naïve to consider that the production of IgE in such circumstances does not contribute to the disease process. Thus, we set out to test whether IgE contributes to autoimmune inflammation, an inflammatory response generally linked to Th1 and Th17 responses. These studies were in part based on our previous observation of the role of autoreactive IgE in systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) [46]. The initial study demonstrated that autoreactive IgE functioned to amplify autoimmunity by FcεRI-dependent activation of basophils, which played a key role in plasma cell expansion and survival. This latter point was also supported by additional work demonstrating that activated basophils are highly effective in the expansion and survival of plasma cells [47]. Importantly, an initial pilot study of human SLE subjects [46] also demonstrated that autoreactive IgE was associated with increased disease activity, i.e. the presence of lupus nephritis, but they did not demonstrate increased allergic responses. These findings suggested that in SLE, the role of IgE and FcεRI was not associated with increased allergic responses. In addition, it should be noted that in human SLE subjects, autoreactive antibodies of high and low affinity are prevalent. Thus, it is possible that IgE antibodies can elicit cellular responses independent of degranulation and the release of allergic mediators. In the following sections we will discuss these studies in more detail and present new evidence for the role of IgE in promoting immune response.
Prevalence of Autoreactive IgE in SLE and Its Disease Association

The overall relevance of our initial report [46] describing the role of autoreactive IgE in SLE onset and progression was questioned [48] based on previous studies demonstrating that only approximately 30% of human SLE subjects had detectable levels of autoreactive IgE [49]. This percentage was determined primarily by the detection of double-stranded (ds)DNA IgE, which similar to dsDNA IgG, showed a highly significant association with disease parameters. Thus, we conducted an expanded study to determine the overall prevalence of autoreactive IgE in SLE, which autoantigens induced these antibodies and which autoantigen specificities were associated with disease parameters. Our study included approximately 200 human subjects in France and the United States [50]. Overall, the two cohorts did not differ markedly and individuals with known allergies or infections were excluded from the study. The screening of these individuals for IgE-reactive autoantigens revealed that IgE antibodies were generated to at least 7 autoantigens. Four of these were the well-known SLE autoantigens dsDNA, Sm, Ro/SSA, and La/SSB. The 3 other autoantigens were less well known, namely APEX nuclease 1 (APEX), N-methylpurine DNA-glycosylase (MPG) and CAP-Gly domain-containing linker protein family member 4 (CLIP4), but all are nuclear proteins. These latter 3 were found in many SLE subjects but were not detected in the majority of healthy controls. Assessment of the prevalence of these autoreactive IgEs in the studied SLE subjects demonstrated that approximately 65% of these subjects had autoreactive IgE to 1 or more of these 7 autoantigens. Additionally, when SLE subjects were stratified on the basis of active disease (using the SLE disease activity index), almost 83% of subjects with active disease had autoreactive IgE to 1 or more of these 7 autoantigens. Of particular interest was the finding that the autoantigens APEX, MPG and CLIP4 seemed to selectively elicit IgE and not IgG antibody responses in SLE subjects [50]. All IgE autoantibodies showed a statistically significant association with increased disease activity, albeit dsDNA IgE showed the highest significance in association [50]. Autoreactive IgEs with a specificity towards dsDNA, APEX, MPG, and CLIP4 also showed a statistically significant association with lupus nephritis and with hypocomplementemia, a serological marker of active disease. A multivariate analysis of the predictive value of dsDNA IgE and dsDNA IgG for SLE diagnosis demonstrated that the use of both these parameters, along with age, in disease diagnosis enhanced the predictive value. Thus, these findings demonstrated that autoreactive IgE is highly prevalent in SLE and, like autoreactive IgG, is linked to disease activity and lupus nephritis. These findings strongly suggest that the presence of autoreactive IgE is playing a contributory role in autoimmune inflammation without manifesting as enhanced allergic responses in the studied populations.

Uncovering the Role of IgE in Autoimmune Inflammation

The aforementioned study [50] led us to develop mouse models to analyze the role of IgE in autoimmune diseases in the absence of a dominant Th2 environment. Previous work [46] using Lyn kinase-deficient mice (that develop spontaneous lupus-like disease) suggested that IgE was an important contributor to disease development. However, in the early life of these mice there is strong Th2 skewing with the development of an atopic-like allergic disease. Thus, one could not exclude that this phenotype might be contributory in exacerbating the lupus-like disease seen in later life. To remove this confounding factor, we chose a well-described model [51] of spontaneous lupus-like disease, the FcγRIIB-deficient mice of the C57BL/6 background. We also studied the role of IgE in FcγRIIB–/– mice carrying the chromosomal translocation of Y-linked autoimmune acceleration (Yaa) [51], which accelerates the development of the lupus-like phenotype due to a duplication of the Tlr7 gene in male mice only and is demonstrated in a more aggressive disease [52, 53]. The FcγRIIB locus encodes an inhibitory receptor that controls the activation of the many cell types [54], and polymorphisms in this gene were previously associated with lupus [55]. Neither of these models demonstrated enhanced TH2 responses, increased levels of total IgE or a spontaneous allergic-like phenotype, despite the reported higher susceptibility of FcγRIIB–/– mice to type I hypersensitivity due to increased effector cell responses [56]. These mice developed increased levels of autoreactive IgE providing a model that could be crossed with the well-characterized [57] Ighe7–/– mice (IgE deficient in C57BL/6 background) to study the role of IgE autoantibody production in the inflammation and lupus-like phenotype seen in FcγRIIB–/– and FcγRIIB–/–Yaa (B.D. and J.R., submitted manuscript).

