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Introduction

Red blood cell (RBC) alloantibodies can develop after exposure to foreign RBC antigens in the context of transfusion therapy or pregnancy/delivery. Hemolytic transfusion reactions due to non-ABO antibodies have been the 2nd or 3rd leading cause of transfusion-associated death reported to the FDA over the last 5 years [1–3], with non-US countries also reporting a number of adverse events resulting from alloantibodies [4]. In addition to mortality, RBC alloantibodies may lead to morbidity in the forms of hemolytic transfusion reactions, bystander hemolysis, and renal failure. Patients with multiple RBC alloantibodies or antibodies against high-incidence antigens may experience complications of anemia due to lengthy delays prior to the location of compatible RBC units for transfusion; some may even die if compatible RBCs cannot be located. Finally, in addition to being detrimental in a transfusion setting, RBC alloantibodies may also be detrimental to developing fetuses [5].

Much effort has been dedicated over the past century to describing the structure and function(s) of human blood group antigens [6]. There have been significant strides made in understanding the relative immunogenicity of these antigens in transfusion and pregnancy situations, the impact of cognate antigen/alloantibody interactions, and the patterns of evanescence of alloantibodies against individual alloantigens [7–9]. As more information about antibody evanescence patterns emerges, it becomes clear that a larger number of patients than previously appreciated are likely alloimmunized, with many antibodies falling over time below the level of detection by conventional blood bank methodologies.

As data has been gathered and knowledge in the field of transfusion medicine has evolved, interest in responder/non-
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Historically, the focus of donor studies has largely centered on issues of infectious disease and quality control. Although human studies are clearly necessary to reveal factors contributing to responder/non-responder status, there are many variables that have the potential to confound the interpretation of data generated by such studies. These variables include the number of antigenic differences between donor and recipient during each transfusion event, the HLA differences in recipients (some RBC antigens are thought to be HLA-restricted [16–18]), the broader genetic differences between recipients other than HLA, epigenetic variables (e.g., the microbiome), donor differences in RBC storage, and the health status of the recipient at the time of the transfusion; few transfusions are given to ‘healthy’ individuals. RBC collection and processing methodologies, which are not fully standardized between collection centers or between countries, could also impact recipient immune responses to RBC antigens.

Logistical issues have prevented in-depth studies of RBC antigen consumption, antigen processing/presentation, and localization of B-cell responses in humans. However, general humoral immune responses to transfused human RBCs are typically thought to be T-cell dependent, with IgG responses predominating over IgM responses soon after antigen exposure [19]. The antigen presenting cells usually described to consume RBCs are macrophages [20], though RBC consumption by dendritic cells also occurs. As described further within this review, factors on both the donor and recipient sides presumably impact not only rates of initial antigen consumption by antigen-presenting cells but also co-stimulatory/co-inhibitory signals present at the time of antigen presentation. Any of these factors may impact T-cell receptor responses to the presented antigen and, ultimately, B-cell stimulation.

Differences between murine and human immunobiology notwithstanding, the fundamental underpinnings of human immunology were essentially all discovered from using mice and other animal systems [21]. Thus, there are considerable benefits to studying RBC alloimmunization in reductionist animal systems. In recognition of the contribution of these reductionist systems to the current understanding of immune responses to RBCs, this review is dedicated to discussing factors that influence RBC alloimmunization in murine models.
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Donor- or Product-Specific Factors

Historically, the focus of donor studies has largely centered on issues of infectious disease and quality control. Though some studies have investigated associations between product characteristic and general recipient ‘immunomodulation’, few have addressed hypotheses that donor-specific, or product-specific variables correlated with or affected recipient RBC alloimmunization. Population-based RBC alloimmunization studies are difficult to design and execute in humans, given the myriad of potential contributing variables to consider on both the donor and recipient sides of the equation. The first portion of this review will focus on what has been learned from murine studies regarding the impact of donor- or product-specific variables on RBC alloimmunization, with potential variables and unanswered questions to be considered further outlined in table 1.

