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Abstract
Fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva (FOP, MIM 135100) is a rare autosomal dominant genetic disorder and the most disabling condition of heterotopic (extraskeletal) ossification in humans. Mutations in the ACVR1 gene (MIM 102576) were identified as a genetic cause of FOP [Shore et al., 2006]. Most patients with FOP have the same recurrent single nucleotide change c.617G>A, p.R206H in the ACVR1 gene. Furthermore, 11 other mutations in the ACVR1 gene have been described as a cause of FOP. Here, we review phenotypic and molecular findings of 130 cases of FOP reported in the literature from 1982 to April 2014 and discuss possible genotype-phenotype correlations in FOP patients.

Introduction
Fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva (FOP, MIM 135100) is an autosomal dominant genetic disorder and the most disabling condition of heterotopic (extraskeletal) ossification in humans. FOP is very rare with a worldwide prevalence of approximately one case in 2 million individuals. No ethnic, racial or geographic predisposition has been described [Shore et al., 2005].

Congenital malformation of the great toes in terms of hypoplasia or aplasia and fibular deviation is noted in almost all patients and hypoplasia of the thumbs in about half of the patients. Other recurrent described features are conductive hearing loss and teeth anomalies. The heterotopic ossifications appear progressively and do not only lead to immobility of all major joints, but also to respiratory insufficiency due to severe scoliosis. There is no effective treatment so far. There are 800 patients with a known diagnosis of FOP worldwide.

History of FOP
The first case of FOP was presumably described by the French physician Guy Patin in 1692, but the first clear description was made by the London surgeon John Freke in 1736. He reported on a 14-year-old ‘boy of healthy look’ with ‘many large swellings on his back, that arise from all the vertebrae of the neck and reach down to the os sacrum. They likewise arise from every rib of his body, and joining together in all parts of his back, as the rami-
fications of coral do, they make, as it were, a fixed bony pair of bodice.’ [Peltier, 1998].

The name myositis ossificans progressiva was assigned to the condition by von Dusch in 1868. The term fibrositis was changed to myositis in the early 20th century to acknowledge the early inflammatory events that occur in the aponeuroses, fasciae and tendons in addition to the skeletal muscles. McKusick [1956] noted that the muscles were only secondarily affected and came back to the term fibrodysplasia in 1972, a term first suggested by Bauer and Bode [1940].

Perhaps the most famous of all patients with FOP was Harry Raymond Eastlack Jr. Late in his life, Eastlack made the decision to bequeath his body to medicine so that physicians and scientists in future generations could study and learn about FOP. His skeleton is on display at The Mutter Museum of The College of Physicians in Philadelphia, USA [Kaplan et al., 2005a].

Clinical Aspects

In the newborn period, usually there is no sign of FOP, except for congenital malformation of the great toes present in many patients. This malformation can vary from a fibular deviation of the great toes to their complete absence; however, this is not observed in all patients with FOP.

During childhood, many patients experience sporadic episodes of soft tissue swelling (flare-ups) which can spontaneously regress or turn into heterotopic bone in muscles, tendons, ligaments, and fascia. During the course of life, these formations of heterotopic bone usually span over joints and lead to ankylosis of these joints and progressive immobility, so that many patients lose their ability to sit in childhood or adolescence, to walk and to open their mouth at a later point in life. This restriction can lead to severe weight loss. Immobility is cumulative, and most patients are wheelchair-bound by the end of the second decade of life.

Typically, heterotopic ossifications follow specific anatomic patterns. They begin in the dorsal, proximal, axial, and cranial regions of the body (neck, shoulders, back) and progress into ventral, caudal and distal regions (trunk and limbs) [Pignolo et al., 2005]. The cervical spine often becomes ankylosed early in life. There are some tissues, in which heterotopic ossifications never occur. These are smooth muscles, cardiac muscles, diaphragm, tongue, and extra-ocular muscles [Pignolo et al., 2011] (fig. 1).

Many patients develop severe scoliosis and, thus, develop thoracic insufficiency syndrome with strongly reduced lung capacity and other severe consequences such as pneumonia and right-sided heart failure [Kaplan and Glaser, 2005].

Soft tissue injury, muscular stretching, overexertion and fatigue, intramuscular injections and injections for dental anesthetics, falls, and influenza-like illnesses can induce FOP flare-ups. Also surgical attempts to remove heterotopic bone usually lead to episodes of explosive new bone formation at the surgical site [Kaplan et al., 2005a; Pignolo et al., 2011].

