Effectiveness of Sorafenib in Patients with Transcatheter Arterial Chemoembolization (TACE) Refractory and Intermediate-Stage Hepatocellular Carcinoma
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Abstract

Background and Aims: Patients with intermediate-stage hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) refractory to transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) are considered to be candidates for sorafenib. The aim of this study was to evaluate the superiority of conversion of treatment to sorafenib on overall survival (OS) for cases refractory to TACE. Methods: This was a retrospective cohort study carried out on 497 patients with HCC who were treated with TACE therapy at our hospital between January 2008 and December 2013. Fifty-six patients were diagnosed as refractory to TACE during their clinical course and they were divided into two cohorts, (1) those who switched from TACE to sorafenib and (2) those who continued TACE. The overall survival (OS) after the time of being refractory to TACE was evaluated between the two groups. Results: After refractoriness to TACE therapy was confirmed, 24 patients continued with TACE (TACE-group) and 32 patients underwent treatment conversion to sorafenib (sorafenib-group). The median OS was 24.7 months in the sorafenib-group and 13.6 months...
in the TACE-group (p=0.002). **Conclusions:** Conversion to sorafenib significantly improves the OS in patients refractory to TACE therapy with intermediate-stage HCC. Administration of sorafenib is therefore recommended in such circumstances of TACE treatment failure.

**Introduction**

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the third most common cause of cancer-related death worldwide and a growing cause of public health problems [1–3]. Because most patients are diagnosed with advanced stages of disease, only 30% of patients receive potentially curative therapies such as surgical resection [4, 5], transplantation [6, 7], or percutaneous ablation [8–10]. More specifically, the majority of patients with intermediate or advanced-stage HCCs usually undergo other palliative treatments such as transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) [11–13], hepatic arterial infusion chemotherapy (HAIC) [14], and systemic chemotherapy including molecular targeting agents [14–20].

TACE is currently the standard treatment for patients with multinodular HCC. Compared to hepatic resection, an advantage of TACE includes the preservation of liver function, which generally cannot be obtained after surgical treatment [11, 12, 17, 21–24]. Conversely, sorafenib, a molecular targeting agent, is currently the first-line agent for the treatment of unresectable HCC worldwide [25, 26]. Indications for the use of sorafenib include the failure of response to TACE therapy, including extrahepatic spread and vascular invasion as well as the development of refractoriness to the treatment [14].

The Japan Society of Hepatology (JSH) and the Liver Cancer Study Group of Japan (LC-SGJ) proposed a clear definition of ‘refractoriness or failure to TACE’ as described as follows: The first criterion applies to intrahepatic lesions, where refractoriness to TACE is defined as ≥2 consecutive ineffective responses of treated tumors (viable lesions >50%) or ≥2 consecutive progressive increases in total tumor count, despite a change of chemotherapeutic agent or selection of the feeding artery. For an ineffective response, it is recommended to re-evaluate the patient using computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) at 1–3 months after a selective TACE treatment. Additional criteria of refractoriness to TACE include the continuous elevation of tumor marker levels immediately after the TACE, and new emergence of vascular invasion and extrahepatic spread after the procedure [23, 27].

An earlier study supports the robustness of the criteria regarding the TACE-refractoriness or failure as defined by the JSH and LC-SGJ; overall survival (OS) was longer in patients treated with <2 consecutive ineffective TACE procedures than in patients who underwent ≥3 consecutive TACE procedures before sorafenib administration [28]. Another study compared the efficacy of sorafenib and cisplatin in patients with HCC after refractoriness or failure of TACE and it reported that OS and time to progression (TTP) were longer in patients treated with sorafenib than in patients who underwent HAIC [29]. Ogasawara et al. compared the efficacy of sorafenib and continuous TACE treatment in patients with HCC, in addition to the refractoriness or failure of the TACE therapy; OS and TTP were longer in patients who were switched from TACE to sorafenib, than in patients who were treated with TACE alone [30]. In the present study, using large cohorts from our institution, we conducted a retrospective analysis comparing the OS of patients with HCC who were treated with sorafenib with those who underwent repeated TACE treatments during the TACE-refractory phase of their clinical course.
Materials and Methods