Product Collection/Processing

The ‘non-RBC’ contents of transfused products may be important variables to consider when evaluating recipient immune responses to RBC antigens: white blood cells (WBCs) and their remnants, platelets and their remnants, and soluble factors including cytokines are co-transfused along with RBCs in each unit of ‘RBCs’. There is much variability among blood collection centers in their methodologies of blood collection and processing, including the use of different anti-coagulant preservative solutions and amounts of residual plasma in units, lengths of holding time prior to processing, leukoreduction filters/techniques, and centrifugation speeds.
Any of these variables may potentially impact recipient immune response to transfused RBC antigens, though human studies investigating the effects of such variables on outcome measures are logistically difficult. One study has demonstrated that human blood held overnight prior to leukoreduction contains more proinflammatory cytokines and microparticles than blood collected by apheresis or blood processed soon after collection [24]; thus it would not be illogical to predict that such variables may affect immune responses. Microparticles are cellular fragments derived from RBCs, leukocytes, platelets, and other cells that are known to exist in stored blood components [24, 25]. Emerging evidence indicates that these vesicles are capable of inducing a proinflammatory response, driving T-cell proliferation [26]. Whether they contribute to RBC alloimmune responses is not known, but this possibility warrants investigation. Other studies have shown that an overnight hold of human blood prior to processing may decrease post-transfusion RBC recovery [27], or may decrease leukoreduction efficiency [28]. Yet others have shown variation in residual platelet numbers and platelet-derived cytokines in human RBC units, depending on the leukoreduction filter used [29].

Much attention has been paid to the role of contaminating WBCs in human RBC units, though this focus has been due to an interest in decreasing febrile transfusion reactions, infectious disease transmission, and HLA alloimmunization [30]. Controversy exists in the literature regarding whether contaminating WBCs impact RBC alloimmunization in humans, with some studies suggesting leukoreduced RBCs are less immunogenic than non-leukoreduced RBCs [31–33] and others suggesting that WBCs may not influence RBC alloimmunization [34, 35]. Reductionist murine studies have been completed in the HOD system, in which donor RBCs express a fusion protein containing hen egg lysozyme (HEL), ovalbumin, and the human Duffy(b) antigen. These studies have shown significantly decreased immune responses in recipient C57BL/6 mice transfused with donor HOD RBCs on an FVB (Friend Virus B) genetic background that have been passed over a Pall neonatal leukoreduction filter, as compared to mice transfused with non-leukoreduced RBCs [36]. Figure 1A shows anti-HEL IgG responses detected by ELISA in one of six representative experiments with 5 animals/group, two weeks after transfusion (p < 0.05 between groups in 5/6 experiments). Murine blood is efficiently leukoreduced using Pall neonatal leukoreduction filters [22, 37], with propidium iodide staining showing very few nucleated cells remaining after leukoreduction (fig. 1B). These filters also decrease the number of murine platelets in the RBC units (fig. 1C), but not as efficiently as they decrease human platelets in RBC units. Although these data demonstrate that HOD.FVB RBCs passed over a Pall neonatal leukoreduction filter are less immunogenic than non-filtered RBCs, it is not yet clear whether this decreased immunogenicity is due to a decrease in WBCs, in platelets, or in other variables. Furthermore, it is not yet known whether these findings will be observed in other RBC antigen systems, or in other donor/recipient strains.

Storage Considerations

Over the past four decades, there has been a waxing and waning interest in the RBC ‘storage lesion’ and its impact on recipient health. Although beyond the scope of this review, RBC storage characteristics may impact many recipient outcomes other than alloantibodies. Until fairly recently, studies in animal models of RBC storage were limited by technical abilities to preserve RBC integrity during storage. However, the use of CPDA-1 as an anticoagulant-preservative storage solution has now been shown to allow for leukoreduced murine RBCs on a C57BL/6 background to be stored for 2 weeks, with an approximately 75% post-transfusion recovery [38].

Building upon this model of murine RBC storage, leukoreduced murine HOD RBCs on a FVB background stored for 2 weeks were shown to be significantly more immunogenic than freshly collected leukoreduced RBCs [39]. This increase in immunogenicity was not due to obvious changes in antigen expression or integrity, as determined by flow cytometry. Unlike the 75% post-transfusion recovery reported on stored RBCs on a C57BL/6 background, however, HOD.FVB RBCs stored for 2 weeks had post-transfusion recovery rates closer to 30–40% [39]. Recent studies have highlighted strain-specific differences in storage characteristics, with RBCs from mice on an FVB background having inferior storage compared to RBCs from mice on a C57BL/6 background. Metabolomics studies juxtaposing these two strains of mice have identified differences in lipid peroxidation, natural anti-oxidants, and cytidine levels [40]. Other human studies have shown differences in RBC storage characteristics by donor.