Some patients with FOP have cervical spine abnormalities, which include large posterior elements, tall and narrow vertebral bodies, and fusion of the facet joints between C2 and C7 [Schaffer et al., 2005]. Other skeletal features associated with FOP are short malformed thumbs, clinodactyly, short broad femoral necks, and proximal medial tibial osteochondromas [Kaplan et al., 1994, 2009; Deirmengian et al., 2008].

Fig. 1. Heterotopic ossifications in FOP patients. a, b 3D-CT imaging of the lumbar region and presentation of additional bone tissue beside the spine with fusion to the pelvic bones. c Surgical specimen of heterotopic bone after removal. Histologically, the bone tissue shows a normal healthy structure. d Presentation of heterotopic bone causing immobility in the neck region by X-ray. Image from Stefanova et al. [2012].
Hearing loss has been described in FOP patients. It is usually conductive and may be due to middle ear ossification, but some patients have a sensorineural hearing impairment, involving the inner ear, cochlea or the auditory nerve [Levy et al., 1999].

Increasing evidence suggests that involvement of the inflammatory component of the immune system plays a critical role in FOP [Kaplan et al., 2007]. The presence of cells of the immune system, such as macrophages, lymphocytes and mast cells in early FOP lesions, flare-ups following viral infections, the intermittent timing of flare-ups, and the beneficial response of early flare-ups to corticosteroids support the involvement of the immune system in the pathogenesis of FOP [Kaplan et al., 2005b].

**Genetic Aspects**

Heterozygous mutations in the ACVR1 gene (MIM 102576) were identified as a cause of FOP [Shore et al., 2006]. Activin A receptor, type I (ACVR1) is a mediator of BMP signaling. The bone morphogenic proteins (BMPs) are a family of highly conserved extracellular signaling proteins that have crucial function in a wide variety of cells and tissues during embryonic development and postnatal life. They regulate cell differentiation [Urist, 1965; Wozney, 1988; Shi and Massagué, 2003; Chen et al., 2004; Massagué et al., 2005; Gazzerro and Canalis, 2006; Wagner, 2007].

ACVR1 consists of 4 domains: ligand-binding domain, transmembrane domain, glycine serine (GS) rich domain, and the protein kinase domain. The GS-rich domain is critical for binding and activation of SMAD signaling and is a binding site for FKBP12, an inhibitory protein that prevents leaky activation of the receptor in the absence of ligand.

The ACVR1 gene consists of 11 exons; the first 2 exons are noncoding. There are 8 transcripts. The information on exons in this article refers to transcript ENST00000263640 (Ensembl database).

Most patients with FOP have the same recurrent single nucleotide change in ACVR1. This ‘classical’ mutation (c.617G>A, p.R206H) has been reported in ∼200 patients in the literature so far. Detailed phenotypic information is available for 106 reported patients. Protein structural homology modeling predicted that the amino acid substitution in the ACVR1 protein caused by the p.R206H mutation results in a conformational change of the receptor that alters its ligand-binding properties and activity [Shore et al., 2006; Groppe et al., 2007].

After the ‘classical’ mutation c.617G>A in the ACVR1 gene was discovered, other ACVR1 mutations were found in 14 further patients. However, the mutation c.617G>A remains the most common mutation and can be found in around 90% of the FOP patients.

To date, all ACVR1 mutations evaluated for enhanced BMP signaling are gain-of-function mutations [Kaplan et al., 2009; Shen et al., 2009] (fig. 2).

The clinical aspects described here are typical for patients harboring the recurrent mutation p.R206H in the ACVR1 gene. In addition, there are patients with atypical FOP phenotypes (Kaplan et al. [2009] suggested the terms FOP-plus and FOP variants), in whom an atypical course of disease, additional features or missing typical features can be seen. These patients preferentially have mutations different from the common mutation p.R206H. So far, the following additional or variable clinical features in
FOP patients have been described: intraarticular synovial osteochondromatosis of hips, degenerative joint disease of hips, sparse/thin scalp hair (more prominent in the second decade), mild cognitive impairment, severe growth retardation, cataracts, retinal detachment, childhood glaucoma, craniohypophygioma, persistence of primary teeth into adulthood, anatomic abnormalities of the cerebellum, diffuse cerebral dysfunction with seizures, polyostotic fibrous dysplasia, primary amenorrhea, aplastic anemia, hypospadias, and cerebral cavernous malformations [Kaplan et al., 2009; Pignolo et al., 2011].