Patients
The derivation dataset included 497 patients with HCC who were treated with TACE at Kinki University between 2008 and 2013. All patients satisfied the JSH diagnostic criteria for HCC [23]. HCC was diagnosed based on histological or radiological findings using contrast-enhanced CT (CE-CT) and/or dynamic MRI. The decision of providing TACE was made through discussion of multidisciplinary teams at our institution. Patients with intermediate-stage HCC who underwent repeated TACE treatments were retrospectively identified from our medical records; patients who were considered refractory to TACE were further selected and subdivided into two cohorts as follows: (1) patients who switched from TACE to sorafenib (sorafenib-group) and (2) those who continued on TACE despite being classified as refractory to the therapy (TACE-group).

The inclusion criteria for this study were: HCC diagnosed by histological examination or typical radiological findings (early enhancement followed by late wash-out on CE-CT or dynamic MRI), HCC cases not recommended for radiofrequency ablation or surgical resection, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) [21, 31] stage B (intermediate-stage), performance status (PS) of 0 or 1, and Child-Pugh class A or B. The exclusion criteria were: concomitant antineoplastic treatment, BCLC stage C (advanced-stage), and having HAIC or systemic therapy after allocation of refractoriness to TACE therapy.

Clinicopathological variables including demographics, complete blood count, serum albumin level, C-reactive protein, alpha-fetoprotein (AFP), alanine aminotransferases and alkaline phosphatase, Child-Pugh class, and BCLC [21, 31] prognostic scores were collected prior to each treatment.

TACE Technique
The right femoral artery was accessed with an 18-gauge Seldinger needle, and a 4-Fr sheath was then inserted. The celiac artery was selectively catheterized using a 4.2-Fr catheter. A 2.2-Fr microcatheter (Shirabe®; Piolax, Yokohama, Japan) was advanced coaxially through the catheter into the common or proper hepatic artery. Rotational angiography was performed to evaluate the feeding vessels of identified HCCs. The tip of the catheter was selectively placed into feeding segmental and subsegmental arteries using the findings of selective hepatic angiography and/or tracking navigation imaging. Chemoembolization was performed using 60–120 mg of miriplatin (Miripla®; Sumitomo Dainippon Pharma, Osaka, Japan), 20–50 mg of epirubicin (Epirubicin®; Nippon Kayaku, Tokyo, Japan), or 50–100 mg of cisplatin (IA-call®; Nippon Kayaku) emulsified with iodized oil (Lipiodol® Ultra-Fluid; Guerbet, Paris, France) and gelatin sponge particles (Gelpart®; Nippon Kayaku or Gelfoam®; Upjohn, Kalamazoo, MI, USA). The injection volume of the emulsion was determined according to tumor volumes (<8 ml). Drug-eluting-bead TACE (DEB-TACE) or balloon-occluded TACE (B-TACE) was not utilized in this study.

Definition of TACE Refractoriness
A CT scan was performed within 3 months of the TACE procedure to evaluate the radiological response of the tumor(s) to the treatment. Follow-up CT or MRI was then performed every 3–4 months. In addition, AFP levels were evaluated every 2–3 months. The definition of refractoriness to TACE was based on the JSH Consensus Guidelines [23, 27]. The radiological response to TACE was evaluated using the initial CT or MRI within 3 months after TACE treatment. We determined the AFP level at baseline and at 2 months after the TACE treatment; a continuous elevation of the tumor marker was defined as an increase of >20% from baseline. In the response assessment, only patients with a baseline AFP level of >20 ng/ml were included. Patients received sorafenib therapy after evaluation of their refractoriness to TACE. However, some patients continued TACE therapy when they declined sorafenib therapy, and before sorafenib was authorized as a treatment for HCC.