Table 1. Donor- or product-specific variables that may impact RBC alloimmunization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product collection/processing</th>
<th>Efficiency of leukoreduction</th>
<th>Number of residual platelets remaining in the RBC unit after processing</th>
<th>Other considerations, including donor’s health status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Storage considerations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Length of storage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Type of anticoagulant preservative solution utilized</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Strain-specific genetic characteristics affecting blood storage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Gender of donor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBC clearance rates after transfusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Potentially impacted by many donor and recipient factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBC antigen specific factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Degree to which the antigen is viewed as foreign by the recipient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Availability of T-cell help</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Antigen copy number</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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gender, with RBCs from female donors exhibiting less mechanical fragility than those from male donors [41]; murine studies investigating female versus male RBC storage characteristics are ongoing.

Backcrossing of the HOD mouse (which was generated on an FVB background) onto a C57BL/6 background allowed for evaluation of the impact of donor strain on alloimmunogenicity. Freshly collected, leukoreduced RBCs from HOD.FVB donors result in slightly higher degrees of anti-HOD alloantibodies upon transfusion into C57BL/6 recipients than do freshly collected, leukoreduced RBCs from HOD.B6 donors transfused into C57BL/6 recipients. Over the storage duration, however, differences in immunogenicity between HOD.FVB and HOD.B6 RBCs become more apparent. HOD.FVB RBCs have a peak of immunogenicity after approximately 10–14 days of storage (fig. 2A), compared to a peak noted...
around 21 days of storage in HOD.B6 animals (fig. 2B). These differences in peaks of immunogenicity correlate with post-transfusion recovery rates (fig. 2C,D), with decreases in immunogenicity noted once few intact RBCs are recovered post-transfusion; three out of three experiments had similar result (one representative experiment is shown). These observations laid the groundwork for clearance studies investigating the impact of post-transfusion recovery on recipient alloimmune responses, detailed below.

Human studies have not noted an association between the duration of RBC storage and recipient alloimmune responses [42–44], although one recent study has shown a correlation between storage time and in vitro phagocytosis [45]. Potentially important considerations in the interpretation of these studies, however, include the definition of an ‘older’ RBC unit as well as whether the recipients received fresh RBCs in combination with older RBCs. Murine studies in the HOD.FVB system have shown that a fresh HOD.FVB unit is able to abrogate the enhanced alloimmunogenicity of a stored HOD.FVB unit [46]. The mechanism(s) behind this observation are not clear, but these data highlight potentially important biology. An additional variable that warrants investigation in storage/alloimmunization studies is the nature of the RBC antigen itself.

MicroRNAs and Damage-Associated Molecular Patterns

There is an emerging body of literature, largely consisting of in vitro studies of human-derived blood components including RBCs and platelets that suggests that microRNAs (miRNAs), small noncoding RNA molecules involved in regulating gene/protein expression through multiple mechanisms, are produced in varying quantities and with varying kinetics during storage of blood components [47–50]. More and more evidence suggests that miRNAs may be involved in regulating

Fig. 2. Blood from transgenic HOD.FVB or HOD.B6 animals was leukoreduced and stored for 28–35 days. A, B The equivalent of 1 human ‘unit’ was transfused into C57BL/6 mice, with recipient anti-HOD Ig immune responses measured by flow cytometric cross-match 14 days post-transfusion. C, D Post-transfusion RBC survival and recovery studies were completed, using monoclonal antibodies against Fy3 to track the transfused HOD RBCs.
immune responses, specifically by influencing T helper cell differentiation [51]; their potential role in influencing RBC alloimmune responses is an area of interest. Similarly, cellular injury occurred during the collection, processing, and storage of blood components likely results in the release of inflammatory cellular components, namely mitochondrial DNA and formyl peptides, termed damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) [52, 53]. Some groups have implicated these DAMPs as being involved in transfusion-related acute lung injury (TRALI) reactions, though there is ongoing debate regarding this association [52, 54]. The role of DAMPs in inducing inflammation is well accepted [53], and their role in influencing RBC alloimmune responses is also an area of interest.