In the following, we describe phenotypic details of FOP patients according to the mutation as reported in the literature (see also table 1).

Patients with the Classical Mutation c.617G>A (p.R206H) in Exon 6 of the ACVR1 Gene

More than 200 patients with the mutation p.R206H in the ACVR1 gene have been reported in the literature so far. Detailed phenotypic information is available for 106 reported patients (69 reported by Zhang et al. [2013], 11 reported by Stefanova et al. [2012], 16 reported by Carvalho et al. [2010], 6 reported by Kaplan et al. [2009], 3 reported by Nakajima et al. [2007], and 1 reported by Al-Haggar et al. [2013]). The first heterotopic ossifications or flare-ups in this group of patients appear very often between 2 and 4 years of age varying from 1–15 years. In almost all patients, the heterotopic ossifications begin on the back, neck and shoulder region. The period for making the correct diagnosis of FOP after the manifestation lasts ~2–3 years. The patients were often initially misdiagnosed with other conditions such as juvenile fibromatosis, neurofibromatosis, lipoblastomatosis, unknown malignity, parotitis, and proliferative or nodular fascititis. In many patients, flare-ups or beginning ossifications were biopsied and histologically examined. However, the histological examination is not a helpful diagnostic tool for FOP. In some of the patients, the lesions were surgically removed. More than a half of the patients report an increase of ossifications after trauma of surgical intervention. In the course of the disease, typical bony bridges developed between vertebrae and scalp, vertebrae and pelvis, and on the ribs. As a result of these bridges, most patients had first signs of immobilization between the cervical spine and scalp, thoracic spine and ribs, and lumbar spine and pelvis. Scoliosis is very common and is more pronounced if heterotopic ossifications appear early (fig. 3). Most of the patients have very limited lung capacity in the third to fourth decade of life.

Some of the patients show sparse hair, thin facial skin and missing eyebrows, leading to a possibly recognizable craniofacial aspect in FOP patients [Kaplan et al., 2009; Stefanova et al., 2012; Al-Haggar et al., 2013]. Most of the patients show ulnar deviation of the great toes (fig. 4). Literature data report on at least 50% of the FOP patients having thumb hypoplasia (fig. 5). Teeth anomalies and conductive hearing loss are present in ~25–30% of the patients with the classical mutation.

Patients with the Mutation c.1067G>A (p.G356D) in Exon 9 of the ACVR1 Gene

One patient with the mutation c.1067G>A (p.G356D) has been reported first by Kaplan et al. [2009] (as patient 17) and later by Stefanova et al. [2012] (as patient 21). Furthermore, 3 patients (2 female and 1 male) with this mutation have been reported by Kaplan et al. [2009] (as patient 15, 16 and 18), one patient by Zhang et al. [2013] (patient 54), and one patient by Furuya et al. [2008] as well as by Cohen et al. [1993] (62-year-old male). First ossifications in these patients appeared at the ages of 4 months, 2 years, 3 6/12 years, 10 years, and 15 years. One of the patients had not developed any heterotopic ossifications until the age of 5 years (fig. 6). The patient reported by Furuya et al. [2008] lost the ability to walk at age 36 years and became bedridden at age 55 years. He showed no respiratory failure. All patients showed severe malformations of the great toes, in some cases asymmetrical. Five of 6 patients have complete or almost complete aplasia of the great toes (one of them unilateral). One patient has persistence of primary teeth into adulthood. Primary amenorrhea was present in both female patients in this group. One patient presented with hypospadias. Alopecia, sparse hair and eyebrows were also present in some of the patients.