Treatment Strategy Using Sorafenib
Sorafenib was administered orally at 400 mg, twice daily. Dose reduction of sorafenib and interruption of the therapy were allowed and depended on the type and severity of adverse events. Dose reduction and discontinuation of the drug were judged based on the information of the package insert. We continued sorafenib administration until the occurrence of intolerable toxicity or clear clinical disease progression was apparent. CE-CT or dynamic MRI was used to evaluate the tumor response; the radiological examinations were performed at baseline, at one month after the initiation of sorafenib and every 4–6 weeks thereafter.
Statistical Analysis
Survival curves were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method with death as the primary endpoint for the analysis of OS. Patients who did not meet the endpoint were censored at the time of the last follow-up visit. Survival rates were compared between the groups using the log-rank test, and categorical variables were compared using the chi-square test. Comparisons of categorical variables between the groups were conducted using Mann-Whitney U test. P values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using SAS® statistical software version 8.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) or the SPSS® Medical Pack for Windows version 10.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results
Characteristics of the Patients Enrolled in the Study
Among 497 who received TACE during their clinical course, 56 patients were diagnosed as refractory to the therapy. The baseline characteristics of the patients are summarized in table 1. Forty patients (71.4%) were anti-hepatitis C virus antibody (HCV Ab) positive, four patients (7.1%) were hepatitis B virus surface antigen (HBs-Ag) positive, and 12 (21.4%) were negative for both HCV Ab and HBs-Ag, respectively. Fifty-five (98.2%) patients were asymptomatic, with a performance status of 0. Forty-five patients (80.4%) were classified as Child-Pugh A.

Treatment after Classification as Refractory to TACE
Of the patients classified as refractory to TACE, 32 were assigned to the sorafenib-group and 24 were assigned to the TACE-group. The characteristics of the patients in each group are summarized in table 2. The TACE-group consisted of 14 men and 10 women with a median age of 77 years; 17 patients were classified as Child-Pugh A and seven were classified as Child-Pugh B. Among them, 17 (70.8%) were positive for HCV Ab, and no patients were positive for HBs-Ag, and seven (29.2%) patients were negative for both. Previous therapy of the patients included six hepatic resections, 11 local ablations, and two cases of cytotoxic systemic chemotherapy. Patients may have received more than one type of therapy. The sorafenib-group consisted of 24 men and eight women with a median age of 73 years; 28 patients were classified as Child-Pugh A, and four were classified as Child-Pugh B. Among these patients, 23 (71.9%) were positive for HCV Ab, four (12.5%) were positive for HBs-Ag, and five (15.6%) were negative for both. Previous therapies included eight hepatic resections, 21 local ablations, and five cases of cytotoxic systemic chemotherapy. Patients may have received more than one type of therapy. There were no statistically significant differences in the patient characteristics between the two groups.

Of the patients enrolled in the study (32, sorafenib-group; 24, TACE-group), 38 died during the study period (19, sorafenib-group; 19, TACE-group), eight survived (5, sorafenib-group; 3, TACE-group), and 10 were lost to follow-up (8, sorafenib-group; 2, TACE-group). The causes of death in the sorafenib-group were as follows: progression of the HCC (16 patients); and hepatic failure (3 patients). In the TACE-group the causes of death were: progression of the HCC (14 patients); and hepatic failure (5 patients).

In the sorafenib-group, 30 patients continued sorafenib until their disease progression. Two patients discontinued the treatment because of severe adverse events. Treatment discontinuation rates were 93.8%. Dose reduction rates were 25% (8/32).