**Clearance Rates of RBCs**

Clearance rates of transfused RBCs and length of exposure to transfused RBC antigens are variables that likely influence recipient immune responses. These clearance rates may be impacted by donor- or recipient-specific variables. One study, for example, has shown that malaria infection impacts RBC clearance rates [55]. Murine studies have been completed in which RBCs were damaged with oxidative stress (phenylhydrazine) or with heat prior to transfusion. Neither of these forms of damage obviously altered the HOD antigen expression, yet both treatments simultaneously increased the rate of HOD.FVB RBC clearance and the magnitude of recipient anti-HOD alloantibody responses [56]. Similar to what was observed after HOD RBCs were stored for lengthy intervals, extreme amounts of RBC damage using phenylhydrazine or heat (in which RBCs were instantly cleared following transfusion) resulted in very low recipient alloantibody responses. These studies demonstrate that RBC clearance rates impact recipient alloimmune responses to at least one model RBC antigen and raise the question of whether clearance rates, due to intrinsic properties of the RBCs themselves or due to recipient factors, also contribute to alloimmunization to other RBC antigens.

**Antigen-Specific Factors**

Structural differences among human RBC antigens have been appreciated for many years [6]. Antigenic structural complexity has contributed, at least in part, to difficulties in generating 'one bead, one antigen' screening methodologies for RBC alloantibodies [57, 58]. Without question, the immunogenicity of RBC antigens is in part dependent on their structural characteristics, including the degree to which recipients recognize an antigen as foreign. Rh(D), for example, is one of the more immunogenic RBC antigens. This is partially a result of Rh(D)-positive donors expressing an entire gene product and recipients lacking it. Further, the size of the Rh(D) antigen is such that most recipients are capable of presenting a portion of the foreign antigen on their HLA molecules [59]. Conversely, antigenic antigens that differ by a single amino acid polymorphism from donor to recipient (which is true for most antigens other than RhD), may be less immunogenic than RhD due to either an inability of the recipient to present a portion of the antigen on their HLA/MHC (discussed in more detail later in this paper) or due to other factors.

As more transgenic murine models have been developed, differences in immunogenicity based on antigen structure/ type are becoming apparent. For example, recipient immune responses to transfused leukoreduced mHEL RBCs are significantly lower in magnitude than responses to transfused HOD RBCs, despite the humoral response being anti-HEL in both instances [60]. It is hypothesized that these differences in the magnitude of the anti-HEL alloantibody response may be due in part to the inclusion of a portion of the OVA antigen in the HOD construct, which is able to elicit additional recipient CD4+ T-cell help [37]. Described in greater detail by Desmares et al. [37], the HOD triple fusion protein was generated using the entire open reading frame of HEL, the portion of the OVA open reading frame encoding amino acids 251–349, and the entire open reading frame of the human Duffy© RBC antigen.

One additional consideration is that the density of the HEL antigen on mHEL versus HOD RBCs may also be a factor in the differences in recipient responses, with mHEL RBCs [22] having lower levels of HEL expression than HOD RBCs. RBC copy number on transfused RBCs likely impacts recipient immune responses in other antigen systems, as evidenced by the differences in immune responses to weak Rh(D) or Rh(D) RBCs in humans. For example, Rh(D)-negative recipients transfused with RBCs from weak Rh(D) donors have low rates of anti-D formation compared to those transfused with RBCs from Rh(D) donors [61]. Similar findings have been reported in abstract format in the murine KEL2 system: recipients transfused with RBCs from ‘weak’ KEL2 donors fail to make anti-KEL glycoprotein alloantibodies, but essentially all recipients transfused with RBCs from KEL2 donors with moderate levels of antigen expression form anti-KEL glycoprotein alloantibodies [62].