Patients with the Mutation c.982G>T (p.G328W) in Exon 8 of the ACVR1 Gene

Two female patients are known with the amino acid change p.G328W. One of them has been reported by Kaplan et al. [2009] (as patient 11) and Stefanova et al. [2012] (as patient 11). Another reported patient known to have the p.G328W mutation has been reported by Kaplan et al. [2009] (as patient 12) and Connor and Evans [1982] (as patient 2). The first ossifications appeared at the age of 2 and 8 years. Both patients show aplasia of the great toes and hypoplasia of the thumbs. In the second decade, sparse hair and eyebrows became evident, the hair growth velocity slowed down dramatically. Both patients have mild cognitive impairment and conductive hearing impairment.
## Table 1. Summary of the features of the reported patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutation in the ACVR1 gene</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>References</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Onset of ossifications</th>
<th>Progression of ossifications</th>
<th>Osseous complications after trauma or surgery</th>
<th>Course of disease in terms of immobilization</th>
<th>Scoliosis and thorax insufficiency</th>
<th>Malformation of toes</th>
<th>Malformation of fingers</th>
<th>Sparse hair/alopecia</th>
<th>Facial features</th>
<th>Teeth anomalies</th>
<th>Hearing impairment</th>
<th>Primary amenorrhea</th>
<th>Cognitive impairment</th>
<th>Other features untypical for FOP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>severe in some patients</td>
<td>early, 3rd–4th life decade</td>
<td>nr</td>
<td>fibular deviation in almost 100%</td>
<td>thumb hypoplasia in at least 50%</td>
<td>in some patients</td>
<td>thin skin and missing eyebrows</td>
<td>~ 25–30%</td>
<td>~ 25–30%</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.617G&gt;A (p.R206H)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Zhang et al. (2013); Stefanova et al. (2012); Carvalho et al. (2010); Kaplan et al. (2009); Al-Haggar et al. (2013)</td>
<td>m, f</td>
<td>2–4 y (variation 1–15 y)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>fibular deviation</td>
<td>thumb hypoplasia in some</td>
<td>yes (at least 1/6)</td>
<td>thin skin and missing eyebrows</td>
<td>~ 25–30%</td>
<td>~ 25–30%</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.619C&gt;G (p.Q207E)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Kaplan et al. (2009); Stefanova et al. (2012); Zhang et al. (2013); Furuya et al. (2008); Cohen et al. (1993)</td>
<td>m, f</td>
<td>2–4 y (variation 1–15 y)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>complete or almost aplasia of the great toes (5/6)</td>
<td>hypoplasia of thumbs (2/2)</td>
<td>yes (2/2)</td>
<td>thin skin and missing eyebrows</td>
<td>~ 25–30%</td>
<td>~ 25–30%</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.1067G&gt;A (p.G356D)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Kaplan et al. (2009); Carvalho et al. (2010); Stefanova et al. (2012); Connor and Evans (1982)</td>
<td>m, f</td>
<td>2–4 y (variation 1–15 y)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>complete or almost aplasia of the great toes (5/6)</td>
<td>hypoplasia of thumbs (2/2)</td>
<td>yes (2/2)</td>
<td>thin skin and missing eyebrows</td>
<td>~ 25–30%</td>
<td>~ 25–30%</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.982G&gt;T (p.G328W)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Kaplan et al. (2009); Carvalho et al. (2010); Stefanova et al. (2012); Connor and Evans (1982)</td>
<td>m, f</td>
<td>2–4 y (variation 1–15 y)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>complete or almost aplasia of the great toes (5/6)</td>
<td>hypoplasia of thumbs (2/2)</td>
<td>yes (2/2)</td>
<td>thin skin and missing eyebrows</td>
<td>~ 25–30%</td>
<td>~ 25–30%</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.983G&gt;A (p.G328E)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Kaplan et al. (2009); Carvalho et al. (2010); Stefanova et al. (2012); Connor and Evans (1982)</td>
<td>m, f</td>
<td>2–4 y (variation 1–15 y)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>complete or almost aplasia of the great toes (5/6)</td>
<td>hypoplasia of thumbs (2/2)</td>
<td>yes (2/2)</td>
<td>thin skin and missing eyebrows</td>
<td>~ 25–30%</td>
<td>~ 25–30%</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.982G&gt;A (p.G328R)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Kaplan et al. (2009); Carvalho et al. (2010); Stefanova et al. (2012); Connor and Evans (1982)</td>
<td>m, f</td>
<td>2–4 y (variation 1–15 y)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>complete or almost aplasia of the great toes (5/6)</td>
<td>hypoplasia of thumbs (2/2)</td>
<td>yes (2/2)</td>
<td>thin skin and missing eyebrows</td>
<td>~ 25–30%</td>
<td>~ 25–30%</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.774G&gt;C, c.774G&gt;T (p.R258S)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Kaplan et al. (2009); Carvalho et al. (2010); Stefanova et al. (2012); Connor and Evans (1982)</td>
<td>m, f</td>
<td>2–4 y (variation 1–15 y)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>complete or almost aplasia of the great toes (5/6)</td>
<td>hypoplasia of thumbs (2/2)</td>
<td>yes (2/2)</td>
<td>thin skin and missing eyebrows</td>
<td>~ 25–30%</td>
<td>~ 25–30%</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.1124G&gt;C (p.R375P)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Kaplan et al. (2009); Stefanova et al. (2012); Connor and Evans (1982)</td>
<td>m, f</td>
<td>2–4 y (variation 1–15 y)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>complete or almost aplasia of the great toes (5/6)</td>
<td>hypoplasia of thumbs (2/2)</td>
<td>yes (2/2)</td>
<td>thin skin and missing eyebrows</td>
<td>~ 25–30%</td>
<td>~ 25–30%</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.587T&gt;C (p.L196P)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Kaplan et al. (2009); Stefanova et al. (2012); Connor and Evans (1982)</td>
<td>m, f</td>
<td>2–4 y (variation 1–15 y)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>complete or almost aplasia of the great toes (5/6)</td>
<td>hypoplasia of thumbs (2/2)</td>
<td>yes (2/2)</td>
<td>thin skin and missing eyebrows</td>
<td>~ 25–30%</td>
<td>~ 25–30%</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.590–592delCTT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Kaplan et al. (2009); Stefanova et al. (2012); Connor and Evans (1982)</td>
<td>m, f</td>
<td>2–4 y (variation 1–15 y)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>complete or almost aplasia of the great toes (5/6)</td>
<td>hypoplasia of thumbs (2/2)</td>
<td>yes (2/2)</td>
<td>thin skin and missing eyebrows</td>
<td>~ 25–30%</td>
<td>~ 25–30%</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.605G&gt;T (p.R202I)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Kaplan et al. (2009); Stefanova et al. (2012); Connor and Evans (1982)</td>
<td>m, f</td>
<td>2–4 y (variation 1–15 y)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>complete or almost aplasia of the great toes (5/6)</td>
<td>hypoplasia of thumbs (2/2)</td>
<td>yes (2/2)</td>
<td>thin skin and missing eyebrows</td>
<td>~ 25–30%</td>
<td>~ 25–30%</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total: 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>complete or almost aplasia of the great toes (5/6)</td>
<td>hypoplasia of thumbs (2/2)</td>
<td>yes (2/2)</td>
<td>thin skin and missing eyebrows</td>
<td>~ 25–30%</td>
<td>~ 25–30%</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The number of patients with the described feature is given in parentheses. HO = Heterotopic ossifications; mo = months; nr = feature not reported in any patient; y(s) = year(s).
Patients with the Mutation c.983G>A (p.G328E) in Exon 8 of the ACRV1 Gene

