Bucillamine-Induced Membranous Nephropathy with Crescent Formation in a Patient with Rheumatoid Arthritis: Case Report and Literature Review
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\textbf{Abstract}
Bucillamine is a disease-modifying antirheumatic drug that is structurally similar to D-penicillamine. The major renal side effect of bucillamine and D-penicillamine is proteinuria caused by membranous nephropathy (MN). In addition to MN, combined crescent formation has been occasionally reported in D-penicillamine-induced MN, while crescent formation has been rarely reported in bucillamine-treated cases. Here, we describe a 76-year-old female who presented with nephrotic syndrome and rapidly progressive glomerulonephritis. She was receiving bucillamine as initial treatment for recently diagnosed rheumatoid arthritis, and renal biopsy showed MN with crescent formation. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of bucillamine-induced MN with crescent formation in the English literature.

\textbf{Introduction}
Bucillamine is a disease-modifying antirheumatic drug, which was developed in Japan in 1975 and is widely used in Asian countries. The chemical structure of bucillamine is similar to D-penicillamine. The major renal side effect of bucillamine and D-penicillamine is proteinuria caused by membranous nephropathy (MN). In addition to MN, combined crescent formation has been occasionally reported in D-penicillamine-induced MN, while crescent formation has been rarely reported in bucillamine-treated cases. Here, we describe a 76-year-old female who presented with nephrotic syndrome and rapidly progressive glomerulonephritis. She was receiving bucillamine as initial treatment for recently diagnosed rheumatoid arthritis, and renal biopsy showed MN with crescent formation. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of bucillamine-induced MN with crescent formation in the English literature.
to that of D-penicillamine, and the pharmacological effects of both drugs are believed to be linked to the sulphydryl (SH) bonds [1]. Therefore, the 2 drugs have similar mechanisms of action and side effects, such as skin rash, pruritus, gastrointestinal disturbances, and proteinuria. Proteinuria gradually increases in these cases, and renal biopsy commonly shows membranous nephropathy (MN) [2–6]. Discontinuation of the drug achieves resolution of proteinuria without residual renal damage in these MN [2–4, 6].

On the other hand, D-penicillamine can also cause rapidly progressive glomerulonephritis (RPGN) and crescent formation associated with drug-induced antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA). These cases show a rapid clinical course and require both discontinuation of the drug and aggressive treatment [7]. Despite the structural similarity between bucillamine and D-penicillamine, RPGN or crescent formation has seldom been reported in bucillamine-treated cases, and this deviation has remained unexplainable. Here, we report a rare case of bucillamine-induced MN with crescent formation, which presented with nephrotic syndrome (NS) and RPGN.

**Case Presentation**

A 76-year-old female, with a history of hypertension that resolved with mild sodium restriction, began experiencing stiffness and spontaneous pain in her distal interphalangeal joints in December 2011. In January 2012, rheumatoid arthritis was diagnosed and bucillamine (300 mg/day) was administrated as a monotherapy. At the 1-month follow-up, the arthritis had resolved, renal function was normal, her serum creatinine was 0.52 mg/dl [estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) 84.6 ml/min/1.73 m²] [8], and urinalysis was negative for hematuria and proteinuria.

In mid-March 2012, the patient presented with complaints of edema and abnormal weight gain. She was afebrile with no recent infectious episode. Her blood pressure was 130/77 mm Hg, and she had periorbital and lower-extremity edema. Her laboratory findings were as follows: total protein 4.8 g/dl, albumin 1.9 g/dl, creatinine 0.63 mg/dl (eGFR 68.6 ml/min/1.73 m²), C-reactive protein 0.04 mg/dl, antistreptolysin O antibody 28 IU/ml, normal total hemolytic complement (CH50) and its fraction (C3, C4, CH50), and negative circulating immune complex. Urinalysis revealed hematuria (30–49/high-power field, with many red blood cell casts) and proteinuria (4.67 g/day in pooled urine). Antinuclear antibody titer 40×, rheumatoid factor 29 IU/ml, anticyclic citrullinated peptide antibody >300 U/ml, matrix metalloproteinase-3 85.5 ng/ml, and tests for other specific antibodies were negative: enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay myeloperoxidase (MPO)-ANCA <10 EU/ml, proteinase 3-ANCA <10 EU/ml, and antiglomerular basement membrane antibody <10 EU/ml. ANCA by indirect immunofluorescence (IF) was also negative. Hepatitis B surface antigen and hepatitis C antibody were negative. Chest computed tomography excluded alveolar hemorrhage, interstitial pneumonia or pleurisy.