RBC antigen characteristics not only influence the development of recipient alloantibodies, they also can at least partially determine the clinical significance of RBC-specific alloantibodies. For example, anti-HEL alloantibodies are fairly clinically insignificant, due in part to antigen down-modulation that is known to occur following engagement of the anti-HEL alloantibody with the HEL antigen [63–65]. In contrast, monoclonal antibodies against the hGPA antigen are clinically significant, in that they lead to hemolytic transfusion reactions [66, 67] through a complement- and Fcy receptor-independent process [68, 69]. Polyclonal antibodies against the KEL2 antigen are clinically significant in both transfusion and pregnancy scenarios: hemolytic transfusion reactions are mediated by both complement and Fcy receptors [70], while hemolytic disease of the fetus and newborn appears to be due at least in part to suppression of erythropoiesis by anti-KEL glycoprotein alloantibodies [71].
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in animal models are just beginning to be investigated, many
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RBC antigen presentation has been investigated in a few
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In addition to HLA/MHC differences, polymorphisms of
immunoregulatory genes may also influence RBC alloimmunization. Polymorphisms in TRIM 21 (also known as Ro52), an
immunoregulatory element in close proximity to the human
β-globin gene, have been proposed to impact immune re-
response to transfused RBCs in patients with sickle cell disease
[79]. Follow-up studies in reductionist animal models, however,
showed that TRIM 21 knock-out animals and wild-type recipients had similar humoral immune responses to trans-
fused HOD RBCs [80]. It is possible that different results may
have been observed if the TRIM 21 knock-out animals had
also had sickle cell disease, if the transfused RBC antigen had
been different, or if recipients had low levels of TRIM 21 ex-
pression instead of completely lacking this gene. In the ab-
sence of such studies, however, the results from murine mod-
els suggest that decreased TRIM 21 expression may not, in
and of itself, enhance RBC alloimmunization.
A recent study investigating the SNPs of responder and
non-responder human patients with sickle cell disease has im-
plied CD81 polymorphisms as potentially contributing to
recipient immune responses [81]. These CD81 polymorphisms
may have myriad immunological consequences, including sig-
nal modulations of B lymphocytes and altered functionality of
dendritic cells. Although there have been no follow-up animal
studies as of yet, a growing body of published and unpub-
lished data in murine RBC alloimmunization models suggests
that B cells and dendritic cells are integral in generating im-
mune responses to transfused RBCs [82, 83].
An additional genetic recipient factor that warrants discus-
sion is the impact of sickle cell disease on RBC alloimmuniza-
tion. A single glutamine to valine substitution in the β-globin
gene results in a disease with many clinical manifestations. Ongoing studies are investigating which disease manifesta-
tions can be attributed solely to the altered β-globin gene and
resultant RBC sickling, and which may be due to co-inherit-
ance of immunoregulatory or other genes along with the
sickle globin gene. It is well recognized that this patient popu-
lation has amongst the highest levels of RBC alloimmuniza-
tion following transfusion of any patient population [84–86].
However, there is much debate surrounding the reasons for
the high rates of RBC alloimmunization [15, 87, 88], with po-
tential factors including transfusion burden, RBC phenotypic
differences between donors and recipients, and RBC geno-
typic variants in the sickle patients themselves. Sickle cell-as-
associated vascular disease and chronic inflammation [89],
as well as immune dysregulation [90, 91], may also potentially
contribute to the high rates of RBC alloimmunization in pa-
patients with sickle cell disease.
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To investigate the impact of the sickle β-globin gene in a reductionist model, transgenic animals with sickle cell disease were transfused with transgenic RBCs expressing the HOD antigen, and alloimmune responses were measured longitudinally [92]. Animals with sickle cell disease (including Berkeley and Townes animals, which express the human sickle β-globin gene) had similar responses to transfused HOD RBCs as did littermate controls with sickle cell trait or hemoglobin AA. Furthermore, no increases in recipient humoral alloimmune responses to transfused HOD RBCs above that of control mice were observed after inflammation of the Hgb SS mice with poly (I:C) [92]. These experiments have since been repeated using transfused KEL2B RBCs [93] to investigate whether the lack of observed differences was inherent to HOD RBC exposure. Similar findings can now be reported, with animals that express the human sickle β-globin gene demonstrating similar responses to littermate controls without sickle cell disease following single or multiple transfusions of KEL2 RBCs (fig. 3A,B). Given the results of these murine experiments, it is possible that factors beyond the expression of sickle β-globin itself may be responsible for the high rates of RBC alloimmunization observed in patients with sickle cell disease. It is also possible, however, that immune responses to transfused RBCs may be different in recipients with acute chest syndrome/hypoxia, or in those with acute vaso-occlusive crises. Likewise, as sickle cell disease patients are often chronically transfused, and thus have altered iron biology, chronic transfusion status may affect alloimmunization as well.

Recipient Inflammatory Status

The immunology literature contains many reports indicating that the presence of a ‘danger’ signal at the time of antigen exposure influences immune responses to antigens [94], though much debate surrounds what determines a response to ‘non-self’ and what defines a ‘danger’ signal [94, 95]. It is curious that recipients are exposed to hundreds of foreign (non-self) antigens with each RBC unit transfused, yet fewer than 10% make detectable humoral alloimmune responses. Conversely, it could be viewed as equally interesting that even 10% of recipients make detectable alloantibody responses, given that each RBC unit is presumably sterile, and thus has no obvious danger signal, at least not of microbial origin. Compared to other more widely studied model humoral antigens, RBC antigens are unique in their structure, route of administration, quantity/volume of antigens accessible to recipient immune cells, and duration of exposure. In addition to the recipient-specific danger signals discussed in this section, it is possible that the RBC units themselves contain elements (such as co-stimulatory molecules, inflammatory cytokines, or free heme, among others) that may predispose a transfusion recipient to generate an alloimmune response.