Three patients with the mutation p.G328E have been evaluated by Kaplan et al. [2009] (patients 13 and 14) and Carvalho et al. [2009] (patient 17). The same patients are also reported by Smith et al. [1976], Connor and Evans [1982] (as patient 32) and Stefanova et al. [2012] (as patient 20). All 3 patients have complex malformations of the toes and fingers with reduction defects involving all digits with missing nails, oligodactyly and syndactyly. The onset of the ossifications was at the age of 1–2 years. Two of 3 patients have sparse hair that grows very slowly, and the eyebrows are sparse or missing. The sparse hair and eyebrows became more prominent in the second decade of life. All 3 patients show mild cognitive impairment. One patient has primary amenorrhea due to gonadal aplasia as well as dysgenesis of the corpus callosum. Oligodontia has also been reported.

Fig. 3. Patients with the ‘classical’ mutation c.617G>A (p.R206H) in the ACVR1 gene. Heterotopic ossifications appear in a typical anatomic pattern in all patients. Some patients show heterotopic ossifications after trauma. In the typical course of disease, patients develop severe scoliosis and thorax insufficiency. Image from Stefanova et al. [2012].
Patients with the Mutation c.982G>A (p.G328R) in Exon 8 of the ACRV1 Gene

Five patients from 3 families with the above-mentioned amino acid change have been reported so far. Kaplan et al. [2009] reported 2 unrelated patients (patients 8 and 10) and 1 familial case (pat/family 9) with 3 affected family members. This family was reported previously by Virdi et al. [1999] (family 2).

All but one patient have no or very mild hypoplasia of the great toes. Only one patient had a malformation of the great toes, which was corrected surgically. Postsurgical photographs show monophalangism of the great toes.