The median OS of the entire cohort was 10.27 months (95% confidence interval [CI] 6.55–11.46 months). The median OS of the patients in the TACE- and sorafenib-group was 13.6 months (95% CI 8.96–17.43 months) and 24.7 months (95% CI 17.16–54.7 months), respectively. Pairwise comparisons verified a significantly longer OS in the sorafenib-group than in the TACE-group (p=0.002, log-rank test) (fig. 1).
Overall Comparison of the Child-Pugh Score after Classification as Refractory to TACE

In the TACE-group, the median number of TACE procedures that patients underwent was two (interquartile range 25–75%, 1–3 procedures), after determination of refractoriness to TACE. In the sorafenib-group, the median duration of sorafenib administration was 124 days (interquartile range 25–75%, 64–271 days). Both groups showed an increase in Child-Pugh scores at 3 months and 6 months after refractoriness to TACE, indicating deterioration in liver function. The median Child-Pugh scores after 3 months were 7 (25–75% interquartile range, 6–7) in the TACE-group and 6 (25–75% interquartile range, 5–7) in the sorafenib-group, with no significant differences seen between the two groups (p=0.051). However, the median Child-Pugh scores after 6 months were 7 (25–75% interquartile range, 6.5–8.75) in the TACE-group and 6 (25–75% interquartile range, 5.5–6.25) in the sorafenib-group, with a significant difference between the two groups (p=0.005) (fig. 2a). Further analyses were performed to
examine the changes in serum albumin levels, serum bilirubin levels, and prothrombin time (PT), because these items as part of the Child-Pugh score had shown greater clinical changes in the study cohort. Serum bilirubin levels were not significantly different between the two groups after 3 months (p=0.28) or 6 months (p=0.067). After 3 months, the median bilirubin level was 0.9 mg/dl (25–75% interquartile range, 0.5–1.7 mg/dl) in the TACE-group and 0.8 mg/dl (25–75% interquartile range, 0.5–1.2 mg/dl) in the sorafenib-group. After 6 months, the median bilirubin level was 1.25 mg/dl (25–75% interquartile range, 0.62–2.45 mg/dl) in the TACE-group and 0.7 mg/dl (25–75% interquartile range, 0.5–1.25 mg/dl) in the sorafenib-group (fig. 2b). In contrast, a significant difference of serum albumin levels were observed at 6 months (p=0.043) but not at 3 months (p=0.14). After 3 months, the median albumin level was 3.4 g/dl (25–75% interquartile range, 3.2–3.6 g/dl) in the TACE-group and 3.6 g/dl (25–75% interquartile range, 3.2–4.1 g/dl) in the sorafenib-group. After
6 months, the median albumin level was 3.15 g/dl (25–75% interquartile range, 2.8–3.5 g/dl) in the TACE-group and 3.6 g/dl (25–75% interquartile range, 3.1–4.15 g/dl) in the sorafenib-group (fig. 2c). Similarly, the difference in PT between the two groups was also significant at 6 months (p=0.0041) but not at 3 months (p=0.069). After 3 months, the median PT was 78.15% (25–75% interquartile range, 64.47–87.3%) in the TACE-group and 82.15% (25–75% interquartile range, 76.57–89.62%) in the sorafenib-group. After 6 months, the median PT was 72.25% (25–75% interquartile range, 63.67–85.2%) in the TACE-group and 86.5% (25–75% interquartile range, 77.05–92.8%) in the sorafenib-group, respectively (fig. 2d).