The fact that responder patients tend to make multiple RBC alloantibodies after repeated RBC exposures has led to the suggestion that genetic factors influence responder status [13]. However, studies in reductionist animal models, which have the advantage of genetically identical recipients, have shown that environmental/inflammatory factors also influence RBC alloimmune responses. In every murine model of RBC alloimmunization described to date, recipient inflammation induced by the double stranded RNA poly (I:C) around the time of RBC exposure has been shown to increase the degree or the magnitude of humoral immune responses. Figure 4 shows antigen-specific recipient immune responses after a single transfusion of the equivalent of one ‘unit’ of leukoreduced mHEL, leukoreduced HOD, KEL2B, or hGPA RBCs, in the presence or absence of pre-treatment with 100 μg of i.p. poly (I:C) from Amersham/GE Healthcare; data from representative experiments are shown, and each study has been repeated.
many times with similar results. Poly (I:C) increases the magnitude of alloantibody responses in the mHEL, HOD, and KEL2 systems, whereas poly (I:C) turns non-responders to responders following hGPA RBC transfusion [22, 39, 96, 97]. Ongoing studies are investigating the mechanism(s) through which poly (I:C) increase alloimmunization, with antigen-presenting cell type/function [82] under investigation.

The increased immune responses observed in the presence of poly (I:C) are not unique to this immunostimulant molecule, as other forms of recipient inflammation have also been shown to impact recipient alloimmune responses. For example, co-transfusion of a different TLR agonist, CpG, increases recipient immune responses to hGPA RBCs [98, 99]. In addition, recipient inflammation with the bacterial endotoxin LPS influences immune responses to transfused transgenic RBCs, though, for reasons still under investigation, LPS enhances recipient alloimmune responses to RBC antigens in some systems (HOD, hGPA), while it inhibits alloimmune responses in others (mHEL, KEL) ([100, 101] and unpublished data). Although many murine studies have focused on the impact of discrete TLR agonists on RBC alloimmunization, at least one has shown that authentic viral infections also increase the magnitude of RBC alloimmune responses [60]. Human studies are beginning to investigate the impact of different types of inflammation on RBC alloimmunization, with one suggesting that febrile transfusion reactions may be associated with subsequent RBC alloantibody formation [102], one showing that inflammatory bowel disease may be a risk factor for alloimmunization [103], and another implying that transfusion at the time of an acute inflammatory event (such as acute chest syndrome) may be more likely to result in alloantibody formation than transfusion in the absence of acute illness [89].

It is often stated, as an experimental concern, that one needs to add an adjuvant (e.g. poly (I:C) as a danger signal) in order to get a strong alloimmune response to transfused RBCs in mice. This is seen as an artificial difference between mice and humans, as human responders are clearly not ‘given’ an adjuvant at time of transfusion. However, it is worth noting that careful examination of the data in the literature demonstrates that control mice (not given inducer of inflammation) have a wide range of responses, with many animals showing weak or no response and others showing strong responses (as above, the pattern changes somewhat depending upon the RBC antigen being studied). Indeed, this is the response pattern seen in human transfusion recipients. Because the animals are genetically identical and are all transfused with the same blood, it is presumably an environmental factor that is regulating response. It is worth noting that there is no such thing as an ‘uninflamed’ mouse, as mice fight with each other and have everyday encounters that may inflame them. While the addition of a danger signal for the experimental purposes of studying the nature of a response in a given situation is a powerful scientific maneuver, it is not required for RBC alloimmunization in many of the antigen systems described.

Other Features of Recipient Immune Status

In addition to recipient inflammatory status, other recipient immune factors may affect RBC alloimmunization. Regulatory T cells are known to suppress the activation and effector functions of many different cell types, in many different situations. The group of Yazdanbakhsh have explored this scenario with respect to RBC antigens in mice and humans, with the conclusion that certain phenotypes of regulatory T cells and B cells may influence responses to transfused RBC antigens [90, 91, 98, 99]. Another group, however, failed to find functional differences in regulatory T cells in alloimmunized or non-alloimmunized humans with sickle cell disease [104]. Additional studies are needed in this area, and it is possible that therapeutic approaches to optimize the function of such regulatory cell subsets, or to alter the way the immune system ‘sees’ foreign RBC antigens, may be effective in decreasing rates of RBC alloimmunization in recipients at highest risk for this complication.