Fig. 4. Feet of patients with the common mutation c.617G>A (p.R206H). The very first sign of FOP is a congenital malformation of the great toes (brachydactyly and fibular deviation), present in almost all patients with the ‘classical’ ACVR1 mutation. Image from Stefanova et al. [2012].

Fig. 5. Some patients with c.617G>A (p.R206H) in the ACVR1 gene show short thumbs. Image from Stefanova et al. [2012].
One patient has small thumbs. In all patients, the first ossifications appeared after trauma or surgery at ages 13, 21, 22, and 26 years. One patient did not develop any ossifications. The course of disease is mild with a slow progression. One patient shows cerebral cavernous malformations, and another patient has cerebellar hypoplasia.

 Patients with the Mutations c.774G>C and c.774G>T (p.R258S) in Exon 7 of the ACVR1 Gene

Bocciardi et al. [2009] described 2 females (as patients 12 and 17) aged 4 and 14 years with the mutation c.774G>C (p.R258S) in the ACVR1 gene. Ratbi et al. [2010] reported a 12-year-old male patient with the mutation c.774G>T (p.R258S). The ages of ossification onset were 4, 8 and 14 years, respectively. They had no or very mild hypoplasia of the great toes. The course of the disease is not clearly described in the reports. We assume a milder than the ‘classical’ course of disease, since no significant restrictions of mobility have been reported. Two patients with the same amino acid change p.R258S and the mutations c.774G>C (patient 6, female, 42 years old) and c.774G>T (patient 13, male, 29 years old) were reported by Morales-Piga et al. [2012]. These 2 patients had only mild abnormalities of their great toes. The first heterotopic ossifications appeared at ages 6 and 15, and the patients lacked the typical anatomic and developmental pattern of heterotopic ossification. The course of disease was milder than the classical course. As an additional feature, baldness was described in the 2 patients.

Zhang et al. [2013] reported a Chinese patient with the mutation c.774G>C, p.R258S (patient 7), who developed first heterotopic ossifications at age 7. In this report, it is noted in the summary table that the patient has ‘normal great toes or minimal changes’ as well as normal thumbs. As a contradiction, it is noted in the manuscript that the patient has severe digital malformations. Therefore, the phenotype of the digital malformations in this patient is not completely clear.

 Patients with the Mutation c.1124G>C (p.R375P) in Exon 9 of the ACVR1 Gene

One female patient with the amino acid change p.R375P was described by Kaplan et al. [2009] (patient 19). The disease manifested with flare-ups at 14 years of age and resulted in restricted mobility of the cervical spine and shoulders, and heterotopic ossifications in the...
Patients with the Mutation c.587T>C (p.L196P) in Exon 6 of the ACRV1 Gene

Gregson et al. [2011] reported a 45-year-old female patient with the amino acid change p.L196P with late onset of the disease, slow progression, ossifications in typical anatomic patterns and no malformations of the toes or fingers, and no additional features. The patient was ambulatory and had contractures in some major joints. Nakahara et al. [2014] reported a second patient with the same mutation, a 22-year-old man, with first ossifications at the age of 17 years. At age 19, a decreased mobility in his right shoulder was observed, which improved with time. At age 22, he had mildly restricted mobility in his spine and hips. The left little finger showed mild camptodactyly and the thumbs were slightly short. Overall, the toes were short, due to the lack of the distal interphalangeal joint on the fourth and fifth toes.

Patients with the Mutation c.619C>G (p.Q207E) in Exon 6 of the ACRV1 Gene

One patient with this mutation was reported by Kaplan et al. [2009] (as patient 7). He had a ‘classical’ phenotype of FOP with flare-ups and ossifications, beginning in the second year of life. Early scoliosis and thorax insufficiency were reported. His height and weight were <5th percentile for his age.

Patients with the Mutation c.590–592delCTT (p.P197_F198delinsL) in Exon 6 of the ACVR1 Gene

Kaplan et al. [2009] reported one female patient with a deletion of 3 bp in the GS-rich domain of the ACVR1 gene that results in the replacement of the amino acids proline (codon 197) and phenylalanine (codon 198) with leucine (patient 20).

She has clinically and radiographically normal toes. At 11 years of age, a painful flexion contracture of the left hip occurred, and imaging studies showed a poorly defined soft tissue mass of the left iliopsoas muscle. She developed heterotopic ossification with ankylosis of the left hip, and within 6 months, she had ankylosis of all major joints of the axial and appendicular skeleton.