**Discussion**

Patients with vascular invasion or extrahepatic metastasis, or with insufficient response to TACE are good candidates for sorafenib. The efficacy of sorafenib in cases refractory to TACE have been reported previously [29, 30]. Ogasawara et al. found that time to disease progression and OS were prolonged by switching the treatment to sorafenib in patients with intermediate-stage HCC, if TACE refractoriness was confirmed [30]. The present study also demonstrates that OS is longer in the sorafenib-group than in the TACE-group in patients with HCC who are deemed refrectory to TACE, using the definition of TACE failure or refractoriness proposed by the JSH and LCSGJ.
The Sorafenib HCC Assessment Randomized Protocol (SHARP) and the Asia-Pacific trials included 54 (18%) and 7 (4.7%) patients with intermediate-stage HCC, who would typically qualify for TACE as a first-line treatment according to the JSH and LCSGJ guidelines [25, 26]. Notably, 86 (29%) and 61 (40.7%) of the patients in these trials underwent TACE treatment as a preceding therapy [25, 26, 32]. The Asia-Pacific trial also evaluated the OS of sorafenib-treated and placebo groups among the patients who underwent preceding TACE therapy; the OS was 7.3 months (95% CI, 5.2–9.5) in the sorafenib group and 5.1 months (95% CI, 4.1–11.3) in the placebo group, with a hazard ratio of 0.84 (95% CI, 0.52–1.36), while the TTP was 2.7 months (95% CI, 1.5–2.8) in the sorafenib-group and 1.3 months (95% CI, 1.3–1.4) in the placebo-group, with a hazard ratio of 0.57 (95% CI, 0.36–0.90), respectively [32]. These findings are quite similar to our study results, demonstrating the effectiveness of sorafenib even in the patients with a previous history of TACE, which has been recommended as a conventional first-line treatment.

**Fig. 2** Overall comparison of Child-Pugh score between the sorafenib conversion group and the TACE continuation group over time.

- **a** A significant difference was noted in Child-Pugh score 6 months after patients were deemed refractory to TACE (p=0.005 by Mann-Whitney U test). Each bar represents 25th–75th percentile. The dashed line indicates the alteration in the median value of the TACE group and solid line represents the sorafenib group.
- **b** No significant difference was noted in the plasma bilirubin at 3 or 6 months after patients were deemed refractory to TACE.
- **c** A significant difference was noted in plasma albumin at 6 months after patients were deemed refractory to TACE (p=0.043 by Mann-Whitney U test).
- **d** A significant difference was noted in the PT at 6 months after patients were deemed refractory to TACE (p=0.0041 by Mann-Whitney U test).
Takayasu et al. [33] reported that independent prognostic factors of survival in patients who underwent TACE included the degree of hepatic damage, the tumor stage, and the serum AFP level. However, no studies have confirmed that repeated TACE may lead to deteriorations of liver function. Our results indicate that the increase in Child-Pugh score is greater in the TACE-group compared to the sorafenib-group. Given that the subjects of the present study developed refractoriness to TACE, the deterioration in liver function may have been related to the shorter interval of TACE procedures in the advanced clinical stage or progression of the tumors. Among the items of the Child-Pugh score, a decrease of the serum albumin and PT levels was prominent, suggesting an impact of TACE on protein synthesis. Although, the reduction of OS in patients refractory to TACE may be principally attributable to the progression of the primary tumors, it is possible that repeated TACE over a shorter interval might, at least partially, contribute to the reduction in OS.

In the present study, all subjects underwent conventional TACE (cTACE). However, no studies have examined whether recently developed TACE procedures, such as DEB-TACE or B-TACE are effective in patients with HCC who are refractory to TACE. Considering that all subjects in the present study underwent cTACE, further studies are required to determine the efficacy of DEB-TACE or B-TACE in such cases.

Although we analyzed considerable numbers of patients, the retrospective design of the study may have led to selection bias of the patients. There were 10 patients lost to follow up in this study, which may also have led to bias. To further validate the results of this study, we are currently conducting a prospective multicenter study to confirm the significance of sorafenib in patients with HCC who have failed or who are refractory to TACE treatment.

In conclusion, our data strongly support the fact that conversion to sorafenib improves outcome as evidenced by an increase in OS in patients refractory to TACE with intermediate-stage HCC. Repeated TACE may induce resistance to chemotherapy, which in turn may increase the risk of tumor recurrence and metastasis. More importantly, it may induce deterioration of liver function, which is critical for the safely treatment with sorafenib. Thus, to improve the survival of patients with HCC refractory to TACE, it is important to switch the treatment from TACE to sorafenib even if the tumor is still in the intermediate stage.
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