One potential therapeutic approach involves eliminating the organ thought to be responsible for filtering RBCs. In the absence of a spleen, transfused RBCs are shunted to the liver, an organ thought to be more tolerogenic than immunogenic [105]. Recent studies in mice have demonstrated that a spleen
is critical for primary immune responses to transfused RBCs [106], though non-responsiveness may not equate to long-term tolerance. These findings are consistent with studies completed many years ago, using sheep RBCs instead of murine RBCs as immunogens [107]. Of note, animals splenectomized after an initial transgenic murine RBC antigen exposure have huminological memory and are able to mount anamnestic responses in an antigen-specific manner [108]. It also must be appreciated that splenectomy has many potential adverse immunologic and hematologic/vascular sequelae [109, 110] beyond RBC immune responses to RBC antigens, especially over the long term. The human literature concerning the spleen’s role in RBC alloimmunization is mixed: some studies have found that splenectomy has no statistically significant impact on RBC alloimmunization rates, or that it decreases alloimmunization [13, 111–113], while others suggest that splenectomy may increase RBC alloimmunization rates [32, 33, 114, 115]. Such findings are likely due in part to the large number of confounding variables involved and, as above with animal studies, may be affected by the history of RBC transfusion and whether the recipient was first exposed to foreign RBCs before or after splenectomy.

Therapies that target specific immune cell subsets, with goals of minimizing RBC alloimmunization rates, are on the horizon [116]. A better understanding of the most critical steps in immune responses to transfused RBC antigens would be advantageous, in considering the development of such potential therapies. It is possible that these steps will vary by specific RBC antigen or by recipient health status at the time of antigen exposure. For example, preliminary animal studies have suggested that T helper cell responses are important in primary immune responses to some RBC antigens, but not to others [117]. Future experiments will more clearly define how specific immune cell subsets interact to lead to RBC alloantibody formation, and the results of these studies will guide rational therapeutic strategies to minimize RBC alloimmunization.

Prior Exposures to Non-RBC Antigens

For many years, it has been appreciated that certain bacteria (including some strains of *Escherichia coli* and *Shigella*) express ‘RBC-like’ antigens that may be capable of inducing humoral antibody responses, independent of RBC exposure [118]. Additionally, increasing evidence suggests that past exposures to pathogens may influence subsequent immune responses to transfused RBCs, without the pathogen exposures alone resulting in appreciable humoral immune responses that react with RBC antigens. For example, a search of the BLAST database has revealed that *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Yersinia pestis*, and *Bordetella parapertussis* share a degree of orthology with the Kell, Duffy, and Kidd RBC antigens [60]. Thus, exposure to these pathogens may prime an individual (presumably at the T-cell level) to respond more vigorously upon subsequent exposure to RBC antigens with overlapping peptide sequences. Because the pathogens have orthology only at the level of linear peptides, and not three-dimensional proteins, exposure will not induce alloantibodies detected by immunohematology, but will rather prime a recipient such that subsequent transfusion will result in a robust and rapid humoral response to a given RBC alloantigen.

Evidence for past non-RBC exposure priming for subsequent responses to RBC antigens exists in humans [73] and in animals [60]. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells from humans with no detectable anti-KEL alloantibodies were stimulated with overlapping KEL peptides, with evidence of T-cell reactivity present in subjects with no prior RBC exposure [73]. This reactivity appeared to be a memory response, given the thymidine incorporation observed in CD45 RO-positive T cells after peptide stimulation. Animal studies using a model RBC antigen have also demonstrated this concept: sequences contained within non-RBC antigens (in this case an ovalbumin sequence contained within a polyoma virus) have been shown to prime a recipient to generate a robust response upon subsequent exposure to a shared epitope within a RBC antigen [60]. Of interest (as above) is the fact that traditional antibody-focused blood bank screens would not detect this prior ‘priming’ phenomenon. In theory, priming may lead to rapid and robust alloantibody responses following primary RBC exposure, which may result in early ‘delayed’ hemolytic transfusion reactions.