After the patient’s admission, bucillamine was stopped and her clinical course was monitored (fig. 1). Her proteinuria improved to 1.35 g/day, and her serum albumin level gradually recovered within 2 weeks. However, the renal dysfunction progressed, and hematuria worsened despite partial resolution of NS. Therefore, an ultrasound-guided percutaneous renal biopsy was performed. The renal biopsy specimen contained 23 glomeruli, 5 of which demonstrated global sclerosis. On light microscopy, slight endocapillary proliferation with inflammatory cell infiltration was observed (fig. 2a), and crescent formation was seen in 7 glomeruli (fig. 2a, b). Neither arteritis nor peritubular capillaritis was seen. IF study revealed fine granular deposits of immunoglobulin G (IgG) (3+; fig. 2c),
C3c (3+), and C1q (1+) along the capillary walls. Staining for IgG subclass showed positive staining for IgG1 (3+), IgG2 (1–2+), IgG3 (1–2+), and IgG4 (3+) (fig. 2d–g). Electron microscopy (EM) showed segmental subepithelial deposits along the glomerular basement membrane (fig. 3a) with extensive foot process effacement, microvillus transformation, and vacuolar degeneration of podocytes (fig. 3b). On the basis of the above findings, we determined the diagnosis as MN stage 1 [9] with crescent formation.

The severe hematuria persisted, and eGFR rapidly declined to approximately 50.0 ml/min/1.73 m². Therefore, we made the diagnosis of RPGN on the basis of the clinical course and the renal histopathological findings. The patient underwent a 3-day course of methylprednisolone 0.5 g/day twice, which was followed by oral prednisolone 25 mg/day (fig. 1). The rapid decline of eGFR stopped and the hematuria resolved after the initiation of active treatment. Since the patient developed psychiatric symptoms after prednisolone treatment, her medications including prednisolone were stopped, and she received intravenous cyclophosphamide (0.5 g) in June 2012. In the subsequent 2 years, she showed no recurrence of hematuria or proteinuria; however, the declined eGFR has persisted with no recovery.

**Discussion**

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report describing bucillamine-induced MN with crescent formation that presented with NS and RPGN. Acute renal injury, which was caused by NS, such as prerenal azotemia or acute tubular injury, might have coexisted in our patient [10]. However, even after the partial resolution of proteinuria and recovery of the serum albumin level, heavy hematuria persisted, and her renal dysfunction rapidly progressed (fig. 1). On the basis of these findings, we concluded that the patient’s clinical course was different from acute renal injury caused by NS or from usual bucillamine-induced MN [2–4]. Therefore, we finally performed a renal biopsy to make the accurate diagnosis, and the renal biopsy revealed MN with crescent formation, which was consistent with NS and RPGN.

In the present case, the patient showed a favorable clinical course without relapse of either NS or RPGN after the discontinuation of bucillamine therapy. Since MN is a well-documented side effect of bucillamine [2–4], it seemed suitable to diagnose that this NS was caused by bucillamine-induced MN. The mechanism of subepithelial immune complex deposition is believed to be similar to MN induced by D-penicillamine, and the mechanism is explained as follows: D-penicillamine has the capacity to convert large circulating immune complexes to small ones. Since small immune complexes tend to circulate longer, delayed removal of immune complexes results in glomerular depositions [11]. In contrast, the etiology of RPGN and crescent formation in the present case remained unclear. A combination of MN and crescent formation has been rarely reported, and the reported cases are limited to lupus nephritis or dual glomerulopathy with superimposed antiglomerular basement membrane disease, ANCA-associated vasculitis, and pauci-immune glomerulonephritis of unknown etiology [12]. However, the concurrence of these primary diseases was deniable from laboratory findings, histopathological findings, and the clinical course without relapse in the present case. Therefore, on the basis of exclusion, we speculated that bucillamine was the cause of MN as well as the crescent formation.

However, only 2 cases of crescent formation caused by bucillamine have been reported (table 1) [13, 14]. In case 1, 18 months after the initiation of bucillamine therapy, although ANCA was negative, the patient showed severe hematuria, proteinuria, and a rapid decline in
renal function. The biopsy showed crescentic glomerulonephritis with arteritis. IF study was negative, and EM study revealed no electron-dense deposit [13]. In case 2, proteinuria without hematuria appeared 7 months after the initiation of buccillamine therapy. The proteinuria gradually increased to a nephrotic range, and ANCA was not examined. The biopsy showed crescentic glomerulonephritis. IF study was negative, and EM study revealed slight mesangial deposits [14]. Taken together, the clinical courses and histopathological findings of the 2 reported cases and the present case are different from each other. Therefore, it was difficult to surmise the etiology of buccillamine-induced crescent formation from these cases.

By contrast, there have been a few cases of MN with crescent formation reported in MN induced by D-penicillamine (table 2) [15–18]. In those cases, MPO-ANCA or perinuclear ANCA were positive in 3 out of the 4 cases that were tested for ANCA. Furthermore, 1 case had a pulmonary lesion consistent with systemic vasculitis. Therefore, the crescent formation seemed to be caused by concurrent drug-induced ANCA-associated vasculitis. The pathogenesis responsible for D-penicillamine to induce ANCA is still far from fully understood. However, induction is considered to be triggered by the interaction between MPO and SH bonds, and this mechanism is believed to be common in other SH bond-containing drugs known to induce vasculitis, such as propylthiouracil [7, 19].