The study of the life span of IgE-deficient FcγRIIB–/– and FcγRIIB–/–Yaa mice provided some unexpected results. FcγRIIB–/– and FcγRIIB–/–Yaa mice have a median survival of 7.3 and 4.8 months, respectively. However,
when they are incapable of producing IgE antibodies, the median survival for FcγRIIB−/− mice was undetermined, as they survived well beyond a 12-month period, and FcγRIIB−/−.Yaa mice survived a median of 11 months (table 1). This prolongation of life, when IgE antibodies are absent (in particular in the highly aggressive FcγRIIB−/−.Yaa mouse model), demonstrated that the production of IgE plays a role in disease onset and progression. Concomitant with increased survival, the analysis of circulating autoantibodies of the IgG subclass as well as plasma cell numbers in the spleen and lymph nodes demonstrated a marked reduction in both (table 1). Nonetheless, it is clear that the FcγRIIB−/−.Yaa mice still developed disease in late life, implying that IgE is functioning to amplify autoimmune inflammation but is not essential to the development of disease in this highly aggressive model. To further understand the role of IgE, we investigated the impact of IgE deficiency on immune cell recruitment to the secondary lymphoid organs in both FcγRIIB−/− and FcγRIIB−/−.Yaa mice (submitted manuscript). Remarkably, the absence of IgE caused a marked diminution of immune cells (of all types) to the secondary lymphoid organs, demonstrating a previously unknown role for IgE in immune cell recruitment during inflammation. These findings, together with our previous demonstration of a role for basophils in SLE [46], raise the question of whether the basophil is contributing to the autoimmune inflammation seen in FcγRIIB−/− and FcγRIIB−/−.Yaa mice. While we cannot conclusively answer this question within the current study, our findings showed that the basophil numbers in the secondary lymphoid organs of IgE-deficient FcγRIIB−/− and FcγRIIB−/−.Yaa mice did not differ from IgE-sufficient FcγRIIB−/− and FcγRIIB−/−.Yaa mice. However, whereas the basophils in the secondary lymphoid organs of FcγRIIB−/− and FcγRIIB−/−.Yaa mice were activated, those in the secondary lymphoid organs of IgE-deficient FcγRIIB−/− and FcγRIIB−/−.Yaa mice were not. Thus, this finding suggests a role for autoreactive IgE-FcεRI-activated basophils, residing in the secondary lymphoid tissues, in facilitating the recruitment of other immune cells into these tissues. A more robust model in which the presence or absence of basophils in these tissues can be manipulated should provide more definitive evidence.

Table 1. Phenotypic differences in the lupus-like disease model of FcγRIIB−/− and FcγRIIB−/−.Yaa mice that do or do not express the IgE locus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IgE+</th>
<th>IgE−</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FcγRIIB−/−</td>
<td>FcγRIIB−/−.Yaa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACR</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephritis score</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsDNA IgG</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNP IgG</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histone IgG</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD138+ cells</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD19+ B220+ cells</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD11b+ CD11c+ cells</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ly6clo-int cells</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ly6chi cells</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophil cells</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD200R+ basophils</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Life span, months</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACR = Albumin-creatinine ratio; C3 = complement component 3; RNP = ribonucleoproteins.
Perspectives

It is evident from the aforementioned studies that IgE plays a role in allergic and autoimmune inflammation in both human and mouse. We speculate that the low-affinity IgE and antigen interactions, which elicit cellular responses in the absence of the release of preformed allergic mediators, may be an essential component for the development of IgE-dependent inflammation with no apparent allergic manifestation. This is consistent with the accumulating evidence of IgE production independently of germinal center maturation [3], not being derived from circulating B cells or plasma cells [6], but instead produced at the local site of inflammation [5]. Nonetheless, the majority of allergen-specific IgE in the blood of allergic subjects is thought to be locally produced [6] and thus assumed to be of ‘low affinity’, yet these subjects develop allergic symptoms. Our findings show that, in contrast to most allergic subjects, the total circulating IgE levels in SLE subjects is normal. This would suggest that occupancy of FcεRI by antigen-specific IgE is likely to be significantly greater in allergic when compared to SLE subjects. This may be an important factor in eliciting the release of preformed mediators from mast cells or basophils, since we previously demonstrated that antigen-specific IgE occupancy of FcεRI must exceed 10% for degranulation to occur whereas chemokine production is elicited at this level of receptor occupancy [41]. It may also be possible that the tissue microenvironment in SLE is inhibitory for mast cell or basophil degranulation. Regardless, the lower levels of antigen-specific IgE in SLE subjects bodes well for strategies in which IgE binding to FcεRI may be employed as a means to intervene in IgE-dependent nonallergic inflammation, and clinical studies have been initiated to explore the effectiveness of anti-IgE therapy (omalizumab) in the treatment of SLE. While a clear mechanistic understanding of the role of IgE in inflammation remains to be elucidated, the uncovering of the contribution of IgE and antigen interactions in promoting nonallergic inflammation extends the current view of the IgE-FcεRI axis beyond allergic disease.
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