Tolerance to RBC Antigens

It is possible that non-responders to RBC antigens are actually tolerized (through mechanisms not yet defined), though this hypothesis is difficult to test in humans given relatively low baseline rates of alloimmunization with each transfusion event. Young recipient age at the time of initial RBC exposure has been shown to influence rates of RBC alloimmunization in patients with sickle cell disease [14, 79] and thalassemia major [115], leading to a hypothesis that relative ‘tolerance’ to RBC antigens may be possible in young transfusion recipients. To date, only one animal study has been published investigating the relationship between recipient age at initial RBC exposure and RBC alloimmunization, with no or very low levels of anti-HOD alloantibodies observed in juvenile animals (3 weeks of age) compared to adult animals [80]. However, these studies did not evaluate repeat antigen exposure, as it has been shown that subsequent HEL antigen exposures do not result in immunologic boosting [96] for reasons that remain under investigation. Ongoing experiments using KEL transgenic RBCs, which are capable of generating memory and boostable responses in C57BL/6 animals [97], are investigating the impact of RBC exposure as neonates and subsequent responses when these same animals are re-transfused as adults.

Characteristics of the transfused RBC antigens themselves also play key roles in determining recipient responsiveness versus non-responsiveness. For example, non-responsiveness/
tollertance to the hGPA antigen occurs when the initial antigen exposure takes place in the absence of an adjuvant [96]. This non-responsiveness is antigen-specific, with non-responders to the hGPA antigen being fully capable of responding to other distinct RBC antigens. RBC antigen copy number may contribute to whether a particular antigen is capable of inducing an immune response following transfusion, as suggested by studies that have shown antigen density to be a key determinant of immunologic responsiveness to non-RBC antigens [119–121]. Although hGPA copy number has not been formally evaluated, flow-cytometric cross-matching of these RBCs with monoclonal anti-hGPA results in a 3–4 log shift and in vitro agglutination, suggesting that the copy number is very high. Ongoing studies are comparing recipient immune responses to transfused RBCs expressing high, mid, and low levels of the human KEL2 antigen.

Studies in animals suggest that soluble antigen (outside of the context of RBC immunology) may be capable of inducing non-responsiveness, and potentially even tolerance, depending on the route of exposure [122, 123]. Furthermore, animal studies have shown that primary antigen exposure via the nasal mucosa decreases secondary responses to subsequently transfused RBC antigens [73, 124]. Such studies have been completed using immunodominant Rh(D) peptides as well as immunodominant KEL peptides. One study has suggested that there may be antigen-specific mechanisms for reducing T-cell responsiveness with immunodominant peptides: following a primary i.v. transfusion of RBCs with a secondary intranasal peptide exposure to an immunodominant peptide of an antigen expressed on the RBC surface, the authors were able to decrease the T-cell response [73]. Other murine studies have recently explored the use of RBCs as vehicles to induce tolerance to non-RBC antigens, with antigen-specific tolerance to the OVA antigen observed following immunization with OVA-entrapped RBCs [121].

RBC Exposure via Transfusion or Pregnancy

Although this review has focused on factors that may influence immune responses to transfused RBCs, exposure to paternally derived foreign RBC antigens may also occur during pregnancy. In the KEL2 murine model, anti-KEL glycoprotein alloantibodies develop not only following transfusion of KEL2 RBCs into C57BL/6 mice [97] but also after pregnancy in C57BL/6 female mice bred with KEL2 transgenic males [71]. The titers of anti-KEL glycoprotein immunoglobulins increase with repeat antigen exposure, whether the exposure is due to multiple RBC transfusions or due to multiple pregnancies/deliveries [71, 97]. All IgG subtypes are generated in response to KEL2 RBC exposure by both pregnancy and transfusion, with these antibodies being clinically significant in both settings. Ongoing experiments are investigating differences in immune responses generated by pregnancy and transfusion, with attention being paid to the duration of RBC exposure, the state of pregnancy itself, and other variables that may impact the magnitude of the anti-KEL response.

Conclusions

Studies in murine models have answered fundamental questions of transfusion immunobiology and have raised new questions to be studied in humans. As more tools have been developed and more studies have been completed, it has become clear that murine immune responses to RBC antigens are dependent on antigen properties as well as on donor and recipient factors. Although these variables increase the complexity of the experimental biology, the variables reflect what has also been observed in human transfusion immunology. The murine models reviewed provide a tractable experimental landscape in which to pursue mechanistic knowledge, though practical and specific instructions on translational strategies to mitigate RBC alloimmunization in humans will require additional research. Being cognizant of similarities and differences in murine versus human biology, it is hoped that the translation of knowledge gained in murine models may ultimately help to decrease rates of RBC alloimmunization and to mitigate the dangers of existing RBC alloantibodies in humans, in both transfusion and pregnancy scenarios.
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