In the present case, on the basis of previous knowledge, we speculate 3 possible hypotheses to explain crescent formation. The first one is buccillamine-induced vasculitis. As mentioned above, based on its chemical structure containing SH bonds, buccillamine may have the capacity to induce ANCA as D-penicillamine. However, there have been no previous reports of drug-induced ANCA in buccillamine-treated cases. Moreover, MPO-ANCA, which is the majority of ANCA induced by D-penicillamine [7], was negative in the present case. Therefore, an association of drug-induced ANCA seems to be less likely in the present case. Though, in propylthiouracil-induced ANCA, both MPO-ANCA and atypical ANCA have been reported to be positive [19], and atypical ANCA might be associated with crescent formation in the present case. The second possibility is crescent formation caused by subepithelial deposits. The IF study of IgG subclasses revealed a deposition of IgG1, IgG2, and IgG3 subclasses, in addition to the deposition of IgG4 subclass. The deposition of IgG4 subclass, which is the predominant deposition in idiopathic MN [20], reflects Th2-type immune reaction. By contrast, the deposition of other IgG subclasses reflects Th1-type immune reaction, which may relate to glomerular inflammation and crescent formation [21].

Moreover, the deposition of C1q suggested that the classical complement pathway was activated in the present case [22]. Therefore, the immunological background differs from primary MN in the present case, and the difference may relate to the infiltration of inflammatory cells and crescent formation. However, since buccillamine suppresses the Th1-type immune response [23], it is difficult to explain this discrepancy. The third possibility is crescent formation caused by severe podocyte damage, which is known as a pseudocrescent [24]. Only a limited number of drugs are known to cause direct podocyte damage, such as doxorubicin, puromycin, and bisphosphonates. Buccillamine has been reported to cause podocyte degeneration unaccompanied by immune complex deposition in a murine model [25]. This is a unique action of buccillamine that is not shared by D-penicillamine. However, the light microscopy findings of inflammatory cell infiltration in the crescents and prominent periglomerular inflammation are somewhat inconsistent histological features with pseudocrescent formation [24]. Altogether, the etiology of buccillamine-induced crescent formation in the present case is uncertain. However, one or more of the above hypotheses might serve a function and form the present complex histopathology.
We emphasize that the diagnosis of bucillamine-induced MN with crescent formation was made on the basis of exclusion. Unlike the typical bucillamine-induced MN, the patient revealed a rapid clinical course and permanent renal damage. As a conclusion, it is important to be aware that bucillamine-induced nephropathy may not always take a benign clinical course.
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Table 1. Reported cases of bucillamine-induced crescent formation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Initial diagnosis</th>
<th>Clinical manifestation</th>
<th>IF study</th>
<th>EM study</th>
<th>ANCA</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>RPGN</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>no EDD</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>improved</td>
<td>prednisolone, cyclophosphamide</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>NS without hematuria</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>mesangial EDD</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>improved</td>
<td>prednisolone</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>RPGN, NS</td>
<td>IgG, C3, C1q</td>
<td>subepithelial EDD</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>improved</td>
<td>prednisolone, cyclophosphamide</td>
<td>present case</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RA = Rheumatoid arthritis; EDD = electron-dense deposit; NR = not reported.

Table 2. Reported cases of MN induced by D-penicillamine associated with crescent formation evaluated for ANCA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Initial diagnosis</th>
<th>Clinical manifestation</th>
<th>IF study (capillary wall)</th>
<th>MN stage</th>
<th>ANCA</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>RPGN</td>
<td>Granular IgG, IgA, C3</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>improved</td>
<td>prednisolone, cyclophosphamide, plasma exchange</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>PRS</td>
<td>Granular IgG, C3</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>P-ANCA+, MPO-ANCA+</td>
<td>ESRD</td>
<td>prednisolone, cyclophosphamide, plasma exchange</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>RPGN</td>
<td>Granular IgG, C3</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>P-ANCA+</td>
<td>improved</td>
<td>prednisolone, cyclophosphamide, azathioprine</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>SSc</td>
<td>RPGN</td>
<td>Granular IgG, C3</td>
<td>II–III</td>
<td>P-ANCA+, MPO-ANCA+</td>
<td>improved</td>
<td>prednisolone, cyclophosphamide, hemodialysis</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RA = rheumatoid arthritis; NR = not reported; PRS = pulmonary renal syndrome; P-ANCA = perinuclear ANCA; ESRD = end-stage renal disease; SSc = systemic sclerosis.
Fig. 1. Clinical course after the initiation of bucillamine therapy.
Fig. 2. Light microscopy findings of the renal biopsy. 

a. Mild intracapillary proliferation and small cellular crescents. Periodic acid Schiff staining. ×40. 

IF findings: fine granular staining of IgG (c), IgG1 (d), IgG2 (e), IgG3 (f), and IgG4 (g) on the glomerular capillary loop.
Fig. 3. EM findings. a Subepithelial electron-dense deposits (white arrow heads). Original magnification ×6,000. b Extensive foot process effacement, microvillus transformation, and vacuolar degeneration of podocytes. Original magnification ×2,000.