Patients with the Mutation c.605G>T (p.R202I) in Exon 6 of the ACVR1 Gene

Petrie et al. [2009] described a female patient, who was diagnosed clinically with FOP at age 14 years (patient 1).

The first presentation was with a painful bony lump over her right scapula after a fall, and clinical examination showed only one short big toe with otherwise normal toes. Subsequently, she developed multiple tender bony swellings, and the apparent toe abnormality confirmed the clinical diagnosis. Her right shoulder was fixed in internal rotation. Fixed-flexion deformities of both elbows were present. Her lumbar spinal movements were restricted. The patient continued to have frequent flare-ups with increased inflammatory lesions over her shoulder joints, neck and jaw, resulting in immobility of the neck within 6 months of clinical presentation.

Genotype-Phenotype Correlation

Considering the presented literature data, individuals with the ‘classical’ p.R206H mutation have an early onset of ossifications (during childhood) that can be triggered by trauma. The course of the disease is usually severe and leads to immobility at early age. Also patients with the mutations p.G328W and p.G328E show early disease onset and severe progression, but the ossifications seem not to be influenced by trauma. Individuals with the mutation p.G356D seem to have later onset of the disease, which could, however, progress quickly. Also the mutations p.G328W and p.G328E are probably associated with early onset and severe disease course, but do not seem to be triggered by trauma. Interestingly, the amino acid change p.G328R in the same codon seems to be associated with a milder disease course and late onset, but ossifications show a high correlation with injury or surgical intervention. The amino acid changes p.R258S, p.R375P and p.L196P seem to be associated with a milder phenotype with less influence on life quality than in patients with other mutations (fig. 7, 8).

Stefanova et al. [2012] described 8 patients showing ectodermal features in terms of sparse hair, sparse or missing eyebrows and thin facial skin. In some patients, these features became evident with age. Some patients are reported with slow hair growth, which was also a feature occurring in the second or third decade of life. Hammond et al. [2012] suggested that FOP patients often have longer, narrower faces than age-sex matched controls – males more so than females. In addition, many FOP patients have a small mandible. Variably dysmorphic facial features in the regions of midface and jaw suggested by Hammond et al. [2012] are likely related to the canonical ACVR1 mutation and not described in patients with other mutations so far. Ectodermal features have been described
in patients with other mutations too. Especially striking are the sparse hair and sparse eyebrows in patients with the amino acid changes p.G328W and p.G328E.

Intellectual impairment is not thought to be a feature typical for FOP. However, all the reported patients with the mutation c.983G>A (p.G328E) showed mild intellectual impairment. The number of patients with this amino acid change is, however, too small in order to define a genotype-phenotype correlation in terms of cognitive impairment. The ACVR1 protein is not known to have an important function in neurological development.

A statement on genotype-phenotype correlation in terms of features, such as hearing impairment, teeth abnormalities, genital anomalies, and other features rarely described in FOP patients is difficult to make because of the yet small number of patients and the fact that these features are not consistently described in a predominant part of the patients with any mutation.

**Summary and Conclusions**

The causes of FOP are heterozygous missense mutations that occur in the regions coding for the GS-rich and the protein kinase domains of the ACVR1 gene. The most common missense mutation is the c.617G>A (p.R206H) mutation. Patients with this mutation show rather distinctive and, thus, rather predictable phenotype with early onset of heterotopic ossification (varying between ages 0 and 15 years), relatively early occurring significant motion restriction of the major joints resulting in immobility, and congenital malformations of the great toes and thumbs.

Since the identification of the AVCR1 gene as the responsible gene for FOP by Shore et al. [2006] and this mutation hot spot, more and more mutations in the GS-rich domain and the protein kinase domain of this gene have been described. The actually known patients with a different mutation than the common p.R206H mutation seem to have a phenotype which varies from the ‘classical’ FOP phenotype, especially concerning limb malformations and disease severity (progression and extent of heterotopic ossifications). Also mild mental impairment was noted in some patients with other than the common mutation. In general, FOP is not thought to be associated with specific craniofacial signs, but in some patients specific facial features have been observed [Hammond et al., 2012; Stefanova et al., 2012]. However, the number of patients with ACVR1 mutations different from the p.R206H mutation is still small, so that a definite genotype-phenotype correlation in this group of patients is not yet possible.
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